



Generalized Gaffney inequality and discrete compactness for discrete differential forms

Juncai He¹ · Kaibo Hu² · Jinchao Xu¹

Received: 3 May 2018 / Revised: 5 August 2019 / Published online: 5 October 2019
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

We prove generalized Gaffney inequalities and the discrete compactness for finite element differential forms on s -regular domains, including general Lipschitz domains. In computational electromagnetism, special cases of these results have been established for edge elements with weakly imposed divergence-free conditions and used in the analysis of nonlinear and eigenvalue problems. In this paper, we generalize these results to discrete differential forms, not necessarily with strongly or weakly imposed constraints. The analysis relies on a new Hodge mapping and its approximation property. As an application, we show L^p estimates for several finite element approximations of the scalar and vector Laplacian problems.

Mathematics Subject Classification 65N30 · 65N12 · 58J10 · 35D30

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an s -regular domain ($1/2 \leq s \leq 1$) (c.f. [22]) with trivial cohomology and

Juncai He is supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 91430215) and the Elite Program of Computational and Applied Mathematics for PHD Candidates of Peking University. The research of Kaibo Hu leading to the results of this paper was partly carried out during his affiliation with the University of Oslo. KH was then supported by the European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)/ERC Grant Agreement 339643 (FEEC-A). Jinchao Xu is supported in part by DOE Grant DE-SC0014400.

✉ Kaibo Hu
khu@umn.edu

Juncai He
juh380@psu.edu

Jinchao Xu
xu@math.psu.edu

¹ Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

² School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455-0488, USA

$$\begin{aligned} Z^k &:= \mathring{H}\Lambda^k \cap H^*\Lambda^k(\Omega) \\ &= \left\{ w \in L^2\Lambda^k(\Omega) : dw \in L^2\Lambda^{k+1}(\Omega), \operatorname{tr}|_{\partial\Omega} w = 0 \right\} \\ &\quad \cap \left\{ w \in L^2\Lambda^k(\Omega) : \delta w \in L^2\Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

be the space of differential k -forms with vanishing trace on the boundary. The generalized Gaffney inequality

$$\|w\|_{L^p}^2 \leq C \left(\|dw\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\delta w\|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

and the compactness $Z^k \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ are two important properties of Z^k and play a crucial role in the analysis of nonlinear and eigenvalue problems for differential forms (see, e.g., [5, 11, 16, 25]).

For numerical methods for differential forms and Hodge Laplacian, approximation of Z^k by the classical C^0 finite elements will cause notorious pseudo-solutions and instability (c.f. [2, 5, 15]). To cure this problem, one could approximate Z^k by a finite dimensional space $\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^k \subset \mathring{H}\Lambda^k$. We refer to [2, 7, 15] for details on the discrete differential forms and the finite element exterior calculus. The space $\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^k$ is a non-conforming approximation of Z^k since the codifferential operator cannot be taken in the L^2 sense, and this causes a difficulty in the numerical analysis. In particular, the generalized Gaffney inequality and the compactness cannot be inherited from Z^k .

The discrete differential forms fit in a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^n \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

A discrete Hodge decomposition follows:

$$\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^k = d\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^{k-1} \oplus \left[d\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^{k-1} \right]^\perp = d\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^{k-1} \oplus d_h^* \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^{k+1},$$

where d_h^* is the L^2 adjoint operator of $d : \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^k \mapsto \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^{k+1}$.

In computational electromagnetism, the electromagnetic fields are usually discretized in the discrete divergence-free edge element space $[d\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^0]^\perp$, i.e., in

$$X_h^c := \left\{ w_h \in \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^1, (w_h, \operatorname{grad} \phi_h) = 0, \forall \phi_h \in \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^0 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^1$ is the Nédélec edge element (first or second kind) and $\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^0$ is the Lagrange finite element with a suitable degree [5, 11, 15, 16, 25]. The discrete divergence-free condition in X_h^c reflects the Gauss laws in the Maxwell equations. In this special case, generalized Gaffney inequalities have been established in, e.g., [11, 16, 25] for discretizations of nonlinear problems. For eigenvalue problems, the discrete compactness of X_h^c is established and used for the convergence theory (see, e.g., [5, 15] and the references therein). The analysis of both the generalized

Gaffney inequality and the discrete compactness is based on a map $\mathcal{H} : X_h^c \mapsto H_0(\text{curl}) \cap H(\text{div } 0)$ and its approximation property [15]. This continuous lifting acts as a connection between the discrete and continuous levels and is sometimes referred to as the Hodge mapping.

