

Multifidelity write-up

Abstract

We derived the explicit sample size estimation in terms of the desired accuracy requirement.

1. Model problem

We have a high fidelity model denoted as $f_1 : \Omega \rightarrow Z$ we desire, and several low fidelity models (surrogates) f_k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Our objective is to approximate

$$\mathbb{E}(f_1(\omega)).$$

Note for each $f_i(\omega)$, its variance and Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient are

$$\sigma_k^2 = \mathbb{V}(f_k(\omega)), \quad \rho_{k,j} = \frac{\text{Cov}(f_k(\omega), f_j(\omega))}{\sigma_k \sigma_j}, \quad k, j = 1, \dots, K,$$

where $\mathbb{V}(f) := \mathbb{E}(\|f - \mathbb{E}(f)\|_Z^2)$. Note that $\rho_{k,k} = 1$.

2. Monte Carlo estimator

The Monte Carlo estimator for the expectation of each f_k is defined as the sample mean of N_k i.i.d realizations $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N$

$$A_{k,N_k}^{\text{MC}} := \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} f_k(\omega_i), \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, K, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{E}(A_{k,N_k}^{\text{MC}}) = \mathbb{E}(f_k)$, $\mathbb{V}(A_{k,N_k}^{\text{MC}}) = \mathbb{V}(f_k)/N_k$. Let C_k denote the average evaluation cost per sample for f_k , then the total sampling cost for each Monte Carlo estimator A_{k,N_k}^{MC} is

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{MC}}^k = C_k N_k.$$

We define the *normalized mean squared error* (nMSE), denoted as \mathcal{E}_A^2 , with normalizing factor $\|\mathbb{E}(f)\|_Z^2$ for estimator A as

$$\mathcal{E}_A^2 := \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{E}(f) - A\|_Z^2]}{\|\mathbb{E}(f)\|_Z^2}.$$

If we use a Monte Carlo estimator to estimate $\mathbb{E}(f_1(\omega))$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}}}^2 = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{E}(f_1) - A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}}\|_Z^2]}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2} = \frac{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1) - \mathbb{E}(A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}})\|_Z^2 + \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{E}(A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}}) - A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}}\|_Z^2]}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2} = \frac{\mathbb{V}(f_1)}{N_1 \|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2}.$$

Given a target tolerance ϵ^2 for the nMSE, the sample size N_1 is estimated as

$$N_1 = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\epsilon^2 \|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2} \simeq \epsilon^{-2}.$$

So the total expense of sampling with N_1 samples using Monte Carlo method for $\mathbb{E}(f_1(\omega))$ is

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{MC}}^1 = C_1 N_1 = \frac{C_1 \sigma_1^2}{\epsilon^2 \|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2}.$$

3. Multifidelity Monte Carlo

The Multifidelity Monte Carlo (MFMC) estimator is defined as

$$A_{\text{MFMC}} := A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}} + \sum_{k=2}^K \alpha_k (A_{k,N_k}^{\text{MC}} - A_{k,N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}}), \quad (2)$$

where α_k are the coefficients to weight the correction term. In each correction term, the two Monte Carlo estimators are dependent in the sense that $A_{k,N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}}$ recycles the first N_{k-1} samples of A_{k,N_k}^{MC} so we require $N_{k-1} \leq N_k$ for $k = 2, \dots, K$. Using this property and (1), we can remove the dependent samples and rewrite (2) as

$$A^{\text{MFMC}} = A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}} + \sum_{k=2}^K \alpha_k \left[\left(\frac{N_{k-1}}{N_k} - 1 \right) A_{k,N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}} + \left(1 - \frac{N_{k-1}}{N_k} \right) A_{k,N_k-N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}} \right], \quad (3)$$

