

## Measures

**Definition 1.1.1.** A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  over a set  $\Omega$  is a non-empty class of subsets having these properties:

- i.  $\Omega$  and  $\emptyset$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- ii. If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- iii. If  $(A_n)$  is countable and  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

■

**Definition 1.1.2.** The  $\sigma$ -algebra *generated* by a class of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{T})$  is a *topological space*, the *Borel*  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is that generated by the topology,  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{T})$ .

■

**Example 1.1.1.** The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$  is that generated by the open intervals  $\{(a, b) : a < b\}$ , by the left open right closed intervals  $\{(a, b] : a < b\}$ , and by the semi-infinite intervals  $\{(-\infty, b]\}$ .

♠

**Definition 1.1.3.** A **measure**  $\mu$  over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is a set-function  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  satisfying:

- i. (*Null measure*):  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ; and
- ii. (*Disjoint additivity*) If  $(A_n)$  is a countable disjoint collection:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$

■

**Discussion 1.1.1.** The *Borel measure*  $\mu_B$  defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the *unique* measure that assigns to each interval  $(a, b]$  its length  $\mu_B\{(a, b]\} = b - a$ . The Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$  is a refinement of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra that includes all subsets of measure-zero sets. The *Lebesgue measure*  $\lambda$  defined on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$  is likewise the unique measure that assigns to each interval  $(a, b]$  its length  $\lambda\{(a, b]\} = b - a$ . The Borel and Lebesgue measures agree on all sets for which both are defined. However, there exist sets that are Lebesgue but not Borel measurable.

♠

**Theorem 1.1.1** (Caratheodory Extension). Suppose  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is a (semi-)algebra and that  $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive set function s.t.  $P(\Omega) = 1$ ; there exists a unique extension of  $P$  onto  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . □

**Example 1.1.2.** Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  where  $x \sim y$  if  $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , assign  $x$  to its equivalence class  $[x]$ . Observe that all rational numbers belong to the same equivalence class, while all numbers of the form  $(t + q) \cap [0, 1]$ , with  $t \in \mathbb{I}$  irrational and  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  rational, belong to another. Now each  $x \in [0, 1]$  belongs to exactly one equivalence class, and there are infinitely many such classes. Form a new set  $V$  by selecting one representative from each equivalence class. The resulting **Vitali set** is unmeasurable w.r.t.  $\lambda$ . ♠

## 1.1 Measurability

**Definition 1.1.4.** A mapping  $f$  between *measurable spaces*  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  and  $(T, \mathcal{T})$  is **measurable** if the pre-image  $f^{-1}(B)$  of each measurable set  $B$  in the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{T}$  on the target space belongs to the  $\sigma$ -algebra on the source space  $\mathcal{S}$ . ■

**Theorem 1.1.2.** Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is a class of subsets generating the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{T}$  on the target space:  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{T}$ . A mapping  $f$  is measurable  $\iff f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{S}$ . □

**Lemma 1.1.1.** Every continuous and piece-wise continuous function is measurable. ■

**Lemma 1.1.2.** Suppose  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of measurable functions, then:

- $\sup_n f_n(s)$  and  $\inf_n f_n(s)$  are measurable.
- $\limsup_n f_n(s)$  and  $\liminf_n f_n(s)$  are measurable.
- If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s)$  exists for  $\forall s$  in the domain, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s)$  is measurable.

■

**Definition 1.1.5.** The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by a random variable  $X : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$  is the collection of sets:

$$\sigma(X) = \left[ \{ \omega : X(\omega) \in B \} : B \in \mathcal{L} \right].$$

■

**Lemma 1.1.3.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a class of subsets generating  $\mathcal{L}$ , then:

$$\sigma(X) = \left[ \{ \omega : X(\omega) \in U \} : U \in \mathcal{U} \right].$$

■

## 1.2 Probability

**Definition 1.1.6.** A *probability measure*  $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a measure that assigns  $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$ . A *probability space* is the triple of an even space  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ , and a probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ . ■

