

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309590502>

stAlcalc: tRNA Adaptation Index Calculator based on Species-Specific weights

Article in *Bioinformatics* · October 2016

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw647

CITATIONS

23

READS

195

3 authors, including:



Renana Sabi

Tel Aviv University

21 PUBLICATIONS 134 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Tamir Tuller

Tel Aviv University

389 PUBLICATIONS 4,188 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Ribosome profiling [View project](#)



viral evolution [View project](#)

Gene expression

stAI_{calc}: tRNA adaptation index calculator based on species-specific weights**Renana Sabi^{1,†}, Renana Volvovitch Daniel^{1,†} and Tamir Tuller^{1,2,*}**¹Department of Biomedical Engineering and ²The Sagol School of Neuroscience, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, 69978, Israel

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[†]The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first two authors should be regarded as Joint First Authors

Associate Editor: Janet Kelso

Received on May 5, 2016; revised on September 15, 2016; editorial decision on October 7, 2016; accepted on October 11, 2016

Abstract

Summary: The tRNA Adaptation Index (tAI) is a tRNA-centric measure of translation efficiency which includes weights that take into account the efficiencies of the different wobble interactions. To enable the calculation of the index based on a species-specific inference of these weights, we created the stAI_{calc}. The calculator includes optimized tAI weights for 100 species from the three domains of life along with a standalone software package that optimizes the weights for new organisms. The tAI with the optimized weights should enable performing large scale studies in disciplines such as molecular evolution, genomics, systems biology and synthetic biology.

Availability and Implementation: The calculator is publicly available at <http://www.cs.tau.ac.il/~tamirtul/stAlcalc/stAlcalc.html>

Contact: tamirtul@post.tau.ac.il

1 Introduction

mRNA translation is one of the central stages of protein biosynthesis in which ribosomes translate a poly-nucleotide sequence of mRNA codons into a poly-amino acid sequence. As the ribosome encounters a new codon, it halts until a complementary tRNA anti-codon is presented and delivers its amino acid to the growing polypeptide chain. The codon-anticodon pairing is enabled by both, the canonical Watson–Crick interactions and wobble interactions (Crick, 1966).

The tRNA Adaptation Index (tAI) is a measure of translational efficiency which takes into account the intracellular concentration of tRNA molecules and the efficiencies of each codon–anticodon pairing (dos Reis *et al.*, 2004). Specifically, the index includes weights, originally derived based on gene expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, that quantify the efficiency of each wobble interaction. The efficiencies of these interactions are expected to vary across the tree of life, thus, the tAI weights related to the wobble interactions are expected to be different for different organisms. In (Sabi and Tuller, 2014) an organism-specific approach for inferring the tAI wobble weights was suggested; the inference was based on the fact that highly expressed genes tend to have higher codon

usage bias (CUB) and higher adaptation to the tRNA pool (Sabi and Tuller, 2014). The tAI with the optimized weights was shown to improve predictions of protein abundance in various non-fungal organisms.

The advantages of the tAI with the optimized weights are three-fold. First, the tAI is a biophysical measure of adaptation of codons to the intracellular tRNA pool. Indeed, in the recent years, the tAI (and variants of this measure) has been widely used to study fundamental questions related to gene expression regulation, molecular evolution and more (Brockmann *et al.*, 2007; Ciandrini *et al.*, 2013; Dana and Tuller, 2014; dos Reis *et al.*, 2004; Gingold and Pilpel, 2011; Goodman *et al.*, 2013; Man and Pilpel, 2007; Novoa and de Pouplana, 2012; Pechmann and Frydman, 2013; Sharp *et al.*, 2005; Tuller *et al.*, 2010; Tuller *et al.*, 2011).

Second, since the wobble weights are inferred individually for each specific organism, tAI calculated based on these weights has the potential to outperform tAI based on the yeast-weight in predicting protein levels/translation efficiency in non-fungi (Sabi and Tuller, 2014).

