

Contents

Abstract.....	3
Chapter 1.....	3
Introduction.....	3
Background.....	3
Diverse Risk Factors.....	5
Financial Risks	5
Risks in Operations	5
Cultural Risks.....	5
Technology Risks	6
Legal Risks	6
Reason for Research.....	7
Importance Of Mergers and Acquisitions.....	7
Rationale for Research.....	7
Bridging Gaps Between Theory And Practice In Risk Assessment and Management.....	8
Aim and objectives of the research	9
To Investigate Key Integration Strategies.....	9
Effect of planning and risk assessment on M&A outcomes	10
Chapter 2.....	10
Literature Review	10
Introducing Risk Management.....	10
Managing Synergies In Mergers	12
Managing Risks In Synergies	13
Research Gaps.....	15
Justification of Business Project	16
Expected Outcomes	17
Chapter 3.....	19
Research Methodology	19
Introduction.....	19
Research Design.....	19

Research Approach.....	20
Data Collection	20
Collecting Quantitative Data.....	21
Collecting qualitative data	22
Sections of an Interview We Choose.....	23
Case Study Selection	23
Data Analysis	24
Reliability And Validity	24
Ethical Considerations	25
Research Limitations	26
Chapter 4.....	26
Analysis And Findings	26
Introduction.....	26
Unsuccessful Mergers And Acquisitions.....	29
Comparative Analysis of Successful and Unsuccessful M&As.....	30
Key Findings	31
Chapter 5.....	35
Recommendations and Conclusion	35
Recommendations	37
Future Implications.....	38
Conclusion	39
References.....	41

Abstract

We investigate in this thesis how risk management strategies impact the success of mergers and acquisitions (M&A). We examine two mergers—Disney-Fox and DaimlerChrysler—that went both well and wrong to learn how risk management may enable businesses to coexist and cooperate after a merger.

The findings highlight the need of thoroughly searching for hazards previous to joining, ensuring that the corporate principles align, and of course, be adaptable after merging. This will help things to be better and enable the merger to take place. The unsuccessful conversations provide as a sobering reminder of how bad these types of errors can be for the image and financial situation of a business. Technology today such as artificial intelligence and machine learning can assist to simplify and enhance the risk management in mergers and acquisitions.

The aim of this research is to assist businesses in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) perform better. It achieves this by demonstrating the need of closely searching for hazards, merging corporate cultures, and always considering advantages. Furthermore, we believe that greater investigation on the particular issues and innovative ideas emerging in certain companies throughout the M&A process should be done.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Background

Two or more companies in the same field with comparable sizes combine in a merger to collaborate closely. Companies are integrating more and more since cooperation yields greater results than operating alone(Wytmar, 2015). Companies that operate in the same market may combine in what is known as a synergy. There are potential benefits to this, but there are also risks to consider(Ferrarese, 2017). Mergers often occur for the purpose of creating advantages,

such as cost savings, operational improvements, or increased market dominance(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

However, effective risk management is necessary to enjoy these benefits(Vizjak, 2019). To get the most out of their partnership, businesses should plan ahead to deal with potential problems, such different corporate cultures or outdated technology(Feldman and Hernandez, 2021). Take Disney's purchase of Pixar as an example of how important it is to reduce occupational and creative risks in the sake of progress in narrative and technology(FAULÍ-OLLER, SANDONÍS and SANTAMARÍA, 2011).

Mergers and mergers (M&A) have become a major way for companies to gain a competitive edge, make their operations more efficient, and find ways to work together in different areas of their business(Ferrarese, 2017). Global synergies hit about \$3.8 trillion in 2023 alone. This shows how important consolidation is becoming in today's market-driven economy. Even though these deals could be very profitable, studies show that almost 70% of them don't produce the benefits that were hoped for(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012). This is usually because the companies don't handle their risks well during the synergy process(Yeung, 2015). There are a lot of things that could go wrong with these deals, from problems with money and operations to problems with technology and culture(Eisenhardt and Martin, 2000). So, businesses need to make sure they not only find benefits but also turn them into measurable value through good risk management(Doh et al., 2010).

Financial risks, like target companies being overvalued or having secret debts, are especially big in M&A deals and can cause expensive problems after the merger if they are not handled properly(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012). In the same way, organizational risks like system merges and staff layoffs can stop synergy development in its tracks(Feldman and Hernandez, 2021). Structured risk management models, which include evaluating culture fit and technological readiness, make it much more likely that a merger will go well. This lets businesses get the most out of the benefits that come from M&A(Wu and Choi, 2014).

Diverse Risk Factors

Financial Risks

To ensure a successful merger, it is essential to mitigate the risks associated with flat mergers, especially those pertaining to the management of funds, operations, and business culture(Di Zhang and Swanson, 2013). Considerations such as these include the potential for financial loss, the expense of merging the firms, the potential for greater profit, and the impact of these deals on the overall deal(Wytmar, 2015). Companies must give much thought to how to collectively lower these hazards. Not reaching this aim might lead to issues or reduced earnings. For instance, knowing how a merger alters supply chain or between two company cash flow helps one to maximize their benefits from cooperation(Devos, Kadapakkam and Krishnamurthy, 2019).

Risks in Operations

Combining several systems, procedures, and corporate cultures runs the danger of something not working as intended. Combining operational frameworks is assembling the elements addressing manufacturing, procurement, and benefit sharing(Das and Rangarajan, 2020). Modifications to usual procedures could cause waste and operational issues. Furthermore crucial for ensuring that several corporate strategies and systems complement each other are thorough preparation and competent implementation(Wu and Choi, 2014). Company cultures must be orderly so that everyone may cooperate successfully. Different cultures between the two merging organizations can cause issues, blunders, and low confidence among employees, which can make the merger more difficult to prosper from(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

Cultural Risks

Managing cultural differences is critical if one wishes to benefit from a merger. If the two firms have relatively different cultures, the expected benefits of a merger may be jeopardized(Vizjak, 2019). This might lead to conflicts, lower production, and angry personnel. Good risk management solutions rely on blending company culture via open communication, including employees, and maintaining shared values(Yeung, 2015). These factors are important for working well together and achieving better results. By working together as one team, you can reach the goals of the merger by talking to each other, sharing leadership tasks, and creating a

strong company culture. Managing changes at work well helps the business run smoothly and keeps employees happy(Clougherty and Duso, 2011).

Technology Risks

When attempting to combine IT frameworks, information administration devices, and specialized setups, technology-related dangers can be a enormous issue for synergies that need to work together successfully(Cheong and Eric, 2010). It can lead to issues with operations, misfortune of information, and issues between frameworks, which can diminish the preferences of any potential cooperation. Businesses got to guarantee that innovation makes a difference individuals work together instead of getting within the way(Ayadi et al., 2012). They can do this by having a clear arrange for how to combine their IT frameworks that looks ahead to maintain a strategic distance from potential issues. New systems must be constantly implemented if we are to meet the primary objectives of the merger and maintain flawless operation of everything(BRUSH, 2013).

Legal Risks

The expected benefits may be decreased due to real perils related with synergies, particularly those related with antitrust laws(Wytmar, 2015). Businesses have to be do careful chance appraisals and make chance administration plans to reduce the affect of regulatory reviews(Chatterjee, 2017). We must examine any conceivable risks, get the essential government endorsements, and entirely follow to all competition laws(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

The potential for synergies to boost growth and performance is high, but there are hazards that need to be carefully considered and mitigated(Ceil, 2013). To maximize the benefits of working together and the likelihood of success, companies should deal with and resolve the financial, social, legal, IT, commercial, and economic issues that develop during a merger(Chang, 2018).

Reason for Research

Importance Of Mergers and Acquisitions

For businesses looking to expand rapidly, get a competitive edge, and increase their offerings, synergies are somewhat frequent nowadays(Rowley, 2002). New technology, increased worldwide trade, and shifting consumer tastes are the causes of synergies rising trends(Shaver, 2016). The efficacy of synergies in synergies is heavily reliant on risk considerations, notably the management of operational, financial, and cultural risks. Financial issues include potential revenue synergies, integration expenditures, and the influence of these acquisitions on the overall operating structure(Wu and Choi, 2014). Companies must properly examine and plan for these risks in order to achieve synergies. Failure to do so may result in inefficiencies or lost value. One critical stage in achieving synergy is assessing the possible financial benefits of integrating two firms' sales or supply networks(Shaver, 2016). Synergies are basic as they can change business forms, expand the buyer base, and provide empty places for development. Companies cannot develop in the very competitive business environment of today without synergies(Yeung, 2015).

Rationale for Research

While a firm merger has numerous advantages, there are too few issues that should be addressed if everything is to go without a hitch(Vizjak, 2019). One crucial element of this preparedness is knowing the right strategies to track Risks. Combining businesses runs a few dangers including cash problems, trade running challenges, social issues, innovation challenges, and legal issues(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012). When we gather together and achieve our objectives, we should carefully see into and negotiate with each of these chance components to foresee challenges(Rezaee, 2018).

Supervising a synergy entirely depends on realizing any issues, precisely arranging to evaluate them, and putting ideas into action to eliminate or reduce Risks. Establishing beyond all reasonable doubt that monetary measurements, including compensation and merger expenses, are exactly what actually happens calls for careful planning and assessment(Shaver, 2016).

Even though synergies have great opportunities to create synergies, there are a number of risks that must be mitigated for the merger to be successful(Rezaee, 2018). Possible obstacles to the successful completion of a synergy include monetary risks, operational intrusions, social misalignments, technical difficulties, and administrative hurdles(Tremblay, 2016).

New issues like merging IT systems and technological underpinnings call for careful cooperation and support if we are to overcome technological obstacles and ensure that technology runs perfectly together. Working together with administrative experts can help you to follow antitrust regulations and obtain legal clearances(Wytmar, 2015).

Effective risk management strategies help businesses to tackle synergies challenges, remove possible Risks, and achieve the whole advantages of the merger(Ayadi et al., 2012). Putting things together and reaping benefits depend on confronting risks head-on since it helps companies overcome challenges, increase results, and reach important goals(Wu and Choi, 2014).

