NyayaAI â€" Legal Research Report

Query: 'IPC 302 murder knife attack'

Generated: 18 Nov 2025 11:08 AM

Case 1

Link: https://indiankanoon.org/doc/200647/

TITLE: Judgment Summary on IPC 302 Murder Knife Attack Case

SUMMARY:

This judgment addresses three appeals against convictions related to a murder case dated 21/5/1994 (Sessions Case No. 102 of 1992) from the Additional Sessions Judge, Panch Mah

The prosecution's case was based primarily on the testimony of eyewitnesses, identification parades, and the discovery of a knife alleged to be the murder weapon. The defense a

The judgment reflects the court's assessment of the evidence and grounds for conviction or acquittal, weighing the credibility of the prosecution's case against the defense's a

AI_SCORE: 85

AI Score: 0 â€" Relevance: 19%

Case 2

Link: https://indiankanoon.org/doc/128183529/

TITLE: Criminal Appeal Against Conviction for Murder and Related Charges

SUMMARY: This judgment concerns an appeal against convictions and sentences related to a murder case where the accused, following a heated interaction with the deceased, inflic

AI_SCORE: 85

AI Score: 85 â€" Relevance: 70%

ILAC Note

ISSUE:
The issue at hand is whether the convictions of the accused individuals for murder under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC), as well as related offenses, are valid base

LAW:
Under Section 302 of the IPC, a person can be convicted for murder if it is proven beyond a reasonable doubt that they intentionally caused the death of another person. Additio

APPLICATION:
In the trial, the prosecution relied on various types of evidence including witness testimonies, an identification parade conducted by the executive magistrate, and the recover

CONCLUSION:
Given the arguments presented, including doubts regarding witness credibility, the questionable conduct of the identification parade, and the issues surrounding the evidence re

Arguments

PETITIONER ARGUMENTS (Appeals from Conviction)

1. **General Denial of Accusation**: The accused maintain that they are wrongly implicated, asserting that the case is based on false grounds influenced by police coercion.

2. **Lack of Robust Evidence**: The defense claims the prosecution's case is founded on inconclusive and contradictory evidence, questioning the reliability of key witness P.W.

3. **Deficiencies in Identification Procedure**: The defense points out irregularities during the Identification Parade. They argue that a fair opportunity to identify the accu

4. **Issues with Discovery Evidence**: The defense argues that the recovery of the weapon (knife) does not meet the standards set by Sec. 27 of the Indian Evidence Act. They cl

5. **Credibility of Witnesses**: The defense questions the trustworthiness of the prosecution witnesses, particularly P.W.3, because of perceived unnatural behavior during the

6. **Insufficient Establishment of Guilt**: The defense argues that the prosecution has not proven beyond reasonable doubt that accused No. 1 inflicted the fatal injury, which

---

RESPONDENT ARGUMENTS (Stateâ€™s Opposition to Appeals)

1. **Sufficient Proof of Guilt**: The prosecution contends that the evidence, including witness testimonies and forensic evidence, convincingly establishes the guilt of the acc

2. **Reliability of Eyewitness Testimony**: The prosecution defends the credibility of witnesses like P.W.3 Vijaykumar Narendralal, stating that his testimony is corroborated b

3. **Validity of Identification Parade**: The prosecution argues that the Identification Parade was conducted appropriately under the oversight of the Executive Magistrate, des

4. **Discovery Relevance**: The prosecution asserts that the panchnama regarding the recovery of the murder weapon meets the necessary legal standards. They argue that the stat

5. **Proper Investigation Conducted**: The prosecution defends the integrity of the investigation and asserts that the evidence collected and testimonies given paint a coherent

6. **Consistent Account of Events**: The prosecution emphasizes that the varying accounts from witnesses do not detract from the overall narrative of the crime but rather provi

---

COUNTER ARGUMENTS

1. **Discrepancies in Witness Accounts**: Respondents can counter the defenseâ€™s questioning of witness reliability by establishing minor inconsistencies as a natural outcome

2. **Fulfilling Identification Procedure**: The defense's claims regarding the Identification Parade may be countered by presenting case law or statutory provisions that valida

3. **Strength of the Evidence**: Prosecution can argue that while the evidence may not be perfect, it is sufficiently robust when considered collectively, thus dismissing claim

4. **Legality of Recovery Evidence**: Any claims alleging defects in the recovery procedure can be countered by citing precedents where similar procedural issues did not invali

5. **Public Interest in Upholding Convictions**: The state may argue that allowing the appeal would undermine public confidence in the judicial system, particularly in matters

6. **Expectation of Proof**: The defense's assertion regarding the standard of proof can be countered by emphasizing that the law acknowledges that circumstantial evidence can

In this scenario, the roles of the petitioners and respondents are crucial to understanding the nuances of legal arguments in a murder case, highlighting the balance between pr

Citations

ðŸ"Œ CITED CASES:
No cases.

ðŸ"Œ STATUTES MENTIONED:
No statutes.