

# Homework 8, STAT 5261

Zongyi Liu

Wed, Nov 12, 2025

## 1 Question 1

Let  $X$  be a random variable and let  $\text{VaR}(\alpha)(X)$  be the value at risk corresponding to  $X$ . Show that

- (a) Translation Invariance:

$$\text{VaR}(\alpha)(X + a) = \text{VaR}(\alpha)(X) + a, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1)$$

- (b) Positive Homogeneity:

$$\text{VaR}(\alpha)(\lambda X) = \lambda \text{VaR}(\alpha)(X), \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

### Answer

In the book def 19.2, we have that for a random variable  $X$ , the Value at Risk at level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  is defined by  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X) := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F_X(x) \geq \alpha\}$ , where  $F_X$  is the distribution function of  $X$ .

#### Part a

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . The distribution function of  $X + a$  satisfies  $F_{X+a}(x) = P(X + a \leq x) = P(X \leq x - a) = F_X(x - a)$ . Thus  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X + a) = \inf\{x : F_{X+a}(x) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{x : F_X(x - a) \geq \alpha\}$ . Let  $t = x - a$  so that  $x = t + a$ . Then  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X + a) = \inf\{t + a : F_X(t) \geq \alpha\} = a + \inf\{t : F_X(t) \geq \alpha\}$ . Hence  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X + a) = \text{VaR}_\alpha(X) + a$ .

#### Part b

Let  $\lambda \geq 0$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $F_{\lambda X}(x) = P(\lambda X \leq x) = P(X \leq x/\lambda) = F_X(x/\lambda)$ . Therefore  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(\lambda X) = \inf\{x : F_{\lambda X}(x) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{x : F_X(x/\lambda) \geq \alpha\}$ . Let  $t = x/\lambda$  so  $x = \lambda t$ . Then  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(\lambda X) = \inf\{\lambda t : F_X(t) \geq \alpha\} = \lambda \inf\{t : F_X(t) \geq \alpha\}$ . Thus  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(\lambda X) = \lambda \text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$ .

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $0X = 0$  almost surely, hence  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(0) = 0 = 0 \cdot \text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$ . Therefore the result holds for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

## 2 Question 2

Suppose the return  $R$  on a stock satisfies

$$R = \mu + \lambda Y$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are fixed and  $Y$  has a  $t$ -distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom.

- (a) If you hold a position of size  $S_0$  in this stock, show that for one day

$$\text{VaR}(\alpha) = -S_0 (\mu + \lambda t_{\alpha,\nu})$$

where  $t_{\alpha,\nu}$  is the  $\alpha$  th quantile of a  $t$ -distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom. Hint: Recall that  $\Pr(L > \text{VaR}(\alpha)) = \alpha$  and  $L = -S_0 R$ .

- (b) If  $S_0 = 100,000$ ,  $\mu = 0.4$ , and  $\lambda = 0.01$ , compute  $\text{VaR}(0.05)$  when  $\nu = 10$ .

### Answer

#### Part a

One-day return is given by  $R = \mu + \lambda Y$  with  $Y \sim t_\nu$ . The one-day loss is therefore  $L = -S_0 R = -S_0(\mu + \lambda Y)$ . Assuming  $S_0 > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the function  $L$  is strictly decreasing in  $Y$ .

By definition,  $\text{VaR}(\alpha)$  satisfies the equation  $\Pr(L > \text{VaR}(\alpha)) = \alpha$ . Start by expanding the event:  $\Pr(L > \text{VaR}(\alpha)) = \Pr(-S_0(\mu + \lambda Y) > \text{VaR}(\alpha))$ . Since  $S_0 > 0$ , dividing both sides by  $-S_0$  reverses the inequality, giving  $\Pr(-S_0(\mu + \lambda Y) > \text{VaR}(\alpha)) = \Pr(\mu + \lambda Y < -\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0)$ .

Subtract  $\mu$  from the right-hand side:  $\Pr(\mu + \lambda Y < -\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0) = \Pr(\lambda Y < -\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0 - \mu)$ . Because  $\lambda > 0$ , dividing by  $\lambda$  preserves the direction of the inequality:  $\Pr(\lambda Y < -\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0 - \mu) = \Pr(Y < (-\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0 - \mu)/\lambda)$ . Returning to the defining condition  $\Pr(L > \text{VaR}(\alpha)) = \alpha$ , we now have  $\Pr(Y < (-\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0 - \mu)/\lambda) = \alpha$ . Since  $Y \sim t_\nu$ , let  $t_{\alpha,\nu}$  denote the  $\alpha$ -quantile of the  $t_\nu$  distribution, so that  $\Pr(Y < t_{\alpha,\nu}) = \alpha$ . Matching the two expressions yields  $(-\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0 - \mu)/\lambda = t_{\alpha,\nu}$ .

Now solve for  $\text{VaR}(\alpha)$ :  $-\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0 - \mu = \lambda t_{\alpha,\nu}$ , so  $-\text{VaR}(\alpha)/S_0 = \mu + \lambda t_{\alpha,\nu}$  and multiplying by  $-S_0$  gives  $\text{VaR}(\alpha) = -S_0(\mu + \lambda t_{\alpha,\nu})$ .

