

# Honours Analysis 3

Zachary Probst \*

September 11, 2021

## 1 Borel Sets

We will work for some time on  $\mathbb{R}$  exclusively. Before beginning Measure Theory: a quick recap of Topology.

**Definition 1.1** (Open Set). *A subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called open if either  $U = \emptyset$  or else*

$$\forall x \in U, \exists r > 0 \text{ such that } (x - r, x + r) \subset U$$

Some examples of open sets:  $\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, (a, b), (a, \infty), (-\infty, a)$ . There are many more because any union of an open set is still open and any finite intersection of open sets is open.

**Definition 1.2** (Closed Set).  *$F \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called closed if  $\mathbb{R} \setminus F := F^c$  is open.  $F$  is closed  $\iff F$  contains all points  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  which have the property that  $\forall r > 0, (x - r, x + r) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ .*

If  $F \subset \mathbb{R}$  is any set, the closure of  $F$ , denoted by  $\overline{F}$ , is the smallest closed set that contains  $F$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Compact). *A subset  $G \subset \mathbb{R}$  is compact if given any collection  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of open sets  $U_i \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $G \subset \cup_{i \in I} U_i$ , there exists  $J \subset I$ ,  $J$  finite, such that  $G \subset \cup_{j \in J} U_j$*

**Theorem 1.1** (Heine-Borel).  *$G \subset \mathbb{R}$  is compact  $\iff G$  is closed and bounded. To be bounded means  $G \subset (a, b)$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Corollary 1.1.1** (Nested Set Theorem). *Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a countable collection of non-empty, bounded, closed sets  $F_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $F_{n+1} \subset F_n \forall n$ , then*

$$\cap_{n=1}^\infty F_n \neq \emptyset$$

---

\*Notes from the lectures of Valentino Tosatti

*Proof.* Suppose  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$  so let  $U_n = F_n^c$  be open sets, such that  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \mathbb{R}$ . We also have that  $U_n \subset U_{n+1}$ , since the  $F_n$  were nested. Now  $F_1$  is compact by Heine-Borel and  $F_1 \subset \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \Rightarrow$  by compactness I can find a finite subcover of  $F_1$ , say  $F \subset \cup_{n=1}^N U_n = U_N = F_N^c$ . On the other hand  $F_N \subset F_1$  by the nested property which implies  $F_N = \emptyset$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2 Measure Theory

We want to measure the size of a set. We will deal with a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . It turns out that one needs to select a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  that one wants to measure. This class of subsets will have certain properties which are as follows.

**Definition 2.1** ( $\sigma$ -algebra). *A collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra if it satisfies*

1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$
2. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$
3. If  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$  then  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$

Observe the following:

- $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{A}$  always
- If  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset \mathcal{A}$  then  $\cup_{n=1}^N A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  (just define  $A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n > N$ )
- If  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$  then  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  (since  $(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^c = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c$ )
- If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{A}$  too since  $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c$

**Examples:**

1.  $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\}$  “Minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra”
2.  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  = Collection of all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . “Maximum  $\sigma$ -algebra”

In fact, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is any  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$

For better examples, let  $F$  be any collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . I want to make  $F$  into a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Define  $m = \{\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra that satisfies } F \subset \mathcal{A}\}$ .  $m \neq \emptyset$  since it contains  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$

If  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{m}$ , I can define  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} \mid A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{B}\}$  and I can do the same for  $\cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}$  arbitrary intersection of  $\sigma$ -algebra is still a  $\sigma$ -algebra

Define  $\hat{F}_i = \cap_{A \in \mathcal{m}} \mathcal{A}$  as a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $F \subset \hat{F}$  and it is the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra with these properties. If  $G$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra with  $F \subset G$ , then  $\hat{F} \subset G$ .  $\hat{F}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $F$ . Concretely,  $\hat{F}$  consists of all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  that can be constructed by applying countable unions, intersections, and complements to elements of  $F$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Borel Sets). *The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel Sets is the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\hat{F}$  generated by*

$$F = \{U \subset \mathbb{R} \mid U \text{ open} \}$$

**Remark.**  $\mathcal{B}$  is also the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the family of all closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$

Singletons  $\{x\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  are closed so if  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is at most countable then  $A$  is Borel. (e.g  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$ ) (e.g  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ )

Not all Subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are Borel. One can actually show that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{B}$  is the same as the cardinality of  $\mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  has strictly larger cardinality.

### 3 Lebesgue Outer Measure

We are hoping to measure the size of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Ideally we would like to find or construct a function

$$m : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\} = [0, \infty]$$

Which satisfies the following:

1. If  $I = [a, b]$  or  $(a, b)$  or  $[a, b)$ , or  $(a, b]$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b$  then  $m(I) = b - a = \text{measure of interval}$
2.  $m$  is translation invariant. i.e if  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $E + x = \{y + x \mid y \in E\}$  then  $m(E + x) = m(E)$
3. If  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^n$  is a finite collection of pairwise disjoint  $E_j \subset \mathbb{R}$  then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n m(E_j)$$

4. The same as (3) except for  $n = \infty$

**Theorem 3.1.** *There is no such  $m$  satisfying all 4 requirements*

The proof for this will come later. The solution for this is that we do not try to measure all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . So we have  $m : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  but now we will just be happy with  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra which has enough elements. For example  $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{B}$ .

We will follow H. Lebesgue as we proceed in two steps.

Step 1: construct Lebesgue outer measure  $m^* : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  satisfying requirements 1, 2, and 3.

Step 2: Use  $m^*$  to define  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $m \subset m^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}$

To create this Lebesgue outer measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  we satisfy weakened version of requirement (3) that can be called (3w). For any countably infinite collection  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of arbitrary subsets  $E_j \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$m^*(\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m(E_j)$$