Now, the weights in the new correction terms involve a sample ratio and the importance of this reformulation is that the samples to estimate $A_{k,N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}}$ and $A_{k,N_k-N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}}$ are independent with each other with the same model f_k . Define $Y_1 := A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}}$, $Y_k := \left(\frac{N_{k-1}}{N_k} - 1 \right) (A_{k,N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}} - A_{k,N_k-N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}})$ for $k = 2, \dots, K$, but Y_1 and Y_k may not necessarily independent with each other. Note that Y_k are independent with each other for $k = 2, \dots, K$. Using the new notation, (3) can be simplified as

$$A^{\text{MFMC}} = Y_1 + \sum_{k=2}^K \alpha_k Y_k.$$

So $\mathbb{E}(Y_k) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$ and $\mathbb{E}(A^{\text{MFMC}}) = \mathbb{E}(f_1)$. For independent random variable, since each realization is uncorrelated to each other, this indicates that the sum of sample realizations and variance are interchangeable, therefore

$$\mathbb{V}(Y_1) = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1}, \quad \mathbb{V}(Y_k) = \left(\frac{N_{k-1}}{N_k} - 1 \right)^2 \frac{\sigma_k^2}{N_{k-1}} + \left(\frac{N_{k-1}}{N_k} - 1 \right)^2 \frac{\sigma_k^2}{N_k - N_{k-1}} = \left(\frac{1}{N_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{N_k} \right) \sigma_k^2.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(A^{\text{MFMC}}) &= \mathbb{V}(Y_1) + \mathbb{V}\left(\sum_{k=2}^K \alpha_k Y_k\right) + 2 \text{Cov}\left(Y_1, \sum_{k=2}^K \alpha_k Y_k\right), \\ &= \mathbb{V}(Y_1) + \sum_{k=2}^K \alpha_k^2 \mathbb{V}(Y_k) + 2 \sum_{2 \leq k < j \leq K} \alpha_k \alpha_j \text{Cov}(Y_k, Y_j) + 2 \sum_{k=2}^K \alpha_k \text{Cov}(Y_1, Y_k), \\ &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1} + \sum_{k=2}^K \left(\frac{1}{N_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{N_k} \right) (\alpha_k^2 \sigma_k^2 - 2 \alpha_k \rho_{1,k} \sigma_1 \sigma_k), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we use the fact that Y_k and Y_j are independent with each other for $k \geq 2$ and [1, Lemma 3.2]

$$\text{Cov}(Y_1, Y_k) = \text{Cov}(A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}}, A_{k,N_k}^{\text{MC}}) - \text{Cov}(A_{1,N_1}^{\text{MC}}, A_{k,N_{k-1}}^{\text{MC}}) = -\left(\frac{1}{N_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{N_k}\right)\rho_{1,k}\sigma_1\sigma_k.$$

The nMSE error for the multifidelity Monte Carlo estimator is

$$\mathcal{E}_{A^{\text{MFMC}}}^2 = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbb{E}(f_1) - A^{\text{MFMC}}\|_Z^2\right]}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2} = \frac{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1) - \mathbb{E}(A^{\text{MFMC}})\|_Z^2 + \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbb{E}(A^{\text{MFMC}}) - A^{\text{MFMC}}\|_Z^2\right]}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2} = \frac{\mathbb{V}(A^{\text{MFMC}})}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2}.$$

Unlike multilevel Monte Carlo, the normalize mean square error splitting has a bias term representing the discretization error, for multifidelity Monte Carlo, the bias term reflects the difference between f_1 and multifidelity models. Since the multifidelity Monte Carlo estimator is unbiased, so the nMSE only reflects the statistical error. The total sampling cost for MFMC estimator is

