

# U-Statistics

References for  $U$ -statistics:

- Serfling (1980), chapter 5.
- van der Vaart (1998), chapters 11 & 12.
- Lehmann (1999), chapter 6.

## 1.1 Definition

**Definition 1.1.1.** Suppose  $(Y_i)_{i=1}^n$  is a random sample and  $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a symmetric *kernel* function with:

$$\theta \equiv E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)\}.$$

Here symmetric means  $h(\cdot)$  is invariant with respect to permutations  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  of the indices  $(1, \dots, m)$ . The **U-statistic** induced by  $h$  is:

$$U_n(h) = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}). \quad (1.1.1)$$

■

**Remark 1.1.1.** Any unbiased estimator  $u(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$  can be symmetrized via:

$$h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \equiv \frac{1}{m!} \sum_P u(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}),$$

where  $\sum_P$  denotes the sum across all permutations of the indices  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ . ◆

**Proposition 1.1.1.** The definition of the  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.1) is equivalent to:

$$U_n = E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) | (Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)})\}.$$

That is,  $U_n(h)$  may be regarded as the expectation of  $h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$  conditional on the order statistics  $(Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)})$ . ◆

**Proof.** Given the order statistics, what remains random when evaluating the expectation of  $h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$  is the selection of the indices for the  $m$  arguments from among the  $n$  possible values. This can be done in  $n$  choose  $m$  possible ways. Let  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  denote one such sample of indices. Since  $h$  is symmetric in its arguments, the sample of indices may always be arranged such that  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ . Therefore:

$$E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) | Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)}\} = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}).$$

■

## 1.2 Examples

### Example 1.1.1.

- The sample mean is a  $U$ -statistic of order  $m = 1$ :

$$U_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_i.$$

- The sample variance is a  $U$ -statistic of order  $m = 2$ :

$$U_n = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{2} (Y_i - Y_j)^2.$$

- The Gini mean absolute difference is a  $U$ -statistic of order  $m = 2$ :

$$U_n = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |Y_i - Y_j|.$$



## 1.3 Optimality

**Theorem 1.1.1.** Suppose  $S(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ . The  $U$ -statistic induced by  $S$ , defined by  $U_n = E\{S(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) | (Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)})\}$ , is uniformly better than  $S$  in that sense that  $U_n$  is unbiased for  $\theta$  and:

$$\text{Var}(U_n) \leq \text{Var}(S).$$

Moreover, the inequality is strict unless  $U_n = S$ . □

**Proof.** By iterated expectation,  $U_n$  is unbiased:

$$E(U_n) = EE\{S(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) | (Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)})\} = E(S) = \theta.$$

By law of total variance:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(S) &= \text{Var}\left[E\{S | (Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)})\}\right] + E\left[\text{Var}\{S | (Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)})\}\right] \\ &= \text{Var}(U_n) + E\left[\text{Var}\{S | (Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)})\}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the latter term is non-negative,  $\text{Var}(U_n) \leq \text{Var}(S)$ . ■

## 1.4 Properties

**Definition 1.1.2.** Consider  $U_n(h)$  from (1.1.1). For  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , define the **projection**:

$$h_k(y_1, \dots, y_k) = E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) | Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_k = y_k\}.$$

Let  $\zeta_k$  denote the variance of the  $k$ th projection:

$$\zeta_k = \text{Var}\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)\}.$$

■

**Remark 1.1.2.** Note that the  $m$ th projection is:

$$h_m = E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) | Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_m = y_m\} = h(y_1, \dots, y_m),$$

and that  $\zeta_m = \text{Var}(h_m) = \text{Var}\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)\}$ .

◆

**Proposition 1.1.2.** Each projection  $h_k$  has the same expectation as  $U_n(h)$ :

$$E\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)\} = \theta.$$

◆

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} E\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)\} &= E\left[E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) | Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_k = y_k\}\right] \\ &= E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)\} = \theta. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Proposition 1.1.3.** The variance of the projection  $h_k$  is increasing in  $k$ :

$$0 \leq \zeta_1 \leq \dots \leq \zeta_m = \text{Var}(U).$$

◆

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)\} &= \text{Var}\left[E\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) | (Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1})\}\right] \\ &\quad + E\left[\text{Var}\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) | (Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1})\}\right] \\ &\geq \text{Var}\{h_{k-1}(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1})\} = \zeta_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Proposition 1.1.4.** Suppose  $(Y_i)_{i=1}^n$  are a random sample, then:

$$\zeta_k = \text{Cov}\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_m), \\ h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, \tilde{Y}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_m)\}.$$

Here  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$  are in common, while  $(Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_m)$  are distinct from  $(\tilde{Y}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_m)$ . ◆

