

Lecture 2, IEOR 4732

Fourier Transform and COS Method

傅立葉變換和 COS 方法

Zongyi Liu

Thu, Mar 27, 2025

Contents

1	Agenda	1
2	FFT	1
2.1	Implementation	1
2.2	Choice of β	2
2.3	Constraint	2
3	Fractional FFT	3
3.1	Formation	3
3.2	Formation	3
3.3	Implementation	4
3.4	FrFFT vs. FFT	5
4	Cosine Method	5
4.1	Cosine Series Expansion	5
4.2	Linking it to CF	6
4.3	COS Option Pricing	7
4.4	Vanilla Option Price	7
4.5	Pros and Cons	8

1 Agenda

- Recap of FFT
- Fractional FFT (FrFFT)
- COS method

2 FFT

2.1 Implementation

Having $\Phi(\nu)$, choose $\eta, N = 2^n$, and β , calculate $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{N/\eta}$, $\nu_j = (j-1)\eta$, and set $\alpha > 0$. Form vector \mathbf{x} .

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta}{2(\alpha+i\nu_1)(\alpha+i\nu_1+1)} e^{-rT} e^{-i\beta\nu_1} \Phi(\nu_1 - (\alpha+1)i) \\ \frac{\eta}{(\alpha+i\nu_2)(\alpha+i\nu_2+1)} e^{-rT} e^{-i\beta\nu_2} \Phi(\nu_2 - (\alpha+1)i) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\eta}{(\alpha+i\nu_N)(\alpha+i\nu_N+1)} e^{-rT} e^{-i\beta\nu_N} \Phi(\nu_N - (\alpha+1)i) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \text{fft}(\mathbf{x})$$

Call prices at strike k_m for $m = 1, \dots, N$

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_T(k_1) \\ C_T(k_2) \\ \vdots \\ C_T(k_N) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-\alpha k_1}}{\pi} Re(y_1) \\ \frac{e^{-\alpha k_2}}{\pi} Re(y_2) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{e^{-\alpha k_N}}{\pi} Re(y_N) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $k_m = \beta + (m-1)\lambda$

2.2 Choice of β

$k_m = \beta + (m-1)\lambda$ for $m = 1, \dots, N$

- Two common choices:
 - Middle of the range corresponds to at-the-money: set $\beta = \ln(S_0) - \frac{N}{2}\lambda$.
 - The first call corresponds to a specific strike K : set $\beta = \ln(K)$.
- Have in mind that the stepsize λ could introduce interpolation error.

2.3 Constraint

- The following relationship between λ and η

$$\lambda\eta = \frac{2\pi}{N}$$

- For $N = 2^{12} = 4096$ and $\eta = 0.25$ we have

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{N/\eta} = 0.0061$$

i.e. calculated ^{es} options roughly $67 \left(2 \times \frac{20\%}{0.61\%} + 1 \approx 67\right)$ will fall within the 20% log-strike interval.

- for $N = 2^8 = 256$ and $\eta = 0.25$ we have:

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{N/\eta} = 0.0981$$

3 Fractional FFT

- The goal is to eliminate dependency between λ and η .
- Fractional FFT does that.
- The fractional FFT procedure computes a sum of the form

$$\sum_{\gamma=1}^N e^{i2\pi\gamma(j-1)(m-1)} x(j)$$

for any value of γ

- A special case: $\gamma = \frac{1}{N}$

3.1 Formation

Define the N -long complex sequence x as:

$$G_m(x, \gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{-i2\pi\gamma(j-1)(m-1)} x(j)$$

γ any complex rational number. The sum can be implemented via three $2N$ -point FFT steps. For an N -point fractional FFT on the vector $x(j)$, we define the following $2N$ -long sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} y_j &= x_j e^{-i\pi(j-1)^2\gamma} & 1 \leq j \leq N \\ y_j &= 0 & N < j \leq 2N \\ z_j &= e^{i\pi(j-1)^2\gamma} & 1 \leq j \leq N \\ z_j &= e^{i\pi(2N-j)^2\gamma} & N < j \leq 2N \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\lambda\eta}{2\pi}$.

