

200B HW#1 solution

3.7 Multivariate Distributions

3. (a) We have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 = \frac{c}{6}$$

Since the value of this integral must be equal to 1, it follows that $c = 6$.

(b) For $x_1 > 0$ and $x_3 > 0$,

$$f_{13}(x_1, x_3) = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2 = 3e^{-(x_1+3x_3)}.$$

(c) The marginal joint p.d.f of X_2 and X_3 is

$$f_{23}(x_2, x_3) = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 = 6e^{-(2x_2+3x_3)}.$$

The conditional p.d.f. of X_1 given that $X_2 = x_2$ and $X_3 = x_3$ is

$$g_1(x_1|x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{f_{23}(x_2, x_3)} = e^{-x_1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 < 1 | X_2 = 2, X_3 = 1) &= \int_0^1 g_1(x_1|x_2 = 2, x_3 = 1) dx_1 \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{-x_1} dx_1 = 1 - e^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

8. For any given value x of X , the random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n are i.i.d., each with the p.d.f. $g(y|x)$. Therefore, the conditional joint p.d.f. of Y_1, \dots, Y_n given that $X = x$ is

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_n|x) = g(y_1|x) \cdots g(y_n|x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^n} & \text{for } 0 < y_i < x (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This joint p.d.f. is positive if and only if each $y_i > 0$ and x is greater than every y_i . In other words, x must be greater than $m = \max\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

(a) For $y_i > 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$, the marginal joint p.d.f. of Y_1, \dots, Y_n is

$$g_0(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)h(y_1, \dots, y_n|x)dx = \int_m^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \exp(-x)dx = \frac{1}{n!} \exp(-m).$$

(b) For $y_i > 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$, the conditional joint p.d.f. of X given that $Y_i = y_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$ is

$$g_1(x|y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{f(x)h(y_1, \dots, y_n|x)}{g_0(y_1, \dots, y_n)} = \begin{cases} \exp(-(x-m)) & \text{for } x > m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3.8 Functions of Random Variable

$$7.(a) \ g_1(y_1) = f(\sqrt{y_1}) \left| \frac{dx}{dy_1} \right| = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y_1}}, \ 0 < y_1 < 1.$$

$$(b) \ g_2(y_2) = f(-y_2^{-\frac{1}{3}}) \left| \frac{dx}{dy_2} \right| = \frac{1}{3y_2^{\frac{2}{3}}}, \ -1 < y_2 < 0.$$

$$(c) \ g_3(y_3) = f(y_3^2) \left| \frac{dx}{dy_3} \right| = 2y_3, \ 0 < y_3 < 1.$$

3.9 Functions of Two or More Random Variables

6. By Eq. (3.9.2) (with a change in notation),

$$g(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z-t, t)dt \quad \text{for } -\infty < z < \infty$$

However, the integrand is positive only for $0 \leq z-t \leq t \leq 1$. Therefore, for $0 \leq z \leq 1$, it is positive only for $z/2 \leq t \leq z$ and we have

$$g(z) = \int_{z/2}^z 2zdt = z^2.$$

For $1 < z < 2$, the integrand is positive only for $z/2 \leq t \leq 1$ and we have

$$g(z) = \int_{z/2}^1 2zdt = z(2-z).$$

14.

$$\begin{aligned} G(Y \leq y) &= \Pr(\text{at least } n-1 \text{ less equal to } y) \\ &= \Pr((\cup_{i=1}^n \{x_j \leq y, j \neq i, x_i > y\}) \cup \{x_j \leq y\}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Pr(\{x_j \leq y, j \neq i, x_i > y\}) + \Pr(\{x_j \leq y\}) \\ &= nF(y)^{n-1}(1-F(y)) + F(y)^n \\ &= nF(y)^{n-1} - (n-1)F(y)^n \end{aligned}$$

$$g(y) = \frac{dG(y)}{dy} = n(n-1)(F(y)^{n-2}f(y) - F(y)^{n-1}f(y))$$

3.11 Supplementary Exercises

16. For $0 < x < 1$, the marginal p.d.f of X is

$$f_1(x) = \int_x^1 2(x+y)dy = 1 + 2x - 3x^2.$$

Therefore, $P(X < 1/2) = \int_0^{1/2} f_1(x)dx = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}$.

