# Discrete-time Martingales

### 1.1 Introduction

**Definition 1.1.1.** A discrete-time stochastic process  $M_n$  is a martingale if:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) = M_{n-1},$$

where  $\mathscr{F}_n$  is a filtration including  $\sigma(M_k:k\leq n)$ , the history of  $M_n$  at time n.

**Remark 1.1.1.** Unless otherwise stated, the martingale is supposed to satisfy the initial condition  $M_0 = 0$ . These processes are described as mean-zero martingales.

**Example 1.1.1.** The martingale property is equivalent to:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_m) = M_m \text{ for } m < n.$$

To see this, suppose  $m \leq n-1$ , then by iterated expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_m) \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1})|\mathscr{F}_m\} = \mathbb{E}(M_{n-1}|\mathscr{F}_m).$$

Note that  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  holds since  $\mathscr{F}_m \subseteq \mathscr{F}_{n-1}$ . If m = n - 1, then the result has been shown. Otherwise, continue in like manner until:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_m) = \dots = \mathbb{E}(M_{m+1}|\mathscr{F}_m) = M_m.$$

**Proposition 1.1.1.** Martingales have constant mean  $\mathbb{E}(M_n) = \mathbb{E}(M_0)$ .

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n) = \mathbb{E}\big\{\mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_0)\big\} = \mathbb{E}(M_0).$$

**Proposition 1.1.2.** Martingales have uncorrelated increments:

$$\mathbb{C}(M_m, M_n - M_m) = 0$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{C}(M_m, M_n - M_m) = \mathbb{E}\{M_m(M_n - M_m)\} - \mathbb{E}(M_m)\mathbb{E}(M_n - M_m).$$

The first term vanishes since:

$$\mathbb{E}\{M_m(M_n - M_m)\} = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\{M_m(M_n - M_m)|\mathscr{F}_m\}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[M_m\{\mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_m) - M_m\}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left\{M_m(M_m - M_m)\right\} = 0.$$

The second term vanishes since:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n - M_m) = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}(M_n - M_m | \mathscr{F}_m)\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}(M_n | \mathscr{F}_m) - M_m\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}(M_m - M_m) = 0.$$

**Example 1.1.2.** Suppose  $Z_i$  are independent and identically distributed (IID) random variables with  $\mathbb{E}(Z_i) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{V}(Z_i) = \sigma^2$ . Define  $Y_n$  as the partial sum process  $\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$ , and let  $\mathscr{F}_n = \sigma(Y_k : k \leq n)$ .  $Y_n$  is a mean-zero martingale. To see this, write:

$$Y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i = Z_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Z_i = Z_n + Y_{n-1}.$$

Taking the expectation conditional on the history:

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) = \mathbb{E}(Z_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) + \mathbb{E}(Y_{n-1}|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbb{E}(Z_n) + Y_{n-1} = Y_{n-1},$$

where  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  holds because the  $Z_n$  is independent of  $\mathscr{F}_{n-1}$ . Finally, the marginal expectation of  $Y_n$  is:

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(Z_i) = 0.$$

## 1.2 Martingale Difference Sequence

Definition 1.2.1. A discrete-time stochastic process  $\Delta M_n$  is a martingale difference sequence if:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta M_n | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}) = 0.$$

**Proposition 1.2.3.** If  $M_n$  is a martingale, then  $\Delta M_n = M_n - M_{n-1}$  is a martingale difference sequence.

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta M_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) = \mathbb{E}(M_n - M_{n-1}|\mathscr{F}_{n-1})$$
$$= \mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) - M_{n-1}$$
$$= M_{n-1} - M_{n-1} = 0.$$

#### 1.3 Variation Processes

**Definition 1.3.1.** Suppose  $M_n$  is a discrete-time mean-zero martingale. The **pre-dictable variation** of  $M_n$  is the sum of the conditional variances of the increments:

$$\langle M \rangle_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{V}(\Delta M_m | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}) = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{(M_m - M_{m-1})^2 | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}\}.$$

The **optional variation** of  $M_n$  is the sum of the squared martingale differences:

$$[M]_n = \sum_{m=1}^n (\Delta M_m)^2 = \sum_{m=1}^n (M_m - M_{m-1})^2.$$

The predictable and optional variations are initialized at zero:

$$\langle M \rangle_0 = 0, \qquad [M]_0 = 0.$$

**Proposition 1.3.4.** Suppose  $M_n$  is a discrete-time mean-zero martingale. Then, the compensated process  $M_n^2 - \langle M \rangle_n$  is also mean-zero martingale.

