# Random Fourier Features on Kernel Derivatives\*

Zoltán Szabó<sup>1</sup>, Bharath K. Sriperumbudur<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CMAP, École Polytechnique <sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University

# Quick Summary

- Context: supervised learning with RKHSs (kernels).
- Scalability: random Fourier features (RFF) [2]
- one of the most influential approach,
- 10-year test-of-time award at NIPS-2017.
- Our motivation: tasks with function derivatives,

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} J(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i \left( y_i, \{ \partial^{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}_i) \}_{\mathbf{p} \in I_i} \right) + \lambda \| f \|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2.$$

Representer theorem  $\Rightarrow$  appr. of kernel derivatives.

• Goal: tight approximation guarantees on

$$\left\|\partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}k-\widehat{\partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}\times\mathbb{S})}.$$

# Supervised Learning with Derivatives

- Task: given
  - samples  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,
  - $\mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$  RKHS associated to kernel  $k: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- Objective:

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} J(f) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i \left( y_i, \{ \partial^{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}_i) \}_{\mathbf{p} \in I_i} \right) + \lambda \| f \|_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2.$$

- Examples  $(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0})$ :
- kernel ridge regression (squared loss):  $V(f(x_i), y_i) = [f(x_i) y_i]^2$ ,
- soft-classification (hinge loss):  $V(f(x_i), y_i) = \max(1 y_i f(x_i), 0)$ .
- Examples ([ $\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{q}$ ]  $\neq 0$ ):
- nonlinear variable selection,
- semi-supervised or Hermite learning with gradient information,
- fitting infinite-dimensional exponential families.
- Representer theorem [7]:

$$f(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in I_j} c_{j,\mathbf{p}} \partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{0}} k(\cdot, \mathbf{x}_j), \quad (c_{j,\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{R}), \text{ and}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{c}} \widetilde{J}(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{i} \left( y_{i}, \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in I_{j}} c_{j,\mathbf{p}} \partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{0}} k(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}) \right\}_{\mathbf{p} \in I_{i}} \right) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in I_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in I_{j}} c_{i,\mathbf{p}} c_{j,\mathbf{q}} \partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} k(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}),$$

where  $\mathbf{c} = (c_{i,\mathbf{p}})_{i \in \{1,...,n\}, \mathbf{p} \in I_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{i=1}^n |I_i|}$ .

#### Random Fourier Features

- $k: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  continuous bounded shift-invariant.
- By the Bochner theorem:

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \cos \left( \boldsymbol{\omega}^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \right) d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega})$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}), \phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \text{ where}$$

$$\phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}) = [\cos \left( \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x} \right); \sin \left( \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x} \right)],$$

$$\partial^{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \partial^{\mathbf{p}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}), \partial^{\mathbf{q}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

• RFF idea [2] ([ $\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{q}$ ] =  $\mathbf{0}$ ): change  $\Lambda$  to  $\Lambda_m$ 

$$\widehat{k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}), \phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\Lambda_m(\boldsymbol{\omega}),$$

$$\widehat{\partial^{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \partial^{\mathbf{p}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}), \partial^{\mathbf{q}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\Lambda_m(\boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

## Related Work

• Kernel values [5]:

$$||k - \hat{k}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}_m \times \mathbb{S}_m)} = O_{a.s.}\left(\sqrt{\log |\mathbb{S}_m|}/\sqrt{m}\right).$$

• Kernel ridge regression [3, 1], kernel PCA [4, 6].

## Our Result

Finite sample guarantee for kernels satisfying the Bernstein condition:  $\exists K \geq 1$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}}|^n}{(\sigma_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}})^n} d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \leq \frac{n!}{2} K^{n-2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where  $\sigma_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}}|^2 d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega})}$ . Specifically,

$$\left\| \partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} k - \widehat{\partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} k} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}_m \times \mathbb{S}_m)} = O_{a.s.} \left( \sqrt{\log(|\mathbb{S}_m|)} / \sqrt{m} \right).$$

Our result implies that

$$\|\partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}k - \widehat{\partial^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}k}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}_m \times \mathbb{S}_m)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0 \text{ if } |\mathbb{S}_m| = e^{o(m)}.$$

Bernstein requirement: For  $f_{\Lambda}(\omega) \propto e^{-\omega^{2\ell}}$ ,  $p+q \leq 2\ell$ :

Acknowledgements. This work was started and partially carried out while ZSz was visiting BKS at the Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University; ZSz thanks for their generous support. BKS is supported by NSF-DMS-1713011.

### References

- [1] Zhu Li, Jean-Franois Ton, Dino Oglic, and Dino Sejdinovic. A unified analysis of random Fourier features. Technical report, 2018.

  (https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.09178).
- [2] Ali Rahimi and Benjamin Recht. Random features for large-scale kernel machines. In *NIPS*, pages 1177–1184, 2007.
- [3] Alessandro Rudi and Lorenzo Rosasco. Generalization properties of learning with random features. In *NIPS*, pages 3218–3228, 2017.
- [4] Bharath Sriperumbudur and Nicholas Sterge. Approximate kernel PCA using random features: Computational vs. statistical trade-off. Technical report, Pennsylvania State University, 2018. (https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.06296)
- [5] Bharath K. Sriperumbudur and Zoltán Szabó. Optimal rates for random Fourier features. In *NIPS*, pages 1144–1152, 2015.
- [6] Enayat Ullah, Poorya Mianjy, Teodor V. Marinov, and Raman Arora. Streaming kernel PCA with  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$  random features. Technical report, 2018. (https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.00934).
- [7] Ding-Xuan Zhou. Derivative reproducing properties for kernel methods in learning theory. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 220:456–463, 2008.

<sup>\*</sup>Data Learning and Inference (DALI), George, South Africa, 3-5 January, 2019.