For some problems in electromagnetism, the divergence-free constraint in $H_0(\text{curl}) \cap H(\text{div } 0)$ plays a crucial role. Therefore strongly divergence-free Brezzi-Douglas-Marini or Raviart-Thomas finite elements $H^h(\text{div } 0)$ could be used to approximate electromagnetic fields, see [17]. To show the well-posedness of the finite element schemes, a new Hodge mapping is studied in [19], see also [18] for another type of boundary conditions. To the best of our knowledge, discrete compactness has not been discussed for $H^h(\text{div } 0)$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the generalized Gaffney inequality and the discrete compactness for discrete differential forms on s -regular domains. This goal is achieved by defining a Hodge mapping for the entire discrete space without (either strong or weak) constraints such as the divergence-free conditions. This new Hodge mapping is a generalization of the classical technique for X_h^c [15] and the result for $H^h(\text{div } 0)$ [19].

We remark that for half of the Hodge decomposition (e.g., X_h^c as a special case), the discussions in this paper confirm known results. For the second half, the L^2 estimate is straightforward by a duality argument. The idea of the duality argument is also known (see, e.g., [3]) even if the general form has not been stated elsewhere. The novelty of this paper lies in a refined treatment of this part of the Hodge decomposition. The discussions lead to L^p -type estimates and discrete compactness via the newly defined Hodge mapping.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we introduce some notation and preliminary results. In Sect. 3 we show the main results. Detailed proofs, including the new Hodge mapping, are postponed to Sect. 4. In Sect. 5, we show some applications in the L^p estimates of the Hodge Laplacian problems. In Sect. 6 we give concluding remarks.

2 Preliminaries

We introduce some notation and preliminary results. For differential forms and exterior derivatives, we follow the convention in [2] and refer to [2,21] for more details.

We use $\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ to denote the space of smooth differential k -forms on Ω . Let $\star : \Lambda^k \mapsto \Lambda^{n-k}$ be the Hodge star operator. We use (\cdot, \cdot) to denote the L^2 inner product of k -forms (for any nonnegative integer k):

$$(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} u \wedge \star v, \quad \forall u, v \in \Lambda^k(\Omega).$$

We denote the norm by

$$\|u\|^2 := (u, u).$$

Define $L^2\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ as the space of square integrable differential k -forms, and

$$H\Lambda^k(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^2\Lambda^k(\Omega) : du \in L^2\Lambda^{k+1}(\Omega) \right\},$$

where d is the exterior derivative. Define the $H\Lambda$ inner product and the corresponding norms:

$$(u, v)_{H\Lambda} := (u, v) + (du, dv), \quad \|u\|_{H\Lambda}^2 := (u, u)_{H\Lambda}.$$

We use $H^s\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ and $L^p\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ to denote the H^s and L^p Sobolev spaces of differential forms where s is a positive real number and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ is a positive integer (c.f. [2]). The corresponding norms are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_s$ and $\|\cdot\|_{0,p}$ respectively. For $s = 0$, we also use $\|\cdot\|_0$ to denote the L^2 norm $\|\cdot\|$.

The codifferential operator $\delta_k : \Lambda^k(\Omega) \mapsto \Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega)$ is defined by $\star\delta_k = (-1)^k d\star$. When there is no possible confusion, we omit the subscript and write δ for any k -form. We similarly define

$$H^*\Lambda^k(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^2\Lambda^k(\Omega) : \delta u \in L^2\Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega) \right\}.$$

Define the norm

$$\|w\|_Z^2 := \|w\|^2 + \|dw\|^2 + \|\delta w\|^2, \quad \forall w \in Z^k.$$

We use the notation $u \lesssim v$ to denote $u \leq Cv$, where C is a generic positive constant.

For $0 \leq s \leq 1$, a domain Ω is called s -regular, if for any $z \in Z^k(\Omega)$, the following estimate holds:

$$\|z\|_s^2 \lesssim \|dz\|^2 + \|\delta z\|^2. \quad (3)$$

We refer to [2,20] with the references therein for more details on s -regular domains in \mathbb{R}^n and [22] for manifolds. Particularly, any Lipschitz domain is an s -regular domain for $s \geq 1/2$ [22]. For any polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^3 we can choose $s \in (1/2, 1]$ [1] and for convex domains we can choose $s = 1$.

We assume that Ω is an s -regular domain. For ease of presentation, we further assume that all Betti numbers except for the zeroth vanish, meaning that the de Rham complex on Ω has trivial cohomology. Therefore there are no nontrivial harmonic forms.