**Definition 1.1.7.** A **random variable** is a measurable mapping from a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  into  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$ . The *distribution* induced by a random variable is the set function  $P_X(B) = \mathbb{P}\{X^{-1}(B)\}$  for  $\forall B \in \mathcal{L}$ . ■

**Discussion 1.1.2.** The distribution  $P_X$  is a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$ , while the base probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  is defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . The requirement that the random variable  $X$  is measurable ensure that the pre-image  $X^{-1}(B)$  of any measurable set  $B \in \mathcal{L}$  belongs to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ . ♠

## 1.3 Density

**Definition 1.1.8.** Suppose  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space. Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  denote two measures over  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\nu$  is **absolutely continuous** w.r.t.  $\mu$ , denoted  $\nu \ll \mu$ ,  $\nu$  assigns measure zero whenever  $\mu$  assigns measure zero:

$$\mu(A) = 0 \implies \nu(A) = 0.$$

**Lemma 1.1.4.**  $\nu \ll \mu \iff$  for  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  there  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $\mu(A) < \delta \implies \nu(A) < \epsilon$ . ■

**Definition 1.1.9.** Measure space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is *finite* if  $\mu(\mathcal{X}) < \infty$ , and  $\sigma$ -finite if there exists a countable union  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_n$  of finite sets such that  $\mathcal{X} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_n$  and  $\mu(\mathcal{X}_n) < \infty$ . ■

**Theorem 1.1.3 (Radon-Nikodym).** Suppose  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$  is a measure space, that  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures on  $\mathcal{A}$ , and that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mu$ :  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Then there exists an a.s. unique, non-negative, measurable *density*  $f$  such that:

$$\nu(A) = \int_A f d\mu.$$

$f$  is called the *Radon-Nikodym derivative* of  $\nu$  w.r.t.  $\mu$ , and is denoted  $f = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ . □

**Remark 1.1.1.** A random variable  $X$  that admits a density  $f_X$  w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$  is described as *absolutely continuous*. A *discrete* random variable is supported on a countable set, and absolutely continuous w.r.t. the counting measure. ♦

# Integration

## 2.1 Lebesgue Integral

**Discussion 1.2.1.** The idea of Lebesgue integration is to partition the *range*, rather than the domain, of a bounded measurable function  $f : S \rightarrow T$  into a finite number of disjoint intervals,  $T_i = (t_{i-1}, t_i]$ , then approximate the area under the graph  $(s, f_s)$  using a tag-point  $t_i^* \in T_i$  and the measure of the pre-image  $\mu\{f^{-1}(T_i)\}$ . The lower and upper Lebesgue sums are:

$$L(f, T) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1} \mu\{f^{-1}(T_i)\} \quad U(f, T) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \mu\{f^{-1}(T_i)\}.$$

A function is Lebesgue integrable if the infimum of the upper sum, across all possible partitions of the image, matches the supremum of the lower sum. ♠

**Remark 1.2.1.** For evaluating Lebesgue integrals,  $0 \times \infty = 0$ . ♦

**Definition 1.2.1** (Indicator Function). The *Lebesgue integral* of an indicator  $I_A$  for a measurable set  $A$  is:

$$\int_S I_A d\lambda = \int_A d\lambda = \lambda(A).$$

■

**Definition 1.2.2** (Simple Function). A *simple function*  $f : S \rightarrow T$  is one whose image is a *finite* set of non-negative reals  $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ . Define  $S_i = f^{-1}(t_i) = \{s : f(s) = t_i\}$  as the pre-image of  $t_i$ . Note that the  $S_i$  are *disjoint* and partition the source space:  $\cup_{i=1}^n S_i = S$ . If each  $S_i$  is measurable, then the *Lebesgue integral* of  $f$  is:

$$\int_S f d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \mu(S_i),$$

and the integral over  $A \subseteq S$  is:

$$\int_S f I_A d\lambda = \int_A f d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \mu(A \cap S_i).$$

■

**Definition 1.2.3** (Non-Negative Function). Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the set of measurable simple functions on  $S$ . If  $f$  is a non-negative measurable function, then the *Lebesgue integral* is defined as:

$$\int_S f d\lambda = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\{ \int_S g d\lambda : 0 \leq g \leq f \right\}.$$