$$\sum_{k=1}^K C_k N_k.$$

Our next goal is to determine the sample size N_k such that the MFMC estimation satisfy the accuracy threshold $\mathcal{E}_{A^{\text{MFMC}}}^2 = \epsilon^2$. We formulate an following optimization problem to minimize the sampling cost subject to a bounded variance of MFMC estimator, and solve for sample size $N_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 1 \dots, K$ and $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 2 \dots, K$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{N_1, \dots, N_K \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_K \in \mathbb{R}} \quad & \sum_{k=1}^K C_k N_k, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbb{V}(A^{\text{MFMC}}) - \|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2 \epsilon^2 = 0, \\ & N_{k-1} - N_k \leq 0, \quad k = 2 \dots, K, \\ & -N_1 \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Theorem 1. *Let f_k be K models that satisfy the following conditions*

$$(i) \quad |\rho_{1,1}| > \dots > |\rho_{1,K}| \quad (ii) \quad \frac{C_{k-1}}{C_k} > \frac{\rho_{1,k-1}^2 - \rho_{1,k}^2}{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}, \quad k = 2, \dots, K.$$

Then the global minimizer to (5) is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k^* &= \frac{\rho_{1,k}\sigma_1}{\sigma_k}, \quad k = 2 \dots, K, \\ N_k^* &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2 \epsilon^2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}{C_k}} \sum_{j=1}^K \left(\sqrt{\frac{C_j}{\rho_{1,j}^2 - \rho_{1,j+1}^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{C_{j-1}}{\rho_{1,j-1}^2 - \rho_{1,j}^2}} \right) \rho_{1,j}^2, \quad k = 1 \dots, K, \end{aligned}$$

with $\rho_{1,0} = \infty$ and $\rho_{1,K+1} = 0$.

Proof. Consider the auxiliary Lagrangian function L with multipliers $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_K$ and its partial derivatives

with respect to α_k, N_k

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \sum_{k=1}^K C_k N_k + \lambda_0 \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1} + \sum_{k=2}^K \left(\frac{1}{N_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{N_k} \right) (\alpha_k^2 \sigma_k^2 - 2\alpha_k \rho_{1,k} \sigma_1 \sigma_k) \right) - \lambda_1 N_1 + \sum_{k=2}^K \lambda_k (N_{k-1} - N_k), \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_k} &= \lambda_0 \left(\frac{1}{N_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{N_k} \right) (2\alpha_k \sigma_k^2 - 2\rho_{1,k} \sigma_1 \sigma_k), \quad k = 2, \dots, K, \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial N_1} &= C_1 + \lambda_0 \left(-\frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^2} - \frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma_2^2 - 2\alpha_2 \rho_{1,2} \sigma_1 \sigma_2}{N_1^2} \right) - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial N_k} &= C_k + \lambda_0 \left(\frac{\alpha_k^2 \sigma_k^2 - 2\alpha_k \rho_{1,k} \sigma_1 \sigma_k}{N_k^2} - \frac{\alpha_{k+1}^2 \sigma_{k+1}^2 - 2\alpha_{k+1} \rho_{1,k+1} \sigma_1 \sigma_{k+1}}{N_k^2} \right) - \lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}, \quad k = 2, \dots, K-1, \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial N_K} &= C_K + \lambda_0 \left(\frac{\alpha_K^2 \sigma_K^2 - 2\alpha_K \rho_{1,K} \sigma_1 \sigma_K}{N_K^2} \right) - \lambda_K.
\end{aligned}$$

We can see that $\alpha_k^* = (\rho_{1,k} \sigma_1) / \sigma_k$ satisfy $\partial L / \partial \alpha_k = 0$. Substitute α_k^* into $\partial L / \partial N_k = 0$, we have

$$C_1 = \frac{\lambda_0 \sigma_1^2}{N_1^2} (1 - \rho_{1,2}^2) + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \quad C_k = \frac{\lambda_0 \sigma_1^2}{N_k^2} (\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2) + \lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}, \quad C_K = \frac{\lambda_0 \sigma_1^2}{N_K^2} \rho_{1,K}^2 + \lambda_K.$$

