# Graph Time

# Table of contents

1 Exploring Graph Data Models for Timetabling Insights			Graph Data Models for Timetabling Insights	3
2	Introduction   2.1 Intro 500 words			
3	Data Engineering			
	3.1	Data I	Engineering: An End-to-End Solution (1500-2000 words)	5
		3.1.1	2.1 Overview of the Data Pipeline	5
		3.1.2	2.2 Extraction Process	5
		3.1.3	2.3 Transformation and Anonymisation	5
		3.1.4	2.4 Loading to Graph Database	5
		3.1.5	2.5 Automation and Workflow	5
		3.1.6	2.6 Lessons Learned and Iterative Development	5
4	Graph Data Model			
	4.1	Graph	Data Model for Timetabling (1000 words)	6
		4.1.1	3.1 Comparison of Relational and Graph Models	6
		4.1.2	3.2 Advantages of the Graph Approach	6
		4.1.3	3.3 Data Augmentation Opportunities	6
5	Timetable Metrics			
	5.1	Timeta	able Quality Metrics and Insights (1500-2000 words)	7
		5.1.1	4.1 Defining Timetable Quality	7
		5.1.2	4.2 Implemented Metrics	7
		5.1.3	4.3 Aggregation Methods	7
		5.1.4	4.4 Cypher Queries for Metric Calculation	7
		5.1.5	4.5 Visualization of Results	7
6	Future Opportunities			
	6.1	Future	e Opportunities and Potential Insights (500 words)	8
7	Conclusion			9
	7.1	Conclu	usion (500 words)	9
8	References			

# 1 Exploring Graph Data Models for Timetabling Insights

This is a Quarto website.

To learn more about Quarto websites visit https://quarto.org/docs/websites.

# 2 Introduction

#### 2.1 Intro 500 words

- Background on university timetabling challenges
- Motivation for exploring graph-based approaches
- Project scope and objectives

# 3 Data Engineering

#### 3.1 Data Engineering: An End-to-End Solution (1500-2000 words)

#### 3.1.1 2.1 Overview of the Data Pipeline

- High-level architecture (data flow diagram)
- Key features: modularity, configurability, scalability, error handling

#### 3.1.2 2.2 Extraction Process

• Brief overview of SQL extraction techniques

#### 3.1.3 2.3 Transformation and Anonymisation

- Detailed discussion of the Python-based transformation process
- Highlight of the anonymisation function
- Discussion on safeguarding personal identifiable information

#### 3.1.4 2.4 Loading to Graph Database

- Challenges and solutions with Neo4j Aura
- Cloud vs. desktop considerations

#### 3.1.5 2.5 Automation and Workflow

• End-to-end automated process for specific programme data

#### 3.1.6 2.6 Lessons Learned and Iterative Development

- Reflection on the agile approach and discoveries made during development
- Potential future enhancements, developments

# 4 Graph Data Model

#### 4.1 Graph Data Model for Timetabling (1000 words)

#### 4.1.1 3.1 Comparison of Relational and Graph Models

• Visual representation of both models - mermaid, or simmilar

#### 4.1.2 3.2 Advantages of the Graph Approach

#### 4.1.3 3.3 Data Augmentation Opportunities

- Room properties example (lat, long)
- Potential for additional data integration (curriculum, student outcomes, etc.)

# 5 Timetable Metrics

#### 5.1 Timetable Quality Metrics and Insights (1500-2000 words)

#### 5.1.1 4.1 Defining Timetable Quality

#### 5.1.2 4.2 Implemented Metrics

- Constraint violations (max hours per day, days per week, lunch breaks, etc.)
- Distance-based metrics using room properties

#### 5.1.3 4.3 Aggregation Methods

• Student-level, programme-level, and other relevant groupings

#### 5.1.4 4.4 Cypher Queries for Metric Calculation

• Example queries with explanations

#### 5.1.5 4.5 Visualization of Results

• Bloom visualisations or other relevant charts

# **6 Future Opportunities**

### 6.1 Future Opportunities and Potential Insights (500 words)

- Discussion of potential analyses (module combinations, student clustering, etc.)
- Integration of additional data sources

# 7 Conclusion

# 7.1 Conclusion (500 words)

- $\bullet~$  Summary of key achievements
- Reflection on the project's impact and potential for timetabling processes
- Future work and recommendations

# 8 References