Third, the organism-specific weights are inferred based solely on the coding sequence of the organism and thus can be calculated for

many organisms for which expression data is not available. Specifically today there are thousands of organisms with sequenced genomes and only a few dozen organisms with large scale gene expression measurements.

Here we present the $\text{stAI}_{\text{calc}}$, an online tool that calculates tAI with optimized wobble weights based on the approach of (Sabi and Tuller, 2014). The tool provides the tAI value of the sequence as well as the normalized tAI values of each coding codon in the selected genome. In addition, it includes an option to download a standalone software package of $\text{stAI}_{\text{calc}}$ that optimizes the weights for new organisms not included in the list. Implementation examples and application description appear below.

2 Application description

The usage of $\text{stAI}_{\text{calc}}$ is simple and convenient. Entering the $\text{stAI}_{\text{calc}}$ webpage, the user should select an organism from a list of optional organisms and provide the query genes' coding sequences in a FASTA format file. For organisms not included in the list, a standalone software package is available for download.

By the tAI, the estimated translation efficiency of the translated codon depends on the intracellular concentration of the isoacceptor tRNA and the efficiency of the codon-anticodon pairing. Specifically, the translational efficiency of the i th codon (out of 61 possible codons) is given by:

$$W_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (1 - s_{ij}) \cdot tGCN_{ij}$$

where n_i is the number of tRNA types/anticodons that pair with the i th codon, $tGCN_{ij}$ is the tRNA gene copy number (Copy numbers can be retrieved, for example, from the genomic tRNA database at <http://gtrnadb.ucsc.edu> (Chan and Lowe, 2009). As explained in the user guide tRNA levels can be rather used if available), and S_{ij} is a value between 0 and 1 representing a constraint on the pairing between the i th codon and the j th tRNA. Normalized weights (w_i) are obtained from the W_i by dividing each W_i by the maximum value among all codons. S_{ij} weight closer to 0 represent more efficient wobble interaction. Zero W_i weights are set to the mean w_i .

In Sabi and Tuller (2014), the S_{ij} weights were optimized based on a hill-climbing approach with multiple initial points that aims at maximizing the correlation between tAI and a measure of codon usage bias (inspired by the relative CUB, (Roymondal et al., 2009)). Statistics regarding the similarity/difference between the resultant optimized weights and the original yeast weights are shown in Figure 1. As can be seen, while there is a relation/positive correlation with the original yeast weights they are typically not extremely similar (median correlation is 0.5).

Illustration of wobble interactions and optimized weights is described in Figure 2A. tAI based on these species-specific weights are expected to correlate with protein levels in organisms where CUB is related to the amount of adaptation to the tRNA pool (for example, Fig. 2B). The online tool includes a total of 100 different species from the three domains of life. The specific genome source and GenBank record used for the inference in (Sabi and Tuller, 2014) are included within the name of each organism in the list. The coding sequences of the species used for the S_{ij} weights inference were retrieved from the NCBI (<http://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/>). tRNA copy numbers were obtained from the Genomic tRNA Database (<http://gtrnadb.ucsc.edu/>). For organisms not included in the list, a standalone $\text{stAI}_{\text{calc}}$ package is available for download. This package also provides the final correlation between the optimized tAI and CUB for the user evaluation. A




Fig. 1. Comparison between the yeast and the species-specific S_{ij} vectors. The histograms of the 100 species and median values (thin vertical line) are shown. (A) Pearson correlations between yeast and species-specific S_{ij} . (B) The mean distance between the yeast and the species-specific S_{ij} vectors; calculated by taking the mean of the sum of the absolute differences between each pair of corresponding components in the two vectors (thus, the maximum distance is 1 and the minimum is 0) (Color version of this figure is available at *Bioinformatics* online.)




