

ANALYSIS OF A TIME-STEPPING SCHEME FOR TIME
FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION PROBLEMS
WITH NONSMOOTH DATA*BINJIE LI[†], HAO LUO[†], AND XIAOPING XIE[†]

Abstract. This paper establishes the convergence of a time-stepping scheme for the time fractional diffusion problems with nonsmooth data. We first analyze the regularity of the time fractional diffusion problems with nonsmooth data and then prove that this scheme possesses optimal convergence rates in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ -norm and $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ -norm with respect to the regularity of the solution. Additionally, the numerical results are provided to verify the theoretical results.

Key words. fractional diffusion problem, finite element, optimal a priori estimate

AMS subject classification. 65M60

DOI. 10.1137/18M118414X

1. Introduction. This paper considers the following time fractional diffusion problem:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} D_{0+}^\alpha(u - u_0) - \Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, D_{0+}^α is a Riemann–Liouville fractional differential operator, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 1, 2, 3$) is a convex polygonal domain, and u_0 and f are given functions.

A considerable amount of numerical algorithms for time fractional diffusion and diffusion-wave problems have been developed. Generally, these numerical algorithms can be divided into three types. This first type uses finite difference methods to approximate the time fractional derivatives; see [11, 30, 32, 39] for L-type methods, [8, 28, 29] for G-type schemes, and [3, 7, 9, 10, 25, 40] for methods based on convolution quadrature. Despite their ease of implementation, the fractional difference methods are generally of low temporal accuracy. The second one employs the spectral method to discretize the time fractional derivatives; see [4, 23, 24, 38]. The main advantage of these algorithms is that they possess high-order accuracy, provided the solution is sufficiently smooth. The third type adopts the finite element method to approximate the time fractional derivatives; see [5, 6, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 34]. These algorithms are as easy to implement as those in the first type, and they can possess high-order accuracy.

The convergence analysis of the aforementioned algorithms is generally carried out on the condition that the underlying solution is sufficiently smooth. So far, the works on the numerical analysis for nonsmooth data are very limited. Using the Laplace transformation, McLean and Thomée [36] analyzed three fully discretizations for fractional order evolution equations, where the initial values are allowed to have

*Received by the editors April 30, 2018; accepted for publication (in revised form) February 13, 2019; published electronically April 9, 2019.

<http://www.siam.org/journals/sinum/57-2/M118414.html>

Funding: The work of the authors was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant 11771312.

[†]School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China (libinjie@scu.edu.cn, galeolev@foxmail.com, xpxie@scu.edu.cn).

only $L^2(\Omega)$ -regularity. Using a growth estimation of the Mittag-Leffler function, Jin et al. [1, 2] analyzed the convergence of a spatial semidiscretization of problem (1), and they derived the following error estimates: if $f = 0$, then

$$\|(u - u_h)(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + h \|(u - u_h)(t)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq Ch^2 |\ln h| t^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)};$$

if $u_0 = 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$, then

$$\|u - u_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + h \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq Ch^{2-\beta} \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))},$$

$$\|(u - u_h)(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + h \|(u - u_h)(t)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{2-\beta} |\ln h|^2 \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,t;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))}.$$

Recently, McLean and Mustapha [35] derived that

$$\|u(t_n) - U^n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ct_n^{-1} \Delta t \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for a temporal semidiscretization of a fractional diffusion problem without source. For more related work, we refer the reader to [7, 25, 37, 40].

In this paper, we present a rigorous analysis of the convergence of a time-stepping scheme for problem (1), which uses a space of piecewise linear continuous functions in the spatial discretization and a space of piecewise constant functions in the temporal discretization. We first use the Galerkin method to investigate the regularity of problem (1) with nonsmooth u_0 and f , and then we derive the following error estimates: if $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (h + \tau^{\alpha/2})^{-1} \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C \left(h^{1-\beta} + \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} \right) \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)} \right); \end{aligned}$$

if $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $2 - 1/\alpha < \beta \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (h + \tau^{\alpha/2})^{-1} \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C \left(h^{1-\beta} + \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} \right) \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right); \end{aligned}$$

if $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $u_0 = 0$, then the above estimate also holds for all $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Furthermore, if $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ and $u_0 = 0$, then we derive the optimal error estimate

$$\|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C(h^2 + \tau) \|f\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.$$

By the techniques used in our analysis, we can also derive the error estimates under other conditions; for instance, u_0 and f are smoother than the aforementioned cases.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some Sobolev spaces, the Riemann–Liouville fractional calculus operators, the weak solution to problem (1), and a time-stepping scheme. Section 3 investigates the regularity of the weak solution, and section 4 establishes the convergence of the time-stepping scheme. Finally, section 5 provides some numerical experiments to verify the theoretical results.

2. Preliminaries.

Sobolev spaces. For a Lebesgue measurable subset ω of \mathbb{R}^l ($l = 1, 2, 3$), we use $H^\gamma(\omega)$ ($-\infty < \gamma < \infty$) and $H_0^\gamma(\omega)$ ($0 < \gamma < \infty$) to indicate two standard Sobolev spaces [22]. Let X be a separable Hilbert space with an inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ and an

orthonormal basis $\{e_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Assuming that $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, we use $H^\gamma(a, b; X)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < \infty$) to denote a usual vector valued Sobolev space, endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|_{H^\gamma(a, b; X)} := \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|(v, e_i)_X\|_{H^\gamma(a, b)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \forall v \in H^\gamma(a, b; X).$$

For $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, we also use the norm

$$|v|_{H^\gamma(a, b; X)} := \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |(v, e_i)_X|_{H^\gamma(a, b)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \forall v \in H^\gamma(a, b; X).$$

Here the norm $|\cdot|_{H^\gamma(a, b)}$ is given by

$$|w|_{H^\gamma(a, b)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2\gamma} |\mathcal{F}(w\chi_{(a, b)})(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \quad \forall w \in H^\gamma(a, b),$$

where $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the Fourier transform operator and $\chi_{(a, b)}$ is the indicator function of (a, b) .

Fractional calculus operators. Let X be a Banach space, and let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$. For $0 < \gamma < \infty$, define

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{I}_{a+}^\gamma v)(t) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} v(s) ds, \quad t \in (a, b), \\ (\mathbf{I}_{b-}^\gamma v)(t) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_t^b (s-t)^{\gamma-1} v(s) ds, \quad t \in (a, b), \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in L^1(a, b; X)$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. For $j-1 < \gamma < j$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} D_{a+}^\gamma &:= D^j \mathbf{I}_{a+}^{j-\gamma}, \\ D_{b-}^\gamma &:= (-D)^j \mathbf{I}_{b-}^{j-\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where D is the first-order differential operator in the distribution sense.