**Proof.** Write the square  $M_n^2$  as:

$$M_n^2 = (M_n - M_{n-1} + M_{n-1})^2 = (\Delta M_n)^2 + M_{n-1}^2 + 2(\Delta M_n)M_{n-1},$$

and the predictable variation as:

$$\langle M \rangle_n = \mathbb{E}\{(M_n - M_{n-1})^2 | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}\} + \langle M \rangle_{n-1} = \mathbb{E}\{(\Delta M_n)^2 | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}\} + \langle M \rangle_{n-1}.$$

The expectation of the difference satisfies:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n^2 - \langle M \rangle_n | \mathscr{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left\{ (\Delta M_n)^2 + M_{n-1}^2 + 2(\Delta M_n) M_{n-1} - (\Delta M_n)^2 - \langle M \rangle_{n-1} | \mathscr{F}_{n-1} \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(M_{n-1}^2 - \langle M \rangle_{n-1} | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}) + 2\mathbb{E}\left\{ (\Delta M_n) M_{n-1} | \mathscr{F}_{n-1} \right\}$$

$$= M_{n-1}^2 - \langle M \rangle_{n-1} + 2\mathbb{E}(\Delta M_n | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}) M_{n-1} = M_{n-1}^2 - \langle M \rangle_{n-1}.$$

Finally,  $M_n^2 - \langle M \rangle_n$  is mean-zero because:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{M_n^2 - \langle M \rangle_n\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{M_0^2 - \langle M \rangle_0\right\} = \mathbb{E}(0) = 0.$$

**Problem 1.3.1.** Suppose  $M_n$  is a discrete-time mean-zero martingale. Prove that the compensated process  $M_n^2 - [M]_n$  is also a mean-zero martingale.

**Proposition 1.3.5.** Suppose  $M_n$  is a discrete-time mean-zero martingale, then:

$$\mathbb{V}(M_n) = \mathbb{E}\langle M \rangle_n = \mathbb{E}[M]_n.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\mathbb{E}(M_n) = 0$ , the variance  $\mathbb{V}(M_n) = \mathbb{E}(M_n^2)$ . Now, since the compensated process  $M_n^2 - \langle M \rangle_n$  is a mean-zero martingale:

$$\mathbb{E}\{M_n^2 - \langle M \rangle_n\} = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(M_n^2) = \mathbb{E}\langle M \rangle_n.$$

Likewise for  $M_n^2 - [M]_n$ .

### 1.4 Covariation Processes

**Definition 1.4.1.** Suppose  $M_{1n}$  and  $M_{2n}$  are discrete-time mean-zero martingales. The **predictable covariation** of  $M_{1n}$  and  $M_{2n}$  is the sum of the conditional covariance of the increments:

$$\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{C}(\Delta M_{1m} \Delta M_{2m} | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}) = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\Delta M_{1m} \Delta M_{2m} | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}).$$

The **optional covariation** of  $M_{1n}$  and  $M_{2n}$  is the sum of the joint increments:

$$[M_1, M_2]_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta M_{1m} \Delta M_{2m}.$$

**Proposition 1.4.6.** Suppose  $M_{1n}$  and  $M_{2n}$  are discrete-time mean-zero martingales. Then, the compensated process  $M_{1n}M_{2n} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n$  is also a mean-zero martingale.

**Proof.** Write the product  $M_{1n}M_{2n}$  as:

$$M_{1n}M_{2n} = (\Delta M_{1n} + M_{1(n-1)})(\Delta M_{2n} + M_{2(n-1)})$$
  
=  $\Delta M_{1n}\Delta M_{2n} + \Delta M_{1n}M_{2(n-1)} + M_{1(n-1)}\Delta M_{2n} + M_{1(n-1)}M_{2(n-1)},$ 

and the predictable covariation  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n$  as:

$$\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n = \mathbb{E}(\Delta M_{1n} \Delta M_{2n} | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}) + \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_{n-1}.$$

Now the expectation of the difference is expressible as:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_{1n}M_{2n} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}) \\
= \mathbb{E}\{\Delta M_{1n}\Delta M_{2n} + \Delta M_{1n}M_{2(n-1)} + M_{1(n-1)}\Delta M_{2n} + M_{1(n-1)}M_{2(n-1)} \\
- \Delta M_{1n}\Delta M_{2n} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_{n-1} | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}\} \\
= M_{1(n-1)}M_{2(n-1)} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_{n-1} \\
+ \mathbb{E}(\Delta M_{1n} | \mathscr{F}_{n-1})M_{2(n-1)} + M_{1(n-1)}\mathbb{E}(\Delta M_{2n} | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}) \\
= M_{1(n-1)}M_{2(n-1)} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_{n-1}.$$

Finally,  $M_{1n}M_{2n} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n$  is mean-zero because:

$$\mathbb{E}\{M_{1(n-1)}M_{2(n-1)} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_{n-1}\} = \mathbb{E}\{M_{10}M_{20} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_0\} = \mathbb{E}(0) = 0.$$

**Problem 1.4.2.** Suppose  $M_{1n}$  and  $M_{2n}$  are discrete-time mean-zero martingales. Prove that the compensated process  $M_{1n}M_{2n} - [M_1, M_2]_n$  is also a mean-zero martingale.  $\spadesuit$ 

**Proposition 1.4.7.** Suppose  $M_{1n}$  and  $M_{2n}$  are discrete-time mean-zero martingales, then:

$$\mathbb{C}(M_{1n}, M_{2n}) = \mathbb{E}\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n = \mathbb{E}[M_1, M_2]_n.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\mathbb{E}(M_{1n}) = \mathbb{E}(M_{2n}) = 0$ , the covariance  $\mathbb{C}(M_{1n}, M_{2n}) = \mathbb{E}(M_{1n}M_{2n})$ . Now, since  $M_{1n}M_{2n} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n$  is a is a mean-zero martingale:

$$\mathbb{E}\{M_{1n}M_{2n} - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n\} = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}(M_{1n}M_{2n}) = \mathbb{E}\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n.$$

Likewise for  $M_{1n}M_{2n} - [M_1, M_2]_n$ .

