An online algorithm for the energy-aware profit maximizing problem with bag-of-tasks in heterogeneous computing systems

Weidong Li¹, Xuejie Zhang^{2, *}

- 1. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming 650504, China
- 2. School of Information Science and Engineering, Yunnan University, Kunming 650504, China

July 2, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we design an online algorithm for the energy-aware profit maximizing scheduling problem with bag-of-tasks, where the high performance computing system administrator is to maximize the profit per unit time. The running time of the proposed algorithm is depending on the number of uses. Simulation experiments show that this algorithm can produce a near optimal solution in reasonable time.

keywords: high performance computing; resource allocation; scheduling; approximation algorithm; bag-of-tasks

^{*}Correspondence: xjzhang@ynu.edu.cn (X. Zhang)

1 Introduction

With the rapid increase in energy consumption by data centers, energy-efficient resource allocation within high-performance computing systems has become increasingly important. Recently, a static scheduling model has been proposed, where users submit a bag-of-tasks [7]. In contrast with previous classic scheduling models, the estimated time to compute (or processing time) for a task on a machine depends on the task type and machine type. Instead of allocating all tasks to all machines, this new model determines the number of tasks of each type allocated to machines of each type. Although a high-performance computing system contains hundreds of thousands of machines and the number of tasks is large, the number of machine (or task) types is small. This makes it possible to design a more efficient algorithm for finding near-optimal schedules [23, 24].

Classic energy-aware scheduling models aim to minimize either the energy consumed by a bag-of-tasks or the makespan. However, an organization should attempt to maximize profit per time, where profit is equal to the price that a user pays for the bag-of-tasks minus the cost of electricity consumed by the schedule. By incorporating the energy cost and makespan into the objective of maximizing the profit per unit of time, Tarplee et al. [25] studied a novel scheduling model for high-performance computing system, which has two important characteristics: (a) They are often composed of different types of machines; (b) They perform many tasks, but the number of task types is limited. By using a novel linear programming (LP, for short)-based rounding method, Tarplee et al. [25] designed an efficient algorithm for finding a feasible schedule close to the optimal schedule when every machine has to process a large number of tasks of the same type.

In [25], a lower bound on the finishing times for a machine type is used to replace makespan, which is defined as the maximum finishing time for all machines. Therefore, the proposed mathematical model is inaccurate. In the LP-based rounding step for the proposed heuristic algorithm, the cost of energy consumed may increase, which can be improved by utilizing a matching-based rounding technique. Moreover, the execution time depends on the number of tasks, which is large in practice. In addition, the approximation ratio of the LP-based rounding algorithm in [25] is not analyzed.

In this paper, we present a polynomial-time algorithm for the problem proposed in [25]. The

main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- (1) An accurate mathematical model is proposed.
- (2) A task-type-based algorithm whose execution time in the worst-case is polynomial in the number of task types is proposed for finding a more accurate feasible solution.
 - (3) The approximation ratio for the task-type-based algorithm presented is analyzed.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize relevant literature. In Section 2, we construct the integer program which coincides with the EAPM problem. In Section 4, we present the details of the task-type-based algorithm and analyzes the approximation ratio of the proposed algorithm. In Section 5, we present the experimental results. In the last section, we present conclusions and ideas for future work.

2 Related work

There is a large body of literature on scheduling models that allocate all tasks to all machines in heterogeneous computing systems. Braun et al. [2] compared eleven static heuristics for mapping a class of independent tasks onto heterogeneous distributed computing systems, where the objective is to minimize makespan. Diaz, Pecero, and Bouvry [5] evaluated three scheduling heuristic algorithms for highly heterogeneous distributed computing systems, with the objective of minimizing makespan and flowtime. Assuming that users submit a set of independent tasks (known as a bag-of-tasks), Friese et al. [7, 8] developed a modified multi-objective genetic algorithm to create different schedules illustrating the trade-offs between energy consumption and makespan (or the utility earned by a system). Friese et al. [9] created a tool that allows system administrators to study trade-offs between system performance and system energy allocation. Oxley et al. [22] developed and analyzed several heuristics for energy-aware resource allocation for both energy-constrained and deadline-constrained problems. For the scheduling model used to determine the number of tasks of each type to allocate to machines of each type, Tarplee et al. [23] presented a linear programming based resource allocation algorithm to efficiently compute high quality solutions for minimizing makespan. Tarplee et al. [24] designed a linearprogramming-based rounding method to generate a set of high-quality solutions that represent the trade-off space between makespan and energy consumption.