Eigenvectors of $-\Delta$. It is well known [15, Theorem 11.5.1] that there exists an orthonormal basis

$$\{\phi_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$$

of $L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$-\Delta \phi_i = \lambda_i \phi_i,$$

where $\{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a nondecreasing sequence. For any $-\infty < \gamma < \infty$, define

$$\dot{H}^\gamma(\Omega) := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i : \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^\gamma c_i^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and equip this space with the inner product such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i \phi_i \right)_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\Omega)} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^\gamma c_i d_i$$

for all $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i \in \dot{H}^{\gamma}(\Omega)$. The norm induced by this inner product is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma}(\Omega)}$. Evidently, $\dot{H}^{\gamma}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space and has an orthonormal basis $\{\lambda_i^{-\gamma/2} \phi_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Additionally, from [33, Corollary 9.25] and the theory of interpolation spaces [16], the following well-known conclusions are derived immediately: the space $\dot{H}^{\gamma}(\Omega)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1] \setminus \{1/2\}$, coincides with $H_0^{\gamma}(\Omega)$ with equivalent norms; $\dot{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ coincides with $H_{00}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ with equivalent norms; the space $\dot{H}^{\gamma}(\Omega)$, $1 < \gamma \leq 2$, is continuously embedded into $H^{\gamma}(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.1. If $\gamma \geq 0$, then v is clearly a locally integrable function. If $\gamma < 0$, then v is understood as the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^N c_i \phi_i$ in the dual space of $\dot{H}^{-\gamma}(\Omega)$, where $\sum_{i=0}^N c_i \phi_i$ is identified as a continuous linear functional on $\dot{H}^{-\gamma}(\Omega)$ by that

$$v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^N c_i \phi_i(x) v(x) dx \quad \forall v \in \dot{H}^{-\gamma}(\Omega).$$

Weak solution. Define

$$W := H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega)),$$

and endow this space with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_W := \left(|\cdot|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|\cdot\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Assuming that

$$(2) \quad f + D_{0+}^{\alpha} u_0 \in W^*,$$

we call $u \in W$ a weak solution to problem (1) if

$$(3) \quad \langle D_{0+}^{\alpha} u, v \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} = \langle f + D_{0+}^{\alpha} u_0, v \rangle_W$$

for all $v \in W$. Above and throughout, if ω is a Lebesgue measurable set of \mathbb{R}^l ($l = 1, 2, 3, 4$), then the symbol $\langle p, q \rangle_{\omega}$ means $\int_{\omega} pq$ whenever $p, q \in L^1(\omega)$; if X is a Banach space, then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ means the duality pairing between X^* (the dual space of X) and X . In particular, if X is a Hilbert space, then $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ means its inner product.

Remark 2.2. The above weak solution is first introduced by Li and Xu [38]. Evidently, the well-known Lax–Milgram theorem indicates that problem (1) admits a unique weak solution by Lemma A.2. Moreover,

$$\|u\|_W \leq C \|f + D_{0+}^{\alpha} u_0\|_{W^*},$$

where C is a positive constant that depends only on α .

Discretization. Let

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_J = T$$

be a partition of $[0, T]$. Set $I_j := (t_{j-1}, t_j)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq J$, and we use τ to denote the maximum length of these intervals. Let \mathcal{K}_h be a conventional conforming and shape regular triangulation of Ω consisting of d -simplexes, and we use h to denote the maximum diameter of the elements in \mathcal{K}_h . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_h &:= \{v_h \in H_0^1(\Omega) : v_h|_K \in P_1(K) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{K}_h\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{h,\tau} &:= \{V \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{S}_h) : V|_{I_j} \in P_0(I_j; \mathcal{S}_h) \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq J\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_1(K)$ is the set of all linear polynomials defined on K , and $P_0(I_j; \mathcal{S}_h)$ is the set of all constant \mathcal{S}_h -valued functions defined on I_j .

Naturally, the discretization of problem (3) reads as follows: seek $U \in \mathcal{M}_{h,\tau}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \langle D_{0+}^\alpha U, V \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T; L^2(\Omega))} + \langle \nabla U, \nabla V \rangle_{\Omega \times (0,T)} = \langle f + D_{0+}^\alpha u_0, V \rangle_W$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{M}_{h,\tau}$.

Remark 2.3. Similarly to the stability estimate in Remark 2.2, we have

$$\|U\|_W \leq C \|f + D_{0+}^\alpha u_0\|_{W^*},$$

where C is a positive constant that depends only on α . Therefore, problem (4) is also stable under condition (2).

3. Regularity. Let us first consider the following problem: seek $y \in H^{\alpha/2}(0, T)$ such that

$$(5) \quad \langle D_{0+}^\alpha (y - y_0), z \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)} + \lambda \langle y, z \rangle_{(0,T)} = \langle g, z \rangle_{(0,T)}$$

for all $z \in H^{\alpha/2}(0, T)$, where $g \in L^2(0, T)$, and y_0 and $\lambda > 0$ are two real constants. By Lemma A.2, the Lax–Milgram theorem indicates that the above problem admits a unique solution $y \in H^{\alpha/2}(0, T)$. Moreover, it is evident that

$$(6) \quad D_{0+}^\alpha (y - y_0) = g - \lambda y$$

in $L^2(0, T)$.

For convenience, we use the following conventions: if the symbol C has subscript(s), then it means a positive constant that depends only on its subscript(s), and its value may differ at each of its occurrence(s); as usual, v' means the weak derivative of v . Additionally, in this section we assume that u and y are the solutions to problems (3) and (5), respectively.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then*

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda^{\beta/2} |y|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)}(0,t)} + \lambda^{(1+\beta)/2} |y|_{H^{(1-\beta)\alpha/2}(0,t)} + \lambda \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)} \\ & \leq C_\alpha \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,t)} + t^{1/2-\alpha} |y_0| \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < t \leq T$.

Proof. Let us first prove that $y \in H^\alpha(0, T)$. By the definition of D_{0+}^α , equality (6) implies

$$(I_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(y - y_0))' = g - \lambda y,$$

so that using integration by parts gives

$$I_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(y - y_0) = (I_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(y - y_0))(0) + I_{0+}(g - \lambda y).$$

In addition, since

$$|(I_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(y - y_0))(s)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{s^{1-2\alpha}}{1-2\alpha}} \|y - y_0\|_{L^2(0,s)}, \quad 0 < s < T,$$

we have

$$(I_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(y - y_0))(0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} (I_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(y - y_0))(s) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$I_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(y - y_0) = I_{0+}(g - \lambda y),$$

and hence a simple computation gives that

$$y = y_0 + I_{0+}^\alpha(g - \lambda y).$$

Therefore, Lemma A.4 indicates that $y \in H^\alpha(0, T)$.

Then let us prove that

$$(8) \quad |y|_{H^\alpha(0,t)}^2 + \lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t)}^2 + \lambda^2 \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 \leq C_\alpha \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + t^{1-2\alpha} |y_0|^2 \right).$$

Multiplying both sides of (6) by y and integrating over $(0, t)$ yields

$$\langle D_{0+}^\alpha y, y \rangle_{(0,t)} + \lambda \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 = \langle g, y \rangle_{(0,t)} + \langle D_{0+}^\alpha y_0, y \rangle_{(0,t)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, y \rangle_{(0,t)} &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|g\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2, \\ \langle D_{0+}^\alpha y_0, y \rangle_{(0,t)} &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|D_{0+}^\alpha y_0\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\langle D_{0+}^\alpha y, y \rangle_{(0,t)} + \lambda \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 \leq C_\alpha \left(\lambda^{-1} \|g\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + \lambda^{-1} t^{1-2\alpha} |y_0|^2 \right).$$

From Lemma A.2 it follows that

$$\lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t)}^2 + \lambda^2 \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 \leq C_\alpha \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + t^{1-2\alpha} |y_0|^2 \right).$$

Analogously, multiplying both sides of (6) by $D_{0+}^\alpha y$ and integrating over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$|y|_{H^\alpha(0,t)}^2 + \lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t)}^2 \leq C_\alpha \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + t^{1-2\alpha} |y_0|^2 \right).$$

Therefore, combining the above two estimates yields (8).