Fig. 2. (A) An illustration of wobble interaction between the third position of a mRNA codon (green) and the first position of a tRNA anti-codon (purple). The four possible wobble couplings and their tAI weights (S_{ij}) in *S.cerevisiae* are summarized below. The I:U pairing is referred as a canonical interaction (thus its s-value is 0). (B) Spearman's rank correlation between tAI and protein abundance (PA) in *Plasmodium falciparum*. PA measurements were retrieved from the protein abundance database at <http://pax-db.org/> (Wang et al., 2012). (C) Normalized tAI weights of each codon in *S.cerevisiae* based on the optimized S_{ij} as appear online in $\text{stAI}_{\text{calc}}$ (Color version of this figure is available at *Bioinformatics* online.)

detailed user guide for the online tool and the standalone package is also provided in the website.

3 Small-scale example

The following example describes the implementation of the online $\text{stAI}_{\text{calc}}$ for a *S.cerevisiae* gene. At the calculator first page, we select from the list the organism *S.cerevisiae* S288c. A FASTA formatted text file containing the gene coding sequence must be provided. For example:

```
>YAR035C-A
ATGAGATTAAATTACAGTCGCTGCTATTATAGTAGCCAGCGGC
GGCGCCAGTCACTTCCAAAGCGTTTCTCTTATTATG
```

The tAI value of this gene is 0.1198. Below the value, the relative stAI weights (w_i) in *S.cerevisiae* are listed (Fig. 2C). The output is shown on the screen and can be exported into a text file.

Funding

R.S. is supported in part by a fellowship from the Edmond J Safra center for bioinformatics at Tel Aviv University. The research was partially supported by

research grants and a fellowship from the Israeli Ministry of Science, Technology.

Conflict of Interest: none declared.

References

- Brockmann, R. *et al.* (2007) Posttranscriptional expression regulation: what determines translation rates? *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, **3**, e57.
- Chan, P.P. and Lowe, T.M. (2009) GtRNAdb: a database of transfer RNA genes detected in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **37**, D93–D97.
- Ciandrini, L. *et al.* (2013) Ribosome traffic on mRNAs maps to gene ontology: genome-wide quantification of translation initiation rates and polysome size regulation. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, **9**, e1002866.
- Crick, F.H. (1966) Codon—anticodon pairing: the wobble hypothesis. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **19**, 548–555.
- Dana, A. and Tuller, T. (2014) The effect of tRNA levels on decoding times of mRNA codons. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **42**, 9171–9181.
- dos Reis, M. *et al.* (2004) Solving the riddle of codon usage preferences: a test for translational selection. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **32**, 5036–5044.
- Gingold, H. and Pilpel, Y. (2011) Determinants of translation efficiency and accuracy. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, **7**, 481.
- Goodman, D.B. *et al.* (2013) Causes and effects of N-terminal codon bias in bacterial genes. *Science*, **342**, 475–479.
- Man, O. and Pilpel, Y. (2007) Differential translation efficiency of orthologous genes is involved in phenotypic divergence of yeast species. *Nat. Genet.*, **39**, 415–421.
- Novoa, E.M. and de Poupiana, L.R. (2012) Speeding with control: codon usage, tRNAs, and ribosomes. *Trends Genet.*, **28**, 574–581.
- Pechmann, S. and Frydman, J. (2013) Evolutionary conservation of codon optimality reveals hidden signatures of cotranslational folding. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **20**, 237–243.
- Roymondal, U. *et al.* (2009) Predicting gene expression level from relative codon usage bias: an application to *Escherichia coli* genome. *DNA Res.*, **16**, 13–30.
- Sabi, R. and Tuller, T. (2014) Modelling the efficiency of codon–tRNA interactions based on codon usage bias. *DNA Res.*, **21**, 511–526.
- Sharp, P.M. *et al.* (2005) Variation in the strength of selected codon usage bias among bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **33**, 1141–1153.
- Tuller, T. *et al.* (2010) An evolutionarily conserved mechanism for controlling the efficiency of protein translation. *Cell*, **141**, 344–354.
- Tuller, T. *et al.* (2011) Composite effects of gene determinants on the translation speed and density of ribosomes. *Genome Biol.*, **12**, R110.
- Wang, M. *et al.* (2012) PaxDb, a database of protein abundance averages across all three domains of life. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, **11**, 492–500.