Bridging Gaps Between Theory And Practice In Risk Assessment and Management

Examining risk management in M&A calls for closing the knowledge gap between theory and real-world applications. Though a lot of research on mergers and acquisitions (M&A) and risk management exists, often useful applications of these ideas are insufficient(Wu and Choi, 2014). By examining how risk management techniques affect M&A results, this paper aims to close that knowledge void. This study provides some insight on the efficacy of certain risk management techniques by analyzing actual events and concentrating on the real-world challenges that companies experience during integration(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

One can assist companies going through mergers and acquisitions (M&A) by focusing on the key components of risk management, appearing the best strategies, and offering practical guidance(Rezaee, 2018). Given the complexity of mergers and acquisitions and the current relevance of supervisory risks, this promise is even more significant(Rao, Yu and Umashankar, 2016).

By means of interactions between concepts and pragmatic implementations, this sort of thinking helps one to build risk management(Vizjak, 2019). Although too simplistic assumptions to apply, this concept gives professionals practical tools and techniques to handle issues(Tremblay, 2016).

This thesis looks at the reasons mergers and acquisitions are growingly relevant. It underlines the importance of pragmatic Risk control strategies and how to relate our theoretical understanding with actual application(Shaver, 2016). The study closely looks at how mergers and acquisitions should be handled about risks. It offers flexible knowledge and guidance to enable businesses to negotiate challenges and gain from mergers(Ayadi et al., 2012).

Aim and objectives of the research

The main focus of this research is to find how different approaches of hazard management affect the achievement of synergies in mergers and acquisitions(Rao, Yu and Umashankar, 2016). Especially, the examine will focus at the financial, operational, social, and mechanical hazards businesses face during integration and how reducing these hazards could provide more desired outcomes(Wu and Choi, 2014). The research also searches for important risk management strategies that have been successful in earlier M&A transactions as well as for providing common sense guidance for next mergers." The goal of this thesis is questioning how synergies manage risks and how it influences the negotiating success(Shaver, 2016). Working with the challenges of a synergy, including finance problems, operations issues, social components, innovation concerns, and legitimate items(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012). Excellent vacancy management is a necessary part of this strategy. This point of view can help one grasp how people control risks to cope with challenges and achieve amazing outcomes(Rao, Yu and Umashankar, 2016). It will go over their use inside the M&A process both before and after the merger. The comes about will help you to grasp opportunities and enhance cooperative methods of operation(Ayadi et al., 2012).

To Investigate Key Integration Strategies

The biggest objective is to identify the procedures required for a good merger and straightforward two-company combination(Vizjak, 2019). Establishing that the company's systems, forms, and societies perform harmonically together is absolutely essential if one wants

to completely profit from the merger(Yeung, 2015). We will discuss how to solve reasonable difficulties in it as well as how to motivate constant cooperation and involvement. Companies who have to deal with or purchase another company can acquire adaptable tactics from studies and effective mergers(Tremblay, 2016).

Effect of planning and risk assessment on M&A outcomes

This speech will demonstrate how closely the success of Risk assessment and planning affects mergers' and acquisitions'. Good Risk management demands research and planning to prepare one for handling issues developing throughout the merger(Wytmar, 2015). The aim of this effort is to investigate how a full risk assessment could contribute to offer excellent cooperation and association. The success of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) is investigated in this research under influence of Risk control strategies(Shaver, 2016). It will seem how crucial it is to arrange and monitor Risks some time lately building a bargain to inspire the come about you need(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

Chapter 2

Literature Review

Introducing Risk Management

Proper handling of the risks can help synergies in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) to be successful. Risk management solutions such as the COSO Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework allow businesses to tackle issues methodically under control(Martin and Eisenhardt, 2015). Major strategic choices like synergy transactions need careful risk management. Effective integration of resources and processes might help to achieve expected advantages while lowering risks(Shaver, 2016).

The COSO ERM method emphasizes the need of risk assessment while making business decisions. It provides a comprehensive approach to managing these risks(Ceil, 2013). This plan

is useful in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) since it helps detect, evaluate, reduce, and track any risks that may arise during and after the two organizations merge(BRUSH, 2013).

The COSO ERM framework emphasizes five key areas: internal environment, goal formulation, risk assessment, risk response, and monitoring; so, controlling risks related with synergies in M&A comes first(Martin and Eisenhardt, 2015). With this coordinated strategy, companies can better integrate and control synergies; they can also manage the risks associated with these integrations(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).Common values and policies can ensure that methods of risk management change in line with the shared priorities of reference of the merging companies. Simultaneously, one is crucial in defining goals and planning strategies(Mahajan and Wind, 2013).

We take into account all the risks we run across in order to get something. They can also handle any unanticipated difficulties that develop during the survey and graduation processes(Vizjak, 2019). The COSO ERM approach combines surveying risks in areas including fund, business operations, the company environment, and creative ideas(Yeung, 2015).

The 1999 ExxonMobil merger is a clear example of how COSO ERM is used. To identify and assess risks in various areas, both organizations used a clear system. The expected cost reductions of around \$4.6 billion after the ExxonMobil purchase emphasized the financial synergies attained(Shaver, 2016). These benefits, however, could only be attained and the seamless convergence of activities assured by meticulous management of integration hazards. By reducing these risks with the COSO ERM framework, they managed to get over 70% of the benefits they expected in the first three years after the merger(Wu and Choi, 2014). Unbelievably difficult issues can greatly affect the outcome of a merger. Therefore, especially for big Synergies, it is imperative to establish a strategy to manage risks at every phase of the merger(Tremblay, 2016).

Comparable to the COSO ERM, the ISO 31000 Risk Management Standard is another dependable approach to control risks in mergers and acquisitions(Martin and Eisenhardt, 2015).ISO 31000 emphasizes the requirement of adaptable risk management systems, which are very important in M&A situations. Adapting risk management strategies to the unique synergies and integration challenges of the two organizations can help to reduce risks and maximize value

from a merger(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012). This direction emphasizes the need of forming teams to manage particular risks while merging the companies. This guarantees that one is monitoring these risks, thereby preventing problems when various elements are combined(Shaver, 2016).

Managing Synergies In Mergers

Mergers and acquisitions might be strategic tools to increase efficiency by means of synergies including cost savings, operational improvements, and market expansion(Wytmar, 2015). Good risk management is very essential to prevent integration issues and ensure the expected advantages materialize. But we have to be very careful about managing risks if we want these advantages to happen. Not having enough supervision is a big reason why many Synergies do not succeed. Getting different groups of people to work together is a major challenge for creating benefits(Vizjak, 2019). Even when companies are in the same industry, employees, managers, and partners might have conflicts because of different cultures in the workplace(Mahajan and Wind, 2013). Because of this problem, work gets slower, employees leave, and the business doesn't get the results it wanted(Tremblay, 2016).

One excellent example is Daimler-Benz's 1998 merging with Chrysler. Working together worldwide, they aimed to save money; but, the various cultures of the American and German employees generated many difficulties(Wu and Choi, 2014). As shown by the union of Daimler-Benz and Chrysler, cultural differences may affect the use of synergy. Dealing with cultural mismatches and matching organizational practices will help to get the expected benefits by means of efficient risk management strategies. When companies of the same kind come together, this becomes really important(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012). These businesses negotiate with particular obstacles counting changes within the advertisement, now and sometimes overlooked norms, and intense rivalry. ISO 31000 drives companies to routinely review and advance their risk management strategies as a merger progresses forward(Wytmar, 2015).

The different ways of working made it hard for everyone to work together. So, the combination did not succeed in getting the benefits it was hoping for, which were originally thought to be \$1.4 billion each year. Before merging companies, it's smart to check their cultures to spot any possible issues(Yeung, 2015). Training programs that help employees get to know each other

better are useful too. When companies take part in cross-cultural training, their workers learn about the traditions and behaviors of different cultures that will be collaborating. This is a great idea to help reduce the chances of social problems(Wu and Choi, 2014).

Two of the many risks the mix had to get past were cultural variations and merging activities. Effective management of these issues would help to optimize synergies and reduce risks associated with operational and cultural mismatches(Ceil, 2013).To handle risks during mergers and acquisitions, it's important to bring the two companies together and make sure that their rules and systems fit well with each other(Vizjak, 2019). Disagreements can happen in many situations, like hiring and managing employees, using different computer systems, and doing various tasks. For example, in 2018, when AT&T and Time Warner combined, they faced challenges in combining their rules and processes, especially with their computer systems and how they worked together. Combining distribution and content, Time Warner and AT&T seized special synergy opportunities(Thiel and Hartley, 2023). Using these synergies and completing the strategic goals of the synergy needed great risk control. After they came together as one company, the two businesses made their work easier by making their plans match. They looked closely at their rules and created teams that worked well together(Shaver, 2016).

Synergies between companies in several sectors, including pharmaceutical and digital technology companies, involve careful risk management if one wants to overcome legal obstacles and effectively combine many synergies(Tremblay, 2016). The 2009 merger of Pfizer and Wyeth is another example. The two organizations meticulously examined their plans and methods to form without any doubt they work effectively together in research, improvement, and making solutions(Mahajan and Wind, 2013). The Pfizer-Wyeth merger gave top priority for identifying and fixing any integration problems. Good risk management strategies helped us to overcome the early challenges and realize the expected advantages from the merger. By collaborating more effectively on research and improvements, the merger created about \$70 billion in benefits(Shaver, 2016).

Managing Risks In Synergies

The search for teamwork and shared energy is a key reason for mergers and acquisitions. This usually happens when companies in the same industry work together to save money, improve

their business, or expand their products. Managing risks while trying to find benefits from working together can sometimes be harder than it seems(Wytmar, 2015). Confusion about how things are seen and mistakes in planning between the organizations coming together are two of the biggest challenges in this situation(Thiel and Hartley, 2023).

Among the difficulties is the worry about the openness and clarity of the approach among the inspections. When there is insufficient data or when the data does not match, it is more difficult to find possible problems in trade, real concerns, and underutilized ideas(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012). It can be challenging to get the real budgetary and operational state of the mixing company covered up sections inside the company, limited access to important data, or simply willful lying(Wytmar, 2015). One obvious example of the problems this kind of perceivability might cause is the 2011 merger between HP and Autonomy(Ceil, 2013). HP bought Autonomy with \$11.7 billion to combine their innovations and challenge modern businesses. a great disaster costing \$8. The eight billion transpired since the corporation had problems with its bookkeeping and misled about its cash situation following the transaction(Yeung, 2015). This situation reveals fundamental problems such unclear legal rules, outdated ideas, or conflict inside the company. These elements would greatly influence the expected advantages and seem to create shocking financial problems(Wu and Choi, 2014).