Now, let us prove that

$$(9) \quad \lambda^\beta |y|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)}(0,t)}^2 + \lambda^{1+\beta} |y|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}(0,t)}^2 \leq C_\alpha \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + t^{1-2\alpha} |y_0|^2 \right).$$

Since

$$\alpha(1 - \beta/2) = \beta\alpha/2 + (1 - \beta)\alpha,$$

applying [12, Proposition 1.32] yields

$$|y|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)}(0,t)} \leq |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t)}^\beta |y|_{H^\alpha(0,t)}^{1-\beta}.$$

Therefore, by (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\beta |y|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)}(0,t)}^2 &\leq \left(\lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t)}^2 \right)^\beta \left(|y|_{H^\alpha(0,t)}^2 \right)^{1-\beta} \\ &\leq \lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t)}^2 + |y|_{H^\alpha(0,t)}^2 \\ &\leq C_\alpha \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + t^{1-2\alpha} |y_0|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

by Young's inequality. Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{1+\beta} |y|_{H^{(1-\beta)\alpha/2}(0,t)}^2 &\leq \left(\lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t)}^2\right)^{1-\beta} \left(\lambda^2 \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2\right)^\beta \\ &\leq \lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t)}^2 + \lambda^2 \|y\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 \\ &\leq C_\alpha \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 + t^{1-2\alpha} |y_0|^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above two estimates then proves (9).

Finally, combining (8) and (9) yields (7) and thus concludes the proof. \square

LEMMA 3.2. *If $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1/\alpha - 1$, then*

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lambda^{(\theta-1)/2} \|y\|_{H^\alpha(0,T)} + \|y\|_{H^{\alpha(1+\theta)/2}(0,T)} + \lambda^{\theta/2} |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)} + \lambda^{1/2} \|y\|_{H^{\alpha\theta/2}(0,T)} \\ &+ \lambda^{(1+\theta)/2} \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} \left(\lambda^{(\theta-1)/2} \|g\|_{L^2(0,T)} + |y_0|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\alpha > 1/2$, then $y(0) = y_0$.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 yields

$$y = y_0 + \frac{c}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} + I_{0+}^\alpha(g - \lambda y),$$

where

$$c = (I_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(y - y_0))(0).$$

Since $y \in H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)$ and Lemma A.4 implies $I_{0+}^\alpha(g - \lambda y) \in H^\alpha(0,T)$, it is evident that $c = 0$. It follows that

$$(11) \quad y = y_0 + I_{0+}^\alpha(g - \lambda y),$$

and hence using Lemma A.4 again yields

$$(12) \quad \|y\|_{H^\alpha(0,T)} \leq C_{\alpha,T} \left(|y_0| + \|g - \lambda y\|_{L^2(0,T)}\right).$$

Additionally, if $\alpha > 1/2$, then a straightforward computing yields

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} (I_{0+}^\alpha(g - \lambda y))(s) = 0,$$

so that (11) indicates $y(0) = y_0$.

Now, we proceed to prove (10), and since the techniques used below are similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 3.1, the forthcoming proof will be brief. Firstly, let us prove that

$$(13) \quad |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)}^2 + \lambda \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} \left(\lambda^{-1} \|g\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda^{-\theta} |y_0|^2\right).$$

By [12, Proposition 1.37], a simple calculation yields that

$$\int_0^T t^{-(1-\theta)\alpha} |y(t)|^2 dt \leq C_{\alpha,\theta} |y|_{H^{(1-\theta)\alpha/2}(0,T)}^2,$$

and hence by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\langle D_{0+}^\alpha y_0, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)} = \frac{y_0}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \langle t^{-\alpha}, y \rangle_{(0,T)} \leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} |y_0| |y|_{H^{(1-\theta)\alpha/2}(0,T)}.$$

Since

$$|y|_{H^{(1-\theta)\alpha/2}(0,T)} \leq \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)}^\theta |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)}^{1-\theta},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_{0+}^\alpha y_0, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)} &\leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} |y_0| \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)}^\theta |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)}^{1-\theta} \\ (14) \quad &\leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} |y_0| \lambda^{-\theta/2} \left(\lambda^{1/2} \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)} \right)^\theta |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)}^{1-\theta} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} |y_0| \lambda^{-\theta/2} \left(|y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)} + \lambda^{1/2} \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, inserting $z = y$ into (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &|y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)}^2 + \lambda \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq C_\alpha \left(\lambda^{-1} \|g\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \langle D_{0+}^\alpha y_0, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, inserting (14) into the above inequality and applying Young's inequality with ϵ , we obtain (13).

Secondly, let us prove that

$$(15) \quad \|y\|_{H^\alpha(0,T)}^2 \leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda^{1-\theta} |y_0|^2 \right).$$

Multiplying both sides of (6) by $D_{0+}^\alpha(y - y_0)$ and integrating over $(0, T)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|D_{0+}^\alpha(y - y_0)\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,T} \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda \langle D_{0+}^\alpha y_0, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so that from (13) and (14) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|D_{0+}^\alpha(y - y_0)\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda |y|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + |y_0| \lambda^{1-\theta/2} \left(\lambda^{-1/2} \|g\|_{L^2(0,T)} + \lambda^{-\theta/2} |y_0| \right) \right) \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + |y_0| \lambda^{1/2-\theta/2} \|g\|_{L^2(0,T)} + \lambda^{1-\theta} |y_0|^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda^{1-\theta} |y_0|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (6) and (12) yields (15).