3.2 Formation

It is shown that:

$$G_m(x, \gamma) = \left(e^{-i\pi(m-1)^2\gamma} \right) \odot D_m^{-1}(D(\mathbf{y}) \odot D(\mathbf{z})) \quad 1 \leq m \leq N$$

\odot element componentwise vector multiplication

$$D(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1(\xi) \\ D_2(\xi) \\ \vdots \\ D_{2N}(\xi) \end{pmatrix}$$

With

$$\eta_j = \mathcal{D}_j(\xi) = \sum_{m=1}^{2N} \exp\left(-i\frac{2\pi}{2N}(j-1)(m-1)\right) \xi(m), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2N$$

and

$$\xi_m = \mathcal{D}_m^{-1}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \exp\left(i \frac{2\pi}{2N} (j-1)(m-1)\right) \eta(j), \quad 1 \leq m \leq 2N$$

- The remaining N results of the final inverse discrete Fourier transform are discarded
- exponential quantities do not depend on the actual function that is integrated and therefore can be pre-computed and stored

3.3 Implementation

Having the characteristic function of the log of the underlying process X_t that is $\Phi(\nu)$, we choose η, λ (independently) and $N = 2^n$. Calculate $\gamma = \frac{\eta\lambda}{2\pi}$, $\nu_j = (j-1)\eta$ and set α . Form vector \mathbf{x} .

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{C}{(\alpha+i\nu_1)(\alpha+i\nu_1+1)} e^{-i\beta\nu_1} \Phi(\nu_1 - (\alpha+1)i) \\ \frac{\eta C}{(\alpha+i\nu_2)(\alpha+i\nu_2+1)} e^{-i\beta\nu_2} \Phi(\nu_2 - (\alpha+1)i) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\eta C}{(\alpha+i\nu_N)(\alpha+i\nu_N+1)} e^{-i\beta\nu_N} \Phi(\nu_N - (\alpha+1)i) \end{pmatrix}$$

Form \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} :

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \\ y_{N+1} \\ y_{N+2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2N} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \exp(-i\pi\gamma)x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \exp(-i\pi\gamma(N-1)^2)x_N \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_N \\ z_{N+1} \\ z_{N+2} \\ \vdots \\ z_{2N} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \exp(i\gamma\pi) \\ \vdots \\ \exp(i\gamma\pi(N-1)^2) \\ \exp(i\gamma\pi(N-1)^2) \\ \exp(i\gamma\pi(N-2)^2) \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

\mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} are the input to FFT, and its output is vectors $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ of the same size. Form ξ by multiplying vectors $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ element-wise.

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{2N} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}_1 \hat{z}_1 \\ \hat{y}_2 \hat{z}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}_{2N} \hat{z}_{2N} \end{pmatrix}$$

ξ is the input IFFT, and its output is $\hat{\xi}$. Using vector $\hat{\xi}$, call prices at strike k_m for $m = 1, \dots, N$ are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_T(k_1) \\ C_T(k_2) \\ \vdots \\ C_T(k_N) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-\alpha\beta\lambda}}{\pi} \operatorname{Re}(\hat{\xi}_1) \\ \frac{e^{-\alpha(\beta+1)\lambda}}{\pi} \operatorname{Re}(\exp(-i\pi\gamma)\hat{\xi}_2) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{e^{-\alpha(\beta+(N-1)\lambda)}}{\pi} \operatorname{Re}(\exp(-i\pi\gamma(N-1)^2)\hat{\xi}_N) \end{pmatrix}$$

wheree as before $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ is the real part of z

3.4 FrFFT vs. FFT

關於傅立葉變換和分數階傅立葉變換的的區別.

- Note that the last N elements of $\hat{\xi}$ are never used and are discarded
 - Considering λ and η are independent, we can choose λ that would yield a range around $\ln X_0$ with desired money-ness (for example, for 25% money-ness we get $\lambda = \frac{2(0.25)}{N}$)
 - Also typically $N := 2^n$ is much smaller than the fast Fourier technique (e.g., 2^7 as opposed to 2^{14})
- Q: Ask yourself about FFT vs. FrFFT

4 Cosine Method

4.1 Cosine Series Expansion

The Fourier cosine series expansion of a function $f(\theta)$ on $[0, \pi]$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2}A_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \cos(k\theta) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \cos(k\theta) \end{aligned}$$

with the Fourier cosine coefficient

$$A_k = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(\theta) \cos(k\theta) d\theta$$

where $\bar{\sum}$ indicates the first term in the summation is weighted by one-half.