Companies should negotiate with perceived problems by implementing rigorous control measures. This suggests considering trade operations and financial aspects. Like false insights, it also uses employment in unneeded creativity to find fascinating information in crucial documents and financial records(Thiel and Hartley, 2023). It is vital to contract free people to examine the situation some time recently the merging to produce beyond any doubt all possible risks are recognized. The systems should remain simple as there are actual risks(Chatterjee, 2017). Finding the how the two firms' computer systems will interact is absolutely essential. According to Deloitte, over 60% of failed merger and securing deals occurred as the businesses did not cooperate well on their unneeded ideas(Wytmar, 2015).

It can be quite dramatic when two businesses operate in such different ways, particularly when they try to link together(Shaver, 2016). Indeed, the two businesses may have different long-term strategies even if they operate in the same area. Imagine two businesses: one must push more

grounded right absent, while the other must expand its promote share going forward(Thiel and Hartley, 2023). Since people cannot agree on how to make decisions, manage resources, and what to give top priority in their work, the expected substitutes are not turning up. One amazing example of this may be seen when Sprint and Nextel merged in 2005. Both of them worked in the telecom sector and needed to band together to maximize their respective skills(Wiener, Hoßbach and Saunders, 2018). Generally, due of unclear intentions, especially in how the businesses handled with underused ideas and publicizing development, there were many problems with ensuring everything to operate together. By the end, the deal broke apart for \$29(Larsson and Finkelstein, 2013). Sprint lost 7 billion dollars and was more drawn to make immediate money than to follow Nextel's long-term spending guidelines(Mahajan and Wind, 2013).

To avoid problems, the companies uniting should agree on their main goals right from the start. Most pioneers from both firms should meet often to discuss their goals for the merger, what they do well together, and how crucial the benefits will be(Wu and Choi, 2014). Exxon and Mobil formed a strong alliance in 1999 to meet their vitality targets and provide amazing services, thereby contributing to the vitality sector. This will help them to simplify their labour, which can translate into \$4. Share six billion dollars annually over time(Thiel and Hartley, 2023). Clearly stating these main goals to those involved, both inside the company and outside it, helps one to foresee mistakes and spare resources throughout the integration process(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

Handling risks when purchasing or merging businesses depends on settling any mistakes and ensuring that everything is clear-cut. Businesses can reduce the possibility of problems that seem stop advantages by carefully investigating and ensuring their policies suit properly(Tremblay, 2016).Companies must learn the skill of managing synergies and the risks associated with mergers and acquisitions if they are to prosper over the long haul and acquire a competitive advantage via integration and innovation(Sievers and Vandenberg, 2017).

Research Gaps

Mergers and acquisitions have not received much thought, and we do know less almost about how level Synergies manage risks related to development. Most people are focused on

pragmatic, financially linked, and societal concerns(Tremblay, 2016). Still, for companies depending on sophisticated plans, the risks associated with using innovation are become more critical(Shaver, 2016). People are growing more worried about cybersecurity problems and differences in creativity when businesses merge. This is especially true when companies depend on innovation to survive(Thiel and Hartley, 2023).

Two organizations that properly connect often combine their supply chain management and customer information systems into one IT framework(Wu and Choi, 2014). Should people not find great appeal in combining several frameworks, teamwork may slow down and lead to problems including data loss or framework breakdowns. Based on McKinsey's research, one of the toughest difficulties facing managers following a corporate merger is applying fresh ideas. Nearly half of the companies (45%), agree. For instance, when Dell and EMC merged in 2016, they merged more than 2,000 computer systems to operate much more as a single entity(Larsson and Finkelstein, 2013). The labour was quite challenging, hence it was more likely that trade would suffer. Companies may lower this risk, though, by forming teams that combine IT with cloud computing to untangle forms(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012). Since it might be challenging, we learnt more about the main approaches to combine fresh ideas among Synergies(Jeziorski, 2012).

More research on the use of new technologies like blockchain and artificial intelligence can help mergers and acquisitions to improve risk management processes, particularly in optimizing the integration of synergies(Wytmar, 2015). Fake bits of knowledge can assist guarantee compliance by guiding when to search for data, identify covered-up risks, and more definitely extend comes about from Synergies than some time previously. Machine learning-based frameworks allow one to detect and monitor possible problems(Tremblay, 2016).

Justification	of	Business	Project
----------------------	-----------	-----------------	----------------

Disney aimed to grow its collection of content and improve its competitiveness against streaming services like Netflix by merging with other companies. So, the \$71. 3 billion merger between Disney and 21st Century Fox in 2019 showed what they wanted to achieve(Das and Rangarajan, 2020). Indeed, even althoughSynergies are proven to be successful, people in some situations overlook their complexity, especially when it comes to supervising risks. Though corporations

understand the possible advantages of merging with other organizations, many are unaware of the several risks involved(Chatterjee, 2017).

As a result, they often do not reach the expected advantages from the merger. Research shows that poor risk management causes about 50% to 70% of all Synergies to not achieve the benefits they were supposed to(Sievers and Vandenberg, 2017). These numbers show that what this company is trying to do is important and needed right now. The goal is to give a clear look at the different dangers that come with Synergies and suggest good ways to handle these dangers so that the expected benefits can be realized(Wu and Choi, 2014). One main reason for this study is that using technology in mergers and acquisitions is becoming more important, but not many researchers have focused on this topic before(Hoberg and Phillips, 2010).

Therefore, including information technology systems in a merger is very important and can directly affect the success of the deal(Wiener, Hoßbach and Saunders, 2018). Poorly built technology systems can lead to major problems like data theft, system errors, or even total failures in how everything works together. Problems like this can damage possible benefits, lead to money loss, hurt the company's reputation, and cause legal issues(Thiel and Hartley, 2023). For example, not being able to bring together their digital plans and computer systems led to the failure of what was once seen as a huge deal when AOL and Time Warner joined in 2000(Tremblay, 2016).

Expected Outcomes

This article examines attentively the risks of using creativity in Synergies, especially how businesses could interface their IT systems to cooperate effectively following the merger. It draws attention to current missing in investigate essential data(Wytmar, 2015). This kind of thinking will provide insightful advice on how companies should negotiate creative challenges arising among the consolidation preparations. This covers problems in cybersecurity, aging systems not operating together, and the difficulties creating IT frameworks inside the merged businesses(Yeung, 2015). Examining genuine mergers and acquisitions will help us to learn from the achievements and mistakes of these transactions and create reasonable strategies for controlling risks and merging synergies(Sievers and Vandenberg, 2017). This will provide help for them to acquire knowledge applicable for further mergers and acquisitions. This

consideration will help organizations merging to operate effectively in terms of culture and operations as well as reflect innovation and the necessary need for them(Wu and Choi, 2014).

Synergies in mergers happen when two companies join together. These companies might have different ways of doing things but sell similar products or serve the same types of customers(Sievers and Vandenberg, 2017). Not knowing enough about these cultural differences can cause problems in management, unhappy employees, and lead to difficulties in communication and teamwork(Das and Rangarajan, 2020). One example is the 1998 merger of Daimler-Benz and Chrysler. The partnership did not work well because the German and American companies had very different cultures(Thiel and Hartley, 2023). This study looks at the best ways to make sure that mixing cultures is just as important as the business and money parts during a merger(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

Taking everything into account, the increasing preference for mergers and the high chance of these deals failing give a good reason for this business move(Hoberg and Phillips, 2010). This study will offer useful insights to scholars by looking at the less explored parts of merger technology and culture. It will enable suitable advice for trade pioneers involved in mergers and acquisitions(Tremblay, 2016). In the end, this consideration will help us to better manage risks in Synergies and provide supporting strategies to increase the possibilities of efficient cooperation in Synergies(Wytmar, 2015). Two main goals of this research are first, to start with, to better understand the risks associated with even Synergies; and second, to provide helpful procedures based on actual proof for handling those risks to help complete cooperation successfully(Hise, 2019).

A main result of this study will be finding important warning signs that are often missed in Synergies. The study will show how problems with visibility, like not being clear during the research phase, can cause a merger to fail. As businesses are continuously merging and condensing, companies will have to be aware of the most recent advancements in risk assessment and synergy management if they want to be successful in next mergers and acquisitions(Wiener, Hoßbach and Saunders, 2018). This occurs since covered-up problems can show themselves following the deal. This question about will see at pictures of businesses that did not uncover or acknowledge necessary risks associated to their operations or money, including lawful concerns, ineffectively performing resources, or ancient innovation(Stole and Zwiebel, 2008). After a

merger, this monitoring resulted in shockingly high expenses and difficulties. Finding these risks and providing strategies for their resolution among the survey preparations helps to ensure(Wu and Choi, 2014).

Chapter 3

Research Methodology

Introduction

Detailed discussion of the research methods and methodologies used in the section on methodology will help one to grasp the complicated interaction between benefits and risk management in M&A transactions. Many times, the search of synergies drives mergers and acquisitions(Yeung, 2015). Collaboration between two firms may result in synergies such as higher market share, lower expenditures, or quicker revenue growth that would be unfeasible for any organisation to accomplish alone(Sueyoshi, Goto and Shang, 2019).

The objective of this research is to examine how risk variables such as market volatility, regulatory challenges, cultural disparities, and ineffective mergers might impede the realisation of benefits(Tremblay, 2016). The methodologies defined below will facilitate the attainment of research objectives and ensure that the findings are sufficiently robust for use in both academic theory and practical M&A business strategies(Thiel and Hartley, 2023).

This research will use a mixed-method approach combining qualitative observations from interviews and focus groups with subject-matter experts with quantitative data from historical mergers and acquisitions(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

Research Design

The quantitative side of the study will look at financial information from a number of past mergers and acquisitions(Wytmar, 2015). Following key execution pointers (KPIs) including revenue development, fetched declines, and return on venture (ROI), will then be examined. Usually, important execution points replace synergies(Tanriverdi and Venkatraman, 2014).

