Exercise 05

Section 1: Logistic Regression

The dataset represents data from the Framingham Heart Study, Levy (1999) National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, Center for Bio-Medical Communication. Researchers are interested in studying risk factors for coronary heart disease (CHD).

Position	Variable	Variable Label	Codes
1.	id	Patient identifier	
2.	sex	Patient gender	1 = male
			2 = female
3.	sbp	Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	
4.	dbp	Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	
5.	scl	Serum cholesterol, mg/100 ml	
6.	age	Age at baseline exam, years	
7.	bmi	Body mass index, kg/m ²	
8.	month	Month of year of baseline exam	
9.	chdfate	Event of CHD at end of follow-up	1 = patient developed CHD at
			follow-up
			0 = otherwise

- 1. Answer the following:
 - a. What is the outcome?
 - b. What are the predictors researchers are interested in?
 - c. What is the hypothesis?
- 2. Import the data, print out a few rows, and compute summary statistics. Is there missing data or other concerns?
- 3. Month of the year at baseline is an unwieldy variable meant to adjust for seasonal effects. Rather than put it in the model as is, create 4 binary variables for each season. This link will give examples of how to do this. The categories should be winter, spring, summer, & fall and should be defined as follows based on the month:

a. Winter: 12, 1, 2b. Spring: 3, 4, 5c. Summer: 6, 7, 8d. Fall: 9, 10, 11

- 4. Fit a logistic regression model using all the relevant predictor variables (Note: use season, not month. Also, ID is not a predictor variable. Do not use it). Use statsmodels for now.
- 5. Conduct model diagnostics. This reference may be helpful.
 - a. Look at distributions of the main predictor variables (excluding the new season variables). Do any require transformation?
 - b. Check to see if collinearity is present. Explain what you find.
 - c. Check linearity for each of the continuous covariates. Do those covariates each have a linear relationship with the outcome?

- d. Are there outliers?
- e. Are there at least 5 outcomes per category of sex?
- 6. Fix any issues you find and refit the model.
- 7. Compute the ORs and their confidence intervals. Interpret the ORs.

We will be using the county_level_election.csv dataset. This is 2016 election data and we are going to measure 'votergap' as the outcome. 'votergap' = trump-clinton. The exercise will build on the work from the decision tree lab.

Section 2: Bagging / Random Forest

We are going to be using test and training splits, cross validation, and fitting a random forest to the data. Create an 80/20 Train/Test split. For accuracy use the .score method.

from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor

- 1. Set the number of estimators to be 100, the features to be the square root of available features, and iterate through depths (1-20). Use only 5 folds for cross validation to save some compute resources. Plot the max depth on the x axis and the accuracy on the y axis for training and for the mean cross validation.
- 2. Based on the plot, how many nodes would you recommend as the max depth?
- 3. What is the accuracy (mean cv) at your chosen depth?
- 4. The cross validation looks different than the lab, why?

Section 3: Boosting / XGBoost

import xgboost as xgb

- 5. Use the defaults for most parameters. Iterate through depths (1-20). Use only 5 folds for cross validation to save some compute resources. Plot the max depth on the x axis and the accuracy on the y axis for training and for the mean cross validation.
- 6. Based on the plot, how many nodes would you recommend as the max depth?
- 7. What is the accuracy (mean cv) at your chosen depth?
- 8. The cross validation looks different than random forest, why?