### 1.5 Transformations

**Definition 1.5.1.** A discrete-time process  $H_n$  is **predictable** if  $H_n$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathscr{F}_{n-1}$ .

**Definition 1.5.2.** The **transformation** of a process  $X_n$  by  $H_n$ , written  $Z = H \bullet X$ , is the process:

$$Z_n = \sum_{m=1}^n H_m \Delta X_m. \tag{1.5.1}$$

Proposition 1.5.8 (Martingale Transformation). The transformation of a discretetime mean-zero martingale  $M_n$  by a predictable process remains a mean-zero martingale.

**Proof.** We show that  $\Delta Z_n$  is a martingale difference sequence.

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta Z_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) = \mathbb{E}(Z_n - Z_{n-1}|\mathscr{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(H_n \Delta M_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1})$$

$$\stackrel{i.}{=} H_n \mathbb{E}(\Delta M_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) \stackrel{ii.}{=} 0,$$

where  $\stackrel{i.}{=}$  holds because  $H_n$  is predictable, and  $\stackrel{ii.}{=}$  holds because  $\Delta M_n$  is a martingale difference sequence.

**Proposition 1.5.9.** The predictable variation of a transformation satisfies:

$$\langle H \bullet X \rangle = H^2 \bullet \langle X \rangle. \tag{1.5.2}$$

**Proof.** First, observe that:

$$\Delta (H \bullet X)_n = (H \bullet X)_n - (H \bullet X)_{n-1}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^n H_m \Delta X_m - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} H_m \Delta X_m = H_n \Delta X_n.$$

Also:

$$\Delta \langle X \rangle_n = \langle X \rangle_n - \langle X \rangle_{n-1}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{V}(\Delta M_m | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}) - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{V}(\Delta M_m | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}) = \mathbb{V}(\Delta M_n | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}).$$

Therefore:

$$\langle H \bullet X \rangle_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{V} \{ \Delta(H \bullet X)_m | \mathscr{F}_{m-1} \}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{V} (H_m \Delta X_m | \mathscr{F}_{m-1})$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^n H_m^2 \mathbb{V} (\Delta X_m | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}) = \sum_{m=1}^n H_m^2 \Delta \langle X \rangle_m.$$

**Problem 1.5.3.** Verify that the optional variation of a transformation satisfies:

$$[H \bullet X] = H^2 \bullet [X].$$

**Definition 1.5.3.** A random variable  $\tau$  is a **stopping time** if the event  $\{\tau = t\}$  is decidable (measurable) with respect to  $\mathscr{F}_t$ .

**Proposition 1.5.10.** If  $M_n$  is a mean-zero martingale and  $\tau$  is a stopping time, then the stopped process  $M_n^{\tau} = M_{n \wedge \tau}$  is a mean-zero martingale.

**Proof.** Define the predictable process:

$$H_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \tau \le n - 1, \\ 1, & \tau > n - 1 \end{cases} = \mathbb{I}(\tau > n - 1).$$

The stopped martingale is expressible as:

$$M_{n \wedge \tau} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} H_m \Delta M_m = H \bullet M.$$

To see this, note that if  $\tau \geq n$ 

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \Delta M_m = (M_n - M_{n-1}) + (M_{n-1} - M_{n-2}) + \dots + (M_2 - M_1) + M_1 = M_n.$$

If  $\tau < n$  was the stopping time, then:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} H_m \Delta M_m = 0 + \dots + (M_{\tau} - M_{\tau-1}) + \dots + (M_2 - M_1) + M_1 = M_{\tau}.$$

Since the stopped martingale  $M_{n \wedge \tau}$  is the transformation of a mean-zero martingale by a predictable process,  $M_{n \wedge \tau}$  is again a mean-zero martingale.

## Continuous-time Martingales

### 2.1 Introduction

**Definition 2.1.1.** A continuous-time stochastic process X(t) is **adapted** to a *filtration*  $\mathscr{F}(t)$  if for each t, X(t) is measurable with respect to  $\mathscr{F}(t)$ .

**Definition 2.1.2.** A continuous-time stochastic process  $M_t$  is a martingale if:

$$\mathbb{E}\{M(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\} = M(s) \text{ for } s \le t,$$

where  $\mathscr{F}(t)$  is a filtration including  $\sigma\{M(s):s\leq t\}$ .

**Remark 2.1.1.** Each realization of a continuous-time stochastic process is called at **sample path**. Unless otherwise stated, continuous-time martingales are assumed to have cadla sample paths, to satisfy the initial condition M(0) = 0, and to have a finite time horization  $\mathcal{T} = [0, \tau]$ . Many of the properties of discrete-time martingales carry over to continuous-time.