Let tr be the trace operator. We use $\mathring{H}\Lambda^k(\Omega)$, $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ to denote the space of differential k -forms with vanishing traces on $\partial\Omega$. For n -forms in n space dimensions, we formally define

$$\mathring{H}\Lambda^n(\Omega) := \left\{ q \in H\Lambda^n(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} q = 0 \right\}.$$

We also define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathring{H}^*\Lambda^k(\Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in H^*\Lambda^k(\Omega) : \text{tr} \star u = 0 \right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ \mathring{H}^*\Lambda^0(\Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in H^*\Lambda^0(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \star u = 0 \right\},\end{aligned}$$

and define the spaces with vanishing exterior derivatives and coderivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}H\Lambda^k(0, \Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in H\Lambda^k(\Omega) : du = 0 \right\}, \quad \text{and} \\ H^*\Lambda^k(0, \Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in H^*\Lambda^k(\Omega) : \delta u = 0 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

The de Rham complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} H\Lambda^0(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d} H\Lambda^1(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} H\Lambda^n(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

is exact on Ω with trivial cohomology, i.e. for any $u \in H\Lambda^k(\Omega)$ satisfying $du = 0$, there exists $w \in H\Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega)$ such that $u = dw$. Similarly, the spaces with vanishing traces

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathring{H}\Lambda^0(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{H}\Lambda^1(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{H}\Lambda^n(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

and the L^2 dual complex of (4)

$$0 \longleftarrow \mathring{H}^*\Lambda^0(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\delta} \mathring{H}^*\Lambda^1(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\delta} \cdots \xleftarrow{\delta} \mathring{H}^*\Lambda^n(\Omega) \longleftarrow 0, \quad (6)$$

are also exact sequences.

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of decreasing positive real numbers converging to zero and $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h \in \mathcal{H}}$ be a family of shape-regular meshes on Ω , where h is the maximal diameter of the simplices contained in \mathcal{T}_h .

We assume that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} H_h\Lambda^0 \xrightarrow{d} H_h\Lambda^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} H_h\Lambda^n \longrightarrow 0, \quad (7)$$

and the sequence with vanishing traces:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathring{H}_h\Lambda^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} H_h\Lambda^n / \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (8)$$

are subcomplexes of (4), i.e., $\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^k \subset H_h\Lambda^k \subset H\Lambda^k(\Omega)$, $\forall 0 \leq k \leq n$, and each space has finite dimensions. Here $H_h\Lambda^n / \mathbb{R}$, also denoted as $\mathring{H}_h\Lambda^n$, is the space of the discrete n -forms with vanishing integral. Examples of (7) include the finite element spaces in the Finite Element Periodic Table [4] with suitable order, e.g. the Lagrange H^1 elements, the 1st or the 2nd Nédélec $H(\text{curl})$ elements and the Raviart-Thomas or the Brezzi-Douglas-Marini $H(\text{div})$ elements. For these finite elements, the existence

of Fortin operators implies that both (7) and (8) are exact on domains with trivial cohomology.

We use $\Pi_k : \mathring{H}^k(\Omega) \mapsto \mathring{H}_h^k$ to denote the interpolation operator for k -forms. The construction of the interpolation operators for the finite element de Rham complexes can be found in e.g., [2, 10, 14, 24]. These interpolations commute with the exterior derivatives, i.e. $d_k \Pi_k = \Pi_{k+1} d_k$, where d_k is the exterior derivative for k -forms. Moreover, these operators are bounded with respect to both L^2 and $H\Lambda^k$ norms. Below we assume that the interpolations Π_k , $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, are L^p - L^p bounded [10, 13], i.e., there exists a generic positive constant C such that

$$\|\Pi_k u\|_{0,p} \leq C \|u\|_{0,p}, \quad \forall u \in L^p \Lambda^k(\Omega) \cap \mathring{H}\Lambda^k(\Omega).$$

The commutativity and the boundedness will be crucial in the sequel. The following interpolation error estimate will be used repeatedly:

$$\|u - \Pi_k u\| \leq Ch^s \|u\|_s, \quad \forall u \in H^s \Lambda^k(\Omega),$$

where C is a universal positive constant not depending on a particular choice of u .

For (7), we define $d_h^* : H_h \Lambda^k \mapsto H_h \Lambda^{k-1}$ as the L^2 dual of the exterior derivatives in (7), i.e., for any nonnegative integer k ,

$$(d_h^* u_h, v_h) = (u_h, dv_h), \quad \forall v_h \in H_h \Lambda^{k-1}. \quad (9)$$

Correspondingly, for (8) we define $d_h^* : \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k \mapsto \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k-1}$ by

$$(d_h^* u_h, v_h) = (u_h, dv_h), \quad \forall v_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k-1}. \quad (10)$$

Since (\cdot, \cdot) is a complete inner product on finite dimensional spaces, the identity (9) or (10) uniquely defines d_h^* . By definition we have [for either (7) or (8)]

$$(d_h^* d_h^* u_h, v_h) = (d_h^* u_h, dv_h) = (u_h, ddv_h) = 0, \quad \forall u_h, v_h.$$