Thus the Value at Risk is  $\text{VaR}(\alpha) = -S_0(\mu + \lambda t_{\alpha,\nu})$ .

#### Part b

For  $S_0 = 100000$ ,  $\mu = 0.4$ ,  $\lambda = 0.01$ , and  $\nu = 10$ , we use  $t_{0.05,10} \approx -1.812$ . Substitution into the expression from part (a) gives  $\text{VaR}(0.05) = -100000(0.4 + 0.01 t_{0.05,10}) = -100000(0.4 - 0.01812) \approx -38188$ . Thus the 5% one-day VaR is approximately  $-3.82 \times 10^4$ , meaning a potential loss of about \$38,200 at the 5% tail.

### 3 Question 3

Suppose the daily returns  $(R_A, R_B)$  on Stocks A and B have a bivariate normal distribution with

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0002 \\ 0.0003 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0003 & 0.0002 \\ 0.0002 & 0.0004 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$R_A \sim N(0.0002, 0.0003), \quad R_B \sim N(0.0003, 0.0004),$$

and for any  $a, b$ ,

$$aR_A + bR_B \sim N(0.0002a + 0.0003b, 0.0003a^2 + 0.0004b^2 + 0.0004ab)$$

- (a) Suppose that you hold a \$1000 position in Stock A (i.e.  $S_0 = 1000$ ). Compute  $\text{VaR}_A(0.05)$ .
- (b) Suppose that you hold a \$1000 position in Stock B (i.e.  $S_0 = 1000$ ). Compute  $\text{VaR}_B(0.05)$ .
- (c) What is  $\text{VaR}(0.05)$  of a portfolio holding \$500 in Stock A and \$500 in Stock B?

#### Answer

By definition, the (oneday)  $\text{VaR}_{0.05}$  is defined as the 5% lower quantile of the portfolio value change (reported as a positive loss). Let  $R$  be the (random) return and  $S_0$  the initial position. Then we have:

$$S_1 = S_0(1 + R), \quad \Delta S = S_1 - S_0 = S_0R, \quad L = -\Delta S = -S_0R.$$

If  $q_{0.05}(R)$  is the 5%-quantile of  $R$ , then:

$$\text{VaR}_{0.05} = -S_0 q_{0.05}(R).$$

Throughout the question, use  $z_{0.05} \approx -1.645$  for the standard normal quantile.

#### Part a

We have

$$R_A \sim N(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2), \quad \mu_A = 0.0002, \quad \sigma_A^2 = 0.0003, \quad \sigma_A = \sqrt{0.0003} \approx 0.01732.$$

Thus

$$q_{0.05}(R_A) = \mu_A + z_{0.05}\sigma_A \approx 0.0002 + (-1.645) \cdot 0.01732 \approx 0.0002 - 0.02849 \approx -0.02829.$$

Therefore

$$\text{VaR}_A(0.05) = -1000 q_{0.05}(R_A) \approx -1000 \cdot (-0.02829) \approx 28.3.$$

#### Part b

We have

$$R_B \sim N(\mu_B, \sigma_B^2), \quad \mu_B = 0.0003, \quad \sigma_B^2 = 0.0004, \quad \sigma_B = \sqrt{0.0004} = 0.02.$$

Thus

$$q_{0.05}(R_B) = \mu_B + z_{0.05}\sigma_B \approx 0.0003 + (-1.645) \cdot 0.02 \approx 0.0003 - 0.0329 \approx -0.0326.$$

Hence

$$\text{VaR}_B(0.05) = -1000 q_{0.05}(R_B) \approx 32.6.$$

#### Part c

The total initial value is  $S_0 = 1000$ . Weights in A and B are

$$w_A = \frac{500}{1000} = 0.5, \quad w_B = 0.5.$$

With means  $w = (1/2, 1/2)^T$ , and the portfolio return is

$$R_p = w_A R_A + w_B R_B = 0.5 R_A + 0.5 R_B.$$

From the given joint normal  $R_p \sim N(\mu_p, \sigma_p^2)$ , with  $\mu_p = 0.0002 \cdot 0.5 + 0.0003 \cdot 0.5 = 0.0001 + 0.00015 = 0.00025$  and variance is:

$$\sigma_p^2 = 0.0003a^2 + 0.0004b^2 + 0.0004ab \quad \text{with } a = b = 0.5.$$

So we have:

$$\sigma_p^2 = 0.0003 \cdot 0.25 + 0.0004 \cdot 0.25 + 0.0004 \cdot 0.25 = 0.000075 + 0.0001 + 0.0001 = 0.000275,$$

$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{0.000275} \approx 0.0166.$$

Thus:

$$q_{0.05}(R_p) = \mu_p + z_{0.05}\sigma_p \approx 0.00025 + (-1.645) \cdot 0.0166 \approx 0.00025 - 0.0273 \approx -0.0270.$$

Hence we get:

$$\text{VaR}_p(0.05) = -S_0 q_{0.05}(R_p) \approx -1000 \cdot (-0.0270) \approx 27.0.$$

## 4 Question 4

Suppose the distribution of  $R$  has a pdf  $f$ . Show that

$$\text{ES}(\alpha) = -S_0 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} r f(r) dr}{\alpha}$$

where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$  th quantile of the distribution of  $R$ .