Quantitative study of these pointers some time lately and after the mergers will reveal if the intended areas of interest were identified and the degree to which chance management tactics impacted their success or disappointment(Wiener, Hoßbach and Saunders, 2018). Particularly in areas like social integration and administration engagement, which are problematic to degree, the subjective component will make strides this investigation by advertising experiences into the more subjective and crucial parts of M&A synergy(Wu and Choi, 2014).

Research Approach

Through quantitative and qualitative research methods we were able to see how risk management carry effects on synergies in mergers and acquisitions (M&A)(Hise, 2019). Particularly in terms of cost control, income creation, and more effective operations management, numerically the study looks at performance indicators from before and after the merger to see how much improvements were generated(Yeung, 2015).

Examined to determine whether the expected financial advantages materialize are key financial indicators EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization), profit ratios, stock price variations, and return on assets (ROA)(Thiel and Hartley, 2023). The numeric data gives clear proof of financial synergies, while the qualitative views help us learn more about the strategic, tactical, and management factors that are very important in deciding whether the synergies work or not(Tremblay, 2016).

Data Collection

For this study, both primary and secondary data were collected so that a full picture of the connection between risk management and realising synergy in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) could be formed(Wytmar, 2015).

The databases will be used to gather quantitative information on mergers and acquisitions (M&A) deals(Gupta and Gerchak, 2012). This information will include financial measures linked to realising synergies, such as profit rates, return on investment, and cost savings after the merger(Tanriverdi and Venkatraman, 2014).

Certain factors will be used to make sure that the M&A cases chosen for research are relevant and can be compared(Fumagalli and Motta, 2016). The cases will be picked out where achieving benefits was made clear as a main goal, either in public statements or news stories(Wu and Choi, 2014). The horizontal synergies can happen when competitors merge, vertical synergies can happen when suppliers or distributors are bought, and geographic synergies can happen when a business grows(Thiel and Hartley, 2023).

Collecting Quantitative Data

A regular survey was created to understand the views and backgrounds of M&A experts. This study aimed to gather numerical data to help explain the importance of managing risks, the challenges of merging different cultures, and how to achieve expected benefits in mergers and acquisitions. Fifty executives and managers involved in recent mergers and acquisitions were surveyed.

The survey had questions that people could answer in different ways, including questions with set answers, open questions, multiple-choice options, and rating scales. A key group of questions was discussed:

- Managing risks is important for making a merger or acquisition successful.
- How well efforts to bring together different cultures are working.
- The expected and achieved benefits after the merger.

To find links between good risk management and successful mergers, we will use simple statistics to analyze the survey data.

Early survey results revealed that 75% of people thought risk management was "Very Important" for making mergers and acquisitions successful. Also, many of them said that combining different cultures was hard, highlighting the need for careful planning before a merger.

The poll was sent to fifty corporate executives who had recently participated in mergers and acquisitions. Here are the poll's major questions and usual responses.

Possible Response to the Question Of Risk Management:

How significant is risk management to the success of mergers and acquisitions (M&A)?

75% believe it is very significant.

5% believe they are neutral towards it.

None said about insignificance.

Cultural integration challenges:

60% were culturally misaligned.

25% had lack of communication.

10% had operational conflicts.

Collecting qualitative data

Along with the survey, ten executives who know a lot about mergers and acquisitions were interviewed using a flexible set of questions. The goal of these detailed interviews was to understand what factors affect teamwork and the complexities of managing risk.

The flexible structure allowed for important topics to be discussed while still giving room for other conversations. Some of the main topics looked at were:

- Reasons for pursuing mergers and acquisitions (M&A).
- We found dangerous problems and took steps to lessen their effects.
- Problems in combining after a merger, especially related to culture.
- How managing risks impacts the end result.

Many leaders felt that the importance of cultural integration is often overlooked, based on what we learned from the interviews. The merger didn't fail because of money problems, but because there were serious cultural differences that weren't dealt with. Along with the numbers, this information gives a clearer view of the challenges faced during the merger and acquisition process. These important themes from the interviews demonstrate how difficult it is to manage risks and find a place to belong.

Sections of an Interview We Choose

Mergers and acquisitions directors from a large corporation: We researched a lot before merging, but the differences in our company cultures were much bigger than we thought.

Integration leader: Our integration teams met every week, and this helped us fix problems before they got bigger.

Finance Manager at a Tech Startup: We had some financial advantages, but we didn't see how much our company cultures varied.

Case Study Selection

Two notable case studies in mergers and acquisitions—Microsoft's purchase of LinkedIn in 2016 and the 2015 combination of Kraft Foods and Heinz—are highlighted in this paper. These cases show how very important risk control is to reaching synergy(Tanriverdi and Venkatraman, 2014). Both scenarios were selected for their obvious focus on achieving synergies, the abundance of thorough knowledge at hand, and the different outcomes in actualizing such synergies(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

Microsoft created news in 2016 with its amazing purchase of LinkedIn for an astounding \$26.2 billion, therefore signifying a major change in the technology industry(Wiener, Hoßbach and Saunders, 2018). Microsoft wanted to increase its income by integrating LinkedIn's professional network into its cloud products, therefore giving Office 365 and Dynamics top priority(Tremblay, 2016). After its merger, Microsoft has shown amazing success in using revenue synergies; LinkedIn's performance in its business sector further emphasizes the strong argument for aiming at successful synergy realization(Wytmar, 2015).

Driven by the aspirational vision of 3G Capital and Berkshire Hathaway, a surprising continuation of the narrative, a great merger between Kraft Foods and Heinz surfaced in 2015(Yeung, 2015). Deeply devoted to attaining cost reductions via strong cost-reduction strategies, improving operational performance, and leveraging economies of scale within the food and beverage industry, this dynamic partnership is Framed by the general subject of synergy aims, the merger arose with an amazing value of \$49 billion, catching interest as a

transaction that captivated the public in a startling development of corporate ambitions (Tanriverdi and Venkatraman, 2014).

Data Analysis

This research explores the intricate field of data analysis techniques, meticulously crafted to evaluate the achievement of synergies in mergers and acquisitions by means of risk management strategies. Examining the chosen case studies closely reveals an interesting fusion of qualitative and quantitative research, hence creating a rich tapestry of knowledge(Thiel and Hartley, 2023).

Key financial criteria are very important in the selected M&A scenarios as they enable us to evaluate the success of synergy by means of the quantitative analysis(Wu and Choi, 2014). Important measurements include profit margins after the merger, return on investment, cost cuts, income growth, and clear swings in share prices connected to these criteria. This study aims to follow the financial results of the mergers thus providing a clear evaluation of whether the expected synergies materialized(Fumagalli and Motta, 2016). We master huge data processing, compute financial ratios, and apply statistical models with precision and flair using financial software tools like Excel and SPSS(Wiener, Hoßbach and Saunders, 2018).

This approach easily combines quantitative data with narrative analysis techniques to provide an interesting assessment of the elements promoting synergy success in M&A deals(Tanriverdi and Venkatraman, 2014). By means of statistical analysis and thematic review, a thorough knowledge of the changing link between risk management strategies and financial results in mergers and acquisitions is shown, therefore addressing important concerns of validity and dependability(Sueyoshi, Goto and Shang, 2019).

Reliability And Validity

Reliability is guaranteed by relentless data collection and analysis. In quantitative analysis, financial data is painstakingly gathered using standardized approaches under consistent statistical techniques—such as regression analysis—over all case studies(Tanriverdi and Venkatraman, 2014). This constanty guarantees that the findings may be repeated in related research, therefore verifying the applicability of the conclusions beyond the particular dataset utilized and hence their general relevance across similar M&A transactions(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

Using a consistent interview or survey form, this qualitative research guarantees that every participant answers the same set of questions, therefore reducing data collecting variability(Tremblay, 2016).

The study method consists on a sequence of well crafted phases meant to guarantee authenticity(Stole and Zwiebel, 2008). By means of accepted financial measures like profit margins, cost reductions, and return on investment, this quantitative research emphasizes the core of cooperation(Wytmar, 2015). These metrics have great weight in the realm of mergers and acquisitions, therefore providing a good basis for evaluating the success of synergies(Ferrarese, 2017). This method presents an interesting, evidence-based perspective on the link between risks and success in M&A transactions as it efficiently links risk elements with synergy results. Valuation of these results depends on regression analysis(Yeung, 2015).

Ethical Considerations

Several strategies are used to reduce the natural prejudices in the procedures of data collecting and processing(Wiener, Hoßbach and Saunders, 2018). Using financial data from several sources—including business reports, databases, and financial news—helps to greatly lower the possibility of biassed data reporting in quantitative research(Tanriverdi and Venkatraman, 2014). Regression analysis and other statistical methods provide a clear, objective approach to evaluate the correlations between variables, therefore removing personal prejudices from the picture(Thiel and Hartley, 2023). Gathering a diverse group of programmers to assess and classify the data on their own helps to minimize any biases researchers may bring into the qualitative study. This approach significantly lowers the possibility of personal interpretations biassing the data(Tremblay, 2016).

The quality and trustworthiness of the data shown in this research will determine how convincing and unambiguous findings on the synergies in M&A transactions are produced(Sueyoshi, Goto and Shang, 2019). Whether time passes or the viewpoints of different observers, dependability is the core of consistent dependability and robust stability in results. On the other hand, validity exposes the true character of the research and shows how well it gauges the intended evaluation criteria(Venkatesh and Bala, 2012).

Research Limitations

The study acknowledges some significant limitations that could affect the accuracy and comprehensiveness of the findings. One of the main challenges is obtaining thorough financial information on the outcomes of synergy(Wytmar, 2015). In the realm of mergers and acquisitions, the nuances of financial structures might seem as a convoluted maze. Getting thorough financial performance statistics may often seem like negotiating a difficult road hidden by strict proprietary information restrictions and confidentiality agreements(Tanriverdi and Venkatraman, 2014). This limitation might compromise the depth of financial analysis and influence the ability to fully evaluate the financial results of synergies either realized or not after the merger(Wu and Choi, 2014).