**Discussion 2.1.1.** The martingale property may be expressed in differential form as:

$$\mathbb{E}\{dM(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\}=0,$$

where dM(t) is the increment of the martingale over the time interval [t, t + dt) and  $\mathcal{F}(t-)$  is the history just before time t. Compare this with the discrete-time result:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta M_n | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}) = 0.$$

**Proposition 2.1.1.** As in discrete-time, continuous-time martingales have constant mean and *uncorrelated increments*:

$$\mathbb{C}\{M(t) - M(s), M(v) - M(u)\} = 0,$$

for 
$$s < t < u < v$$
.

**Proof.** To demonstrate constant mean:

$$\mathbb{E}\big\{M(t)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{E}\{M(t)|\mathscr{F}(0)\}\big] = \mathbb{E}\big\{M(0)\big\}.$$

For uncorrelated increments, first observe that:

$$\mathbb{E}\{M(t) - M(s)\} = \mathbb{E}\{M(v) - M(u)\} = 0$$

due to constant mean. Thus:

$$\mathbb{C}\{M(t) - M(s), M(v) - M(u)\} = \mathbb{E}[\{M(t) - M(s)\}\{M(v) - M(u)\}].$$

By iterated expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\{M(t) - M(s)\}\{M(v) - M(u)\}\big] = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\big[\{M(t) - M(s)\}\{M(v) - M(u)\}|\mathscr{F}(u)\}\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\{M(t) - M(s)\}[\mathbb{E}\{M(v)|\mathscr{F}(u)\} - M(u)]\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\{M(t) - M(s)\}\{M(u) - M(u)\}\right] = 0.$$

### 2.2 Variation Processes

**Definition 2.2.1.** Suppose M(t) is a continuous-time mean-zero martingale. The **predictable variation** of M(t) is:

$$\langle M \rangle(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{V} \{ \Delta M_k | \mathscr{F}(\delta_{k-1}) \},$$

where  $\delta_k = (k/n)t$ , and  $\Delta M_k = M(\delta_k) - M(\delta_{k-1})$ . Note that the  $\delta_k$  partition the interval [0,t] into n sub-intervals of length t/n.

The **optional variation** of M(t) is:

$$[M](t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta M_k)^2,$$

where  $\Delta M_k = M(\delta_k) - M(\delta_{k-1})$  as for the predictable variation.

**Discussion 2.2.1.** In differential form:

$$d\langle M\rangle(t) = \mathbb{V}\{dM(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\}.$$

Compare this with the discrete-time result, obtained in the proof of (1.5.2), that:

$$\Delta \langle M \rangle_n = \mathbb{V}(\Delta M_n | \mathscr{F}_{n-1}).$$

**Proposition 2.2.2.** Suppose M(t) is a continuous-time mean-zero martingale. Then, the following *compensated processes* are also mean-zero martingales:

$$M^2(t) - \langle M \rangle(t),$$
  $M^2(t) - [M](t).$ 

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{V}\big\{M(t)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{M^2(t)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\langle M\rangle(t) = \mathbb{E}[M](t).$$

#### 2.3 Covariation Process

**Definition 2.3.1.** Suppose  $M_1(t)$  and  $M_2(t)$  are continuous-time mean-zero martingales. The **predictable covariation** of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is:

$$\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{C} \{ \Delta M_{1k} \Delta M_{2k} | \mathscr{F}(\delta_{k-1}) \},$$

where  $\delta_k = (k/n)t$ , and  $\Delta_{jk} = M_j(\delta_k) - M_j(\delta_{k-1})$ . The **optional covariation** of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is:

$$[M_1, M_2](t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta M_{1k} \Delta M_{2k}.$$

**Proposition 2.3.3.** Suppose  $M_1(t)$  and  $M_2(t)$  are continuous-time mean-zero martingales. Then the following *compensated processes* are also mean-zero martingales:

$$M_1M_2 - \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle,$$
  $M_1M_2 - [M_1M_2].$ 

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{C}\{M_1(t), M_2(t)\} = \mathbb{E}\{M_1(t)M_2(t)\} = \mathbb{E}\langle M_1, M_2\rangle(t) = \mathbb{E}[M_1, M_2](t).$$

**Proposition 2.3.4.** Similar to the covariance operator for random variables, the predictable and optional covariation processes are bilinear:

$$\langle M_1 + M_2 \rangle = \langle M_1 \rangle + \langle M_2 \rangle + 2 \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle,$$
  
 $[M_1 + M_2] = [M_1] + [M_2] + 2[M_1, M_2].$ 

## 2.4 Stochastic Integrals

**Definition 2.4.1.** A stochastic process H(t) is **predictable** if H(t) is adapted to the filtration  $\mathscr{F}(t)$  and H(t) has *left-continuous* sample paths.