■

**Theorem 1.2.1.** Suppose  $f$  is a non-negative measurable function, then:

- (*Approximation*) There exists a sequence of simple functions  $(f_n)$  s.t.  $f_n \uparrow f$ .
- (*Vanishing*)  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $A \iff \int_A f d\lambda = 0$ .
- (*Monotonicity*) If  $f \geq g$  on  $A$ , then  $\int_A f d\mu \leq \int_A g d\mu$ .
- (*Bounding*):  $\inf_A(f)\mu(A) \leq \int_A f d\mu \leq \sup_A(f)\mu(A)$ .
- (*Triangle inequality*):  $|\int_A f d\mu| \leq \int_A |f| d\mu$ .

□

**Definition 1.2.4** (General Function). Define the positive and negative parts of  $f$  as:

$$f^+(\omega) = f(\omega) \cdot I\{f(\omega) \geq 0\}, \quad f^-(\omega) = -f(\omega) \cdot I\{f(\omega) < 0\}.$$

Note that the positive and negative parts of  $f$  are each non-negative. If  $f$  is a measurable function and either the positive or the negative integral is finite:

$$\min \left( \int_S f^+ d\lambda, \int_S f^- d\lambda \right) < \infty,$$

then the *Lebesgue integral* exists and is defined as:

$$\int_S f d\lambda = \int_S f^+ d\lambda - \int_S f^- d\lambda.$$

If the positive  $\int_S f^+ d\lambda$  and negative  $\int_S f^- d\lambda$  integrals are both finite, then  $f$  is described as **integrable**, denoted  $f \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Omega)$ . ■

**Lemma 1.2.1.** Suppose  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $f$  is a non-negative function, then the mapping  $\nu(A) = \int_A f d\mu$  for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  defines a measure  $\nu \ll \mu$ . ■

## 2.2 Monotone and Dominated Convergence

**Remark 1.2.2.** The major theorems of Lebesgue integration are the monotone convergence theorem (MCT) and the dominated convergence theorem (DCT). Each theorem allows for the interchange of a limiting process with integration. ♦

**Theorem 1.2.2 (Monotone Convergence).** If  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of non-negative measurable functions with  $f_n \leq f$  for  $\forall n$  in the domain, and  $f_n \uparrow f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then:

$$\int_S f_n d\lambda \uparrow \int_S f d\lambda.$$

□

**Remark 1.2.3.** Recall that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n$  where  $g_n(s) = \inf_{k \geq n} f_k(s)$ . Similarly,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n$  where  $h_n(s) = \sup_{k \geq n} f_k(s)$ . The limit superior always exceeds the limit inferior:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n.$$

If the limit inferior matches the limit superior, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  exists and:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n.$$

◆

**Lemma 1.2.2 (Fatou).** For a sequence  $(f_n)$  of non-negative measurable functions:

$$\int_S \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) d\lambda \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_S f_n d\lambda \right).$$

■

**Theorem 1.2.3 (Dominated Convergence).** Let  $(f_n)$  and  $g$  denote measurable functions. Suppose  $f_n \rightarrow f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and that  $g$  is non-negative and integrable. If  $|f_n| \leq g$ , then  $(f_n)$  and  $f$  are integrable, and:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_S f_n d\lambda \right) = \int_S \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) d\lambda = \int_S f d\lambda.$$

□

**Corollary 1.2.1.** Suppose the sequence  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s \in [a, b]} |f_n(s) - f(s)| = 0.$$

If each  $f_n$  is integrable, then  $f$  is integrable, and:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_a^b f_n d\lambda \right) = \int_a^b \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) d\lambda = \int_a^b f d\lambda.$$

♣

**Theorem 1.2.4 (Beppo Levi).** Suppose  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of measurable functions, and that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_S |f_n| d\mu \right) < \infty.$$

Then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  converges absolutely and uniformly, and:

$$\int_S \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \right) d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_S f_n d\mu \right).$$

□

## 2.3 Reduction to Riemann

**Theorem 1.2.5.** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded:

- $f$  is Riemann integrable  $\iff$  it is a.e. continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure. Equally,  $f$  is Riemann integrable  $\iff$  the set of discontinuities has Lebesgue measure zero.
- If  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is Lebesgue integrable, and the two integrals agree.