For $0 < x < y < 1$, the conditional p.d.f of Y given $X = x$ is

$$g_2(y|x) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_1(x)} = \frac{2(x+y)}{1+2x-3x^2}.$$

4.4 Moments

10. The m.g.f. of Z is

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(t) &= E(\exp(tZ)) = E[\exp(t(2X - 3Y + 4))] \\ &= \exp(4t)E(\exp(2tX)\exp(-3ty)) \\ &= \exp(4t)E(\exp(2tX))E(\exp(-3tY)) \quad \text{Since } X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent} \\ &= \exp(4t)\psi(2t)\psi(-3t) \\ &= \exp(4t)\exp(4t^2 + 6t)\exp(9t^2 - 9t) \\ &= \exp(13t^2 + t)\end{aligned}$$

4.6 Covariance and Correlation

8.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Cov}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j) &= E[(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i - E(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i))(\sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j - E(\sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j))] \\
 &= E[(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_i))(\sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j E(Y_j))] \\
 &= E[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j (X_i - E(X_i))(Y_j - E(Y_j))] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j E[(X_i - E(X_i))(Y_j - E(Y_j))] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j \text{Cov}(X_i, Y_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

7.1 Statistical Inference

3. The random variables of interest are the observable Z_1, Z_2, \dots , the times at which successive particles hit the target, and β , the hypothetically observable (parameter) rate of the Poisson process. The hit times occur according to a Poisson process with rate β conditional on β . Other random variables of interest are the observable inter-arrival times $Y_1 = Z_1$ and $Y_k = Z_k - Z_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 2$.

6. The random variables of interest are the observable number X of Mexican-American grand jurors and the hypothetically observable (parameter) P . The conditional distribution of X given $P = p$ is the binomial distribution with parameters 220 and p . Also, P has the beta distribution with parameters α and β , which have not yet been specified.

7.5 Maximum Likelihood Estimators

2. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let the random variable $X_i = 1$ if the purchases of a certain brand of breakfast cereal are made by women and $X_i = 0$ if they are made by men. Then X_1, \dots, X_n form a random sample from the Bernoulli distribution with parameter p . Base on the observed values x_1, \dots, x_n , the likelihood function is

$$f_n(x|p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^x (1-p)^{(1-x_i)} = p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$L(p) = \log(f_n(x|p)) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(p) + \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(1-p)$$

Let

$$\frac{dL(p)}{dp} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{p} - \frac{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1-p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - np}{p(1-p)} = 0$$

we have $\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i/n = \bar{x}_n$. And it can be verified that the second derivative of $L(p)$ at \hat{p} is negative, so the M.L.E is $\bar{x}_n = 58/70 = 29/35$.

3. It can be seen that $\frac{dL(p)}{dp} > 0$ for $p < \bar{x}_n = 58/70$, which implies $L(p)$ is increasing for $1/2 \leq p \leq 2/3$. The log-likelihood and hence the likelihood function achieves the maximum at $p = 2/3$. Namely, the M.L.E $\hat{p} = 2/3$.

9.

$$L(\theta) = \log(f_n(x|\theta)) = \log(\theta^n (X_1 X_2 \dots X_n)^{\theta-1}) = n \log(\theta) + (\theta - 1) \log(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n)$$

Take the derivative we have

$$\frac{dL(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + \log(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n).$$

Then to check concaveness we take the second derivative

$$\frac{d^2L(\theta)}{d^2\theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} < 0.$$

So the M.L.E can be solved by $\frac{dL(\theta)}{d\theta} = 0$ which yields $\theta = \frac{n}{-\log(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n)}$.

11. The p.d.f. of each observation can be written as follows:

$$f(x|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} & \text{for } \theta_1 \leq x \leq \theta_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the likelihood function is

$$f_n(x|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^n}$$

for $\theta_1 \leq \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \leq \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \leq \theta_2$, and $f_n(x|\theta_1, \theta_2) = 0$ otherwise. Hence, $f_n(x|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ will be a maximum when $\theta_2 - \theta_1$ is made as small as possible. Since the smallest

possible value of θ_2 is $\max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and the largest possible value of θ_1 is $\min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, the M.L.E.'s of (θ_1, θ_2) are $(\min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$.

12. The likelihood function is $f_n(x|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = \theta_1^{n_1} \dots \theta_k^{n_k}$.

If we let $L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = \log f_n(x|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ and let $\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \theta_i$, then

$$\frac{\partial L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)}{\partial \theta_i} = \frac{n_i}{\theta_i} - \frac{n_k}{\theta_k} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

If each of these derivatives is set equal to 0, we obtain the relations

$$\frac{\theta_1}{n_1} = \frac{\theta_2}{n_2} = \dots = \frac{\theta_k}{n_k}.$$

The Hessian matrix H is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)^2}{\partial^2 \theta_1} & \frac{\partial L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)^2}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)^2}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_{k-1}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)^2}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_{k-1}} & \frac{\partial L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)^2}{\partial \theta_2 \partial \theta_{k-1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)^2}{\partial^2 \theta_{k-1}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n_1}{\theta_1^2} - \frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} & -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} & \dots & -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} & -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} & \dots & -\frac{n_{k-1}}{\theta_{k-1}^2} - \frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Write H into $H_1 + H_2$ where