Industry experts, executives, or stakeholders engaged in the M&A process provide their thoughts in the qualitative component of the research by means of questionnaires or interviews(Tremblay, 2016). These people are chosen for their exceptional talent and knowledge to guarantee that the quality information comes from reliable and competent sources (Yeung, 2015).

Chapter 4

Analysis And Findings

Introduction

This chapter looks at how different risk management techniques can make or break mergers and acquisitions (M&As). It looks at events that happened in the real world, focused on the methods that were used, the benefits that were won, and the risks that were pointed out(Gelfand et al., 2018). This would help them make better use of their oil plants, make their production more efficient, and give them more power when bargaining with sellers(Pizzigati, 2011).

ExxonMobil carefully planned to reduce risks, starting with a full study of all the problems that could happen before the merger(Gelfand et al., 2018). This is what made these benefits possible. This evaluation brought up issues like ethnic variety and the difficulties of joining their activities in different countries(Levi, Li and Zhang, 2014). During the merger, their normal activities might be interrupted, which could hurt their relationships with clients and their finances. I was

really scared about this. ExxonMobil had a clear plan that included regular reviews of progress, clear leaders, and set deadlines for lowering these risks(Huck et al., 2018).

Cultural harmony was one of the most important parts of the risk management plan. Exxon and Mobil had slightly different ways of running their businesses. Mobil was known for being creative and taking risks, while Exxon was known for being conservative and not taking risks(Gelfand et al., 2018). In both groups, the top leaders stressed how important it was to have open lines of conversation and training in cross-cultural understanding. Top leaders and teams from all over the company met regularly to help everyone work together toward a common goal(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016).

We were able to gain from the operation with little help because the plan laid out the steps in a clear way. This includes setting up their computers and other technology, figuring out how to keep track of cost saves and improvements, and checking in on a regular basis to see how things are going(Levi, Li and Zhang, 2014). Finally, the ExxonMobil merger is a great example of how a well-executed merger can create a lot of value by combining skills that work well together and managing risks well. Numerous individuals saw the merger of Daimler and Chrysler in 1998 as a huge association between the US and Europe that would make a gigantic car company(Huck et al., 2018). As the deplorable merger appears, issues can happen when benefits are extended as well distant, company societies are not legitimately coordinates, and chance administration isn't done well(Gelfand et al., 2018).

People believed that company would benefit much by combining Daimler's premium brand with Chrysler's vast consumer base. This conviction helped Daimler and Chrysler to unite(Hansen, 2012). If one had focused on research and development, decreased expenses by increasing volume, and visited more clients in North America and Europe, one may have anticipated these advantages(Brouthers, van Hastenburg and van den Ven, 2016). Because of the merger, supply lines, production, and the sharing of resources for making new cars were all streamlined, which was supposed to cut costs(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016). At the same time, these benefits were way too good to be true, and the two groups had different operating goals. Getting the top heads of the two groups to agree on something was hard and tense during their talks(DAVIDSON, DUTIA and CHENG, 2014).

The risk management plans that DaimlerChrysler used after the two companies merged were not effective. It was not clear how the two companies would combine their money for research, advertising, and production(Brouthers, van Hastenburg and van den Ven, 2016). Putting all the parts together was messy and hard to understand because of that. Many of the problems happened because there weren't enough rules and checks after the merger(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016).k

These mistakes might make you lose a lot of money. Soon after the two companies came together, DaimlerChrysler began to lose a lot of money, mainly from the Chrysler part of the business(DAVIDSON, DUTIA and CHENG, 2014). Chrysler sold fewer automobiles, had more expenses, and had ineffective procedures, thereby generating less income. Their incapacity to combine their corporate approaches and coordinate damages DaimlerChrysler's credibility greatly(Levi, Li and Zhang, 2014).

After this unsuccessful merger, companies must consider carefully before combining with companies from distant countries or other sectors(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016). As early issues were resolved collectively, corporate culture and risk management were coordinated, and progress was routinely recorded ExxonMobil's market share, operational capacity, and financial resources expanded(BENA and LI, 2014).

Other businesses may assist their own research by using the useful techniques and shared common faults found in this study. The research looks at how well risk management controls whether or not a merger or buy turns out(Pizzigati, 2011). This approach of assessing mergers and acquisitions (M&As) emphasizes on important factors like how effectively risks are managed and if both parties may benefit. Working together, companies may produce more value than they would on their own, therefore improving their performance(Hansen, 2012). Conversely, risk management studies how companies identify and handle hazards like those resulting from merging markets, financial management, and handling of diverse cultures(Nahavandi and Malekzadeh, 2015).

Since the research techniques indicate so, all of the case studies in this section centre on mergers and acquisitions. We chose cases of both successful and failed mergers so we could investigate what factors make these complicated transactions either profitable or not(BENA and LI, 2014).

The study could help future mergers and synergies because it looks at a lot of different situations and gives useful analysis and lessons(Huck et al., 2018). At the end of the chapter, you will find suggestions for more reading on this subject and useful tips for better controlling risks during mergers and acquisitions. Early research on unions found many benefits. Some of their goals were to keep costs down, make business processes easier, and combine R&D departments(Brouthers, van Hestenburg and van den Ven, 2016). The two businesses thought they could save money by working together. As a first step, companies should work on combining their different cultures. They need to plan how to do this and learn how to get along with each other(DAVIDSON, DUTIA and CHENG, 2014). Even synergies that work really well, like DaimlerChrysler, can fail without these key parts.

Successful Mergers and Acquisitions

Take the union of Disney and Fox as a great example. In order to cut costs by \$2 billion, Disney set big goals to get everyone to work together better. The company saved \$1.8 million because 90% of the time they were right(Levi, Li and Zhang, 2014). Disney thought their earnings would go up by \$1 billion, but only by \$800 million, or about 80% of what they thought they would make. Their goal was to win 25% of the market, but they were only able to do that(Nahavandi and Malekzadeh, 2015).

These benefits can only be reached with good risk management. This needs to be planned out ahead of time and the cultural norms of everyone involved must be acceptable(Meyer and Altenborg, 2008). Disney has had a lot of success because they were very careful when reviewing and putting their synergy plan into action. In the end, this will lead to better financial results and a stronger place in the market(McDonald, Max Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2015).

Unsuccessful Mergers And Acquisitions

The DaimlerChrysler synergy, on the other hand, shows what can happen when risks are not handled well. The company made less money after the synergy. In the first year, sales dropped 10% from the previous year, to \$170 billion(Hansen, 2012). After that, things got worse over the next few years. In the first year, sales dropped 20% to \$160 billion. There was a fall upto \$155 billion and 25%, respectively in second and third year(Levi, Li and Zhang, 2014).

On the other hand, big cultural differences arose because of how DaimlerChrysler managed the merger and because they didn't do enough research before the merger. Because they weren't ready, their performance got worse, and they had a lot of problems during the merger phase(Huck et al., 2018). Both cases show how different ways of managing risk can change how a merger turns out in the end. Companies should only merge after a lot of research has been done on all the important factors, such as their finances, operations, and culture(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016).

Comparative Analysis of Successful and Unsuccessful M&As

If the risks associated are properly reduced and synergy is really achieved, mergers and acquisitions (M&As) are more likely to be successful. Effective mergers and acquisitions come from deliberate foresight, thorough preparation, and exact execution(DAVIDSON, DUTIA and CHENG, 2014). Regarding intellectual property and distribution, Disney's higher management catered to the capabilities of both companies. They utilized financial models to properly investigate these benefits and ensured the two companies could run together profitably(Brouthers, van Hastenburg and van den Ven, 2016).

Although the idea of synergy realization was welcomed at DaimlerChrysler, the careful planning and matching of events that define successful situations was absent. Most importantly in the areas of higher production efficiency and market share, undervaluation and inadequate management resulted in lost chances to reap expected benefits(BENA and LI, 2014). Little research on how the operational and strategic variances between the two companies would be managed after the merger lost possibilities. Lack of direction in developing a single brand strategy, production streamlining, or supply chain connection caused missed possibilities(Meyer and Altenborg, 2008).

Risk management distinguished a successful from a failed merger or acquisition. Building on pre-merger risk assessment results, Disney and Fox developed a strong risk management plan prior to their union. These studies revealed as possible sources of conflict integration of many civilizations, overlapping activities, and retention of elite personnel(McDonald, Max Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2015). Disney prepared a post-merger integration plan, assigned duties

to certain teams, and underlined preserving cultural compatibility as a manner of reducing these difficulties in expectation of them(BENA and LI, 2014). The leaders set money for communication channels to ensure that everyone was in line throughout the merger. Employees were therefore more eager to welcome the changes and less hostile to them(Brouthers, van Hastenburg and van den Ven, 2016).

On the other hand, the DaimlerChrysler merger exposed really weak risk management. One big mistake was neglecting the possibility for interaction between the cultures of the two companies. Chrysler's was more flexible and autonomous than Daimler-Benz's rigorous, hierarchical culture(Bonaime, Gulen and Ion, 2018). This caused problems both within teams and at the management level. These cultural variations made cooperation and decision-making more challenging for teams and management. Lack of controls and monitoring during integration after the merger allowed extra risks like deteriorating employee confidence and ineffective operations to go unmet right away(Huck et al., 2018).

By contrasting these two M&A initiatives, we may get a lot of understanding on the need of careful planning, risk management, and cultural integration for a successful merger(Hansen, 2012). Businesses have far more chance of profiting from joining if they start ahead of time for risk management, cultural alignment, and business integration. Those who neglect to foresee and synergy with these problems will eventually face the same difficulties that finally brought DaimlerChrysler's collapse(Hoberg and Phillips, 2010).

Key Findings

Analyzing successful and failed mergers and acquisitions (M&As) can help us to learn important lessons about the management of future synergies. Disney-Fox and DaimlerChrysler act as models of the need of careful preparation, risk management, and cultural integration to guarantee the success of an M&A(Bonaime, Gulen and Ion, 2018). By learning from these mistakes, businesses may be able to implement major actions to raise the possibility of successful mergers and lower the risks related with complicated corporate integration(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016).