Finally, using the same technique as that used to derive (9), by (13) and (15) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|y\|_{H^{\alpha(1+\theta)/2}(0,T)}^2 + \lambda \|y\|_{H^{\alpha\theta/2}(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\theta,T} \left(\lambda^{\theta-1} \|g\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + |y_0|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (13) and (15), yields inequality (10). This theorem is thus proved. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *Assume that $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. If $y_0 = 0$ and $g \in H^{1-\alpha}(0, T)$, then*

$$(16) \quad \|y\|_{H^1(0,T)} + \lambda^{1/2} \|y\|_{H^{1-\alpha/2}(0,T)} + \lambda \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C_{\alpha,T} \|g\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0,T)}.$$

Proof. Since we have already proved

$$(17) \quad y = I_{0+}^\alpha(g - \lambda y)$$

in the proof of Lemma 3.2, by Lemma A.4 we obtain $y \in H^1(0, T)$, and then applying the first order differential operator to both sides of (17) yields

$$y' = D_{0+}^{1-\alpha}(g - \lambda y).$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by y' and integrating over $(0, T)$ yields

$$\|y'\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda \langle D_{0+}^{1-\alpha} y, y' \rangle_{(0,T)} = \langle D_{0+}^{1-\alpha} g, y' \rangle_{(0,T)},$$

so that

$$\|y'\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda \langle D_{0+}^{1-\alpha} y, y' \rangle_{(0,T)} \leq C_{\alpha,T} \|g\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0,T)}^2$$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, Lemma A.2, and Young’s inequality with ϵ . Additionally, using the fact that $y \in H^1(0, T)$ with $y(0) = 0$ (by Lemma 3.2) gives

$$D_{0+}^{1-\alpha} y = I_{0+}^\alpha y',$$

so that

$$\langle D_{0+}^{1-\alpha} y, y' \rangle_{(0,T)} \geq C_{\alpha,T} \|y\|_{H^{1-\alpha/2}(0,T)}^2$$

by Lemmas A.3 and A.5. Therefore,

$$\|y'\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \lambda \|y\|_{H^{1-\alpha/2}(0,T)}^2 \leq C_{\alpha,T} \|g\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0,T)}^2,$$

and hence, as Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\lambda \|y\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C_{\alpha,T} \|g\|_{L^2(0,T)},$$

we readily obtain (16). This completes the proof. \square

Then, from the above three lemmas, we conclude the following regularity estimates for problem (3).

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. If $f \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$ and $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then*

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & |u|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)}(0,t;L^2(\Omega))} + |u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,t;\dot{H}^{1-\beta}(\Omega))} + |u|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}(0,t;\dot{H}^1(\Omega))} \\ & + \|u\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{2-\beta}(\Omega))} \leq C_\alpha \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + t^{1/2-\alpha} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < t \leq T$. In particular, if $\beta = 0$, then

$$(19) \quad D_{0+}^\alpha(u - u_0) - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume that $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. If $f \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$ with $2 - 1/\alpha < \beta \leq 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + |u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;\dot{H}^{1-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}(0,T;\dot{H}^1(\Omega))} \\ & + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{2-\beta}(\Omega))} \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,T} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $u_0 = 0$, then the above estimate also holds for all $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Furthermore, if $\beta = 0$, then

$$D_{0+}^\alpha(u - u_0) - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

and if $\alpha > 1/2$, then $u(0) = u_0$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Assume that $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. If $u_0 = 0$ and $f \in H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{H^{1-\alpha/2}(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^2(\Omega))} \\ \leq C_{\alpha, T} \|f\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Because the techniques used to prove the above three theorems are similar, we only provide a proof of Theorem 3.1. Let us first recall some basic results. In section 2 we have stated that $\{\lambda_i^{-\beta/2} \phi_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\dot{H}^\beta(\Omega)$, $-\infty < \beta < \infty$. Hence, $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i \phi_i \in H^\gamma(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\Omega))$, $\gamma \geq 0$, if and only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^\beta \|v_i\|_{H^\gamma(0, T)}^2 < \infty$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i \phi_i \right\|_{H^\gamma(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\Omega))} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^\beta \|v_i\|_{H^\gamma(0, T)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In the case $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, the above norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^\gamma(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\Omega))}$ can be replaced by $|\cdot|_{H^\gamma(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\Omega))}$. It is evident that $L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$ is the dual space of $L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\Omega))$ in the sense that

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i \phi_i \right\rangle_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\Omega))} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle c_i, d_i \rangle_{(0, T)}$$

for all $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i \phi_i \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^\beta(\Omega))$. If $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)$, then a simple calculation yields

$$D_{0+}^\alpha u_0 = \frac{t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} u_0 \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)).$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)$, there exists a unique decomposition $u_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_{i,0} \phi_i$, and

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-\beta} y_{i,0}^2.$$

By $f \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$, there exists a unique decomposition $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \phi_i$, and

$$\|f\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-\beta} \|f_i\|_{L^2(0, T)}^2.$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let y_i be the solution to problem (5) with y_0 replaced by $y_{i,0}$, g replaced by f_i , and λ replaced by λ_i . Inserting $\beta = 0$ into (7) yields

$$\lambda_i^{1/2} |y_i|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, t)} \leq C_\alpha \left(\|f_i\|_{L^2(0, t)} + t^{1/2-\alpha} |y_{i,0}| \right),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{1-\beta} |y_i|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, t)}^2 &\leq C_\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\lambda_i^{-\beta} \|f_i\|_{L^2(0, t)}^2 + t^{1-2\alpha} \lambda_i^{-\beta} |y_{i,0}|^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_\alpha \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0, t; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))}^2 + t^{1-2\alpha} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $0 < t < T$ is arbitrary,

$$\tilde{u} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i \phi_i \in H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; \dot{H}^{1-\beta}(\Omega))$$

is well-defined, and

$$|\tilde{u}|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, t; \dot{H}^{1-\beta}(\Omega))} \leq C_{\alpha} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0, t; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + t^{1/2-\alpha} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)} \right).$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tilde{u}|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)}(0, t; L^2(\Omega))} + |\tilde{u}|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}(0, t; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(0, t; \dot{H}^{2-\beta}(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C_{\alpha} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0, t; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + t^{1/2-\alpha} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining the above two estimates yields that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\tilde{u}|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)}(0, t; L^2(\Omega))} + |\tilde{u}|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, t; \dot{H}^{1-\beta}(\Omega))} + |\tilde{u}|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}(0, t; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} \\ & + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(0, t; \dot{H}^{2-\beta}(\Omega))} \leq C_{\alpha} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0, t; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + t^{1/2-\alpha} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us verify that $\tilde{u} = u$. For any $v \in W$, there exists a unique decomposition $v = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i \phi_i$, and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(|v_i|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T)}^2 + \lambda_i \|v_i\|_{L^2(0, T)}^2 \right) = \|v\|_W^2.$$

Then it is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle y_i, v_i \rangle_{(0, T)} = \langle \nabla \tilde{u}, \nabla v \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)},$$

and by Lemma A.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle D_{0+}^{\alpha} y_i, v_i \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T)} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left\langle D_{0+}^{\alpha/2} y_i, D_{T-}^{\alpha/2} v_i \right\rangle_{(0, T)} \\ &= \left\langle D_{0+}^{\alpha/2} \tilde{u}, D_{T-}^{\alpha/2} v \right\rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} = \langle D_{0+}^{\alpha} \tilde{u}, v \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since W is continuously embedded into $L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{\beta}(\Omega))$, we conclude that $L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)) \subset W^*$. Hence, as f and $D_{0+}^{\alpha} u_0$ belong to $L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle f_i, v_i \rangle_{(0, T)} &= \langle f, v \rangle_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{\beta}(\Omega))} = \langle f, v \rangle_W, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle D_{0+}^{\alpha} y_{i,0}, v_i \rangle_{(0, T)} &= \langle D_{0+}^{\alpha} u_0, v \rangle_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{\beta}(\Omega))} = \langle D_{0+}^{\alpha} u_0, v \rangle_W. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since the definition of y_i yields

$$\langle D_{0+}^{\alpha} y_i, v_i \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T)} + \lambda_i \langle y_i, v_i \rangle_{(0, T)} = \langle f_i, v_i \rangle_{(0, T)} + \langle D_{0+}^{\alpha} y_{i,0}, v_i \rangle_{(0, T)},$$

we obtain that

$$\langle D_{0+}^\alpha \tilde{u}, v \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \langle \nabla \tilde{u}, \nabla v \rangle_{\Omega \times (0,T)} = \langle f + D_{0+}^\alpha u_0, v \rangle_W.$$

As $v \in W$ is arbitrary, by Remark 2.2 we infer that \tilde{u} is identical to u , and hence (18) follows from (20).