In a cloud computing environment, cloud resource management is an important issue for the

cloud provider. Ebrahimirad, Goudarzi, and Rajabi [6] presented two scheduling algorithms for precedence-constrained parallel virtual machines (VMs) in a virtualized data center, with the goal of minimizing energy consumption. Tchana et al. [26] proposed a solution for consolidating software onto VMs to reduce power consumption by the private cloud and the number of VMs charged for in a public cloud. Hsu et al. [12] presented an energy-aware task consolidation technique to minimize energy consumption in clouds. Khemka et al. [15] designed four energy-aware resource allocation heuristics for energy-constrained environments, aiming to maximize the total utility earned by the system. Khemka et al. [16] designed several heuristics to maximize the total utility that can be earned by completing tasks, where tasks arrive dynamically and a scheduler maps the tasks to machines for execution. Mashayekhy et al. [20] proposed a framework for improving the energy efficiency of MapReduce applications, aiming to minimize energy consumption given deadline constraints. More relevant results can be found in recent surveys on energy efficient resource scheduling [4, 11, 14, 21].

3 Online scheduling model

Assume that a heterogeneous computing system consists of m heterogeneous machines and n users. Each user i submit a set of a_i independent tasks known as a bag-of-tasks [2] and its profit p_i . As frequently used in scheduling problems for heterogeneous computing systems [23], let $\mathbf{ETC} = (ETC_{ij})$ be a $n \times m$ matrix where ETC_{ij} is the estimated time to compute for a task of user i on machine j. Similarly, let $\mathbf{APC} = (APC_{ij})$ be a $n \times m$ matrix where APC_{ij} is the average power consumption for a task of user i on machine j. Let x_{ij} be the number of tasks of user i assigned to machine j, where x_{ij} is the primary decision variable in the optimization problem. For a feasible solution $\mathbf{x} = (x_{ij})$, the load (or finishing time) of machine j is defined as

$$L_j = \sum_{i=1}^n ETC_{ij}x_{ij}.$$
 (1)

It implies that the maximum finishing time of all machines (i.e., makespan), denoted by $MS(\mathbf{x})$, is

$$MS(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{j} L_{j}.$$
 (2)

Correspondingly, the energy consumed by n users is given by:

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij} APC_{ij} ETC_{ij}.$$
 (3)

Let c be the cost per unit of energy. Motivated by the offline model in [25], we consider the Energy-Aware Profit Maximizing (EAPM, for short) problem with bag-of-tasks, which can be formulated as the following nonlinear integer program (NLIP, for short).

$$Maximize_{\mathbf{x}} \qquad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i - cE(\mathbf{x})}{MS(\mathbf{x})} \tag{4}$$

$$Maximize_{\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} - cE(\mathbf{x})}{MS(\mathbf{x})}$$
 (4)
subject to:
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} = a_{i}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij} ETC_{ij} \le MS(\mathbf{x}), \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
(6)

$$x_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \forall i, j. \tag{7}$$

The objective of (4) is to maximize the profit per unit time, where \mathbf{x} is the primary decision variable. The first constraint ensures that each task in the bag is assigned to some machine. Because the objective is to maximize the profit per unit time, which is equivalent to minimize makespan, the second constrain ensures that $MS(\mathbf{x})$ is equal to the maximum finishing time of all machines.

However, in practice, when a user arrives, we have to assign all the tasks to machines as we do not know the information of the uncoming users. Thus, it is necessary to study the online EAPM problem with bag-of-tasks, where the tasks of user i have to assigned before the user i+1 arrives, for $i=1,2,\ldots,n-1$. Without loss of generality, assume each task of user i must be assigned to some machine before the tasks of user i+1 arrive, for $i=1,\ldots,n-1$. Most importantly, the number of tasks of user i is very large, we can not assign the a_i tasks one by one. It motivates us to design an efficient algorithm for the online EAPM problem with bag-of-tasks.

An online algorithm 4

In this section, we present an efficient algorithm for the online EAPM problem with bag-of-tasks. For each i, let L_j^i and E^i be the load of machine j and the total energy consumed after assigning the tasks of the first i users. Initially, let $L_j^0 = 0$ for j = 1, ..., M and $E^0 = 0$. By definitions, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$L_j^i = \sum_{k=1}^i x_{kj} ETC_{kj}$$
, and $E^i = \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{j=1}^m x_{kj} APC_{kj} ETC_{kj}$. (8)

For i = 1, 2, ..., n, when user i arrives, we shall decide x_{ij} such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} = a_i$ and the objective value

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} p_k - cE^{i-1} - c\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij}APC_{ij}ETC_{ij}}{MS^i}$$
 (9)

is maximized, where

$$MS^{i} = \max_{j} L_{j}^{i}$$
, and $L_{j}^{i} = L_{j}^{i-1} + x_{ij}ETC_{ij}, \forall i, j.$ (10)