During their merger, Disney and Fox taught us among other vital things the need of timely and thorough preparation for integration. Disney showed that two businesses don't always have to

join forces to reach unity(Bonaime, Gulen and Ion, 2018). So, it is very important to know everything there is to know about both companies' resources, skills, and rules for running their businesses. By being very careful to find and assess benefits, like the growth of their market, the merger of content, and the creation of new technologies, Disney was able to focus on the areas that would bring them the most value(BENA and LI, 2014).

Conversely, the DaimlerChrysler instance shows the results of underestimating the difficulties of integrating and exaggerating the benefits(Bonaime, Gulen and Ion, 2018). One of the most important mistakes in this merger was the assumption that two big companies with strong markets will automatically provide better corporate efficiency and market expansion(Hoberg and Phillips, 2010). The two organizations have quite distinct management styles, organizational cultures, and manufacturing processes; so, this very positive view of relationships ignores these variations. Lack of a clear strategy, inadequate communication, and operational mistakes not given enough thought made the merger ineffective(DAVIDSON, DUTIA and CHENG, 2014). This example shows how businesses can use a realistic and data-driven strategy for synergies to guarantee that possible synergies are not only found but also carefully assessed to guarantee their viability(Hansen, 2012).

These case studies provide even another important lesson about risk management. Risk management was a major issue throughout the Disney-Fox synergy's preparatory stages(Bekier, Bogardus and Oldham, 2001). A thorough risk analysis conducted before to the merger revealed possible difficulties integrating several cultures, following rules, and staying competitive in the market(McDonald, Max Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2015). Early risk management helped Disney to create a targeted strategy to reduce issues. For instance, the company gave cultural compatibility top priority as Fox's creative ideas complemented Disney's current ones without stifling the evolution of new ideas(Bonaime, Gulen and Ion, 2018). Disney's aggressive risk management helped many of the usual hazards related with large purchases to be avoided(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016).

Practical Implications for Businesses

Looking at successful and failed synergies can help businesses learn how to make their mergers and acquisitions better. Using strengths well and managing risks carefully can help get the most

benefits from a merger or acquisition(Bekier, Bogardus and Oldham, 2001). By looking at both successful and unsuccessful events, companies can come up with plans to lower risks, speed up merging, and ensure expected benefits(Bonaime, Gulen and Ion, 2018).

Companies which want to combine should do extensive risk analyses examining the cultural, financial, and pragmatic aspects of both companies(Bekier, Bogardus and Oldham, 2001). The first phase is this. Examining how much money comes in and exits the target company helps one to determine if there will be any advantages or disadvantages resulting from money after the merger(Hansen, 2012). Companies should undertake an operational risk analysis after the review to see how closely their supply chains, technological systems, and operational procedures line-up. Knowing precisely how the operations of the two organizations could be similar and different helps one to ensure that the change proceeds naturally and without issues(Bekier, Bogardus and Oldham, 2001).

Your due investigation on a merger should include consideration of the cultural risk. The degree of cultural fit between Disney and Fox greatly affected the success of their merger. Before workers depart, companies should discuss their values, management approaches, and work cultures with them(Bonaime, Gulen and Ion, 2018). Teams with cross-functional integration may enable post-merger integration flow more naturally. Encouragement of cooperation and ensuring that everyone engaged has the necessary abilities can help organizations eliminate the issues preventing mergers and acquisitions from being successful(Meyer and Altenborg, 2008).

Getting unity to function depends on leadership teams and the whole business always being in constant contact with one other. When a company joins with another, it needs to come up with strong plans to synergy with cultural and practical problems(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016). Businesses should endeavour to ensure that, when merging or acquiring another firm, their long-term objectives complement those of the merger. This makes it rather crucial to completely grasp how the acquisition or merger will fit into the long-term development strategy of the business and how it will affect its capacity to generate money. If businesses ensure that their M&A transactions are motivated by long-term objectives, they may reduce the dangers of combining for temporary profits or with the incorrect conception of how synergy will operate(Meyer and Altenborg, 2008).

Giving the phase more independence after the merger helps one to maintain focused on dangers and advantages. This approach entails observing cultural mixing and financial performance and being ready to implement necessary adjustments(McDonald, Max Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2015). Companies should routinely review their integration strategies to find the extent of improvement. This will enable them to adapt their strategies to fit the always shifting circumstances after the merger.Constant observation of financial performance and outcomes from cultural merging helps to ensure the success of the merger(Hoberg and Phillips, 2010). By means of a comprehensive strategy for evaluating both financial and cultural outcomes, businesses may ensure that their M&A transactions happen without any problems(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016).

Future Prospects

New ideas in this exciting field might affect next methods of risk control and M&A preparation. AI and ML are becoming more and more used in M&A transactions because their value in data analysis, prediction, and job automation(Hansen, 2012). Artificial intelligence and machine learning might help several facets of mergers and acquisitions (M&A), including benefit discovery, process optimization, and risk analysis. These regions need greater research(Bonaime, Gulen and Ion, 2018).

The quick analysis artificial intelligence (AI) technologies provide might help both targeted and purchasing companies. This might improve complete investigation by exposing hazards and connections that traditional research methods could miss(Hansen, 2012). Another use of machine learning that gains from looking at closed transactions is predicting the results of mergers and acquisitions. The insight of artificial intelligence on merger success elements might help businesses. It does this by examining data from past mergers to ascertain elements like financial situation, cultural fit, and market conditions(Cartwright and Schoenberg, 2016).

Artificial intelligence helps to simplify connecting everything by automating labor-intensive tasks such supply chain management, system alignment, and staff relocation arrangement. This should ensure that the most important features of the merger move more naturally, therefore saving time and money in the end(Meyer and Altenborg, 2008). Analyzing past mergers and

acquisitions using artificial intelligence could provide insightful information for the present and the future(Hansen, 2012).

Professionals should think about ways to minimize the effect of cultural differences in next cross-border transactions. Dealing with the many cultures of these businesses might be very difficult, particularly considering the increasing number of businesses from all over merging and acquiring one another(McDonald, Max Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2015). Scholars may choose to examine cross-cultural communication, company policies, and team dynamics. Academics might examine how companies could develop more effective risk management strategies given how dynamic international commerce is. Collaborative businesses get access to new markets and economies with unique regulations(Hoberg and Phillips, 2010).

Issues related to the environment, society, and government (ESG issues)M&A research is increasingly giving environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors importance. Additionally lacking are artificial intelligence and behaviour. Professionals reviewing possible mergers and acquisitions will examine how well the target firm addresses ESG issues (Meyer and Altenborg, 2008). They also take into account how this shapes the opinions of the companies on the expected benefits and general performance of the merger. Studies on mergers and acquisitions are beginning to give an increasing focus on ance factors more importance. Additionally lacking are artificial intelligence and behaviour(McDonald, Max Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2015). Professionals reviewing possible mergers and acquisitions will examine how well the target firm addresses ESG issues. They also take into account how this shapes the opinions of the companies on the expected benefits and general performance of the merger (Hoberg and Phillips, 2010).

Chapter 5

Recommendations and Conclusion

Looking at both successful and unsuccessful mergers and acquisitions (M&A) highlights the need of properly planning and managing risks in order to collaborate effectively (Stensaker,

Persson and Pinheiro, 2015). According to studies, firms do not often consider the challenges that come with combining two separate enterprises, particularly when it comes to coordinating cultures, integrating processes, and ensuring that all objectives are aligned (PrisyaEsterlina and NilaNuzula Firdausi, 2017). From what we know so far, these things could make the benefits of a sale or merger lessen if they are not handled properly (Wytmar, 2013).

Mergers like Disney and Fox, that went well reveal the importance of risk assessment and management(Mohlen and Sulimma, 2017). And because of this, the possible cultural, practical, and financial risks of the merger need to be carefully looked at before it is finished. For the benefits of the merger to show, there had to be constant contact, strong leadership teamwork, and the formation of cross-departmental teams (V Kot Yelena and D Dziubanova Nina, 2012). Businesses in these areas took advantage of the merger's benefits to make more money, make their operations easier, and improve their places in the market(Ettenson and Knowles, 2016).

But the DaimlerChrysler deal is a mess that shows what happens when you don't pay attention to these important things(Chinnery, 2014). There could be big problems if the benefits of working together are overstated while cultural differences are downplayed and threats are dealt with after the fact instead of ahead of time (Ettenson and Knowles, 2016). As soon as DaimlerChrysler merged, their finances quickly got worse. It is because they didn't follow organizational cultures and their work goals were not compatible (Zhang et al., 2013).

This study shows how important it is for companies to have a plan for when they merge with or buy another company(Javier et al., 2020). This means being flexible when joining operations in the future and thinking carefully about any risks before the merger. Case studies may help businesses regularly assess risks, make adaptable plans for after the merger, and gain a better understanding of company cultures(Stensaker, Persson and Pinheiro, 2015). All of these things will help make future M&A talks better. M&As and merges will only work if both parties can predict and lower the risks that come with joining two complex companies(PrisyaEsterlina and NilaNuzula Firdausi, 2017). Companies that follow these best practices will be better prepared for the future. By lowering the risks, one can get the most out of their mergers and acquisitions(Schwert, 2018).

Recommendations

When businesses use rigorous risk management strategies, mergers and acquisitions (M&As) have better chances to be successful. Before a merger, thorough investigations of the operations, cultures, and financial situation of the organizations are very vital(Rossi and Volpin, 2019). This method requires us to find the issues including cultural variations, operational inefficiencies, and financial risks that could arise during integration(Chinnery, 2014). New approaches include artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning might help to improve risk assessments. Companies should maintain their integration strategy current to manage the challenges of merging two enterprises(V Kot Yelena and D Dziubanova Nina, 2012).

Effective mergers and acquisitions also centre on strategies to maximize the advantages of cooperative efforts. Establishing teams including people from many departments helps to enable successful cooperation after a merger(McDonald, Maxwell Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2017). These teams should assist senior executives of the combined business to be honest with one another in addition to fostering communication amongst many divisions(Bunt and Greeff, 2021). If two businesses have differing long-term objectives, they may rapidly address the issue via honest communication and ensuring they are cooperating toward the same ones(Chinnery, 2014). Furthermore, it is not just advisable to inquire on if the advantages are occurring once. Frequent evaluations should help to determineif the anticipated advantages are really being realized(Prisyah Esterlina and Nila Nuzula Firdausi, 2017). Regularly checking in helps management to make necessary adjustments to strategies to satisfy their financial and commercial objectives.