Finally, let us prove (19). By (6) we have, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(21) \quad D_{0+}^\alpha (y_i - y_{i,0}) + \lambda_i y_i = f_i \quad \text{in } L^2(0,T),$$

and inserting $\beta = 0$ into (18) yields that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2 \|y_i\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 = \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^2(\Omega))}^2 < \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_{0+}^\alpha (y_i - y_{i,0}) \phi_i - \Delta u = f,$$

so that, by the equality

$$D_{0+}^\alpha (u - u_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_{0+}^\alpha (y_i - y_{i,0}) \phi_i,$$

we readily obtain (19). This concludes the proof.

4. Convergence. We assume that u and U are respectively the solutions to problems (3) and (4), and by $a \lesssim b$ we mean that there exists a generic positive constant C , independent of h , τ and u , such that $a \leq Cb$. The main task of this section is to prove the following a priori error estimates.

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume that $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^2(0,T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$, then*

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^1(\Omega))} \\ & \lesssim \left(h^{1-\beta} + \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} \right) \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \lesssim \left(h^{2-\beta} + \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)} \right) \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4.2. *Assume that $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $2 - 1/\alpha < \beta \leq 1$. If $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^2(0,T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^1(\Omega))} \\ & \lesssim \left(h^{1-\beta} + \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} \right) \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right), \\ & \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \lesssim \left(h^{2-\beta} + \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta/2)} \right) \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $u_0 = 0$ and $f \in L^2(0,T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$, then the above two estimates also hold for all $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$.

THEOREM 4.3. *Assume that $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. If $u_0 = 0$ and $f \in H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u - U\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} &\lesssim (h^2 + \tau) \|f\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}, \\ \|u - U\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} &\lesssim (h + \tau^{1-\alpha/2}) \|f\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the proofs of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 are similar to that of Theorem 4.1, below we only prove the latter. To this end, we start by introducing two interpolation operators. For any $v \in L^1(0, T; X)$ with X being a separable Hilbert space, define $P_\tau v$ by

$$(P_\tau v)|_{I_j} = \frac{1}{\tau_j} \int_{I_j} v(t) dt, \quad 1 \leq j \leq J.$$

Let $P_h : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_h$ be the well-known Clément interpolation operator. For the above two operators, we have the following standard estimates [26, 27]: if $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $\beta \leq \gamma \leq 2$, then

$$(24) \quad \|(I - P_h)v\|_{\dot{H}^\beta(\Omega)} \lesssim h^{\gamma-\beta} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^\gamma(\Omega)} \quad \forall v \in \dot{H}^\gamma(\Omega);$$

if $0 \leq \beta < 1/2$ and $\beta \leq \gamma \leq 1$, then

$$\|(I - P_\tau)w\|_{H^\beta(0, T)} \lesssim \tau^{\gamma-\beta} \|w\|_{H^\gamma(0, T)} \quad \forall w \in H^\gamma(0, T).$$

For clarity, below we shall use the above two estimates implicitly.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let us first prove (22). By Lemma A.2, a standard procedure yields that

$$\|u - U\|_W \lesssim \|u - P_\tau P_h u\|_W,$$

so that using the triangle inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|u - U\|_W &\lesssim |(I - P_h)u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + |(I - P_\tau)P_h u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\quad + \|(I - P_h)u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} + \|(I - P_\tau)P_h u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}|(I - P_\tau)P_h u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} &\leq |(I - P_\tau)u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}, \\ \|(I - P_\tau)P_h u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} &\lesssim \|(I - P_\tau)u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))},\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned}\|u - U\|_W &\lesssim |(I - P_h)u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + |(I - P_\tau)u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\quad + \|(I - P_h)u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} + \|(I - P_\tau)u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (22) is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}\|(I - P_h)u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} &\lesssim h^{1-\beta} \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{2-\beta}(\Omega))}, \\ |(I - P_h)u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} &\lesssim h^{1-\beta} |u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; \dot{H}^{1-\beta}(\Omega))}, \\ |(I - P_\tau)u|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} &\lesssim \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} |u|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}, \\ \|(I - P_\tau)u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))} &\lesssim \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} |u|_{H^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))}.\end{aligned}$$

Then let us prove (23). By Lemma A.2, the well-known Lax–Milgram theorem implies that there exists a unique $z \in W$ such that

$$\langle D_{T-}^\alpha z, v \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \langle \nabla z, \nabla v \rangle_{\Omega \times (0,T)} = \langle u - U, v \rangle_{\Omega \times (0,T)}$$

for all $v \in W$. Substituting $v = u - U$ into the above equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 &= \langle D_{T-}^\alpha z, u - U \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \langle \nabla z, \nabla(u - U) \rangle_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \\ &= \langle D_{0+}^\alpha(u - U), z \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \langle \nabla(u - U), \nabla z \rangle_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma A.2. Setting $Z = P_\tau P_h z$, as combining (3) and (4) gives

$$\langle D_{0+}^\alpha(u - U), Z \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \langle \nabla(u - U), \nabla Z \rangle_{\Omega \times (0,T)} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 &= \langle D_{0+}^\alpha(u - U), z - Z \rangle_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \langle \nabla(u - U), \nabla(z - Z) \rangle_{\Omega \times (0,T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma A.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (26) \quad \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 &\leq |u - U|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} |z - Z|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\quad + \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^1(\Omega))} \|z - Z\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^1(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|u - U\|_W \|z - Z\|_W. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the regularity estimate in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\|z\|_{H^\alpha(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + |z|_{H^{\alpha/2}(0,T;\dot{H}^1(\Omega))} + \|z\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^2(\Omega))} \lesssim \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))},$$

so that proceeding as in the proof of (22) yields

$$\|z - Z\|_W \lesssim (h + \tau^{\alpha/2}) \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.$$

Collecting the above estimate, (25) and (26) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} &\lesssim (h + \tau^{\alpha/2}) \left(h^{1-\beta} + \tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} \right) \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (23) is a direct consequence of the following two estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} h\tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} &= (h^{2-\beta})^{1/(2-\beta)} \left(\tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} \right)^{1-1/(2-\beta)} \\ &\leq h^{2-\beta}/(2-\beta) + (1-1/(2-\beta))\tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}, \\ h^{1-\beta}\tau^{\alpha/2} &= (h^{2-\beta})^{(1-\beta)/(2-\beta)} \left(\tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2} \right)^{1-(1-\beta)/(2-\beta)} \\ &\leq (1-\beta)/(2-\beta)h^{2-\beta} + (1-(1-\beta)/(2-\beta))\tau^{\alpha(1-\beta)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