Formally, this problem can be formulated as the following integer program (IP):

$$\begin{cases}
\text{Maximize } \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} p_k - cE^{i-1} - c\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} APC_{ij} ETC_{ij}}{MS^i} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} = a_i \\
L_j^{i-1} + x_{ij} ETC_{ij} \leq MS^i \\
x_{ij} \in Z^+ \cup \{0\}, j = 1, \dots, m.
\end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Note that inequality in IP(11) is equivalent to

$$x_{ij} \le \lfloor \frac{MS^i - L_j^{i-1}}{ETC_{ij}} \rfloor. \tag{12}$$

For convenience, sort the tasks of user i in descending order by $APC_{ij}ETC_{ij}$. Without loss of generality, assume that

$$APC_{i1}ETC_{i1} \ge APC_{i2}ETC_{i2} \ge \dots \ge APC_{im}ETC_{im}.$$
 (13)

Our algorithm is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. There exists an optimal solution such that

$$x_{i1} = \dots = x_{i(\tau-1)} = 0, and x_{ij} = \lfloor \frac{MS^i - L_j^{i-1}}{ETC_{ij}} \rfloor, j = \tau, \dots, m,$$

for some $\tau \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$.

Proof. Assume that we know the value of MS^i in the optimal solution for IP(11). Thus, the

objective function of IP(11) is equivalent to minimize $\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij}APC_{ij}ETC_{ij}$, as L_{j}^{i-1} and ETC_{ij} are constants. Obviously, to minimize $\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij}APC_{ij}ETC_{ij}$, x_{ij} with small value $APC_{ij}ETC_{ij}$ should be maximized and x_{ij} with large value $APC_{ij}ETC_{ij}$ should be minimized, subject to the constraints of IP(11).

In the optimal solution $(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{im})$ for IP(11), consider the machine with minimum index τ_1 such that $x_{i\tau_1} > 0$. If there exists a machine τ_2 ($\geq \tau_1$) such that $x_{i\tau_2} < \lfloor \frac{MS^i - L_{\tau_2}^{i-1}}{ETC_{i\tau_2}} \rfloor$, set

$$x'_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{ij} - 1, & \text{if } j = \tau_1 \\ x_{ij} + 1, & \text{if } j = \tau_2 \\ x_{ij}, & \text{if } j \neq \tau_1, \tau_2. \end{cases}$$
(14)

It is easy to verify that $(x'_{i1}, x'_{i2}, \ldots, x'_{im})$ is a feasible solution for IP(11) whose objective value is no less than that of $(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{im})$, as $APC_{i\tau_1}ETC_{i\tau_1} \geq APC_{i\tau_2}ETC_{i\tau_2}$ (see (13)). Repeat the above process, until that $x_{ij} = \lfloor \frac{MS^i - L_j^{i-1}}{ETC_{ij}} \rfloor$, for any machine $j \ (\geq \tau)$, where τ is the minimum machine index such that $x_{i\tau} > 0$. It implies that we find an optimal solution such that

$$x_{i1} = \dots = x_{i(\tau-1)} = 0$$
, and $x_{ij} = \lfloor \frac{MS^i - L_j^{i-1}}{ETC_{ij}} \rfloor$, for $j = \tau + 1, \dots, m$.

Thus, the theorem holds.

Given the value of MS^i in the optimal solution, for $j=m, m-1, \ldots, 1$, assign $\lfloor \frac{MS^i-L_j^{i-1}}{ETC_{ij}} \rfloor$ tasks to machine j until all tasks are assigned. According to Lemma 1, we find an optimal solution. Although we do not know the value of MS^i in the optimal solution, MS^i must be in $\{L_j^{i-1} + kETC_{ij} | k = 1, 2, \ldots, a_i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. Trying all possible values of MS^i (at most $O(ma_i)$), we can find the optimal value of MS^i .

For each $\tau = 1, ..., m$, we only consider the variables x_{ij} $(j = \tau, ..., m)$, which implies that we schedule tasks of type i to machines of type j $(j = \tau, ..., M)$. Solving x_{ij} is equivalent to solve a solution to the following system of linear equations:

$$\sum_{j=\tau}^{m} x_{ij} = a_i;$$

$$x_{ij} = \frac{MS^i - L_j^{i-1}}{ETC_{ij}}, j = \tau, \dots, M .$$
(15)

Note that there are $m - \tau + 2$ equations and $m - \tau + 2$ variables MS_i and x_{ij} $(j = \tau, ..., M)$. Thus, this system of linear equations can be solved in polynomial time. For each $\tau = 1, ..., m$, we obtain a feasible solution x_{ij} . Comparing the objective values of these m solution, we can find the best solution. Then, for j = m to 1, we assign $\lceil x_{ij} \rceil$ tasks of type i to machines of type j, until all tasks are assigned. It is no hard to verify that the overall running time is polynomial in n and m.