Therefore we have

$$(d_h^*)^2 = 0,$$

which mimics the identity $\delta^2 = 0$ at the continuous level. In this way we obtain the complexes

$$0 \longleftarrow \mathbb{R} \longleftarrow H_h \Lambda^0 \xleftarrow{d_h^*} H_h \Lambda^1 \xleftarrow{d_h^*} \dots \xleftarrow{d_h^*} H_h \Lambda^n \longleftarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longleftarrow \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^0 \xleftarrow{d_h^*} \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^1 \xleftarrow{d_h^*} \dots \xleftarrow{d_h^*} H_h \Lambda^n / \mathbb{R} \longleftarrow 0.$$

We define the range

$$\mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_h^k := d \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega).$$

Since we assume that Ω has trivial cohomology, the range is identical to the kernel space

$$\mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_h^k = \mathring{\mathfrak{Z}}_h^k := \{u_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k : du_h = 0\}.$$

For the discrete L^2 adjoint operators, we define $\mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_{k,h}^* := d_h^* \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k+1}$. For $u_h \in \mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_{k,h}^*$ and $w_h \in \mathring{\mathfrak{Z}}_h^k$, we have

$$(u_h, w_h) = (d_h^* \phi_h, w_h) = (\phi_h, dw_h) = 0.$$

Therefore $\mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_{k,h}^* \perp \mathring{\mathfrak{Z}}_h^k$. The orthogonality can be understood either with respect to the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) or with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H\Lambda}$.

The discrete Hodge decomposition with vanishing boundary conditions holds:

$$\mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k = d \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k-1} \oplus d_h^* \mathring{H}_h^* \Lambda^{k+1}. \quad (11)$$

Analogously, we can decompose $H_h \Lambda^k$:

$$H_h \Lambda^k = \mathfrak{B}_h^k \oplus \mathfrak{B}_{k,h}^*. \quad (12)$$

3 Main results

The generalized Gaffney inequality and the discrete compactness below are based on a key result:

Lemma 1 (Generalized Hodge mapping) *Let Ω be an s -regular domain. There exists a map $\mathcal{H}^k : \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega) \mapsto Z^k$ such that*

$$\|u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \lesssim h^s (\|du_h\| + \|d_h^* u_h\|), \quad \forall u_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k.$$

We postpone the proof of this technical result to Sect. 4.

Based on Lemma 1, we establish the generalized Gaffney inequality.

Theorem 1 (Generalized Gaffney inequality) *Assume that Ω is an s -regular domain. We have*

$$\|u_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|du_h\| + \|d_h^* u_h\|, \quad \forall u_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega),$$

where $p = 2n/(n - 2s)$ and n is the space dimension.

For $n = 3, s = 1/2$, we have $p = 3$ and for $n = 3, s = 1$, we have $p = 6$.

Proof From the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|u_h\|_{0,p} \leq \|u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|_{0,p} + \|\Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|_{0,p}.$$

From the inverse estimates, the interpolation error estimates and the approximation of the Hodge mapping (Lemma 1),

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|_{0,p} &\lesssim h^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}\right)} \|u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \\ &\lesssim h^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}\right)} (\|u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| + \|\mathcal{H}^k u - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|) \\ &\lesssim h^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}\right)} h^s (\|du_h\| + \|d_h^* u_h\|) \\ &\lesssim \|du_h\| + \|d_h^* u_h\|.\end{aligned}$$

From the L^p boundedness of the interpolation operators and the regularity of Z^k , we have

$$\|\Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|\mathcal{H}^k u_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|du_h\| + \|\delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \leq \|du_h\| + \|d_h^* u_h\|.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2 (Discrete compactness) *Given a sequence $u_h \in \dot{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega)$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\|du_h\| + \|d_h^* u_h\| \leq C$, where C is a positive constant, there exists a subsequence u_{h_n} which converges strongly in $L^2 \Lambda^k(\Omega)$.*

Proof From the regularity of Z^k (3) and the definition of \mathcal{H}^k , we have

$$\|\mathcal{H}^k u_h\|_Z \lesssim \|du_h\| + \|\delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \leq \|du_h\| + \|d_h^* u_h\| \leq C.$$

Since Z^k is compactly imbedded in $L^2 \Lambda^k(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence converging strongly in $L^2 \Lambda^k(\Omega)$:

$$\mathcal{H}^k u_{h_n} \rightarrow u_0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (13)$$

Next we prove $u_{h_n} \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $L^2 \Lambda^k(\Omega)$. In fact, from the triangle inequality:

$$\|u_{h_n} - u_0\| \leq \|u_{h_n} - \mathcal{H}^k u_{h_n}\| + \|\mathcal{H}^k u_{h_n} - u_0\|. \quad (14)$$

Due to the approximation property of the Hodge mapping (Lemma 5),

$$\|u_{h_n} - \mathcal{H}^k u_{h_n}\| \lesssim h^s (\|du_{h_n}\| + \|d_h^* u_{h_n}\|) \lesssim h^s \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (and hence $h_n \rightarrow 0$).