TABLE 1
 $\tau = 2^{-15}$ (here \tilde{u} is the numerical solution in the case of $h = 2^{-11}$).

h	$\alpha = 0.2$				$\alpha = 0.4$				
	\mathcal{E}_1	Order	\mathcal{E}_2	Order	\mathcal{E}_1	Order	\mathcal{E}_2	Order	
$r = -0.8$	2^{-3}	7.56e-1	—	1.15e-2	—	8.12e-1	—	2.87e-2	—
	2^{-4}	4.78e-1	0.66	3.64e-3	1.66	5.23e-1	0.64	9.42e-3	1.61
	2^{-5}	2.99e-1	0.68	1.14e-3	1.68	3.30e-1	0.66	3.02e-3	1.64
	2^{-6}	1.85e-1	0.69	3.53e-4	1.69	2.06e-1	0.68	9.51e-4	1.67
$r = -0.99$	2^{-3}	1.51e-0	—	5.10e-2	—	1.64e-0	—	5.45e-2	—
	2^{-4}	1.07e-0	0.49	1.84e-2	1.47	1.19e-0	0.47	2.01e-2	1.44
	2^{-5}	7.54e-1	0.41	6.53e-3	1.49	8.42e-1	0.49	7.25e-3	1.47
	2^{-6}	5.27e-1	0.52	2.31e-3	1.50	5.91e-1	0.51	2.58e-3	1.49

5. Numerical results. This section performs some numerical experiments to verify our theoretical results in one-dimensional space. We set $T = 1$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_1 &= \|\tilde{u} - U\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &= \|\tilde{u} - U\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))},\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{u} is a reference solution.

Experiment 1. This experiment verifies Theorem 4.1 under the condition that

$$\begin{aligned}u_0(x) &:= x^r, \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ f(x, t) &:= x^r t^{-0.49}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T.\end{aligned}$$

We first summarize the numerical results in Table 1 as follows.

- If $r = -0.8$, then

$$u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$$

for all $\beta > 0.3$. Therefore, Theorem 4.1 indicates that the spatial convergence orders of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are close to $\mathcal{O}(h^{0.7})$ and $\mathcal{O}(h^{1.7})$, respectively. This is confirmed by the numerical results.

- If $r = -0.99$, then

$$u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{-\beta}(\Omega))$$

for all $\beta > 0.49$. Therefore, Theorem 4.1 indicates that the spatial convergence orders of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are close to $\mathcal{O}(h^{0.51})$ and $\mathcal{O}(h^{1.51})$, respectively. This agrees well with the numerical results.

In the case of $\alpha = 0.4$, Theorem 4.1 implies the following results: if $r = -0.49$, then the temporal convergence orders of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are close to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{0.2})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{0.4})$, respectively; if $r = 0.99$, then the temporal convergence orders of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are close to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{0.1})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{0.3})$, respectively. These theoretical results are confirmed by the numerical results in Table 2.

Experiment 2. This experiment verifies Theorem 4.2 under the condition that

$$\begin{aligned}u_0(x) &:= cx^{-0.49}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ f(x, t) &:= x^{-0.8} t^{-0.49}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T.\end{aligned}$$

TABLE 2

 $\alpha = 0.4$ and $h = 2^{-10}$ (here \tilde{u} is the numerical solution in the case of $\tau = 2^{-17}$).

$r = -0.49$					$r = -0.99$				
τ	\mathcal{E}_1	Order	\mathcal{E}_2	Order	τ	\mathcal{E}_1	Order	\mathcal{E}_2	Order
2^{-5}	4.54e-1	—	1.20e-2	—	2^{-3}	1.80	—	3.49e-1	—
2^{-6}	3.77e-1	0.27	9.53e-2	0.33	2^{-4}	1.62	0.15	2.93e-1	0.25
2^{-7}	3.11e-1	0.28	7.39e-2	0.37	2^{-5}	1.45	0.16	2.42e-1	0.28
2^{-8}	2.56e-1	0.28	5.63e-2	0.39	2^{-6}	1.30	0.16	1.96e-1	0.30

TABLE 3

 $\alpha = 0.7$ and $\tau = 2^{-15}$ (here \tilde{u} is the numerical solution in the case of $h = 2^{-11}$).

$c = 0$				$c = 1$				
h	\mathcal{E}_1	Order	\mathcal{E}_2	Order	\mathcal{E}_1	Order	\mathcal{E}_2	Order
2^{-3}	5.12e-1	—	1.77e-2	—	1.37e-0	—	4.19e-2	—
2^{-4}	3.42e-1	0.58	6.03e-3	1.55	1.04e-0	0.40	1.67e-2	1.33
2^{-5}	2.23e-1	0.62	2.00e-3	1.59	7.56e-1	0.46	6.35e-3	1.39
2^{-6}	1.42e-1	0.65	6.49e-4	1.63	5.18e-1	0.55	2.26e-3	1.49

For $\alpha = 0.7$, Theorem 4.2 implies the following results: if $c = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \approx \mathcal{O}(h^{0.7}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_2 \approx \mathcal{O}(h^{1.7});$$

if $c = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \approx \mathcal{O}(h^{0.43}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_2 \approx \mathcal{O}(h^{1.43}).$$

Those theoretical results are confirmed by the numerical results in Table 3.

For $\alpha = 0.8$, Theorem 4.2 implies the following results: if $c = 0$, then the temporal convergence orders of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are close to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{0.28})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{0.68})$, respectively; if $c = 1$, then the temporal convergence orders of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are close to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{0.1})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{0.5})$, respectively. These are verified by Table 4.**Experiment 3.** This experiment verifies Theorem 4.3. Here we set $\alpha = 0.8$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &:= 0, & 0 < x < 1, \\ f(x, t) &:= x^{-0.49}t^{-0.29}, & 0 < x < 1, 0 < t < T. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.3 implies that the convergence orders of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are $\mathcal{O}(h + \tau^{0.6})$ and $\mathcal{O}(h^2 + \tau)$, respectively, which is confirmed by Tables 5 and 6.**Appendix A. Properties of fractional calculus operators.**LEMMA A.1 ([14]). *Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. If $0 < \beta, \gamma < \infty$, then*

$$I_{a+}^\beta I_{a+}^\gamma = I_{a+}^{\beta+\gamma}, \quad I_{b-}^\beta I_{b-}^\gamma = I_{b-}^{\beta+\gamma},$$

and

$$\langle I_{a+}^\beta v, w \rangle_{(a,b)} = \langle v, I_{b-}^\beta w \rangle_{(a,b)}$$

for all $v, w \in L^2(a, b)$.

TABLE 4

 $\alpha = 0.8$ and $h = 2^{-10}$ (here \tilde{u} is the numerical solution in the case of $\tau = 2^{-17}$).

