

SOME THEOREMS ON QUADRATIC FORMS AND NORMAL VARIABLES

ZONGWU CAI

March 7, 2025

1. The Multivariate Normal Distribution

The $n \times 1$ vector of random variables, y , is said to be distributed as a multivariate normal with mean vector μ and variance covariance matrix Σ (denoted $y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$), if the density of y is given by

$$f(y; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(y-\mu)}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Consider the special case, where $n = 1$, $y = y_1$, $\mu = \mu_1$, and $\Sigma = \sigma^2$,

$$f(y_1; \mu_1, \sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_1-\mu_1)(\frac{1}{\sigma^2})(y_1-\mu_1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(y_1-\mu_1)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

is just the normal density for a single random variable.

2. Theorems on Quadratic Forms in Normal Variables

Theorem 1. If $y \sim N(\mu_y, \Sigma_y)$, then, the moment generation function (mgf) $M(t)$ is given by

$$M_y(t) = E \left[e^{t^\top y} \right] = \exp \left(t^\top \mu + t^\top \Sigma t / 2 \right)$$

for any t , an $n \times 1$ vector. Then, the mgf of $z = Ay$, where $A_{r \times n}$ is a matrix of constants, is $M_z(t) = E \left[e^{t^\top z} \right] = E \left[e^{(A^\top t)^\top y} \right] = \exp \left(t^\top A \mu + t^\top A \Sigma A^\top t / 2 \right)$. Thus, $z \sim N(\mu_z = A\mu_y; \Sigma_z = A\Sigma_y A^\top)$. Furthermore, $z_1 = Ay$ and $z_2 = By$ are independent if and only if $(\iff) A\Sigma_y B^\top = 0$.

Proof: It is easy to show that $z \sim N(\mu_z; \Sigma_z)$ based on the mgf of z . Finally, define

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} y = D_1 y,$$

where D_1 is defined a clear manner, $z_1 = Ay$ and $z_2 = By$. Thus, $z \sim N(D_1\mu_y, \Sigma_1 = D_1\Sigma_y D_1^\top)$. Now,

$$\Sigma_1 = D_1\Sigma_y D_1^\top = \begin{pmatrix} A\Sigma_y A^\top & A\Sigma_y B^\top \\ B\Sigma_y A^\top & B\Sigma_y B^\top \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A\Sigma_y A^\top & 0 \\ 0 & B\Sigma_y B^\top \end{pmatrix} \iff A\Sigma_y B^\top = 0.$$

Therefore, z_1 and z_2 are independent $\iff A\Sigma_y B^\top = 0$. Then, Ay and By are independent $\iff A\Sigma_y B^\top = 0$.

Example: Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n denote a random sample drawn from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then,

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \vdots \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \sigma^2 & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now, Theorem 1 implies that

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n}Y_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{n}Y_n = \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n} \right) Y = AY \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n),$$

since

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \vdots \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n} \right) \sigma^2 I \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

Theorem 2. Let the $n \times 1$ vector $y \sim N(0, I)$. Then $y^\top y \sim \chi^2(n)^1$.

Proof: Consider that each y_i is an independent standard normal variable. Write out $y^\top y$ in summation notation as

$$y^\top y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2,$$

which is the sum of squares of n standard normal variables. By applying the mgf approach, one can establish easily the theorem.

Theorem 3. If $y \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ and M is a symmetric idempotent matrix of rank m , then, $\frac{y^\top M y}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(\text{tr } M = m)$. Also, if y is a $n \times 1$ random variable and $y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$, then, $(y - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(y - \mu) \sim \chi^2(n)$.

¹The mgf of $\chi^2(n)$ is $M(t) = (1 - 2t)^{-n/2}$ for $t < 1/2$.

Proof: Since M is symmetric it can be diagonalized with an orthogonal matrix Q . This means that

$$Q^\top M Q = \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, since M is idempotent all these roots are either zero or one. Thus we can choose Q so that Λ will look like

$$Q^\top M Q = \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The dimension of the identity matrix will be equal to the rank of M , since the number of non-zero roots is the rank of the matrix. Since the sum of the roots is equal to the trace, the dimension is also equal to the trace of M . Now let $v = Q^\top y$. Compute the moments of $v = Q^\top y$ as follows. $E(v) = Q^\top E(y) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(v) = Q^\top \sigma^2 I Q = \sigma^2 Q^\top Q = \sigma^2 I$, since Q is orthogonal. Then, $v \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$. Now, consider the distribution of $y^\top M y$ using the transformation v . Since Q is orthogonal, its inverse is equal to its transpose. This means that $y = (Q^\top)^{-1} v = Q v$. Now, write the quadratic form as follows

$$\frac{y^\top M y}{\sigma^2} = \frac{v^\top Q^\top M Q v}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} v^\top \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{tr } M} v_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{tr } M} \left(\frac{v_i}{\sigma} \right)^2.$$

This is the sum of squares of $(\text{tr } M)$ standard normal variables and so is a χ^2 variable with $\text{tr } M$ degrees of freedom. Let $w = (y - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (y - \mu) = z^\top z$, where $z = \Sigma^{-1/2} (y - \mu)$. By Theorem 1, z is distributed as $N(0, I)$, then, the proof is complete.