□

**Lemma 1.2.3.** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and monotone, then  $f$  is Riemann (and therefore Lebesgue) integrable. ■

## 2.4 Product Measures

**Discussion 1.2.2.** Suppose  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  and define the **product  $\sigma$ -algebra** as:

$$\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{A_1 \times A_2 : A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2\}.$$

The goal is to construct a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that if  $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ , then:

$$\mu(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2).$$

♠

**Theorem 1.2.6.** Suppose  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are  $\sigma$ -finite. For  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , define the *sections*:

$$A(\omega_1, \bullet) = \{\omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A\}, \quad A(\bullet, \omega_2) = \{\omega_1 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A\},$$

Then the following integrals are equivalent:

$$I_1 = \int_{\Omega_1} \mu_2\{A(\omega_1, \bullet)\} d\mu_1(\omega_1), \quad I_2 = \int_{\Omega_2} \mu_1\{A(\bullet, \omega_2)\} d\mu_2(\omega_2).$$

and this common quantity  $\mu(A)$  is defined as the **product measure**:

$$\mu(A) \equiv I_1 = I_2.$$

□

**Theorem 1.2.7 (Fubini).** Suppose  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are  $\sigma$ -finite and  $f : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is product measurable. If either of the following integrals is finite:

$$J_1 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} |f(\omega_1, \omega_2)| d\mu_2(\omega_2) \right) d\mu_1(\omega_1),$$

$$J_2 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} |f(\omega_1, \omega_2)| d\mu_1(\omega_1) \right) d\mu_2(\omega_2),$$

then both are finite, the following integrals are equivalent:

$$I_1 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) d\mu_2(\omega_2) \right) d\mu_1(\omega_1), \quad I_2 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) d\mu_1(\omega_1) \right) d\mu_2(\omega_2)$$

and this common quantity is defined as the **product integral**:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) d\mu(\omega_1, \omega_2) \equiv I_1 = I_2.$$

□

## Statistical Properties

### 3.1 Independence

**Definition 1.3.1.** Suppose  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space. Events  $A$  and  $B$  are **independent** if  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B)$ . ■

**Theorem 1.3.1 (Borel Cantelli).**

- If  $(A_n)$  is any sequence of events and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$ , then:

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) = 0.$$

- If  $(A_n)$  are *independent* events and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$ , then:

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) = 1.$$

□

**Definition 1.3.2.** The tail  $\sigma$ -field generated by a sequence  $(X_n)$  of random variables is:

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots).$$

■



**Theorem 1.3.2** (Kolmogorov's Zero-One Law). If  $(X_n)$  is a sequence of *independent* random variables, and  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  is a *tail field* event, then  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.1.**

- The event that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  converges has probability 0 or 1.
- The  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$  are constant with probability 1.



**Definition 1.3.3.** Suppose  $X_1$  maps  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  to  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$  and  $X_2$  maps  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  to  $(\mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ .  $X_1$  is **independent** of  $X_2$  if:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \in A_1 \cap X_2 \in A_2) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \in A_1)\mathbb{P}(X_2 \in A_2).$$



**Definition 1.3.4.** A **cumulative distribution function** (CDF)  $F$  is a *cádlág* (continuous on the right with limits on the left), non-decreasing function, satisfying:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1.$$



**Lemma 1.3.1.** If  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a CDF, there exists a random variable  $X(\omega)$  on  $([0, 1], \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$  whose distribution is  $F$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 1.3.3 (Factorization).** The random variables  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent  $\iff$  the joint distribution function factors as the product of marginals:

$$P(X_1 \leq \xi_1, X_2 \leq \xi_2) = P(X_1 \leq \xi_1)P(X_2 \leq \xi_2).$$



## 3.2 Expectation

**Definition 1.3.5.** The **expectation** of a random variable  $X$  mapping from a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  to the measure space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$  is defined as:

$$E(X) = \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega).$$

If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then the expectation over  $A$  is:

$$E(XI_A) = \int_A X(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega).$$