\mathcal{E}_1					\mathcal{E}_2				
τ	$c = 0$	Order	$c = 1$	Order	τ	$c = 0$	Order	$c = 1$	Order
2^{-6}	2.09e-1	—	6.50e-1	—	2^{-9}	6.91e-3	—	1.31e-2	—
2^{-7}	1.69e-1	0.30	5.75e-1	0.18	2^{-10}	4.47e-3	0.63	9.00e-2	0.54
2^{-8}	1.37e-1	0.31	5.06e-1	0.18	2^{-11}	2.84e-3	0.65	6.17e-2	0.55
2^{-9}	1.10e-1	0.31	4.44e-1	0.19	2^{-12}	1.78e-3	0.68	4.19e-2	0.56

TABLE 5

 $\tau = 2^{-15}$ (here \tilde{u} is the numerical solution in the case of $h = 2^{-12}$).

h	\mathcal{E}_1	Order	\mathcal{E}_2	Order
2^{-5}	3.13e-2	—	2.98e-4	—
2^{-6}	1.66e-2	0.92	7.92e-5	1.91
2^{-7}	8.71e-3	0.93	2.09e-5	1.92
2^{-8}	4.55e-3	0.94	5.47e-6	1.93

TABLE 6

 $h = 2^{-10}$ (here \tilde{u} is the numerical solution in the case of $\tau = 2^{-17}$).

τ	\mathcal{E}_1	Order	\mathcal{E}_2	Order
2^{-8}	9.73e-3	—	2.47e-3	—
2^{-9}	6.22e-3	0.65	1.41e-3	0.81
2^{-10}	3.97e-3	0.65	7.81e-4	0.85
2^{-11}	2.54e-3	0.65	4.27e-4	0.87

LEMMA A.2 ([31]). Assume that $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma < 1/2$. If $v \in H^\gamma(a, b)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{a+}^\gamma v\|_{L^2(a,b)} &\leq |v|_{H^\gamma(a,b)}, \\ \|D_{b-}^\gamma v\|_{L^2(a,b)} &\leq |v|_{H^\gamma(a,b)}, \\ \langle D_{a+}^\gamma v, D_{b-}^\gamma v \rangle_{(a,b)} &= \cos(\gamma\pi) |v|_{H^\gamma(a,b)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $v, w \in H^\gamma(a, b)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_{a+}^\gamma v, D_{b-}^\gamma w \rangle_{(a,b)} &\leq \cos(\gamma\pi) |v|_{H^\gamma(a,b)} |w|_{H^\gamma(a,b)}, \\ \langle D_{a+}^{2\gamma} v, w \rangle_{H^\gamma(a,b)} &= \langle D_{a+}^\gamma v, D_{b-}^\gamma w \rangle_{(a,b)} = \langle D_{b-}^{2\gamma} w, v \rangle_{H^\gamma(a,b)}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA A.3. Let $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. If $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ and $v \in L^2(a, b)$, then

$$(27) \quad C_1 \|I_{a+}^\gamma v\|_{L^2(a,b)}^2 \leq (I_{a+}^\gamma v, I_{b-}^\gamma v)_{L^2(a,b)} \leq C_2 \|I_{a+}^\gamma v\|_{L^2(a,b)}^2,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are two positive constants that depend only on γ .

Proof. Extending v to $\mathbb{R} \setminus (a, b)$ by zero, we define

$$\begin{aligned} w_+(t) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} v(s) ds, \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \\ w_-(t) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{\gamma-1} v(s) ds, \quad -\infty < t < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, a routine calculation yields $w_+, w_- \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and [14, Eq. (2.270)] implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}w_+(\xi) &= (i\xi)^{-\gamma} \mathcal{F}v(\xi), \quad -\infty < \xi < \infty, \\ \mathcal{F}w_-(\xi) &= (-i\xi)^{-\gamma} \mathcal{F}v(\xi), \quad -\infty < \xi < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

By the Plancherel theorem and the same technique as that used to prove [31, Lemma 2.4], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{I}_{a+}^\gamma v, \mathcal{I}_{b-}^\gamma v)_{L^2(a,b)} &= (w_+, w_-)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = (\mathcal{F}w_+, \mathcal{F}w_-)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= \cos(\gamma\pi) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{-2\gamma} |\mathcal{F}v(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \cos(\gamma\pi) \|w_+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \cos(\gamma\pi) \|w_-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, (27) follows from the following two estimates:

$$\|\mathcal{I}_{a+}^\gamma v\|_{L^2(a,b)} \leq \|w_+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \|\mathcal{I}_{b-}^\gamma v\|_{L^2(a,b)} \leq \|w_-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \quad \square$$

LEMMA A.4. *If $\beta \in (0, 1) \setminus \{0.5\}$ and $0 < \gamma < \infty$, then*

$$(28) \quad \|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma v\|_{H^{\beta+\gamma}(0,1)} \leq C_{\beta,\gamma} \|v\|_{H^\beta(0,1)}$$

for all $v \in H_0^\beta(0,1)$. Furthermore, if $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ and $v \in H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)$ with $v(0) = 0$, then

$$(29) \quad \|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma v\|_{H^1(0,1)} \leq C_\gamma \|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)}.$$

Proof. For the proof of (28), we refer the reader to [5, Lemma A.4]. Let us prove (29) as follows. Define $\tilde{v} := v - g$, where

$$g(t) := tv(1), \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

It is clear that $\tilde{v} \in H_0^{1-\gamma}(0,1)$, and hence (28) implies

$$\|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma \tilde{v}\|_{H^1(0,1)} \leq C_\gamma \|\tilde{v}\|_{H_0^{1-\gamma}(0,1)}.$$

Therefore, from the evident estimate

$$\|g\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} + \|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma g\|_{H^1(0,1)} \leq C_\gamma |v(1)|,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma v\|_{H^1(0,1)} &\leq \|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma \tilde{v}\|_{H^1(0,1)} + \|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma g\|_{H^1(0,1)} \\ &\leq C_\gamma \|\tilde{v}\|_{H_0^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} + \|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma g\|_{H^1(0,1)} \\ &\leq C_\gamma \left(\|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} + \|g\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} \right) + \|\mathcal{I}_{0+}^\gamma g\|_{H^1(0,1)} \\ &\leq C_\gamma \left(\|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} + |v(1)| \right).\end{aligned}$$

As $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ implies

$$\|v\|_{C[0,1]} \leq C_\gamma \|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)},$$

this indicates (29) and thus proves the lemma. \square

LEMMA A.5. If $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ and $v \in H^1(0, 1)$, then

$$(30) \quad C_1 \|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} \leq |v(0)| + \|I_{0+}^\gamma v'\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq C_2 \|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)},$$

where C_1 and C_2 are two positive constants that depend only on γ .

