

## Notation and Assumptions

Suppose  $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{z}_i\}_{i=1:n}$  are independent and identically distributed observations. Consider a model for  $\mathcal{D}$  parameterized by  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . Let  $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  denote the log likelihood:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{z}_i).$$

Denote the true value of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  by  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ . The score for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is:

$$\dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \equiv \left. \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_0}.$$

The Hessian for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is:

$$\ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \equiv \left. \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_0}.$$

Partition  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is the target parameter, and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is a nuisance parameter. The null hypothesis will take the form  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0$ . Let  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$  denote the unrestricted MLE of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , which satisfies:

$$\dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$  denote the restricted MLE of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , which satisfies:

$$\dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Assume sufficient regularity that, under  $H_0$ , the restricted and unrestricted MLEs are each  $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1/2}), \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

The score equation converges in distribution as:

$$n^{-1/2} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\},$$

where  $\mathbf{B}$  is the expected outer product of the score:

$$\mathbf{B}_0 \equiv \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \equiv E_Z\{\dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \otimes \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}.$$

The negative Hessian converges in probability as:

$$-n^{-1} \ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}',i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + o_p(1),$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the expected information matrix:

$$\mathbf{A}_0 \equiv \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = E_Z \{ -\ddot{\ell}_{\theta\theta',i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \}.$$

Moreover, suppose sufficient regularity that:

$$-n^{-1}\ddot{\ell}_{\theta\theta'}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + o_p(1), \quad -n^{-1}\ddot{\ell}_{\theta\theta'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + o_p(1).$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$  denote the covariance matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 \equiv \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{-T}.$$

Within the exponential family  $\mathbf{A}_0 = \mathbf{B}_0$  such that:

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 = \mathbf{A}_0^{-1},$$

which is the inverse expected information.

## Wald Test

**Proposition 0.2.1.** Under  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ , the Wald statistic converges as:

$$n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \chi_r^2,$$

where  $r = \dim(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ . ◆

**Proof.** Taylor expand of the score for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  about the truth:

$$\mathbf{0} = \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \mathcal{O}_p(\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\|^2)$$

Solving for  $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ :

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \{-\ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}^{-1}\dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1}).$$

Scaling by  $\sqrt{n}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) &= \{-n^{-1}\ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\}^{-1}n^{-1/2}\dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1/2}) \\ &= \mathbf{A}_0^{-1}n^{-1/2}\dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + o_p(1) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}_0^{-1}\mathbf{B}_0\mathbf{A}_0^{-T}). \end{aligned}$$
■

**Corollary 0.2.1.** The Wald test of  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0$  is:

$$n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{0,\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\beta}'}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \chi_p^2,$$

where  $p = \dim(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{0,\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\beta}'}$  is the sub-matrix of  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$  corresponding to  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . ♣

**Remark 0.2.1.** Within the exponential family  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{0,\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\beta}'}^{-1}$  is the efficient information for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{0,\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\beta}'}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\beta}'} - \mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\alpha}'}\mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\alpha}'}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\beta}'}.$$
◆

## Score Test

**Proposition 0.3.1.** Under  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ , the score statistic converges as:

$$\frac{1}{n} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)' \mathbf{B}_0^{-1} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \chi_r^2,$$

where  $r = \dim(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ . ◆

**Proposition 0.3.2.** The score test of  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0$  is:

$$\frac{1}{n} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})' \{ \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0' \}^{-1} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \chi_p^2,$$

where  $p = \dim(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$  and

$$\mathbf{C}_0 = (\mathbf{I}, -\mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1}).$$
◆

**Proof.** Taylor expand the scores for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  about the constrained MLE:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) &= \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) + \ddot{\ell}_{\beta\alpha'}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0)(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1}), \\ 0 = \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) &= \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) + \ddot{\ell}_{\alpha\alpha'}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0)(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the second expansion into the first:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) &= \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) - \ddot{\ell}_{\beta\alpha'}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \{ \ddot{\ell}_{\alpha\alpha'}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \}^{-1} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \\ &= \left( \mathbf{I}, -\ddot{\ell}_{\beta\alpha'}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \{ \ddot{\ell}_{\alpha\alpha'}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \}^{-1} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \\ \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left( \mathbf{I}, -n^{-1} \ddot{\ell}_{\beta\alpha'} \{ n^{-1} \ddot{\ell}_{\alpha\alpha'}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \}^{-1} \right) \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \\ &= (\mathbf{I}, -\mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1}) \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{C}_0 = (\mathbf{I}, -\mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1})$ , then:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) = \mathbf{C}_0 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) + o_p(1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0').$$

The asymptotic covariance is:

$$\mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0' = \mathbf{B}_{\beta\beta'} - \mathbf{B}_{\beta\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'}^T - \mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha\beta'} + \mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'}^T.$$
■

**Corollary 0.3.1.** Within the exponential family:

$$\mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0' = \mathbf{A}_{\beta\beta'} - \mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta'} = (\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'}^{-1},$$

which is the efficient information for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . ♣

## Likelihood Ratio Test

**Remark 0.4.1.** This section assumes  $\mathbf{A}_0$  is symmetric and positive definite. ◆

**Proposition 0.4.1.** Under the  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ , the likelihood ratio statistic converges as:

$$2\{\ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \chi_1^2,$$

where  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1/2}$  and  $r = \dim(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ . ◆