Due to (13),

$$\|\mathcal{H}^k u_{h_n} - u_0\| \rightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorems 1 and 2 are based on the complexes (5) and (8) with vanishing boundary conditions. The same conclusions in Theorems 1 and 2 hold for $H_h \Lambda^k$ and the proof can be translated verbatim in this case by using the complexes (4) and (7).

4 Generalized Hodge mapping

This section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 1. The proof consists of two steps: first, we generalize the classical Hodge mapping for the edge elements to discrete differential forms with weak constraints ($u_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega)$ satisfying $d_h^* u_h = 0$); second, we define a generalized Hodge mapping for the entire space $\mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega)$ and prove its approximation properties.

Hodge mapping for weakly constrained spaces Let $Z_0^k := \mathring{H} \Lambda^k(\Omega) \cap H^* \Lambda^k(0, \Omega)$ be the subspace of Z^k with vanishing codifferential.

For discrete differential forms, we define a Hodge mapping $\mathcal{H}_0^k : \mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_{k,h}^* \mapsto Z_0^k$:

$$d\mathcal{H}_0^k \phi_h = d\phi_h, \quad \forall \phi_h \in \mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_{k,h}^*.$$

The Poincaré inequality in Z_0^k ((3) with $s = 0$) implies that \mathcal{H}_0^k is well-defined. Here \mathcal{H}_0^k is a generalization of the Hodge mapping for the weakly divergence-free edge elements X_h^c [15].

We then show the approximation property of \mathcal{H}_0^k . The proof is a generalization of the properties of the Hodge mapping for X_h^c (c.f. [15, Lemma 4.5]), and resembles [3, Lemma 3.12] with $\eta = \mathcal{O}(h^s)$.

Theorem 3 Assume that Ω is an s -regular domain where $s \in [1/2, 1]$. We have

$$\|u_h - \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\| \lesssim h^s \|du_h\|, \quad \forall u_h \in \mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_{k,h}^*. \quad (15)$$

Proof We have

$$\|u_h - \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\| \leq \|u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\| + \|\Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h - \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\|.$$

For the first term,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\|^2 &= (u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h, u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h) \\ &= (u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h, u_h - \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h) \\ &\quad + (u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h, \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$d(u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h) = 0,$$

due to the commuting diagram and the definition of \mathcal{H}_0^k . Therefore there exists $\phi_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega)$, such that

$$u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h = d\phi_h.$$

This implies

$$(u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h, u_h - \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h) = (d\phi_h, u_h - \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h) = (\phi_h, d_h^* u_h - \delta \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\|u_h - \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\| \lesssim \|\Pi_k \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h - \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\| \lesssim h^s \|\mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\|_s \lesssim h^s \|\mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_0^k u_h\| = h^s \|\mathrm{d} u_h\|.$$

Here the second inequality follows from the estimates for the interpolation operators [2]. \square

Hodge mapping for the entire space We first prove a discrete Poincaré inequality for the entire space $\mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega)$. Special cases of this estimate for the edge and face elements were discussed in [8, 19]. On the continuous level the corresponding result was proved in [3, p. 132].

Theorem 4 (Discrete Poincaré inequality) *There exists a generic positive constant C such that*

$$\|u_h\|^2 \leq C \left(\|\mathrm{d} u_h\|^2 + \|\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h\|^2 \right), \quad \forall u_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega). \quad (16)$$

Proof For any $u_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega)$, we have the Hodge decomposition $u_h = u_1 + u_2$, where $u_1 \in (\mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_h^k)^\perp$ satisfies $\mathrm{d}_h^* u_1 = 0$ and $u_2 \in \mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_h^k$ satisfies $\mathrm{d} u_2 = 0$. For u_1 , we have $\|u_1\| \leq C \|\mathrm{d} u_1\| = C \|\mathrm{d} u_h\|$ (c.f. [2]). Then it remains to show $\|u_2\| \leq C \|\mathrm{d}_h^* u_2\| = C \|\mathrm{d}_h^* u\|$.

In fact, for $u_2 \in \mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_h^k$ we can choose $v_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathrm{d} v_h = u_2$ and $\mathrm{d}_h^* v_h = 0$. By the classical discrete Poincaré inequality in [2], we have $\|v_h\|_{H\Lambda} \lesssim \|\mathrm{d} v_h\| = \|u_2\|$.