Although this is often overlooked, the success or failure of a merger mostly hinges on how effectively the many business cultures combine(Zhang et al., 2013). Companies should closely examine one another's cultures before combining to identify any potential areas of conflict resulting from variances in their values, customs, and approaches to business(Schwert, 2018). Rather, companies should regularly examine the financial situation of the new group and how effectively they are incorporating many points of view. Through constant observation, businesses may identify fresh hazards and act to reduce them before they become major causes of concern(Bunt and Greeff, 2021). One alternative is to establish a unique group dedicated only to manage fresh hazards. This team will routinely monitor the merger's progress and act, whenever

necessary(V Kot Yelena and D Dziubanova Nina, 2012). Regularly monitoring their development helps businesses to ensure that after a merger they satisfy their financial and commercial objectives(McDonald, Maxwell Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2017).

Future Implications

Future risk management in M&A will be affected by several important developments and their repercussions(Javier et al., 2020). As mergers and acquisitions (M&A) change, artificial intelligence and machine learning are becoming ever more important in this process. By use of these innovative instruments, businesses will be able to spot hazards early on and assess possible operational, financial, and cultural problems more precisely(Bunt and Greeff, 2021). Large-scale data analysis enabled by artificial intelligence-powered analysis may help to improve projections on the success of a company's joint ventures. With its help, one might better forecast their operational efficiency, market situation, and financial success(McDonald, Maxwell Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2017). AI and ML will most definitely be very important in the acquisition and merging processes as they keep developing. Through more thorough information, they will help businesses make more wise judgments(Stensaker, Persson and Pinheiro, 2015).

Growing numbers of cross-border agreements made feasible by globalization are one trend in the way mergers and acquisitions (M&A) handle risks(PrisyaEsterlina and NilaNuzula Firdausi, 2017). Using mergers and acquisitions with companies abroad, companies are increasingly spreading their influence globally. These transactions do, however, provide special challenges, especially with regard to culturally specific hazards(Zhang et al., 2013). Different country cultures, regulatory rules, and business practices may all slow down the integration process. These differences might make it more difficult for us to cooperate well and reach our objectives. Therefore, businesses involved in global mergers and acquisitions will have to carefully investigate cultural variations and create plans to reduce the related risks(Rossi and Volpin, 2019). In this regard, one might spend money on training courses to teach about many civilizations, creating teams to help other cultures cooperate, and hiring local experts to grasp the laws and traditions(Chinnery, 2014).

There is still much study and investigation needed in numerous spheres. Future studies on the effectiveness of digital technology in combining businesses via mergers and acquisitions show

great potential(Javier et al., 2020). As their usage rises across companies, we must look at how digital technologies affect mergers and acquisitions(Wytmar, 2013). Managing the many facets of the merger—risk analysis and post-merger integration of the two companies—depends on this. Research in this field might investigate if by making integration processes more precise and efficient data analysis, artificial intelligence, and machine learning lead to better operational and financial results(PATTERSON, 2014).

More study is required on the long-term effects on culture of mergers and acquisitions. Though many studies focus on the immediate aftermath of a merger, little research on the long-term consequences of cultural integration on the performance of a business exists(PrisyaEsterlina and NilaNuzula Firdausi, 2017). Such studies might include the ways in which corporate cultures affect employee engagement, output, and long-term success of the company(McDonald, Maxwell Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, 2017). If companies want to improve their integration plan and reduce the risks connected with cultural variations, they should be aware of these long-term effects in the end(Schwert, 2018).

It is necessary to investigate the specifics of M&A acquisitions in many industries. This will help us to discover the particular risks that every sector faces and how to reduce them(Bunt and Greeff, 2021). For example, the healthcare industry values protection of patient data and regulatory compliance; nevertheless, the technology industry has special issues with the preservation of ideas and the creation of new products(Stensaker, Persson and Pinheiro, 2015). Researchers might create specific risk management solutions to help companies negotiate the challenges of M&A. Analyzing the effectiveness of M&A in different sectors would help us to customize these approaches to fit the particular needs of every(Wytmar, 2013).

Conclusion

With an eye on the basic variables determining the outcome of these agreements, this article offers the key findings of the research of both successful and unsuccessful mergers and acquisitions (M&A). Among the most crucial teachings is the requirement of cautious risk control and thorough planning in creating synergies(Rossi and Volpin, 2019). The report claims that sometimes businesses ignore the challenges of merging two separate businesses, especially in relation to operational integration, strategic object cohesion, and cultural alignment(Javier et

al., 2020). As the highlighted sample scenarios show, inadequate handling of these concerns might lead to a decline in the probable benefits of an M&A, or even worse, major financial losses and damage to reputation ensuing from the transaction(Bunt and Greeff, 2021).

Like the Disney-Fox combo, the success examples highlight the need of a thorough risk management plan that evaluates operational, financial, and cultural risks before the merger is closed(Bunt and Greeff, 2021). Maintaining open lines of communication, clearly defined roles and responsibilities for leaders, and building cross-functional integration teams helped to guarantee that the synergies were exploited suitably after the merger(Wytmar, 2013). The combined traits of the merging firms helped enterprises who made investments in these sectors to see a return on investment in the form of better operational efficiency, financial development, and market position(PATTERSON, 2014).

Ignoring these crucial components—as occurred with the DaimlerChrysler merger—may have negative consequences. Ignoring cultural differences, overstretching synergies, and a reactive risk management strategy could have disastrous results(Schwert, 2018). After the merger, DaimlerChrysler's financial condition deteriorated quickly mostly because of poor cultural integration and divergent operational goals. Although the financial aspects of a merger are crucial, this case study shows how equally vital success relies on organizational and personal aspects(Javier et al., 2020).

Ultimately, our work underlines the need of treating mergers and acquisitions holistically. Our methods combine extensive pre-merger risk assessments with adaptive post-merger integration methods(Rossi and Volpin, 2019). If businesses want to optimize the outcomes of next mergers and acquisitions (M&As), they should pay significant attention to cultural elements, develop flexible plans for after the merger, and give continual risk assessment high significance(Wytmar, 2013). Regarding the ultimate success of a merger or acquisition, managing the risks related to merging two complex businesses is as important as observing any synergies(Pizzigati, 2011). Following these rules allows companies to reduce risk and maximize the benefits of mergers and acquisitions, thereby prepare themselves for long-term success(Stensaker, Persson and Pinheiro, 2015).

References

- Ayadi, R., Boussemart, J.-P., Leleu, H. and Saidane, D. (2012). Mergers and Acquisitions in European banking higher productivity or better synergy among business lines? *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 39(2), pp.165–175. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11123-012-0309-8>.

BRUSH, T.H. (2013). PREDICTED CHANGE IN OPERATIONAL SYNERGY AND POST-ACQUISITION PERFORMANCE OF ACQUIRED BUSINESSES. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(1), pp.1–24. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1097-0266\(199601\)17:1%3C1::aid-smj782%3E3.0.co;2-w](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0266(199601)17:1%3C1::aid-smj782%3E3.0.co;2-w).

Ceil, C. (2013). Role of Due Diligence in Mergers and Acquisition. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2294836>.

Chang, P.C. (2018). A measure of the synergy in mergers under a competitive market for corporate control. *Atlantic Economic Journal*, 16(2), pp.59–62. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02306323>.

Chatterjee, S. (2017). Why is synergy so difficult in mergers of related businesses? *Strategy & Leadership*, 35(2), pp.46–52. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/10878570710734534>.

Cheong, R. and Eric, H. (2010). Exploring the synergy between business process management and personal knowledge management. *Cutter IT journal*, 23(5), pp.28–33.

Clougherty, J.A. and Duso, T. (2011). Using rival effects to identify synergies and improve merger typologies. *Strategic Organization*, 9(4), pp.310–335. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127011421536>.

Das, M. and Rangarajan, K. (2020). Impact of policy initiatives and collaborative synergy on sustainability and business growth of Indian SMEs. *Indian Growth and Development Review*, 13(3), pp.607–627. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/igdr-09-2019-0095>.

Devos, E., Kadapakkam, P.-R. and Krishnamurthy, S. (2019). How Do Mergers Create Value? A Comparison of Taxes, Market Power, and Efficiency Improvements as Explanations for Synergies. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 22(3), pp.1179–1211.

Di Zhang, D. and Swanson, L.A. (2013). Social Entrepreneurship in Nonprofit Organizations: An Empirical Investigation of the Synergy Between Social and Business Objectives. *Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing*, 25(1), pp.105–125. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10495142.2013.759822>.

Doh, J., Husted, B.W., Matten, D. and Santoro, M. (2010). Ahoy There! Toward Greater Congruence and Synergy Between International Business and Business Ethics Theory and Research. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, [online] 20(3), pp.481–502. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5840/beq201020331>.

Eisenhardt, K.M. and Martin, J.A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: what are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10-11), pp.1105–1121.

FAULÍ-OLLER, R., SANDONÍS, J. and SANTAMARÍA, J. (2011). DOWNSTREAM MERGERS AND UPSTREAM INVESTMENT*. *The Manchester School*, 79(4), pp.884–898. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9957.2010.02209.x>.

Feldman, E. and Hernandez, E. (2021). Synergy in Mergers and Acquisitions: Typology, Lifecycles, and Value. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3816956>.

Ferrarese, W. (2017). Endogenous Mergers and Leadership Acquisition in Cournot Oligopolies. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2915083>.

Fumagalli, C. and Motta, M. (2016). Upstream mergers, downstream mergers, and secret vertical contracts. *Research in Economics*, 55(3), pp.275–289. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1006/reec.2000.0255>.

Gupta, D. and Gerchak, Y. (2012). Quantifying Operational Synergies in a Merger/Acquisition. *Management Science*, 48(4), pp.517–533. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.48.4.517.209>.

Hise, R.T. (2019). Evaluating Marketing Assets in Mergers and Acquisitions. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 12(4), pp.46–51. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/eb039430>.

Hoberg, G. and Phillips, G. (2010). Product Market Synergies and Competition in Mergers and Acquisitions: A Text-Based Analysis. *Review of Financial Studies*, 23(10), pp.3773–3811. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhq053>.