### Answer

Let  $R$  be the portfolio return with pdf  $f$  and cdf  $F$ , and initial portfolio value  $S_0 > 0$ . Define the loss  $L = -S_0 R$ . Let  $q_\alpha$  be the  $\alpha$ th quantile of  $R$ , i.e.  $F(q_\alpha) = \Pr(R \leq q_\alpha) = \alpha$ .

The  $\alpha$ -VaR of the loss  $L$  is  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(L) = \inf\{x : \Pr(L \leq x) \geq \alpha\}$ . Since  $L = -S_0 R$  is strictly decreasing in  $R$ , we have  $\Pr(L \leq x) = \Pr(-S_0 R \leq x) = \Pr(R \geq -x/S_0)$ . Thus the  $\alpha$ -quantile of  $L$  corresponds to the  $\alpha$ -quantile of  $R$ , and hence  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(L) = -S_0 q_\alpha$ .

The Expected Shortfall at level  $\alpha$  is  $\text{ES}(\alpha) = E[L | L \geq \text{VaR}_\alpha(L)]$ . Using  $L = -S_0 R$  and  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(L) = -S_0 q_\alpha$ , the event  $\{L \geq \text{VaR}_\alpha(L)\}$  becomes  $\{-S_0 R \geq -S_0 q_\alpha\} = \{R \leq q_\alpha\}$ . Hence:

$$\text{ES}(\alpha) = E[-S_0 R | R \leq q_\alpha] = -S_0 E[R | R \leq q_\alpha].$$

Now we have:

$$E[R | R \leq q_\alpha] = \frac{E[R \mathbf{1}_{\{R \leq q_\alpha\}}]}{\Pr(R \leq q_\alpha)} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} r f(r) dr}{F(q_\alpha)}.$$

Since  $F(q_\alpha) = \alpha$ , we obtain  $E[R | R \leq q_\alpha] = (\int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} r f(r) dr)/\alpha$ .

Therefore:

$$\text{ES}(\alpha) = -S_0 E[R | R \leq q_\alpha] = -S_0 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} r f(r) dr}{\alpha},$$

thus we prove that:

$$\text{ES}(\alpha) = -S_0 \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} r f(r) dr}{\alpha}.$$

## 5 Question 5

Assume  $R \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Show that

$$\text{ES}(\alpha) = -S_0 \left[ \mu - \sigma \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z_\alpha^2/2} \right]$$

where  $z_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$  th quantile of the standard normal distribution  $N(0, 1)$ .

### Answer

Assume that  $R \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $S_0 > 0$ . From the previous result, the Expected Shortfall at level  $\alpha$  is  $\text{ES}(\alpha) = -S_0 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} r f_R(r) dr \right) / \alpha$ , where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -quantile of  $R$ .

Since  $R = \mu + \sigma Z$  with  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , the  $\alpha$ -quantile of  $R$  is  $q_\alpha = \mu + \sigma z_\alpha$ , where  $z_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -quantile of  $N(0, 1)$ . Let the standard normal pdf and cdf be  $\varphi(z) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})e^{-z^2/2}$  and  $\Phi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \varphi(x) dx$ .

The pdf of  $R$  is  $f_R(r) = (1/\sigma)\varphi((r - \mu)/\sigma)$ . Consider the integral  $I = \int_{-\infty}^{q_\alpha} r f_R(r) dr$ . With the change of variables  $x = (r - \mu)/\sigma$ , so that  $r = \mu + \sigma x$ ,  $dr = \sigma dx$ , the upper limit becomes  $x = z_\alpha$ . Thus  $I = \int_{-\infty}^{z_\alpha} (\mu + \sigma x)\varphi(x) dx$ . Splitting the integral gives  $I = \mu \int_{-\infty}^{z_\alpha} \varphi(x) dx + \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{z_\alpha} x\varphi(x) dx$ .

The first term equals  $\mu\Phi(z_\alpha) = \mu\alpha$ . For the second, using  $d(-\varphi(x))/dx = x\varphi(x)$ , we obtain  $\int_{-\infty}^{z_\alpha} x\varphi(x) dx = -\varphi(z_\alpha)$ . Hence  $I = \mu\alpha - \sigma\varphi(z_\alpha)$ . Dividing by  $\alpha$  gives  $(1/\alpha)I = \mu - \sigma\varphi(z_\alpha)/\alpha$ . Substituting  $\varphi(z_\alpha) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})e^{-z_\alpha^2/2}$  yields that:

$$\text{ES}(\alpha) = -S_0 \left[ \mu - \sigma \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z_\alpha^2/2} \right].$$

Thus the identity  $\text{ES}(\alpha) = -S_0 \left[ \mu - \sigma(\alpha^{-1}\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1} e^{-z_\alpha^2/2} \right]$  is established.