**Definition 2.4.2.** The **stochastic integral** of a predictable process with respect to a martingale may be defined as:

$$I(t) = \int_0^t H(s)dM(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n H_k \Delta M_k,$$

where  $\delta_k = (k/n)t$  partitions the interval [0,t] into n sub-intervals of length t/n,  $H_k$  is  $H(\delta_{k-1})$ , and  $\Delta M_k = M(\delta_k) - M(\delta_{k-1})$ .

**Theorem 2.4.1** (Martingale Transformation). The stochastic integral I(t) of a predictable process H(t) with respect to a mean-zero martingale M(t) remains a mean-zero martingale.

**Remark 2.4.2.** This is analogous to preservation of the martingale property under transformation  $(H \bullet M)$  of a discrete time martingale  $M_n$  by a predictable process  $H_n$ .

**Proposition 2.4.5.** The predictable and optional covariations of the stochastic integral of a predictable process with respect to a mean-zero martingale obeys the following rules:

$$\left\langle \int H_1(t)dM_1(t), \int H_2(t)dM_2(t) \right\rangle = \int H_1H_2(t)d\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle(t)$$
$$\left[ \int H_1(t)dM_1(t), \int H_2(t)dM_2(t) \right] = \int H_1H_2(t)d[M_1, M_2](t).$$

In the case that  $H_1 = H_2 = H$ :

$$\left\langle \int H(t)dM(t) \right\rangle = \int H^2(t)d\langle M \rangle(t)$$
$$\left[ \int H(t)dM(t) \right] = \int H^2(t)d[M](t)$$

## 2.5 Doob-Meyer Decomposition

**Definition 2.5.1.** A continuous-time stochastic process N(t) is a **sub-martingale** if it tends to increase as time passes:

$$\mathbb{E}\{N(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\} \ge N(s) \text{ for } t > s.$$

**Theorem 2.5.2.** A continuous-time sub-martingale N(t) (e.g. a counting process) can be uniquely decomposed as:

$$N(t) = A(t) + M(t), (2.5.3)$$

where A(t) is a non-decreasing predictable process (the *compensator*), and M(t) is a mean-zero martingale.

**Discussion 2.5.1.** In differential form, the Doob-Meyer decomposition is expressible as:

$$dN(t) = dA(t) + dM(t).$$

Upon taking the conditional expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}\big\{dN(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{dA(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\big\} + \mathbb{E}\big\{dM(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\big\}.$$

Since A(t) is a predictable process and M(t) is a martingale:

$$\mathbb{E}\big\{dN(t)|\mathcal{F}(t-)\big\} = A(t).$$

The increments of the martingale are thus:

$$dM(t) = dN(t) - dA(t)$$
  
=  $dN(t) - \mathbb{E}\{dN(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\}.$ 

### 2.6 Poisson Process

**Example 2.6.1.** Suppose N(t) is the number of events by time t in a standard Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . Define the compensated process:

$$M(t) = N(t) - \lambda t.$$

By the independent increments property:

$$\mathbb{E}\big\{M(t)-M(s)|\mathcal{F}(s)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{M(t)-M(s)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{N(t)-N(s)\big\} - \lambda(t-s) = 0.$$

Therefore:

$$0 = \mathbb{E}\{M(t) - M(s)|\mathscr{F}(s)\} = \mathbb{E}\{M(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\} - M(s).$$

Combined with M(0) = 0, this shows M(t) is a mean-zero martingale. Now consider the square process:

$$M^2(t) = N^2(t) + (\lambda t)^2 - 2\lambda t N(t)$$

Consider the process  $M^2(t) - \lambda t$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\big\{M^2(t) - \lambda t | \mathscr{F}(s)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{N^2(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} + (\lambda t)^2 - 2\lambda t \mathbb{E}\{N(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} - \lambda t.$$

The first expectation reduces as:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\big\{N^2(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} = & \mathbb{E}\big[\{N(t)-N(s)+N(s)\}^2|\mathscr{F}(s)\big] \\ = & \mathbb{E}\big[\{N(t)-N(s)\}^2|\mathscr{F}(s)\big] + N^2(s) + 2N(s)\mathbb{E}\big\{N(t)-N(s)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} \end{split}$$

By the independent increments property:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\big[\{N(t)-N(s)\}^2|\mathscr{F}(s)\big] = & \mathbb{E}\big[\{N(t)-N(s)\}^2\big] \\ = & \mathbb{V}\big\{N(t-s)\big\} + \mathbb{E}^2\big\{N(t-s)\big\} \\ = & \lambda(t-s) + \lambda^2(t-s)^2. \end{split}$$

The second expectation reduces to:

$$\mathbb{E}\{N(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\} = \mathbb{E}\{N(t) - N(s) + N(s)|\mathscr{F}(s)\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\{N(t) - N(s)\} + N(s)$$
$$= \lambda(t - s) + N(s)$$

Overall:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{M^{2}(t) - \lambda t | \mathscr{F}(s)\right\} = \lambda(t-s) + \lambda^{2}(t-s)^{2} + N^{2}(s) + 2\lambda(t-s)N(s)$$

$$+ (\lambda t)^{2} - 2\lambda t \left\{\lambda(t-s) + N(s)\right\} - \lambda t$$

$$= N^{2}(s) + \lambda^{2}s^{2} - 2\lambda sN(s) - \lambda s$$

$$= M^{2}(s) - \lambda s.$$

Conclude that  $\langle M \rangle(t) = \lambda t$ , which gives:

$$\mathbb{E}\{M^2(t)\} = \mathbb{E}\langle M\rangle(t) = \lambda t.$$

### 2.7 Counting Process

**Definition 2.7.1.** A **counting process** is a continuous-time  $c\hat{a}dl\hat{g}$  process with increments of size 1 at event times.