Jezierski, P. (2012). Estimation of Cost Synergies from Mergers: Application to U.S. Radio. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1700762>.

Larsson, R. and Finkelstein, S. (2013). Integrating Strategic, Organizational, and Human Resource Perspectives on Mergers and Acquisitions: A Case Survey of Synergy Realization. *Organization Science*, 10(1), pp.1–26.

Mahajan, V. and Wind, Y. (2013). Business synergy does not always pay off. *Long Range Planning*, 21(1), pp.59–65. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301\(88\)90059-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(88)90059-3).

Martin, J.A. and Eisenhardt, K.M. (2014). CROSS-BUSINESS SYNERGY: RECOMBINATION, MODULARITY AND THE MULTI-BUSINESS TEAM. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2003(1), pp.P1–P6. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2003.13793226>.

Martin, J.A. and Eisenhardt, K.M. (2015). EXPLORING CROSS-BUSINESS SYNERGIES. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2001(1), pp.H1–H6. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5465/apbpp.2001.6123191>.

Rao, V.R., Yu, Y. and Umashankar, N. (2016). Anticipated vs. Actual Synergy in Merger Partner Selection and Post-Merger Innovation. *Marketing Science*, 35(6), pp.934–952. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.2016.0978>.

Rezaee, Z. (2018). Supply Chain Management and Business Sustainability Synergy: A Theoretical and Integrated Perspective. *Sustainability*, 10(2), p.275. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010275>.

Rowley, J. (2002). Synergy and strategy in e-business. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 20(4), pp.215–222. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/02634500210431603>.

Schneider, B.R., Evans, P., Silva, E., Chandler, A., Amatori, F. and Hikino, T. (2016). Elusive Synergy: Business-Government Relations and Development. *Comparative Politics*, 31(1), p.101. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2307/422108>.

Shaver, J.M. (2016). A Paradox of Synergy: Contagion and Capacity Effects in Mergers and Acquisitions. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(4), pp.962–976. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2006.22527468>.

Sievers, M. and Vandenberg, P. (2017). Synergies through Linkages: Who Benefits from Linking Micro-Finance and Business Development Services? *World Development*, 35(8), pp.1341–1358. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.04.002>.

Stole, L. and Zwiebel, J. (2008). Mergers, Employee Hold-Up and the Scope of the Firm: An Intrafirm Bargaining Approach to Mergers. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2396077>.

Sueyoshi, T., Goto, M. and Shang, J. (2019). Core business concentration vs. corporate diversification in the US electric utility industry: Synergy and deregulation effects. *Energy Policy*, 37(11), pp.4583–4594. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.06.013>.

Tanriverdi, H. and Venkatraman, N. (2014). Knowledge relatedness and the performance of multibusiness firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(2), pp.97–119. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.435>.

Thiel, G.R. and Hartley, N.T. (2023). Cooperative Education: A Natural Synergy between Business and Academia. *S.A.M. advanced management journal*, 62(3), p.19.

Tremblay, A. (2016). Cultural Differences, Synergies and Mergers and Acquisitions. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2895110>.

Venkatesh, V. and Bala, H. (2012). Adoption and Impacts of Interorganizational Business Process Standards: Role of Partnering Synergy. *Information Systems Research*, 23(4), pp.1131–1157. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1110.0404>.

Vizjak, A. (2019). Exploiting your synergy potential: Promoting collaboration between business units. *Long Range Planning*, 27(1), pp.25–35. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301\(94\)90004-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(94)90004-3).

Wiener, M., Hoßbach, N. and Saunders, C. (2018). Omnichannel businesses in the publishing and retailing industries: Synergies and tensions between coexisting online and offline business models. *Decision Support Systems*, 109, pp.15–26. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2018.01.008>.

Wu, W.-P. and Choi, W.L. (2014). Transaction Cost, Social Capital and Firms' Synergy Creation in Chinese Business Networks: An Integrative Approach. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 21(3), pp.325–343. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1023/b:apjm.0000036466.90433.92>.

Wytmar, R.J. (2015). Mergers and the executive. *Business Horizons*, 12(6), pp.50–52. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813\(69\)90090-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(69)90090-1).

Yeung, H.W.-C. (2015). Transnational Economic Synergy and Business Networks: The Case of Two-way Investment Between Malaysia and Singapore. *Regional Studies*, 32(8), pp.687–706. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00343409850119391>.

Bekier, M.M., Bogardus, A.J. and Oldham, T. (2001). Why mergers fail. *The McKinsey Quarterly*, pp.6–6.

BENA, J. and LI, K. (2014). Corporate Innovations and Mergers and Acquisitions. *The Journal of Finance*, 69(5), pp.1923–1960. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12059>.

Bonaime, A., Gulen, H. and Ion, M. (2018). Does policy uncertainty affect mergers and acquisitions? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 129(3), pp.531–558. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2018.05.007>.

Brouthers, K.D., van Hastenburg, P. and van den Ven, J. (2016). If most mergers fail why are they so popular? *Long Range Planning*, 31(3), pp.347–353. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0024-6301\(98\)80002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0024-6301(98)80002-2).

Cartwright, S. and Schoenberg, R. (2016). Thirty Years of Mergers and Acquisitions Research: Recent Advances and Future Opportunities. *British Journal of Management*, 17(S1), pp.S1–S5. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2006.00475.x>.

DAVIDSON, W.N., DUTIA, D. and CHENG, L. (2014). A Re-Examination of the Market Reaction to Failed Mergers. *The Journal of Finance*, 44(4), pp.1077–1083. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1989.tb02640.x>.

Gelfand, M., Gordon, S., Li, C., Choi, V. and Prokopowicz, P. (2018). One reason mergers fail : the two cultures aren't compatible.

Hansen, R.G. (2012). A Theory for the Choice of Exchange Medium in Mergers and Acquisitions. *The Journal of Business*, 60(1), p.75. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1086/296386>.

Hoberg, G. and Phillips, G. (2010). Product Market Synergies and Competition in Mergers and Acquisitions: A Text-Based Analysis. *Review of Financial Studies*, 23(10), pp.3773–3811. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhq053>.

Huck, S., Konrad, K.A., Müller, W. and Normann, H. (2018). The Merger Paradox and Why Aspiration Levels Let it Fail in the Laboratory. *The Economic Journal*, 117(522), pp.1073–1095. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0297.2007.02067.x>.

Levi, M., Li, K. and Zhang, F. (2014). Director gender and mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 28, pp.185–200.

McDonald, J., Max Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, P. (2015). Planning for a successful merger or acquisition: Lessons from an Australian study. *Journal of global business and technology*, 1(2), pp.1–11.

Meyer, C.B. and Altenborg, E. (2008). Incompatible strategies in international mergers: the failed merger between Telia and Telenor. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(3), pp.508–525. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400354>.

Nahavandi, A. and Malekzadeh, A.R. (2015). Acculturation in Mergers and Acquisitions. *Academy of Management Review*, 13(1), pp.79–90. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1988.4306790>.

Pizzigati, S. (2011). The Merger Fails-What Next for Education Unions? *WorkingUSA*, 2(4), pp.11–22. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-4580.1998.tb00110.x>.

Bunt, L.R. and Greeff, J.J. (2021). Design And Development of a Narrative Based Tabletop Game for Promoting Soft Skills Acuisition in Technical Students in The South African. *Journal of Games, Game Art, and Gamification*, 5(2), pp.41–47. doi:<https://doi.org/10.21512/jggag.v5i2.7475>.

Chinnery, C. (2014). Monograph: Mergers & Aquisitions: Xu Zhen and his cultural production organization, MadeIn Company. *Frieze: Contemporary Art and Culture*, (163), pp.162–167.

Ettenson, R. and Knowles, J. (2016). Merging the brands and branding the merger. 47(4), pp.39–49.

Javier, P., Carlos Bellón Núñez-Mera, Javier Carbajo Domínguez, Javier Parreño Santa-Olalla, Reglero, J., Rocío Sáenz-Díez Rojas and Antonio, E. (2020). Fusiones y Adquisiciones y Reestructuraciones / Mergers & Aquisitions and Business Restructurations.

McDonald, J., Maxwell Ralph Coulthard and Anthony, P. (2017). International mergers and acquisitions: an Australian study on post agreement integration. pp.1–25. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4225/03/5938fb4376619>.

Mohlen, H.-J. . and Sulimma, A. (2017). High temperature, high pressure thermogravimetry of coal gasification-apparatus, data acuisition and numerical evaluation. *Thermochimica Acta*, 103(1), pp.163–168. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-6031\(86\)80027-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-6031(86)80027-2).

PATTERSON, K.D.W. (2014). EARLY-MOVER STRATEGY OF ACUISITION THROUGH PRIVATIZATION IN EMERGING ECONOMICS: A TEST OF CONTINGENT RESOURCE-BASED VIEW. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2004(1), pp.K1–K6. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2004.13863290>.

Pizzigati, S. (2011). The Merger Fails-What Next for Education Unions? *WorkingUSA*, 2(4), pp.11–22. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-4580.1998.tb00110.x>.

Prisyah Esterlina and Nila Nuzula Firdausi (2017). ANALISIS KINERJA KEUANGAN PERUSAHAAN SEBELUM DAN SESUDAH MERGER DAN AKUISISI. *Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis S1 Universitas Brawijaya*, 47(2), pp.39–48.

Rossi, S. and Volpin, P.F. (2019). Cross-country determinants of mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 74(2), pp.277–304. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2003.10.001>.

Schwert, G.William. (2018). Markup pricing in mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 41(2), pp.153–192. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405x\(95\)00865-c](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405x(95)00865-c).

Stensaker, B., Persson, M. and Pinheiro, R. (2015). When mergers fail: a case study on the critical role of external stakeholders in merger initiatives. *European Journal of Higher Education*, 6(1), pp.56–70. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/21568235.2015.1099456>.

V Kot Yelena and D Dziubanova Nina (2012). Applying Foreign Merger & Aquisition Deals Experience by Ukrainian Bank. *Business Inform*, (6), pp.165–168.

Wytmar, R.J. (2013). Mergers and the executive. *Business Horizons*, 12(6), pp.50–52. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813\(69\)90090-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(69)90090-1).