**Proof.** By definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\equiv \text{Cov}\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_m), h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, \tilde{Y}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_m)\} \\ &= E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_m)h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, \tilde{Y}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_m)\} \\ &\quad - E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_m)\}E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, \tilde{Y}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_m)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The expectation of each kernel function is  $\theta$ :

$$\gamma = E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_m)h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, \tilde{Y}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_m)\} - \theta^2.$$

By iterated expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} &E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_m)h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, \tilde{Y}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_m)\} \\ &= E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_m)h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, \tilde{Y}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_m) | (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)\} \\ &= E\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= E\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)\} - \theta^2 \\ &= E\{h_k^2(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)\} - E^2\{h_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)\} = \zeta_k. \end{aligned}$$
■

**Theorem 1.1.2 (Finite Sample Variance).** The finite sample variance of the  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.1) is:

$$\text{Var}\{U_n(h)\} = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} \binom{n-m}{m-k} \zeta_k. \quad (1.1.2)$$

□

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\{U_n(h)\} &= \text{Var}\left\{\binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m})\right\} \\ &= \binom{n}{m}^{-2} \text{Cov}\left\{\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}), \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n} h(Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_m})\right\} \\ &= \binom{n}{m}^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n} \text{Cov}\{h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}), h(Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_m})\} \end{aligned}$$

Consider cases. If  $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$  and  $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$  have no indices in common, then:

$$\text{Cov}\{h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}), h(Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_m})\} = 0.$$

Suppose  $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$  and  $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$  have  $1 \leq k \leq m$  indices in common, then:

$$\text{Cov}\{h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}), h(Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_m})\} = \zeta_k$$

The number of covariance terms with  $k$  indices in common is:

$$\binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{k} \binom{n-m}{m-k}.$$

$\binom{n}{m}$  is the number of ways to choose the first index set  $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ . Having fixed the first set, there are  $\binom{m}{k}$  ways to select the  $k$  indices  $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$  of the second set that are in common, times  $\binom{n-m}{m-k}$  was to select the  $m-k$  indices  $\{j_{k+1}, \dots, j_m\}$  of the second set that are different. Overall:

$$\text{Var}\{U_n(h)\} = \binom{n}{m}^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{k} \binom{n-m}{m-k} \zeta_k.$$

■

**Proposition 1.1.5 (Limiting Variance).** If  $\zeta_1 > 0$  and  $\zeta_m < \infty$ , then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}\{\sqrt{n}(U_n - \theta)\} = m^2 \zeta_1. \quad (1.1.3)$$

◆

**Proof.** From (1.1.2):

$$\text{Var}\{U_n(h)\} = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \left\{ \binom{m}{1} \binom{n-m}{m-1} \zeta_1 + \binom{m}{2} \binom{n-m}{m-2} \zeta_2 + \dots \right\}.$$

For  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the binomial coefficient is:

$$\binom{n-m}{a} = \frac{(n-m)!}{a!(n-m-a)!} = \frac{1}{a!} (n-m)(n-m-1) \cdots (n-m-a+1) \asymp \frac{n^a}{a!}$$

Thus, to leading order:

$$\text{Var}\{U_n(h)\} \asymp \frac{m!}{n^m} \left\{ m \cdot \frac{n^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \zeta_1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{m-2}) \right\} = \frac{m^2 \zeta_1}{n} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}).$$

Conclude that:  $\text{Var}\{\sqrt{n}(U_n - \theta)\} = m^2 \zeta_1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$ .

■

**Theorem 1.1.3 (Asymptotic Normality).** Consider the  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.1).

i. If  $0 < \zeta_1 < \infty$ , then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\sqrt{n}(U_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where  $\sigma^2 = m^2 \zeta_1$  is the limiting variance in (1.1.3).

ii. If  $0 < \zeta_1 \leq \zeta_m < \infty$ , then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\frac{U_n - \theta}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_n)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1),$$

where  $\text{Var}(U_n)$  is the finite sample variance in (1.1.2).

□

**Proof.** (ii.) Consider the Hajék projection representation of  $U_n$  from (1.2.9):

$$\hat{S} - \theta = \frac{m}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{h_1(Y_i) - \theta\}$$

The variance of  $(\hat{S} - \theta)$  is:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{S} - \theta) = \frac{m^2}{n} \text{Var}\{h_1(Y_i)\} = \frac{m^2}{n} \zeta_1.$$

By the central limit theorem:

$$\frac{\hat{S} - \theta}{\sqrt{m^2 \zeta_1 / n}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1).$$

By (1.2.8), if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(U_n - \theta) / \text{Var}(\hat{S} - \theta) = 1$ , then:

$$\frac{\hat{S} - \theta}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{S} - \theta)}} = \frac{U_n - \theta}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_n - \theta)}} + o_p(1).$$

From (1.1.3), the variance of  $(U_n - \theta)$  is:

$$\text{Var}(U_n - \theta) = \frac{m^2}{n} \zeta_1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}).$$