Proof. Since a simple calculation gives

$$D I_{0+}^\gamma (v - v(0)) = D I_{0+}^\gamma I_{0+} v' = I_{0+}^\gamma v',$$

using Lemma A.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{0+}^\gamma v'\|_{L^2(0,1)} &\leq \|I_{0+}^\gamma (v - v(0))\|_{H^1(0,1)} \\ &\leq C_\gamma \|v - v(0)\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} \leq C_\gamma (|v(0)| + \|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)}), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the estimate

$$|v(0)| \leq C_\gamma \|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} \quad (\text{since } 1 - \gamma > 0.5),$$

indicates

$$|v(0)| + \|I_{0+}^\gamma v'\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq C_\gamma \|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)}.$$

Conversely, by

$$v = I_{0+}^{1-\gamma} I_{0+}^\gamma v' + v(0),$$

using Lemma A.4 again yields

$$\|v\|_{H^{1-\gamma}(0,1)} \leq C_\gamma (|v(0)| + \|I_{0+}^\gamma v'\|_{L^2(0,1)}).$$

This lemma is thus proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. JIN, R. LAZAROV, AND Z. ZHOU, *Error estimates for a semidiscrete finite element method for fractional order parabolic equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 51 (2013), pp. 445–466.
- [2] B. JIN, R. LAZAROV, J. PASCIAK, AND Z. ZHOU, *Error analysis of semidiscrete finite element methods for inhomogeneous time-fractional diffusion*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 35 (2015), pp. 561–582.
- [3] B. JIN, R. LAZAROV, AND Z. ZHOU, *Two fully discrete schemes for fractional diffusion and diffusion-wave equations with nonsmooth data*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 38 (2016), pp. A146–A170.
- [4] B. LI, H. LUO, AND X. XIE, *A time-spectral algorithm for fractional wave problems*, J. Sci. Comput., 77 (2018), pp. 1164–1184.
- [5] B. LI, H. LUO, AND X. XIE, *A Space-Time Finite Element Method for Fractional Wave Problems*, preprint, arXiv:1803.03437, 2018.
- [6] B. LI, H. LUO, AND X. XIE, *Error Estimates of a Discontinuous Galerkin Method for Time Fractional Diffusion Problems with Nonsmooth Data*, preprint, arXiv:1809.02015, 2018.
- [7] C. LUBICH, I. SLOAN, AND V. THOMÉE, *Nonsmooth data error estimates for approximations of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term*, Math. Comp., 65 (1996), pp. 1–17.
- [8] C.-M. CHEN, F. LIU, V. ANH, AND I. TURNER, *Numerical schemes with high spatial accuracy for a variable-order anomalous subdiffusion equation*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 32 (2010), pp. 1740–1760.
- [9] E. CUESTA, C. LUBICH, AND C. PALENCIA, *Convolution quadrature time discretization of fractional diffusion-wave equations*, Math. Comp., 75 (2006), pp. 673–696.
- [10] E. CUESTA AND C. PALENCIA, *A numerical method for an integro-differential equation with memory in Banach spaces: Qualitative properties*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 41 (2003), pp. 1232–1241.

- [11] G. GAO AND Z. SUN, *A compact finite difference scheme for the fractional sub-diffusion equations*, J. Comput. Phys., 230 (2011), pp. 586–595.
- [12] H. BAHOURI, J. CHEMIN, AND R. DANCHIN, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [13] H. LUO, B. LI, AND X. XIE, *Convergence Analysis of a Petrov-Galerkin Method for Fractional Wave Problems with Nonsmooth Data*, preprint, arXiv:1901.02799, 2019.
- [14] I. PODLUBNY, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1998.
- [15] J. JOST, *Partial Differential Equations* Springer, New York, 2013.
- [16] J. BERGH AND J. LOFSTROM, *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [17] K. MUSTAPHA, B. ABDALLAH, AND K. M. FURATI, *A discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin method for time-fractional diffusion equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 52 (2014), pp. 2512–2529.
- [18] K. MUSTAPHA AND W. MCLEAN, *Discontinuous Galerkin method for an evolution equation with a memory term of positive type*, Math. Comp., 78 (2009), pp. 1975–1995.
- [19] K. MUSTAPHA AND W. MCLEAN, *Piecewise-linear, discontinuous Galerkin method for a fractional diffusion equation*, Numer. Algorithms, 56 (2011), pp. 159–184.
- [20] K. MUSTAPHA AND W. MCLEAN, *Superconvergence of a discontinuous Galerkin method for fractional diffusion and wave equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 51 (2013), pp. 491–515.
- [21] K. MUSTAPHA AND W. MCLEAN, *Uniform convergence for a discontinuous Galerkin, time-stepping method applied to a fractional diffusion equation*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 32 (2012), pp. 906–925.
- [22] L. TARTAR, *An Introduction to Sobolev Spaces and Interpolation Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [23] M. ZHENG, F. LIU, I. TURNER, AND V. ANH, *A novel high order space-time spectral method for the time fractional Fokker-Planck equation*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 37 (2015), pp. A701–A724.
- [24] M. ZAYERNOURI AND G. E. KARNIADAKIS, *Discontinuous spectral element methods for time- and space-fractional advection equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 36 (2014), pp. B684–B707.
- [25] N. FORD AND Y. YAN, *An approach to construct higher order time discretisation schemes for time fractional partial differential equations with nonsmooth data*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 20 (2017), pp. 1076–1105.
- [26] P. CIARLET, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2002.
- [27] P. CLÉMENT, *Approximation by finite element functions using local regularization*, RAIRO Anal. Numer., 9 (1975), pp. 77–84.
- [28] S. B. YUSTE AND L. ACEDO, *An explicit finite difference method and a new von Neumann-type stability analysis for fractional diffusion equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 42 (2005), pp. 1862–1874.
- [29] S. YUSTE, *Weighted average finite difference methods for fractional diffusion equations*, J. Comput. Phys., 216 (2006), pp. 264–274.
- [30] T. LANGLANDS AND B. HENRY, *The accuracy and stability of an implicit solution method for the fractional diffusion equation* J. Comput. Phys., 205 (2005), pp. 719–736.
- [31] V. ERVIN AND J. ROOP, *Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation*, Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations, 22 (2006), pp. 558–576.
- [32] W. DENG, *Finite element method for the space and time fractional Fokker-Planck equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2008), pp. 204–226.
- [33] W. HACKBUSCH, *Elliptic Differential Equations: Theory and Numerical Treatment*, Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [34] W. MCLEAN AND K. MUSTAPHA, *Convergence analysis of a discontinuous Galerkin method for a sub-diffusion equation*, Numer. Algorithms, 52 (2009), pp. 69–88.
- [35] W. MCLEAN AND K. MUSTAPHA, *Time-stepping error bounds for fractional diffusion problems with non-smooth initial data*, J. Comput. Phys., 293 (2015), pp. 201–217.
- [36] W. MCLEAN AND V. THOMÉE, *Maximum-norm error analysis of a numerical solution via Laplace transformation and quadrature of a fractional order evolution*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 30 (2010), pp. 208–230.
- [37] W. MCLEAN AND E. VIDAR, *Numerical solution via Laplace transforms of a fractional order evolution equation*, J. Integral Equations Appl., 22 (2010), pp. 57–94.
- [38] X. LI AND C. XU, *A space-time spectral method for the time fractional diffusion equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2009), pp. 2108–2131.
- [39] Y. LIN AND C. XU, *Finite difference/spectral approximations for the time-fractional diffusion equation*, J. Comput. Phys., 225 (2007), pp. 1533–1552.
- [40] Y. YANG, Y. YAN, AND N. FORD, *Some time stepping methods for fractional diffusion problems with nonsmooth data*, Comput. Methods Appl. Math., 18 (2018), pp. 129–146.