

Exercise 31 Prove the following result: Suppose there exists a positive-definite symmetric matrix P and a positive scalar α which satisfy

$$PA_1 + A_1^T P + 2\alpha P \leq 0 \quad (11.7a)$$

$$PA_2 + A_2^T P + 2\alpha P \leq 0 \quad (11.7b)$$

where $A_1 := A_0 + a\Delta A$ and $A_2 := A_0 + b\Delta A$. Then system (11.2)-(11.4) is globally exponentially stable about the origin with rate of convergence α .

$$\text{Let } V = \dot{x}^T P x.$$

$$\dot{V} = \dot{x}^T P \dot{x} + \dot{x}^T P x$$

$$= 2\dot{x}^T P \dot{x}$$

$$= 2\dot{x}^T P (A_0 + \psi(x)\Delta A)x$$

This implies that \dot{V} is bounded by either

$$\dot{V} \leq 2\dot{x}^T P A_1 x = \dot{x}^T (PA_1 + A_1^T P) x$$

when $\psi(x) = a$ or b .

$$\text{or } \dot{V} \leq 2\dot{x}^T P A_2 x = \dot{x}^T (PA_2 + A_2^T P) x.$$

$$\text{since } PA_1 + A_1^T P \leq -2\alpha P$$

$$\text{and } PA_2 + A_2^T P \leq -2\alpha P.$$

$$\dot{V} \leq -2\alpha \dot{x}^T P x$$

$$\leq -2\alpha V \quad \text{Thus the system is GES with rate of } \alpha.$$

Exercise 32 What is the supremal value of $\gamma > 0$ for which Theorem 16 guarantees that the following system is guaranteed to be stable about the origin?

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= -2x_1 + x_2 + \gamma e^{-x_1^2} x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -x_1 - 3x_2 - \gamma e^{-x_1^2} x_1\end{aligned}$$

This system can be described by $\dot{x} = A(x)x$.

$$A(x) = A_0 + \psi(x) \Delta A$$

$$|x|_1 = 0, \psi(x) = \gamma.$$

$$|x|_1 \rightarrow \infty, \psi(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Delta A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi(x) = \gamma e^{-x_1^2} \Rightarrow 0 \leq \psi(x) \leq \gamma.$$

By iterating γ and checking feasibility with LMI toolbox,

it's clear that the supremal value of γ is $+\infty$.

Exercise 33 Consider the pendulum system of Example 119 with $\gamma = 1$. Obtain the largest rate of exponential convergence that can be obtained using the results of Exercise 31 and the LMI toolbox.

33

```
clear;
gamma = 1;

A0 = [0 1; -2 -1];
DA = [0 0; 1 0];

A1 = A0 - gamma*DA;
A2 = A0 + gamma*DA;

% s1 = ltisys(A1);
% s2 = ltisys(A2);
%
% polsys = psys([s1 s2])
% [drate,P] = decay(polsys)

setlmis([])
p = lmivar(1, [2,1]);

Plmi = newlmi;
lmiterm([-Plmi,1,1,p],1,1)
lmiterm([Plmi,1,1,0],1)

lmi1 = newlmi;
lmiterm([lmi1,1,1,p],1,A1,'s')
lmiterm([-lmi1,1,1,p],1,1)

lmi2 = newlmi;
lmiterm([lmi2,1,1,p],1,A2,'s')
lmiterm([-lmi2,1,1,p],1,1)

lmis = getlmis;

% [tfeas, xfeas] = feasp(lmis)
|
% P = dec2mat(lmis, xfeas, p)
[alpha, popt] = gevp(lmis,2)
alpha = alpha/(-2)
```

gamma = 1

```
12          -0.221179
13          -0.241276
***          new lower bound:   -0.318273
14          -0.243682
***          new lower bound:   -0.315867
15          -0.243682
16          -0.243682
***          new lower bound:   -0.261728
17          -0.243964
***          new lower bound:   -0.252423
18          -0.243964
19          -0.243964
***          new lower bound:   -0.246079

Result: feasible solution of required accuracy
best value of t:   -0.243964
guaranteed absolute accuracy:  2.11e-03
f-radius saturation: 0.000% of R = 1.00e+08

alpha = -0.2440
popt = 3x1
        3.9884
        0.9972
        1.9941

alpha = 0.1220
```

$$\underline{\alpha_{\max} = 0.1220}$$

Exercise 34 Consider the double inverted pendulum described by

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\theta}_1 + 2\dot{\theta}_1 - \dot{\theta}_2 + 2k\theta_1 - k\theta_2 - \sin\theta_1 &= 0 \\ \ddot{\theta}_2 - \dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2 - k\theta_1 + k\theta_2 - \sin\theta_2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Using the results of Theorem 17, obtain a value of the spring constant k which guarantees that this system is globally exponentially stable about the zero solution.

$$\ddot{\theta}_1 = -2\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2 - 2k\theta_1 + k\theta_2 + \sin\theta_1$$

$$\ddot{\theta}_2 = \dot{\theta}_1 - \dot{\theta}_2 + k\theta_1 - k\theta_2 + \sin\theta_2$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2k & k & -2 & 1 \\ k & -k & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\psi_1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin\theta_1}{\theta_1} & \theta_1 \neq 0 \\ 1 & \theta_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$-1 \leq \psi_1(x) \leq 1$$

$$\psi_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-\sin\theta_2}{\theta_2} & \theta_2 \neq 0 \\ 1 & \theta_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$-1 \leq \psi_2(x) \leq 1$$

$$a_1 \quad b_1$$

$$\Delta A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

using LMI toolbox, the LMI is feasible at

$$\underline{k=20}$$

MATLAB code on the next page.

```

clear;
k = 20
A0 = [0 0 1 0;0 0 0 1;-2*k k -2 1;k -k 1 -1];
DA1 = zeros(4);
DA1(3,1) = 1;
DA2 = zeros(4);
DA2(4,2) = 1;

A1 = A0 - DA1 - DA2;
A2 = A0 - DA1 + DA2;
A3 = A0 + DA1 - DA2;
A4 = A0 + DA1 + DA2;

setlmis([])
p = lmivar(1, [4,1]);

lmi1 = newlmi;
lmiterm([lmi1,1,1,p],1,A1,'s')

lmi2 = newlmi;
lmiterm([lmi2,1,1,p],1,A2,'s')

lmi3 = newlmi;
lmiterm([lmi3,1,1,p],1,A3,'s')

lmi4 = newlmi;
lmiterm([lmi4,1,1,p],1,A4,'s')

Plmi = newlmi;
lmiterm([-Plmi,1,1,p],1,1)
lmiterm([Plmi,1,1,0],1)

lmis = getlmis;

[tfeas, xfeas] = feasp(lmis)

P = dec2mat(lmis, xfeas, p)

```

Solver for LMI feasibility problems $L(x) < R(x)$
 This solver minimizes t subject to $L(x) < R(x) + t \cdot I$
 The best value of t should be negative for feasibility

Iteration : Best value of t so far

1	1.008533
2	0.981506
3	0.747386
4	-0.060982

Result: best value of t : -0.060982
 f-radius saturation: 0.000% of $R = 1.00e+09$

tfeas = -0.0610

xfeas = 10x1

78.2698
-21.2570
57.2606
0.4033
0.2455
2.8630
0.3265
0.6876
1.7993
4.6671

P = 4x4

78.2698	-21.2570	0.4033	0.3265
-21.2570	57.2606	0.2455	0.6876
0.4033	0.2455	2.8630	1.7993
0.3265	0.6876	1.7993	4.6671