**Proof.** Taylor expand the log likelihood at the truth about the unconstrained MLE:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \dot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-3/2}).$$

Since  $\dot{\ell}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{0}$ , upon rearranging:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\{\ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\} &= (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)' \{ -\ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-3/2}) \\ &= n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)' \{ -n^{-1}\ddot{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-3/2}) \\ &= n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)' \mathbf{A}_0 (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

Recall from the Wald statistic that:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1}).$$

Consequently, the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} 2\{\ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\} &= n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)' \mathbf{A}_0 (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + o_p(1) \\ &= \{ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \}' \mathbf{A}_0 \{ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \boldsymbol{\omega}' \mathbf{A}_0 \boldsymbol{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1})$ . Let  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}'$  denote the Cholesky decomposition of  $\mathbf{A}_0$ , then:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}' \mathbf{A}_0 \boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}' \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' \boldsymbol{\omega} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \chi_1^2,$$

where  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{L}' \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \mathbf{L}$ . ■

**Corollary 0.4.1.** Within the exponential family:

$$\mathbf{A}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1/2} = \mathbf{A}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{A}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1/2} = \mathbf{I}.$$

Consequently,  $\lambda_j = 1$  for each  $j$ , and :

$$2\{\ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \chi_r^2.$$

♣

**Proposition 0.4.2.** The likelihood ratio test  $H_0 : \beta = \beta_0$  is:

$$2\{\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\tilde{\theta})\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j \chi_1^2,$$

where  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  are the eigenvalues of:

$$(\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'}^{1/2} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0' (\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'},$$

$\mathbf{C}_0 = (\mathbf{I}, -\mathbf{A}_{\beta\alpha'} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\alpha'}^{-1})$ , and  $p = \dim(\beta_0)$ . ◆

**Proof.** Taylor expand the log likelihood at the constrained MLE about the unconstrained MLE:

$$\ell(\tilde{\theta}) = \ell(\hat{\theta}) + \dot{\ell}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta})(\tilde{\theta} - \hat{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\theta} - \hat{\theta})' \ddot{\ell}_{\theta\theta'}(\hat{\theta})(\tilde{\theta} - \hat{\theta}) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-3/2}).$$

Since  $\dot{\ell}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ , upon rearranging:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\{\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\tilde{\theta})\} &= (\hat{\theta} - \tilde{\theta})' \{-\ddot{\ell}_{\theta\theta'}(\hat{\theta})\}(\hat{\theta} - \tilde{\theta}) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-3/2}) \\ &= n(\hat{\theta} - \tilde{\theta})' \{-n^{-1}\ddot{\ell}_{\theta\theta'}(\hat{\theta})\}(\hat{\theta} - \tilde{\theta}) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-3/2}) \\ &= n(\hat{\theta} - \tilde{\theta})' \mathbf{A}_0(\hat{\theta} - \tilde{\theta}) + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

Taylor expand the score at the constrained MLE to obtain:

$$\dot{\ell}_{\theta}(\tilde{\theta}) = \dot{\ell}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) + \ddot{\ell}_{\theta\theta'}(\hat{\theta})(\tilde{\theta} - \hat{\theta}) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1}).$$

Since  $\dot{\ell}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ , upon rearranging:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \tilde{\theta}) &= \{-n^{-1}\ddot{\ell}_{\theta\theta'}(\hat{\theta})\}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\ell}_{\theta}(\tilde{\theta}) + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1}) \\ &= \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\ell}_{\theta}(\tilde{\theta}) + o_p(1) \\ &= \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\ell}_{\beta}(\beta_0, \tilde{\alpha}) \\ \dot{\ell}_{\alpha}(\beta, \tilde{\alpha}) \end{pmatrix} + o_p(1) \\ &= \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\ell}_{\beta}(\beta_0, \tilde{\alpha}) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

Recall from the score statistic that:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\ell}_{\beta}(\beta_0, \tilde{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0').$$

Thus:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \tilde{\theta}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0') \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The limiting distribution of the quadratic form is:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\{\ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \ell(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\} &= n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(1), \\ &= \{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\}' \mathbf{A}_0 \{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\} + o_p(1) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{0})' \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \mathbf{A}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\omega}' (\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'} \boldsymbol{\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0')$  and  $(\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'}$  is the  $p \times p$  sub-matrix of  $\mathbf{A}_0^{-1}$  corresponding to  $\beta$ . Let  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}'$  denote the Cholesky decomposition of  $(\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'}$ . Then:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}' (\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'} \boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}' \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' \boldsymbol{\omega} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j \chi_1^2,$$

where  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{L}' \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0' \mathbf{L}$ . ■

**Corollary 0.4.2.** Within the exponential family  $\mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{C}_0' = (\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'}^{-1}$ , such that:

$$(\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'}^{1/2} (\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'}^{-1} (\mathbf{A}_0^{-1})_{\beta\beta'}^{1/2} = \mathbf{I}.$$

Consequently,  $\lambda_j = 1$  for each  $j$ , and:

$$2\{\ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \ell(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \chi_p^2. \quad \clubsuit$$