**Discussion 2.7.1.** Since any counting process N(t) is a sub-martingale, by the Doob-Meyer decomposition, there exists a unique predictable process  $\Lambda(t)$ , the *cumulative intensity*, such that  $M(t) = N(t) - \Lambda(t)$  is a mean-zero martingale. If  $\Lambda(t)$  is absolutely continuous, there exists a predictable *intensity* process such that:

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds.$$

In differential form:

$$dM(t) = dN(t) - \lambda(t)dt.$$

Upon taking the conditional expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}\big\{dM(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{dN(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\big\} - \mathbb{E}\big\{\lambda(t)dt|\mathscr{F}(t-)\big\}$$
$$0 = \mathbb{E}\big\{dN(t)|\mathscr{F}(t-)\big\} - \lambda(t)dt.$$

Finally, since N(t) has unit increments, dN(t) is Bernoulli, and:

$$\lambda(t)dt = \mathbb{E}\big\{dN(t)|\mathcal{F}(t-)\big\} = \mathbb{P}\big\{dN(t) = 1|\mathcal{F}(t-)\big\}.$$

Updated: July 2020

The predictable variation of a counting process satisfies:

$$\begin{split} d\langle M\rangle(t) &= \mathbb{V}\big\{dM(t)|\mathcal{F}(t-)\big\} \\ &= \mathbb{V}\big\{dN(t) - \lambda(t)dt|\mathcal{F}(t-)\big\} \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \mathbb{V}\big\{dN(t)|\mathcal{F}(t-)\big\}. \end{split}$$

where  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  holds since  $\lambda(t)$  is predictable. Now, since dN(t) is Bernoulli:

$$d\langle M\rangle(t) = \lambda(t)dt \{1 - \lambda(t)dt\} = \lambda(t)dt + o(dt).$$

This motivates the relation:

$$\langle M \rangle(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds = \Lambda(t).$$

That is, the predictable variation of a counting process martingale  $M(t) = N(t) - \Lambda(t)$  is its cumulative intensity.

**Theorem 2.7.3.** If N(t) is a counting process with cumulative intensity  $\Lambda(t)$ , then the following are mean-zero martingales:

$$M(t) = N(t) - \Lambda(t),$$
  
$$M^{2}(t) - \Lambda(t)$$

**Definition 2.7.2.** Two martingales  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are **orthogonal** if the predictable covariation is zero:

$$\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle(t) = 0.$$

Note that, for orthogonal martingales, the product  $M_1(t)M_2(t)$  is itself a mean-zero martingale.

**Proposition 2.7.6.** If  $N_1(t)$  and  $N_2(t)$  are counting processes that cannot jump simultaneously, then the corresponding martingales  $M_1(t)$  and  $M_2(t)$  are orthogonal.

**Discussion 2.7.2.** Suppose  $N_1(t), \dots, N_n(t)$  are counting processes, no two of which can jump simultaneously, then the corresponding martingales  $M_1(t), \dots M_n(t)$  are orthogonal. Since the predictable covariation obeys:

$$\left\langle \int H_1(t)dM_1(t), \int H_2(t)dM_2(t) \right\rangle = \int H_1H_2(t)d\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle(t),$$

the corresponding stochastic integrals  $\int H_1(t)dM_1(t), \cdots \int H_n(t)dM_n(t)$  are orthogonal. From bilinearity of the predictable variation:

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t H_i(s) dM_i(s) \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t H_i^2(s) d\langle M_i \rangle(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t H_i^2(s) \lambda_i(s) ds.$$

For the optional variation:

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} H_{i}(s) dM_{i}(s)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} H_{i}^{2}(s) d[M_{i}](s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} H_{i}^{2}(s) dN_{i}(s).$$

### 2.8 Martingale Central Limit Theorem

**Definition 2.8.1.** The **Brownian motion** process W(t) has continuous sample paths and independent, stationary increments. The increment over the time interval (s, t] is normally distributed with:

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t) - W(s)\} = 0, \qquad \mathbb{V}\{W(t) - W(s)\} = t - s.$$

If  $\alpha(t)$  is a strictly increasing and continuous remapping of time, with  $\alpha(0) = 0$ , then  $U(t) = W\{\alpha(t)\}$  is again a Brownian motion. The increment over the time interval (s,t] is normally distributed with:

$$\mathbb{E}\{U(t) - U(s)\} = 0, \qquad \mathbb{V}\{U(t) - U(s)\} = \alpha(t) - \alpha(s).$$

The process  $U(t) = W\{\alpha(t)\}$  is a Gaussian martingale.