Corollary: If the $n \times 1$ vector $y \sim N(0, I)$ and the $n \times n$ matrix A is idempotent and of rank m . Then, $y^\top A y \sim \chi^2(m)$.

Theorem 4. If $y \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$, M is a symmetric idempotent matrix of order n , and L is a $k \times n$ matrix, then Ly and $y^\top M y$ are independently distributed if $LM = 0$.

Proof: Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, define

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L \\ M \end{pmatrix} y = D_4 y,$$

where D_4 is defined a clear manner, $z_1 = Ly$ and $z_2 = My$. According to Theorem 1, $z \sim N(0, \Sigma_4 = \sigma^2 D_4 D_4^\top)$. Now,

$$\Sigma_4 = \sigma^2 D_4 D_4^\top = \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} LL^\top & LM^\top \\ ML^\top & MM^\top \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} LL^\top & 0 \\ 0 & MM^\top \end{pmatrix}$$

by the assumption that $LM = 0$. Therefore, z_1 and z_2 are independent. Since $y^\top My = z_2^\top z_2$, then, Ly and $y^\top My$ are independent.

Theorem 5. Let the $n \times 1$ vector $y \sim N(0, I)$, let A be an $n \times n$ idempotent matrix of rank m , let B be an $n \times n$ idempotent matrix of rank s , and suppose $BA = 0$. Then, $y^\top Ay \sim \chi^2(m)$, $y^\top By \sim \chi^2(s)$, and they are independently.

Proof: By Theorem 3 both quadratic forms are distributed as chi-square variables. We need only to demonstrate their independence. To this end, similar to Theorem 1, define

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} y = D_5 y,$$

where D_5 is defined a clear manner, $z_1 = Ay$ and $z_2 = By$. According to Theorem 1, $z \sim N(0, \Sigma_5 = D_5 D_5^\top)$. Now,

$$\Sigma_5 = D_5 D_5^\top = \begin{pmatrix} AA^\top & AB^\top \\ BA^\top & BB^\top \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AA^\top & 0 \\ 0 & BB^\top \end{pmatrix}$$

by the assumption that $BA = 0$. Therefore, z_1 and z_2 are independent. Since $y^\top Ay = z_1^\top z_1$ and $y^\top By = z_2^\top z_2$, then, $y^\top Ay$ and $y^\top By$ are independent.

Theorem 6. If $y \sim N(\mu, \Omega)$ where Ω is positive definite, then, $q_1 = y^\top Ay$ and $q_2 = y^\top By$ are independently distributed if and only if $(\iff) A\Omega B = 0$.

Proof of Sufficiency: First, define $z = \Omega^{-1/2}y$. Then, $z \sim N(\Omega^{-1/2}\mu, I)$. Now, similar to Theorem 5,

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} y = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \Omega^{1/2} z = D_6 z,$$

where D_6 is defined a clear manner, $t_1 = A\Omega^{1/2}z$ and $t_2 = B\Omega^{1/2}z$. According to Theorem 1, $t \sim N(D_6\Omega^{-1/2}\mu, \Sigma_6 = D_6 D_6^\top)$. Now,

$$\Sigma_6 = D_6 D_6^\top = \begin{pmatrix} A\Omega A^\top & A\Omega B^\top \\ B\Omega A^\top & B\Omega B^\top \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A\Omega A^\top & 0 \\ 0 & B\Omega B^\top \end{pmatrix}$$

by the assumption that $A\Omega B = 0$. Therefore, t_1 and t_2 are independent. Since $y^\top Ay = t_1^\top t_1$ and $y^\top By = t_2^\top t_2$, then, $y^\top Ay$ and $y^\top By$ are independent. Note that the proof of necessity is difficult and has a long history; see, for instance, Driscoll and Grundberg (1986) and Driscoll and Krasnicka (1995).

Example (Continued). Let s^2 denote the sample variance of Y_1, \dots, Y_n iid from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, given by

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2,$$

which can be expressed as $s^2 = Y^\top M_\ell Y / (n-1)$, where $M_\ell = I - \ell(\ell^\top)^{-1}\ell^\top$ with $\ell^\top = (1, \dots, 1)$. Also, $\bar{Y} = \ell^\top Y / n$. It is easy to see from the above theorems that $(n-1)s^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(1)$ and $M_\ell \ell = 0$, which implies that \bar{Y} and s^2 are independent.

References

- Cramer, H. (1946). *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Driscoll, M. F. and W. R. Grundberg (1986). A history of the development of Craig's theorem. *American Statistician* **40**(1):65-69.
- Driscoll, M. F. and B. Krasnicka (1995). An accessible proof of Craig's theorem in the general case. *American Statistician* **49**(1):59-61.
- Goldberger, A. S. (1964). *Econometric Theory*. New York: Wiley.
- Goldberger, A. S. (1991). *A Course in Econometrics*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Hocking, R. R. (1985). *The Analysis of Linear Models. Monterey*. Brooks/Cole.
- Hocking, R. R. (1996). *Methods and Applications of Linear Models*. New York: Wiley.
- Rao, C. R. (1973). *Linear Statistical Inference and its Applications*. 2nd edition. New York: Wiley.
- Schott, J. R. (1997). *Matrix Analysis for Statistics*. New York: Wiley.