Algorithm 2 shows the pseudo-code for the online algorithm.

```
Algorithm 2 Online assigning the tasks of each type to machines.
```

```
1: For i = 1 to n do
```

```
2: Relabel the indices of tasks such that APC_{i1}ETC_{i1} \geq ... \geq APC_{iM}ETC_{iM};
```

```
3: For \tau = 1 to m do
```

```
4: Solve 15 to find a solution x_{ij}^{\tau};
```

5: Comparing these M solution to find the best solution x_{ij} such that

```
6: \frac{p-cE^{i-1}-c\sum_{j=1}^{M}x_{ij}APC_{ij}ETC_{ij}}{MS^{i}} \text{ is maximized.}
```

7: End for

8: **For** j = M to 1 do

9: Assign $[x_{ij}]$ tasks of type i to machines of type j, until all tasks are assigned;

10: Update the L_j of type j;

11: End for

12: End for

5 Experimental Results

6 Conclusion and future work

We feel that the local assignment algorithm in Section will find application in related areas.

Acknowledgement

The work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [Nos. 61662088, 61762091], the Program for Excellent Young Talents, Yunnan University, and IRT-STYN.

References

- [1] S. Abdi, L. PourKarimi, M. Ahmadi, F. Zargari, "Cost minimization for deadline-constrained bag-of-tasks applications in federated hybrid clouds," Future Generation Computer Systems, vol. 71, pp. 113-128, 2017.
- [2] T. D. Braun, H. J. Siegel, N. Beck, L. L. Boloni, M. Maheswaran, A. I. Reuther, J. P. Robertson, M. D. Theys, B. Yao, D. Hensgen, and R. F. Freund, "A comparison of eleven static heuristics for mapping a class of independent tasks onto heterogeneous distributed computing systems," *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 810-837, 2001.
- [3] J.P. Champati, B. Liang, "Semi-online algorithms for computational task offloading with communication delay," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 1189 - 1201, 2017.
- [4] M. Dayarathna, Y. Wen, and R. Fa, "Data center energy consumption modeling: a survey, "IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 732-794 2016.
- [5] C. O. Diaz, J. E. Pecero, and P. Bouvry, "Scalable, low complexity, and fast greedy scheduling heuristics for highly heterogeneous distributed computing systems," The Journal of Supercomputing, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 837-853, 2014.
- [6] V. Ebrahimirad, M. Goudarzi, and A. Rajabi, "Energy-aware scheduling for precedence-constrained parallel virtual machines in virtualized data centers," *Journal of Grid Computing*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 233-253, 2015.
- [7] R. Friese, T. Brinks, C. Oliver, H. J. Siegel, and A. A. Maciejewski, "Analyzing the tradeoffs between minimizing makespan and minimizing energy consumption in a heterogeneous

- resource allocation problem," in *The Second International Conference on Advanced Communications and Computation*, pp. 81-89, 2012.
- [8] R. Friese, B. Khemka, A.A. Maciejewski, H.J. Siegel, G.A. Koenig, S. Powers, M. Hilton, J. Rambharos, G. Okonski, and S. W. Poole, "An analysis framework for investigating the trade-offs between system performance and energy consumption in a heterogeneous computing environment", in *IEEE 27th International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium Workshops*, pp. 19-30, 2013.
- [9] R. Friese, T. Brinks, C. Oliver, H.J. Siegel, A.A. Maciejewski, and S. Pasricha, "A machine-by-machine analysis of a bi-objective resource allocation problem", in *International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Processing Technologies and Applications*, pp. 3-9, 2013.
- [10] R. Graham, "Bounds on multiprocessing timing anomalies," SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 416-429, 1969.
- [11] A. Hameed, A. Khoshkbarforoushha, R. Ranjan, P. P.h Jayaraman, J. Kolodziej, P. Balaji, S. Zeadally, Q. M. Malluhi, N. Tziritas, A. Vishnu, S. U. Khan, and A. Zomaya, "A survey and taxonomy on energy efficient resource allocation techniques for cloud computing systems," *Computing*, vol. 98, pp. 751-774, 2016.
- [12] C. H. Hsu, K. D. Slagter, S. C. Chen, and Y. C. Chung, "Optimizing energy consumption with task consolidation in clouds," *Information Sciences*, vol. 258, no. 10, pp. 452-462, 2014.
- [13] N. Karmarkar, "A new polynomial-time algorithm for linear programming," in *Proceedings* of the sixteenth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (STOC), pp. 302-311, 1984.
- [14] T. Kaur, and I. Chana, "Energy efficiency techniques in cloud computing: a survey and taxonomy," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 48, no. 2, Article No. 22, 2015.
- [15] B. Khemka, R. Friese, S. Pasricha, A. A. Maciejewski, H. J. Siegel, G. A. Koenig, S. Powers, M. Hilton, R. Rambharos, and S. Poole, "Utility maximizing dynamic resource management in an over subscribed energy-constrained heterogeneous computing system," Sustainable Computing: Informatics and Systems, vol. 5, pp. 14-30, 2015.
- [16] B. Khemka, R. Friese, L. D. Briceno, H. J. Siegel, A. A. Maciejewski, G. A. Koenig, C. Groer, G. Okonski, M. M. Hilton, R. Rambharos, and S. Poole, "Utility functions and

