



## Correction to: Hybrid Monte Carlo methods for sampling probability measures on submanifolds

Tony Lelièvre<sup>1</sup> · Mathias Rousset<sup>2</sup> · Gabriel Stoltz<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 22 November 2019

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

### Correction to: Numerische Mathematik (2019) 143:379–421 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00211-019-01056-4>

Shiva Darshan and Miranda Holmes–Cerfon (Courant Institute, NYU) pointed out a mistake in the projection functions to enforce the momentum constraint when rewriting the algorithm in Numerical Algorithm A of Section 3.1. Two different projection functions are actually needed, see indeed the formula for the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda^{n+1}$  after Equation (7) for the RATTLE step, and Remark 5 for the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck step.

We provide below a corrected version of the pseudo-code for the complete algorithm; see Numerical algorithms 1, 2 and 3. Changes are highlighted in blue. The sampling algorithm consists in iterating procedure `ConstrainedGHMC` of the algorithm (Numerical algorithm 1), which uses the procedures `LAGRANGE_MOMENTUM_OU` (Numerical algorithm 2) and `LAGRANGE_MOMENTUM_RATTLE` (Numerical algorithm 3) to compute the Lagrange multiplier for momentum constraints in the fluctuation/dissipation and RATTLE steps, respectively. The procedure `NEWTON` to compute the Lagrange multiplier for position constraints is unchanged.

Numerical algorithms 2 and 3 differ by a multiplication by  $(\text{Id} + \Delta t \gamma M^{-1}/4)^{-1}$ , which arises from the specific choice of the discretization of the fluctuation/dissipation part in Algorithm 3.

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00211-019-01056-4>.

---

✉ Gabriel Stoltz  
[gabriel.stoltz@enpc.fr](mailto:gabriel.stoltz@enpc.fr)

<sup>1</sup> CERMICS (ENPC), Inria, Université Paris-Est, 77455 Marne-la-Vallée, France

<sup>2</sup> SIMSART Team-Project, Inria Rennes, France

---

**Numerical algorithm 1** One step of the practical constrained HMC algorithm with reverse projection
 

---

Parameters:  $\gamma$  (friction),  $\Delta t$  (timestep),  $\eta_{\text{rev}}$  (tolerance for reverse check)

**procedure** CONSTRAINEDGHMC( $q, p$ )

$G \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \text{Id})$

$p \leftarrow (\text{Id} + \Delta t \gamma M^{-1}/4)^{-1} \left[ (\text{Id} - \Delta t \gamma M^{-1}/4)p + \sqrt{\gamma \Delta t} G \right]$

$\lambda = \text{LAGRANGE\_MOMENTUM\_OU}(q, p)$

$p \leftarrow p + (\text{Id} + \Delta t \gamma M^{-1}/4)^{-1} \nabla \xi(q) \lambda \quad \triangleright \text{Integration of the fluctuation/dissipation for } \Delta t/2$

Reject = TRUE

$\tilde{p} = p - \Delta t \nabla V(q)/2$  and  $\tilde{q} = q + \Delta t M^{-1} \tilde{p}$

Compute (Success\_forward\_RATTLE,  $\theta$ ) = NEWTON( $q, \tilde{q}$ )

**if** Success\_forward\_RATTLE **then**

$\tilde{p} \leftarrow \tilde{p} + \nabla \xi(q) \theta / \Delta t$  and  $\tilde{q} \leftarrow \tilde{q} + M^{-1} \nabla \xi(q) \theta$

$\tilde{p} \leftarrow \tilde{p} - \Delta t \nabla V(\tilde{q})/2$

$\lambda = \text{LAGRANGE\_MOMENTUM\_RATTLE}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$

$\tilde{p} \leftarrow \tilde{p} + \nabla \xi(\tilde{q}) \lambda$

$\triangleright$  Constrained RATTLE – proposition

$\hat{p} = -\tilde{p}$  and  $\hat{q} = \tilde{q}$

$\hat{p} \leftarrow \hat{p} - \Delta t \nabla V(\hat{q})/2$  and  $\hat{q} \leftarrow \hat{q} + \Delta t M^{-1} \hat{p}$

Compute (Success\_backward\_RATTLE,  $\theta$ ) = NEWTON( $\hat{q}, \hat{p}$ )

**if** Success\_backward\_RATTLE **then**

$\hat{p} \leftarrow \hat{p} + \nabla \xi(\hat{q}) \theta / \Delta t$  and  $\hat{q} \leftarrow \hat{q} + M^{-1} \nabla \xi(\hat{q}) \theta$

$\hat{p} \leftarrow \hat{p} - \Delta t \nabla V(\hat{q})/2$

$\lambda = \text{LAGRANGE\_MOMENTUM\_RATTLE}(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$

$\hat{p} \leftarrow \hat{p} + \nabla \xi(\hat{q}) \lambda$

$\triangleright$  Constrained RATTLE – reverse move

**if**  $\|\hat{q} - q\| < \eta_{\text{rev}}$  **then**

$\triangleright$  Constrained RATTLE – checking reversibility

$U \sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$

$\Delta H = H(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) - H(q, p)$

**if**  $\log(U) \leq -\Delta H$  **then**

$\triangleright$  Constrained RATTLE – Metropolis acceptance/rejection

Reject = FALSE

**end if**

**end if**

**end if**

**if** Reject **then**

$\tilde{p} = -p$  and  $\tilde{q} = q$

**end if**

$\tilde{G} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \text{Id})$

$\tilde{p} \leftarrow (\text{Id} + \Delta t \gamma M^{-1}/4)^{-1} \left[ (\text{Id} - \Delta t \gamma M^{-1}/4)\tilde{p} + \sqrt{\gamma \Delta t} \tilde{G} \right]$

$\lambda = \text{LAGRANGE\_MOMENTUM\_OU}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$

$\tilde{p} \leftarrow \tilde{p} + (\text{Id} + \Delta t \gamma M^{-1}/4)^{-1} \nabla \xi(\tilde{q}) \lambda \quad \triangleright \text{Integration of the fluctuation/dissipation for } \Delta t/2$

**return**  $\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}$

**end procedure**

---



---

**Numerical algorithm 2** Computation of the Lagrange multiplier for momentum constraints in OU part
 

---

**procedure** LAGRANGE\_MOMENTUM\_OU( $q, p$ )

$S = [\nabla \xi(q)]^T M^{-1} \left( \text{Id} + \Delta t \gamma M^{-1}/4 \right)^{-1} \nabla \xi(q)$

$b = [\nabla \xi(q)]^T M^{-1} p$

**return**  $\lambda = -S^{-1} b$

**end procedure**

---

---

**Numerical algorithm 3** Computation of the Lagrange multiplier for momentum constraints in RATTLE part
 

---

```

procedure LAGRANGE_MOMENTUM_RATTLE( $q, p$ )
   $S = [\nabla \xi(q)]^T M^{-1} \nabla \xi(q)$ 
   $b = [\nabla \xi(q)]^T M^{-1} p$ 
  return  $\lambda = -S^{-1}b$ 
end procedure

```

---

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.