**Proposition 2.8.7.** Let  $U(t) = W\{\alpha(t)\}$ , where  $\alpha(t)$  is a strictly increasing and continuous remapping of time, with  $\alpha(0) = 0$ . Then U(t) is a mean-zero martingale, with predictable variation  $\langle U \rangle(t) = \alpha(t)$ .

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\big\{U(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} &= \mathbb{E}\big\{U(t) - U(s) + U(s)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\big\{U(t) - U(s)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} + U(s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\big\{U(t) - U(s)\big\} + U(s) = U(s). \end{split}$$

Thus U(t) is a martingale. Note too that:

$$\mathbb{E}\{U(t) - U(s)|\mathscr{F}(s)\} = 0,$$

and that  $\alpha(t)$  is deterministic. Now consider the process  $U^2(t) - \alpha(t)$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\big\{U^2(t) - \alpha(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} = & \mathbb{E}\big[\{U(t) - U(s) + U(s)\}^2|\mathscr{F}(s)\big] - \alpha(t) \\ = & \mathbb{E}\big[\{U(t) - U(s)\}^2|\mathscr{F}(s)\big] \\ & + U^2(s) + 2U(s)\mathbb{E}\big\{U(t) - U(s)|\mathscr{F}(s)\big\} - \alpha(t). \end{split}$$

From the above, the cross term vanishes. The second moment evaluates to:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\big[\{U(t)-U(s)\}^2|\mathscr{F}(s)\big] &= \mathbb{E}\big[\{U(t)-U(s)\}^2\big] \\ &= \mathbb{V}\big\{U(t)-U(s)\big\} + \mathbb{E}^2\big\{U(t)-U(s)\big\} \\ &= \alpha(t)-\alpha(s)+0. \end{split}$$

Overall:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{U^2(t) - \alpha(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\right\} = \alpha(t) - \alpha(s) + U^2(s) - \alpha(t) = U^2(s) - \alpha(s).$$

Since  $\alpha(0) = 0$ ,  $U^2(t) - \alpha(t)$  is a mean-zero martingale, and:

$$\mathbb{V}\big\{U(t)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\big\{U^2(t)\big\} = \mathbb{E}\langle U\rangle(t) = \alpha(t).$$

**Theorem 2.8.4** (Martingale CLT). Suppose  $M^{(n)}(t)$  is a sequence of mean-zero martingales defined on  $[0, \tau]$ , and for any  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $M_{\epsilon}^{(n)}(t)$  denote the martingale containing all jumps of  $M^{(n)}(t)$  that are of size greater than  $\epsilon$ . If the following conditions hold:

- i.  $\langle M^{(n)} \rangle \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \alpha(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, \tau]$  as  $n \to \infty$ , where  $\alpha(t)$  is a strictly increasing continuous function with  $\alpha(0) = 0$ .
- ii.  $\langle M_{\epsilon}^{(n)} \rangle \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \tau]$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Then,  $M^{(n)}(t)$  converges weakly to a Gaussian martingale:

$$M^{(n)}(t) \leadsto W\{\alpha(t)\}.$$

**Example 2.8.2.** Suppose  $N_1^{(n)}(t), \dots, N_n^{(n)}(t)$  are counting processes, no two of which can jump simultaneously. Recall that the corresponding martingales  $M_1^{(n)}(t), \dots M_n^{(n)}(t)$  are orthogonal. Define the process:

$$U^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^t H_i^{(n)}(t) dM_i^{(n)}(s),$$

where  $H_i^{(n)}$  is a predictable process, and:

$$M_i^{(n)} = N_i^{(n)} - \int_0^t \lambda_i^{(n)}(s) ds$$

is a counting process martingale. The requirements for the martingale CLT are that:

$$\langle U^{(n)}\rangle(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left\{H_i^{(n)}(t)\right\}^2 d\lambda_i^{(n)}(s) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \alpha(t) \text{ for } \forall t \in [0,\tau].$$

$$\langle U_\epsilon^{(n)}\rangle(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left\{H_i^{(n)}(t)\right\}^2 \mathbb{I}\left\{|H_i^{(n)}(s) > \epsilon\right\} d\lambda_i^{(n)}(s) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ for } \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall t \in [0,\tau].$$

**Example 2.8.3.** For  $n \to \infty$ , the path of  $U^{(n)}(t)$  on the interval  $[0, \tau]$  is approximated by that of a particle undergoing time-transformed Brownian motion  $U(t) = W\{\alpha(t)\}$ . For a continuous functional f, the behavior of  $f \circ W\{\alpha(t)\}$  approximates that of  $f \circ U^{(n)}(s)$ :

$$\left\{f\circ U^{(n)}(s):s\in[0,\tau]\right\}\leadsto \left\{f\circ U(s):s\in[0,\tau]\right\}.$$

For example, the supremum of  $|U^{(n)}(t)|$  may be approximated by that of |U(t)|:

$$\sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} |U^{(n)}(t)| \leadsto \sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} |U(t)|.$$