Then we have

$$\|\mathrm{d}_h^* u_2\| = \sup_{w_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega)} \frac{(\mathrm{d}_h^* u_2, w_h)}{\|w_h\|} = \sup_{w_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega)} \frac{(u_2, \mathrm{d} w_h)}{\|w_h\|} \geq \frac{(u_2, \mathrm{d} v_h)}{\|v_h\|} \gtrsim \|u_2\|. \quad (17)$$

\square

Now we are in a position to define a generalized Hodge mapping. Define $\mathcal{H}^k : \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k(\Omega) \mapsto Z^k$ by

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}^k u_h = \mathrm{d} u_h, \\ (\delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h, \delta z) = (\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h, \delta z), \quad \forall z \in Z^k. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Using the identity $(\mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}^k u_h, \delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h) = 0$ and the Poincaré inequality in Z^k , i.e.,

$$\|\mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \lesssim \|\mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| + \|\delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|,$$

we see that \mathcal{H}^k is well-defined.

Taking $z = \mathcal{H}^k u_h$ in (18), we obtain

$$\|\delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \leq \|\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h\|. \quad (19)$$

By the Hodge decomposition at the continuous level [2], we have $\delta \mathring{H}^* \Lambda^k(\Omega) = \delta Z^k$. Therefore taking $\delta z = w \in \delta \mathring{H}^* \Lambda^{k-1}(\Omega)$ in (18), we have

$$(\delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h, w) = (\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h, w), \quad \forall w \in \delta \mathring{H}^* \Lambda^k(\Omega). \quad (20)$$

Finally we prove the approximation of \mathcal{H}^k .

Theorem 5 *Let Ω be an s -regular domain. We have*

$$\|u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \lesssim h^s (\|\mathrm{d}u_h\| + \|\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h\|), \quad \forall u_h \in \mathring{H}_h \Lambda^k.$$

Proof Thanks to the commuting diagram (the interpolation operator Π_\bullet commutes with the exterior derivatives), we have $\mathrm{d}(u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h) = 0$, so there exists $\phi_h \in \mathring{\mathfrak{B}}_{k-1,h}^*$ such that $u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h = \mathrm{d}\phi_h = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h$ and

$$\|\phi_h - \mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h\| \lesssim h^s \|\mathrm{d}\phi_h\| = h^s \|u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|, \quad (21)$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{H}^k u_h$, we have

$$(\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h, \mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h) = (\delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h, \mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h) = (\mathcal{H}^k u_h, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h),$$

and

$$(u_h, \mathrm{d}\phi_h) = (\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h, \phi_h) = (\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h, \phi_h - \mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h) + (\mathcal{H}^k u_h, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h).$$

The last identity is due to (20). Therefore,

$$(u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h, u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h) = (\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h, \phi_h - \mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|^2 &= (u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h, u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h) + (u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h, \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h) \\ &= (\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h, \phi_h - \mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h) + (u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h, \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}^k u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| &\lesssim h^s \|\mathcal{H}^k u_h\|_s \lesssim h^s (\|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^k u_h\| + \|\delta \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|) \\ &\leq h^s (\|\mathrm{d}u_h\| + \|\mathrm{d}_h^* u_h\|). \end{aligned}$$

By (21) and

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\mathbf{d}_h^* u_h, \phi_h - \mathcal{H}_0^{k-1} \phi_h)| &\lesssim h^s \|u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \|\mathbf{d}_h^* u_h\| \\
&\leq h^s \left(\|u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| + \|\mathcal{H}^k u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \right) \|\mathbf{d}_h^* u_h\| \\
&\lesssim h^s \|u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h\| \|\mathbf{d}_h^* u_h\| + h^{2s} \|\mathbf{d}_h^* u_h\|^2 + h^{2s} \|\mathbf{d} u_h\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^{2s} \|\mathbf{d}_h^* u_h\|^2 + h^{2s} \|\mathbf{d}_h^* u_h\|^2 \\
&\quad + h^{2s} \|\mathbf{d} u_h\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\|u_h - \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|^2 \lesssim \|\mathcal{H}^k u_h - \Pi_k \mathcal{H}^k u_h\|^2 + h^{2s} (\|\mathbf{d}_h^* u_h\|^2 + \|\mathbf{d} u_h\|^2).$$

This completes the proof. \square

5 Vector proxies and applications

With the vector proxies [3], the generalized Gaffney inequalities for discrete differential forms yield estimates for the finite element methods. Some of these estimates are, as far as we know, new.

The Hodge Laplacian problems in three space dimensions boil down to the Poisson equation

$$-\Delta u = f,$$

and the vector Laplacian problem

$$\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w} - \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{g},$$

respectively. Let Ω be an s -regular domain and $p = 3/(3-s)$, and let $\operatorname{grad}_h, \operatorname{curl}_h, \operatorname{div}_h$ be the L^2 adjoint operators of $-\operatorname{div}$, curl , $-\operatorname{grad}$ on finite element spaces, respectively. Below we use the generalized Gaffney inequality to give some estimates for various finite element discretizations for these two problems.