- resource management in an oversubscribed heterogeneous computing environment, " *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 2394-2407, 2015.
- [17] F. Juarez, J. Ejarque, and R. M. Badia, "Dynamic energy-aware scheduling for parallel task-based application in cloud computing," Future Generation Computer Systems, vol. 78, pp. 257-271, 2018.
- [18] W. Li, X. Liu, X. Cai, X. Zhang, "Approximation algorithm for the energy-aware profit maximizing problem in heterogeneous computing systems," *Journal of Parallel and Distribut*ed Computing, vol. 124, 70-77, 2019.
- [19] M. Sajid, Z. Raza, "Energy-aware stochastic scheduler for batch of precedence-constrained jobs on heterogeneous computing system," *Energy*, vol. 125, pp. 258-274, 2017.
- [20] L. Mashayekhy, M.M. Nejad, D. Grosu, Q. Zhang, and W. Shi, "Energy-aware scheduling of mapreduce jobs for big data applications," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed* Systems, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 2720-2733, 2015.
- [21] A.-C. Orgerie, M. D. de Assuncao, and L. Lefevre, "A survey on techniques for improving the energy efficiency of large-scale distributed systems," ACM Computing Surveys, vol. 46, no. 4, Article No. 47, 2014.
- [22] M.A. Oxley, S. Pasricha, A.A. Maciejewski, H.J. Siegel, J. Apodaca, D. Young, L. Briceno, J. Smith, S. Bahirat, B. Khemka, A. Ramirez, and Y. Zou, "Makespan and energy robust stochastic static resource allocation of bags-of-tasks to a heterogeneous computing system," IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 2791-2805, 2015.
- [23] K. M. Tarplee, R. Friese, A. A. Maciejewski, and H. J. Siegel, "Scalable linear programming based resource allocation for makespan minimization in heterogeneous computing systems," *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, vol. 84, pp. 76-86, 2015.
- [24] K. M. Tarplee, R. Friese, A. A. Maciejewski, H. J. Siegel, and E. Chong, "Energy and makespan tradeoffs in heterogeneous computing systems using efficient linear programming techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1633-1646, 2016.

- [25] K.M. Tarplee, A.A. Maciejewski, and H.J. Siegel, "Energy-aware profit maximizing scheduling algorithm for heterogeneous computing systems," in 14th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing, pp. 595-603, 2014.
- [26] A. Tchana, N. D. Palma, I. Safieddine, and D. Hagimont, "Software consolidation as an efficient energy and cost saving solution," Future Generation Computer Systems, vol. 58, pp. 1-12, 2016.
- [27] J. Zhang, N. Xie, X. Zhang, W. Li, "An online auction mechanism for cloud computing resource allocation and pricing based on user evaluation and cost," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 89, pp. 286-299, 2018.
- [28] F. Zhang, J. Cao, K. Li, S.U. Khan, K. Hwang, "Multi-objective scheduling of many tasks in cloud platforms," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 37, pp. 309-320, 2014.
- [29] W. Zheng, Y. Qin, E. Bugingo, D. Zhang, J. Chen, "Cost optimization for deadline-aware scheduling of big-data processing jobs on clouds," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 82, pp. 244-255, 2018.
- [30] (2013, March) Coin-or clp. [Online]. Available: https://projects.coinor.org/Clp
- [31] (2013, May) Intel core if 3770k power consumption, thermal. [Online]. Available: http://openbenchmarking.org/result/ 1204229-SU-CPUMONITO81