## Summary

- In discrete time, the **martingale property** is  $\mathbb{E}(M_n|\mathscr{F}_{n-1}) = M_{n-1}$ . In continuous time  $\mathbb{E}\{M(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\} = M(s)$  for  $s \leq t$ .
- Martingales have constant mean  $\mathbb{E}(M_n) = M_0$  and uncorrelated increments:

$$\mathbb{C}\{M(t) - M(s), M(v) - M(u)\} = 0.$$

• In discrete-time, the predictable **covariation** of a mean-zero martingale  $M_n$  is defined as:

$$\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{C}(\Delta M_{1m} \Delta M_{2m} | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}) = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\Delta M_{1m} \Delta M_{2m} | \mathscr{F}_{m-1}).$$

The optional **covariation** is defined as:

$$[M_1, M_2]_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta M_{1m} \Delta M_{2m}.$$

The predictable and optional variations are obtained by taking  $M_1 = M_2 = M$ . The continuous-time covariations at time t are obtained by taking the limit as the partition of [0, t] becomes infinitely fine.

The covariance of a martingale is the expectation of its predictable (or optional) variation. In continuous time:

$$\mathbb{C}\{M_1(t), M_2(t)\} = \mathbb{E}\{M_1(t)M_2(t)\} = E\langle M_1, M_2\rangle(t) = E[M_1, M_2](t).$$

Taking  $M_1 = M_2 = M$ :

$$\mathbb{V}\{M(t)\} = \mathbb{E}\{M^2(t)\} = E\langle M\rangle(t) = E[M](t).$$

• In discrete-time, the **transformation** of a mean-zero martingale by a predictable process is:

$$Z_n = \sum_{m=1}^n H_m \Delta X_m.$$

In continuous-time, by taking the limit as the partition of [0, t] becomes infinitely fine, the transformation becomes a **stochastic integral**:

$$I(t) = \int_0^t H(s)dM(s).$$

In either case, the transformation or stochastic integral of a mean-zero martingale by a predictable process remains a mean-zero martingale.

• The predictable covariation of stochastic integrals obeys:

$$\left\langle \int H_1(t)dM_1(t), \int H_2(t)dM_2(t) \right\rangle = \int H_1H_2(t)d\langle M_1, M_2\rangle(t).$$

Similarly, for the optional variation:

$$\left[ \int H_1(t)dM_1(t), \int H_2(t)dM_2(t) \right] = \int H_1H_2(t)d[M_1, M_2](t)$$

• A continuous-time stochastic process N(t) is a **sub-martingale** if it tends to increase as time passes:

$$\mathbb{E}\{N(t)|\mathscr{F}(s)\} \ge N(s) \text{ for } t > s.$$

(**Doob-Meyer Decomposition**) A continuous-time sub-martingale N(t) can be uniquely decomposed as:

$$N(t) = A(t) + M(t), (3.0.4)$$

where A(t) is a non-decreasing predictable process (the *compensator*), and M(t) is a mean-zero martingale.

• A counting process is a continuous-time c add b process with increments of size 1 at event times. By Doob-Meyer, if N(t) is a counting process, there exists a unique predictable process, termed the *cumulative intensity*, such that  $M(t) = N(t) - \Lambda(t)$  is a mean-zero martingale.

The predictable variation of a counting process is its cumulative intensity:

$$\langle M \rangle(t) = \Lambda(t).$$

• Counting processes  $N_1(t)$  and  $N_2(t)$  are **orthogonal** if the predictable covariation of the corresponding martingales is zero:

$$\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle(t) = 0.$$

If two counting processes cannot jump simultaneously, then they are orthogonal.

• The **Brownian motion** process W(t) has continuous sample paths, independent stationary increments, and the increment over the time interval (s,t] is normally distributed with:

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t) - W(s)\} = 0, \qquad \mathbb{V}\{W(t) - W(s)\} = t - s.$$

If  $\alpha(t)$  is a strictly increasing continuous remapping of time with  $\alpha(0) = 0$ , then  $U(t) = W\{\alpha(t)\}$  is again Brownian motion, with increments:

$$\mathbb{E}\{U(t) - U(s)\} = 0, \qquad \mathbb{V}\{U(t) - U(s)\} = \alpha(t) - \alpha(s).$$

The process  $U(t) = W\{\alpha(t)\}$  is a Gaussian martingale.

- (Martingale Central Limit Theorem) Suppose  $M^{(n)}(t)$  is a sequence of mean-zero martingales defined on  $[0, \tau]$ , and for any  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $M_{\epsilon}^{(n)}(t)$  denote the martingale containing all jumps of  $M^{(n)}(t)$  that are of size greater than  $\epsilon$ . If the following conditions hold:
  - i.  $\langle M^{(n)} \rangle \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \alpha(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, \tau]$  as  $n \to \infty$ , where  $\alpha(t)$  is a strictly increasing continuous function with  $\alpha(0) = 0$ .
  - ii.  $\langle M_{\epsilon}^{(n)} \rangle \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \tau]$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then,  $M^{(n)}(t)$  converges weakly to a Gaussian martingale:

$$M^{(n)}(t) \leadsto W\{\alpha(t)\}.$$