**Discussion 1.3.1.** Let  $F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}\{X^{-1}(-\infty, x]\}$  denote the CDF of a random variable  $X$ . The expectation is expressible as:

$$E(X) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} x \cdot dF_X(x).$$

If the distribution  $P_X$  admits a density  $f_X$  w.r.t. measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$ , then:

$$E(X) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} x \cdot f_X(x) d\mu(x).$$




**Example 1.3.1.** Suppose  $X$  is a simple random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$ , then  $X$  is expressible as a linear combination of indicators:

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^J x_j I_{A_j}(\omega),$$

where  $A_j \subseteq \Omega$  are disjoint measurable sets, and  $\cup_{j=1}^J A_j = \Omega$ . The expectation is:

$$E(X) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} X(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^J x_j \int I_{A_j}(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^J x_j \mathbb{P}(A_j).$$



**Remark 1.3.1.** The MCT and DCT for random variables give conditions under which a limiting process may be exchanged with expectation. The MCT requires monotone convergence and non-negativity. The DCT relaxes monotonicity but requires the existence of a dominating, integrable random variable. 


**Theorem 1.3.4 (Monotone Convergence).** If  $X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega)$ , then  $E(X) \leq E(Y)$ . Moreover, if  $0 \leq X_n(\omega)$  and  $X_n(\omega) \uparrow X(\omega)$ , then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n) = E\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n\right).$$



**Theorem 1.3.5 (Dominated Convergence).** If  $X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)$  and there exists random variable  $Z$  s.t.  $E|Z| < \infty$ , then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n) = E\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n\right),$$

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E|X_n - X| = 0$ . 

### 3.3 Conditional Expectation

**Definition 1.3.6.** Suppose  $Y(\omega)$  is a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra. A random variable  $Z(\omega)$  that is:

- i. measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{G}$ , i.e. for  $\forall B \in \mathcal{L}, Z^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{G}$ ; and
- ii. satisfies the integral identity:

$$\int_G Y d\mathbb{P} = \int_G Z d\mathbb{P}, \text{ for } \forall G \in \mathcal{G}$$

is the **conditional expectation** of  $Y$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{G}$ , denoted  $Z = E(Y|\mathcal{G})$ . ■

**Example 1.3.2.** Suppose  $X(\omega)$  and  $Y(\omega)$  are random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and that  $E(Y^2) < \infty$ . Recall that the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X$  is:

$$\sigma(X) = \left[ \{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\} : B \in \mathcal{L} \right].$$

Denote  $\sigma(X)$  by  $\mathcal{G}$ , and let  $Z$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $Y$  onto the space  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{G})$  of square-integrable,  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable functions. Then for  $\forall Z^* \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{G})$ :

$$\langle Y - Z, Z^* \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (Y - Z) Z^* d\mathbb{P} = 0,$$

and in particular for  $Z^* = I_G$  where  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ :

$$\int_G Y d\mathbb{P} = \int_G Z d\mathbb{P}.$$

Thus  $Z = E(Y|\mathcal{G})$  may be interpreted as the orthogonal projection of  $Y$  onto the space of square-integrable,  $\sigma(X)$ -measurable functions. ♠

**Remark 1.3.2.** For a continuous random variable  $Y(\omega)$ , standard notation  $E(Y|X)$  denotes the random variable:

$$\{E(Y|X)\}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \cdot f\{y|X(\omega)\} dy$$

that is 1. measurable  $\sigma(X)$ , and 2. satisfies:

$$E\{Y I_A\} = E\{E(Y|X) I_A\},$$

for  $\forall A \in \sigma(X)$ . Since  $\Omega$  always belongs to  $\sigma(X)$ , the law of iterated expectation follows immediately:  $E(Y) = E\{E(Y|X)\}$ . ♦