# Homework 1

## Zachary Probst

## September 15, 2021

#### Chapter 1 Problem 33:

Show that the Nested Set Theorem is false if  $F_1$  is unbounded.

Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}=\{[n,\infty), n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ . We have  $F_1=[1,\infty)$  so  $F_1$  is unbounded.

Assume that the Nested Set Theorem holds for descending countable collections of nonempty closed sets. Then there is some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$x \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$$

Let  $n' = \lceil x \rceil$  be the smallest integer larger than x. Then clearly  $x \notin [n', \infty)$  and so  $x \notin F_{n'}$ . But this is a contradiction so it must be that

$$\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$$

And the Nested Set Theorem does not hold for  $F_1$  unbounded.

## Chapter 2 Problem 1:

Prove that if there is a set A in the collection  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $A\subseteq B$ , then  $m(A)\leq m(B)$ . This property is called *monotonicity*.

If we have  $A \subseteq B$  then we can write  $B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$ 

$$\Rightarrow m(B) = m(A \cup (B \setminus A))$$
 
$$= m(A) + m(B \setminus A)$$
 (by countable additivity) 
$$\geq m(A)$$

## Chapter 2 Problem 2:

Prove that if there is a set A in the collection  $\mathcal{A}$  for which  $m(A) < \infty$ , then  $m(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Assume that  $m(\emptyset) > 0$ . Define a countable collection of sets  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  where

$$E_k = \begin{cases} A & k = 1\\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then since A and  $\emptyset$  are clearly disjoint, we apply countable additivity

$$m(A) = m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k)$$

This sum diverges since we assumed that  $m(\emptyset) > 0$ , but this is a contradiction since  $m(A) < \infty$ . Hence, it must be that  $m(\emptyset) = 0$ .

## Chapter 2 Problem 3:

Let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable collection of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Prove that  $m(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k)$ 

If  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is disjoint then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k)$$

And we are done. In the case where  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is not pair-wise disjoint then we define a new set:

$$F_k = \{ e \in E_k \mid e \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \setminus E_k \}$$

 $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a pair-wise disjoint collection because each  $F_k$  has all the elements of  $E_k$  except for the ones which are shared in other  $E_i$ . This means that

$$m(F_k) \leq m(E_k) \ \forall k$$

By monotonicity since  $F_k \subseteq E_k$ . Finally, using the fact that we have only removed non-unique values from  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  to build  $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ 

$$m(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k) = m(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(F_k)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k)$$
(F<sub>k</sub> disjoint)

#### Chapter 2 Problem 4:

A set function c, defined on all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , is defined as follows. Define c(E) to be  $\infty$  if E has infinitely many members and c(E) to be equal to the number of elements in E if E is finite; define  $c(\emptyset) = 0$ . Show that c is a countably additive and translation invariant set function. This set function is called the **counting measure** 

To prove *countable additivity*, let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable collection of disjoint sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is disjoint, by definition  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  will have the same cardinality as the sum of the cardinality of each  $E_k$ . Well this is the same thing as

$$c\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c(E_k)$$

To prove translation invariance, for any set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  we can create a bijection  $f: A \to A + y$  where  $A + y = \{x + y \mid x \in A\}$  for a given  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$f(x) = x + y$$

Let  $x \in A + y$  be arbitrary. Consider the fact that  $x - y \in A$  and f(x - y) = x - y + y = x so f is surjective.

Assume that f(a) = f(b). Well then  $a + y = b + y \Rightarrow a = b$  and so f is injective.

Since f is injective and surjective, it must be bijective and |A| = |A + y| so

$$c(A) = c(A + y)$$