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n_1}{\theta_1^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{n_{k-1}}{\theta_{k-1}^2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} & -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} & \dots & -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} & -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} & \dots & -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T,$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^T$. Then for arbitrary non-zero vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})^T$

$$x^T H_1 x = -\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{n_j}{\theta_j^2} x_j^2 < 0,$$

$$x^T H_2 x = -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} x^T \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T x = -\frac{n_k}{\theta_k^2} (x^T \mathbf{1})^2 \leq 0.$$

So it is a concave function. If we let $\theta_i = \alpha n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, then

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = \alpha n$$

Hence $\alpha = 1/n$. It follows that $\hat{\theta}_i = n_i/n$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

13. It follows from Eq. (5.10.2) (with x_1 and x_2 now replaced by x and y) that the likelihood function is

$$f_n(x, y | \mu_1, \mu_2) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left(\frac{x_i - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^2 - 2\rho \left(\frac{x_i - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \left(\frac{y_i - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right) + \left(\frac{y_i - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$

If we let $L(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \log f(x, y | \mu_1, \mu_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_1} &= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu_1 \right) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n\mu_2 \right) \right], \\ \frac{\partial L(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_2} &= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n\mu_2 \right) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu_1 \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The Hessian matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 L(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_1 \partial \mu_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_1 \partial \mu_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_2^2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n}{\sigma_1^2} & \frac{n\rho}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \\ \frac{n\rho}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} & -\frac{n}{\sigma_2^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For any non-zero vector $x = (x_1 \ x_2)^T$,

$$x^T H x = -\frac{n}{1-\rho^2} \left(\left(\frac{x_1}{\sigma_1} - \frac{\rho x_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^2 + (1-\rho^2) \frac{x_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) < 0.$$

So $L(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ is concave. When these derivatives are set equal to 0, the unique solution is $\mu_1 = \bar{x}_n$ and $\mu_2 = \bar{y}_n$. Hence, these values are the M.L.E.'s.

7.10 Supplementary Exercises

5.

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu) = \log(f_n(x|\mu)) &= \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{-\frac{(X_1 - b_1\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{(X_2 - b_2\mu)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \right) \\ &= -\log(2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2) - \frac{(X_1 - b_1\mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{(X_2 - b_2\mu)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dL(\mu)}{d\mu} = \frac{b_1(X_1 - b_1\mu)}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{b_2(X_2 - b_2\mu)}{\sigma_2^2},$$

$$\frac{dL(\mu)^2}{d^2\mu} = -\frac{b_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{b_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} < 0,$$

So the M.L.E can be solved by $\frac{dL(\mu)}{d\mu} = 0$ which yields $\mu = \frac{b_1\sigma_2^2X_1 + b_2\sigma_1^2X_2}{b_1^2\sigma_2^2 + b_2^2\sigma_1^2}$.

Additional Problem

1. Show that for a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n it holds that

i) $\min_a \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - a)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - a)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X} + \bar{X} - a)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [(X_i - \bar{X})^2 + (\bar{X} - a)^2 + 2(X_i - \bar{X})(\bar{X} - a)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + n(\bar{X} - a)^2 + 2(\bar{X} - a) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + n(\bar{X} - a)^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \end{aligned}$$

ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2.$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - 2X_i\bar{X} + \bar{X}^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2\bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + n\bar{X}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2n\bar{X}^2 + n\bar{X}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2 \end{aligned}$$

iii) $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i,j} (X_i - X_j)^2.$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} (X_i - X_j)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X} - (X_j - \bar{X}))^2 \\
&= \sum_{i,j} ((X_i - \bar{X})^2 - 2(X_i - \bar{X})(X_j - \bar{X}) + (X_j - \bar{X})^2) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} ((X_i - \bar{X})^2 + (X_j - \bar{X})^2) - 2 \sum_{i,j} (X_i - \bar{X})(X_j - \bar{X}) \\
&= 2n \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X}) \right) \\
&= 2n \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2
\end{aligned}$$

iv) If the population from which the random sample is drawn has mean μ and variance σ^2 , then furthermore $E(\bar{X}) = \mu, \text{var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$

$$E(\bar{X}) = E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = \mu$$

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}) = \text{var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(X_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

v) The moment generating function (m.g.f) of \bar{X} is $\phi(t) = [\phi_0(t/n)]^n$, where $\phi_0(t) = E(e^{tX})$ is the m.g.f of X .

$$\phi(t) = E(e^{t\bar{X}}) = E(e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{tX_i}{n}}) = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{tX_i}{n}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n E(e^{\frac{tX_i}{n}}) = [\phi_0(t/n)]^n$$