Primal formulation for the scalar Poisson In this case we have

$$-\operatorname{div}_h \operatorname{grad} u_h = \mathbb{P}_0 f, \tag{22}$$

where u_h is discretized by the Lagrange elements and \mathbb{P}_0 is the L^2 projection to the finite element space. The energy estimate gives $\|\operatorname{grad} u_h\| \leq \|f\|$. Then the standard Poincaré inequality and the Sobolev imbedding imply $\|u_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|f\|$.

Considering $\operatorname{grad} u_h$ as a discrete 1-form, we conclude from Theorem 1 with $k = 1$, the equation (22) and the identity $\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{grad} u_h = 0$ that the inequality $\|\operatorname{grad} u_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|f\|$ holds.

Mixed formulation for the scalar Poisson The mixed finite element formulation for the scalar Poisson equation boils down to solving

$$-\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad}_h u_h = \mathbb{P}_3 f, \quad (23)$$

where u_h is discretized by piecewise polynomials identified as a discrete 3-form and \mathbb{P}_3 is the L^2 projection to this space. In the implementation, one more variable $\sigma_h = \operatorname{grad}_h u_h$ in the BDM/RT space is introduced.

Testing the equation by u_h , we get $\|\operatorname{grad} u_h\| \leq \|f\|$. Together with proper boundary conditions, Theorem 1 with $k = 3$ lets us conclude with the estimate $\|u_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|f\|$. Considering $\sigma_h = \operatorname{grad}_h u_h$ as a discrete 2-form, we further get from (23) and the identity $\operatorname{curl}_h \operatorname{grad}_h u_h = 0$ that $\|\sigma_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|f\|$.

1-form based mixed formulation for the vector Laplacian Treating \mathbf{w}_h as a discrete 1-form, we obtain a mixed finite element discretization for the vector Laplacian problem:

$$\operatorname{curl}_h \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}_h - \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{w}_h = \mathbb{P}_1 \mathbf{g}, \quad (24)$$

where \mathbf{w}_h is discretized by the 1st/2nd Nédélec element and \mathbb{P}_1 is the corresponding L^2 projection.

Testing (24) by \mathbf{w}_h , one obtains the estimate $\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}_h\| + \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{w}_h\| \leq \|\mathbf{g}\|$. Then Theorem 1 with $k = 1$ implies $\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{g}\|$.

2-form based mixed formulation for the vector Laplacian Discretizing \mathbf{w}_h as a discrete 2-form in the BDM/RT space, we get another mixed finite element method for the vector Laplacian:

$$\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl}_h \mathbf{w}_h - \operatorname{grad}_h \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_h = \mathbb{P}_2 \mathbf{g},$$

where \mathbb{P}_2 is the L^2 projection to the finite element space. In this case, we have $\|\operatorname{curl}_h \mathbf{w}_h\| + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_h\| \leq \|\mathbf{g}\|$ and Theorem 1 with $k = 2$ lets us conclude that $\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{0,p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{g}\|$.

6 Conclusion

We generalize the Hodge mapping for the weakly divergence-free edge elements [15] and the strongly divergence-free face elements [19] to general discrete differential forms. Based on the new Hodge mapping, we further prove the generalized Gaffney inequality and the discrete compactness for discrete differential forms.

In the study of the Hodge mappings, the commuting interpolations act as a bridge between the continuous and the discrete levels. Therefore we hope that the techniques presented in this paper could be further explored for high order methods (p - version) or problems involving general Hilbert complexes [3] provided that we have regularity results at the continuous level and suitable bounded commuting interpolations.

Discrete compactness is a key tool for the analysis of some numerical eigenvalue problems [5, 15, 23]. The two parts of a Hodge decomposition correspond to different eigenvalue problems. In the case of the Maxwell system, at least two different eigenvalue problems arise:

– Type 1: find $\mathbf{u}_h \in H_0^h(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ and $\lambda_h \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\text{curl}_h \text{curl } \mathbf{u}_h = \lambda_h \mathbf{u}_h, \quad (25)$$

$$\text{div}_h \mathbf{u}_h = 0; \quad (26)$$

– Type 2: find $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h \in H_0^h(\text{div}, \Omega)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_h \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\text{curl} \text{curl}_h \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h = \tilde{\lambda}_h \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h, \quad (27)$$

$$\text{div } \mathbf{u}_h = 0. \quad (28)$$

The Type 1 problem (25)–(26), which corresponds to the “primal form” of the Maxwell eigenvalue problem, is associated with the discrete compactness of the discrete divergence-free Nédélec space X_h^c , while the Type 2 problem (27)–(28), corresponding to the “mixed form”, is associated with the discrete compactness of the strongly divergence-free finite element space $H_0^h(\text{div})$ which was established in this paper. However, by taking curl on both sides of (25) or taking curl_h on (27), one observes that if $(\mathbf{u}_h, \lambda_h)$ is a solution of (25), then $(\text{curl } \mathbf{u}_h, \lambda_h)$ is a solution for (27)–(28); conversely, if $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h, \tilde{\lambda}_h)$ solves (27), then $(\text{curl}_h \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h, \tilde{\lambda}_h)$ solves (25)–(26). We refer to [6] and [5, p. 109] for this observation, which means that the Type 1 and Type 2 eigenvalue problems are equivalent. So the generalized discrete compactness established in this paper does not seem to yield new results for the classical Hodge Laplacian eigenvalue problems directly. However, this new result may have potential applications for eigenvalue problems with lower order terms or nonlinear terms, where the two types of formulations may not be equivalent.

