Department of Statistics STATS 326: Applied Time Series Summer Semester, 2019 Test 2 Total Marks = 100

1. The slight negative linear trend is clearer.

The seasonal component is more constant so we can fit an additive model.

(10 marks)

2. Yes, the assumptions appear to be satisfied.

The Residual Series is stationary and appears to be iid $N(0,\sigma^2)$ except for one slightly large positive residual (around observation 55). The 5-number summary of the residuals shows very slight right skewness which is of no real concern.

The plot of the acf shows only 1 very slightly significant lag at lag 4 which is of no real concern.

All estimates are significant except for 2 levels of the seasonal factor (Feb p-value = 0.074 and Dec p-value = 0.57).

(15 marks)

3. Jan 79:

Feb 79:

Mar 79:

RMSEP

$$= \operatorname{sqrt}(1/3*[(256 - 224.179739)^2 + (250 - 205.9996123)^2 + (198 - 161.2144986)^2])$$

= 37.86703

(20 marks)

4. Exactly as given in Q3.

(5 marks)

5. RMSEP

$$= \operatorname{sqrt}(1/3*[(256 - 221.7432099)^2 + (250 - 202.6037295)^2 + (198 - 166.4215455)^2])$$

= 38.37157

(10 marks)

6. Holt-Winters as it has the lowest RMSEP of 29.69486

(5 marks)

- 7. The RMSEPs only cover January to March. We would need a full year of 12 monthly predictions for 1979 to calculate RMSEPs and select the model with the lowest value.

 (5 marks)
- 8. sim1: MA(2) as we have cut-off at lag 2 in the acf and persistence in the pacf

sim2: AR(2) as we have decay in the acf and cut-off at lag 2 in the pacf

sim3: ARMA(1,1) as we have decay in the acf and in the pacf but no indication of the order required so we begin with ARMA(1,1)

sim4: White Noise as there are no significant lags in the acf or the pacf so the series is iid $N(0,\sigma^2)$

(20 marks)

9. $\pm 1.96/\sqrt{1000} = \pm 0.061980642$

(10 marks)