For functions on $[a, b]$, make the following change of variable that maps a to 0 and b to π :

$$\theta = \frac{\pi - 0}{b - a}(x - a) = \frac{x - a}{b - a}\pi$$

x in terms of θ

$$x = \frac{b-a}{\pi}\theta + a$$

substitute

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \cos\left(k \frac{x-a}{b-a} \pi\right)$$

with

$$A_k = \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) \cos\left(k \frac{x-a}{b-a} \pi\right) dx$$

4.2 Linking it to CF

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{i\nu x}) = \phi(\nu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\nu x} f(x) dx$$

Evaluate it at $\nu = \frac{k\pi}{b-a}$

$$\phi\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}\right)x} f(x) dx$$

$$\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}\right) = \int_a^b e^{i\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}\right)x} f(x) dx$$

Multiplying it by $e^{-i\frac{k\pi a}{b-a}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}\right) e^{-i\frac{k\pi a}{b-a}} &= \int_a^b e^{ik\pi\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)} f(x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b \left(\cos\left(k\pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) + i \sin\left(k\pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) \right) f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{ka\pi}{b-a}\right) \right\} = \int_a^b \cos\left(k\pi \left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)\right) f(x) dx$$

If we assume $[a, b]$ is s.t.

$$\hat{\phi}(\nu) = \int_a^b e^{i\nu x} f(x) dx \approx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\nu x} f(x) dx = \phi(\nu)$$

$$A_k = \frac{2}{b-a} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{ka\pi}{b-a}\right) \right\}$$

with $A_k \approx F_k$ where

$$\begin{aligned} F_k &= \frac{2}{b-a} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \phi\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{ka\pi}{b-a}\right) \right\} \\ \hat{f}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \cos\left(k \frac{x-a}{b-a} \pi\right) \end{aligned}$$

truncating it further

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F_k \cos\left(k \frac{x-a}{b-a} \pi\right)$$

原文有個 long bar, 在 sum 符號上, 不知道是幹嘛的

4.3 COS Option Pricing

- x be the modeled quantity at $t, \ln X_t$
- y be the modeled quantity at $T, \ln X_T$
- $f(y | x)$ be the probability density function under the pricing measure
- $v(x, t)$ be the option value at t
- $v(y, T)$ be the option value at T , the payoff at expiration

Then the option value at time t can be written as

$$v(x, t) = C \int_a^b v(y, T) f(y | x) dy$$

for an appropriate value of C .

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) &= C \int_a^b v(y, T) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \cos\left(k \frac{y-a}{b-a} \pi\right) dy \\ &= C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \left(\int_a^b v(y, T) \cos\left(k \frac{y-a}{b-a} \pi\right) dy \right) \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} V_k &= \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b v(y, T) \cos\left(k \pi \frac{y-a}{b-a}\right) dy \\ v(x, t) &= \frac{b-a}{2} C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k V_k \end{aligned}$$

another approximation:

$$\approx C \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \phi\left(\frac{k\pi}{b-a}; x\right) \exp\left(-ik\pi \frac{a}{b-a}\right) \right\} V_k$$

4.4 Vanilla Option Price

- X_t is the current price of the underlying security
- X_T is the T -time price of the underlying security
- K is the strike of the option
- $x = \ln(X_t/K)$
- $y = \ln(X_T/K)$

Vanilla European options expressed as

$$v(y, T) = [\alpha K (e^y - 1)]^+$$

with $\alpha = 1$ for a call and $\alpha = -1$ for a put.

V_k are known analytically for vanilla options. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_k(c, d) &= \int_c^d e^y \cos\left(k\pi \frac{y-a}{b-a}\right) dy \\ \varphi_k(c, d) &= \int_c^d \cos\left(k\pi \frac{y-a}{b-a}\right) dy\end{aligned}$$

For a vanilla call and put we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}V_k^{\text{call}} &= \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b K(e^y - 1)^+ \cos\left(k\pi \frac{y-a}{b-a}\right) dy \\ &= \frac{2}{b-a} K (\chi_k(0, b) - \varphi_k(0, b)) \\ V_k^{\text{put}} &= \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b K(1 - e^y)^+ \cos\left(k\pi \frac{y-a}{b-a}\right) dy \\ &= \frac{2}{b-a} K (-\chi_k(a, 0) + \varphi_k(a, 0))\end{aligned}$$

4.5 Pros and Cons

Q: how to find the truncation range $[a, b]$

A: not easy, one of disadvantages of this method

- One advantage of the COS method is its flexibility in switching to different payoffs