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_j} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial N_k} = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, K, \quad k = 1, \dots, K, \\
\mathbb{V}(A^{\text{MFC}}) - \|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2 \epsilon^2 &= 0, \\
N_{k-1} - N_k &\leq 0, \quad k = 2, \dots, K, \\
-N_1 &\leq 0, \\
\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K &\geq 0, \\
\lambda_k (N_{k-1} - N_k) &= 0, \quad k = 2, \dots, K, \\
\lambda_1 N_1 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If the inequality constraints are inactive ($\lambda_k=0, k = 1, \dots, K$) in the complementary slackness condition, then

$$N_1 = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\lambda_0} \sqrt{\frac{1 - \rho_{1,2}^2}{C_1}}, \quad N_k = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\lambda_0} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}{C_k}}, \quad N_K = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\lambda_0} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{1,K}^2}{C_K}},$$

or we can simplify the notation as

$$N_k = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\lambda_0} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}{C_k}}, \quad \frac{1}{N_k} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sqrt{\lambda_0}} \sqrt{\frac{C_k}{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, K.$$

with $\rho_{1,0} = \infty, \rho_{1,K+1} = 0$.

substitute $\frac{1}{N_k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, K$ into (4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}(A^{\text{MFMC}}) &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1} + \sum_{k=2}^K \left(\frac{1}{N_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{N_k} \right) (\alpha_k^2 \sigma_k^2 - 2\alpha_k \rho_{1,k} \sigma_1 \sigma_k) \\
&= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1} - \sigma_1^2 \sum_{k=2}^K \left(\frac{1}{N_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{N_k} \right) \rho_{1,k}^2 = \sigma_1^2 \left(\frac{\rho_{1,1}^2}{N_1} + \sum_{k=2}^K \left(\frac{1}{N_k} - \frac{1}{N_{k-1}} \right) \rho_{1,k}^2 \right) \\
&= \sigma_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{1}{N_k} - \frac{1}{N_{k-1}} \right) \rho_{1,k}^2, \text{ with } N_0 = 0 \\
&= \frac{\sigma_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_0}} \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\sqrt{\frac{C_k}{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{C_{k-1}}{\rho_{1,k-1}^2 - \rho_{1,k}^2}} \right) \rho_{1,k}^2 = \|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2 \epsilon^2
\end{aligned}$$

solve for $\sqrt{\lambda_0}$, we have

$$\sqrt{\lambda_0} = \frac{\sigma_1}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2 \epsilon^2} \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\sqrt{\frac{C_k}{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{C_{k-1}}{\rho_{1,k-1}^2 - \rho_{1,k}^2}} \right) \rho_{1,k}^2,$$

Substitute $\sqrt{\lambda_0}$ into N_k for $k = 1 \dots, K$,

$$N_k^* = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\lambda_0} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}{C_k}} = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2 \epsilon^2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}{C_k}} \sum_{j=1}^K \left(\sqrt{\frac{C_j}{\rho_{1,j}^2 - \rho_{1,j+1}^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{C_{j-1}}{\rho_{1,j-1}^2 - \rho_{1,j}^2}} \right) \rho_{1,j}^2.$$

Note that by requiring condition (ii), we can guarantee that N_k is strictly increasing as k increase. Our objective function

$$J^* = \sum_{k=1}^K C_k N_k^* = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\|\mathbb{E}(f_1)\|_Z^2 \epsilon^2} \sum_{k=1}^K \sqrt{(\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2) C_k} \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\sqrt{\frac{C_k}{\rho_{1,k}^2 - \rho_{1,k+1}^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{C_{k-1}}{\rho_{1,k-1}^2 - \rho_{1,k}^2}} \right) \rho_{1,k}^2 \quad (6)$$

Next we want to show this local minimizer is global.

□

4. Appendix

References

- [1] B. Peherstorfer, K. Willcox, and M. Gunzburger. Optimal model management for multifidelity Monte Carlo estimation. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 38(5):A3163–A3194, 2016.