Several notions of discrete compactness exist (c.f. [12]). The results in this paper may be further explored for these variants and generalizations of the div–curl lemma [9].

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to Prof. Ralf Hiptmair for several helpful suggestions.

References

- Amrouche, C., Bernardi, C., Dauge, M., Girault, V.: Vector potentials in three-dimensional non-smooth domains. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **21**(9), 823–864 (1998)
- Arnold, D.N., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications. *Acta Numer.* **15**, 1–155 (2006)
- Arnold, D.N., Falk, R.S., Winther, R.: Finite element exterior calculus: from hodge theory to numerical stability. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **47**(2), 281–354 (2010)
- Arnold, D.N., Logg, A.: Periodic table of the finite elements. *SIAM News* **47**(9) (2014)
- Boffi, D.: Finite element approximation of eigenvalue problems. *Acta Numer.* **19**, 1–120 (2010)
- Boffi, D., Fernandes, P., Gastaldi, L., Perugia, I.: Computational models of electromagnetic resonators: analysis of edge element approximation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **36**(4), 1264–1290 (1999)
- Bossavit, A.: Computational Electromagnetism: Variational Formulations, Complementarity, Edge Elements. Academic Press, Cambridge (1998)
- Chen, L., Wu, Y., Zhong, L., Zhou, J.: MultiGrid Preconditioners for Mixed Finite Element Methods of the Vector Laplacian. *J. Sci. Comput.* **77**(1), 101–128 (2018)
- Christiansen, S.H.: A div–curl lemma for edge elements. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **43**(1), 116–126 (2005)

10. Christiansen, S.H., Munthe-Kaas, H.Z., Owren, B.: Topics in structure-preserving discretization. *Acta Numer.* **20**, 1–119 (2011)
11. Christiansen, S.H., Scheid, C.: Convergence of a constrained finite element discretization of the Maxwell Klein Gordon equation. *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **45**(4), 739–760 (2011)
12. Christiansen, S.H., Winther, R.: On variational eigenvalue approximation of semidefinite operators. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **33**(1), 164–189 (2012)
13. Ern, A., Guermond, J.L.: Mollification in strongly Lipschitz domains with application to continuous and discrete De Rham complexes. *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* **16**(1), 51–75 (2016)
14. Falk, R., Winther, R.: Local bounded cochain projections. *Math. Comput.* **83**(290), 2631–2656 (2014)
15. Hiptmair, R.: Finite elements in computational electromagnetism. *Acta Numer.* **11**, 237–339 (2002)
16. Hiptmair, R., Li, L., Mao, S., Zheng, W.: A fully divergence-free finite element method for magnetohydrodynamic equations. *M3AS* **28**(4), 659–695 (2018)
17. Hu, K., Ma, Y., Xu, J.: Stable finite element methods preserving $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ exactly for MHD models. *Numer. Math.* **135**(2), 371–396 (2017)
18. Hu, K., Qiu, W., Shi, K.: Convergence of a B - E based finite element method for MHD models on Lipschitz domains. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 1–22, (2019) (**Accepted**)
19. Hu, K., Xu, J.: Structure-preserving finite element methods for stationary MHD models. *Math. Comput.* **88**, 553–581 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3341>
20. Jakab, T., Mitrea, I., Mitrea, M.: On the regularity of differential forms satisfying mixed boundary conditions in a class of Lipschitz domains. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **58**(5), 2043–2072 (2009)
21. Lang, S.: Fundamentals of Differential Geometry, vol. 191. Springer, Berlin (2012)
22. Mitrea, D., Mitrea, M., Taylor, M.: Layer Potentials, the Hodge Laplacian, and Global Boundary Problems in Nonsmooth Riemannian Manifolds, vol. 713. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2001)
23. Monk, P., Demkowicz, L.: Discrete compactness and the approximation of Maxwell's equations in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Math. Comput.* **70**(234), 507–523 (2001)
24. Schöberl, J.: Commuting quasi-interpolation operators for mixed finite elements. Preprint ISC-01-10-MATH, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX (2001)
25. Schötzau, D.: Mixed finite element methods for stationary incompressible magnetohydrodynamics. *Numer. Math.* **96**(4), 771–800 (2004)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.