The limiting variances are asymptotically equivalent, since:

$$\frac{\frac{m^2}{n} \zeta_1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2})}{\frac{m^2}{n} \zeta_1} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}).$$

Adding and subtracting the standardized projection:

$$\frac{U_n - \theta}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_n - \theta)}} = \left( \frac{U_n - \theta}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_n - \theta)}} - \frac{\hat{S} - \theta}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{S} - \theta)}} \right) + \frac{\hat{S} - \theta}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{S} - \theta)}}$$

By (1.2.8), the term in parentheses converges in probability to zero, and by the central limit theorem, the standardized projection converges in distribution to standard normal. From Slutsky's theorem, conclude that:

$$\frac{U_n - \theta}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_n - \theta)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1).$$

■

## 1.5 Wilcoxon One-Sample Statistic

**Example 1.1.2.** Suppose  $(Y_i) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_Y$ . Consider the parameter  $\theta = P(Y_1 + Y_2 > 0)$ . The corresponding  $U$ -statistic is:

$$U_n = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} I(Y_i + Y_j > 0). \quad (1.1.4)$$

Identify  $h(Y_1, Y_2) = I(Y_1 + Y_2 > 0)$  as the kernel. The first projection of  $h$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(y_1) &= E\{h(Y_1, Y_2) | Y_1 = y_1\} = E\{I(y_1 + Y_2 > 0)\} \\ &= P(-y_1 < Y_2) = F_Y(-y_1). \end{aligned}$$

The Hajék representation of  $U_n$  is:

$$\hat{S} - \theta = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{F_Y(-Y_i) - \theta\},$$

where  $\theta = E\{F_Y(-Y)\}$ . Suppose that, under  $H_0$ ,  $F_Y$  is *continuous* and *symmetric*, then by the probability integral theorem  $F_Y(Y) \sim U(0, 1)$ , and:

$$F_Y(-Y) = 1 - F_Y(Y) \stackrel{d}{=} 1 - U(0, 1) \stackrel{d}{=} U(0, 1).$$

Now, under  $H_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= E\{F_Y(-Y)\} = E\{U(0, 1)\} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \zeta_1 &= \text{Var}\{h_1(Y)\} = \text{Var}\{U(0, 1)\} = \frac{1}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, under  $H_0$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} \left( U_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N \left( 0, \frac{4}{12} \right) = N \left( 0, \frac{1}{3} \right)$$

The Wilcoxon rank sum is:

$$W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{rank}(|Y_i|) I(Y_i > 0), \quad \text{rank}(|Y_i|) = \sum_{j=1}^n I(|Y_j| \leq |Y_i|).$$

Under the null hypothesis that  $F_Y$  is *continuous*, such that there are no ties, the normalized Wilcoxon one-sample statistic  $\binom{n}{2}^{-1} W_n$  is asymptotically equivalent to the  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.4). To see this, first rewrite  $W_n$  using the indicator definition of rank:

$$W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n I(|Y_j| \leq |Y_i|) I(Y_i > 0).$$

The summand evaluates to 1 if and only if  $|Y_j| \leq Y_i$ :

$$W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n I(|Y_j| \leq Y_i).$$

Split the domain of summation:

$$W_n = \sum_{i < j} I(|X_j| < X_i) + \sum_{i < j} I(|X_i| < X_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i > 0).$$

The last term arises because  $|Y_j| \leq Y_i$  only holds for positive  $Y_i$ . Let  $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i > 0)$  and combine the two leading sums:

$$\begin{aligned} W_n &= \sum_{i < j} \{ I(|X_j| < X_i) + I(|X_i| < X_j) \} + P_n \\ &= \sum_{i < j} \{ I(X_i - |X_j| > 0) + I(X_j - |X_i| > 0) \} + P_n. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the term in braces  $\{\}$  evaluates to 1 if and only if  $X_i + X_j > 0$ , therefore:

$$W_n = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} I(X_i + X_j > 0) + P_n.$$

Note that  $P_n$  is bounded by  $n$ . Upon normalizing by  $n$  choose 2:

$$\binom{n}{2}^{-1} W_n = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} I(X_i + X_j > 0) + \binom{n}{2}^{-1} P_n = U_n + \mathcal{O}_p(n^{-1}).$$





## 1.6 Multi-sample Extension

**Definition 1.1.3.** Suppose  $(X_i)_{i=1}^{n_1}$  and  $(Y_i)_{i=1}^{n_2}$  are random samples, and  $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a kernel function ( $m = m_1 + m_2$ ), symmetric within groups of arguments, and:

$$\theta \equiv E\{h(X_1, \dots, X_{m_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_2})\}.$$

The two-sample **U-statistic** ( $n = n_1 + n_2$ ) takes the form:

$$U_n(h) = \frac{1}{\binom{n_1}{m_1} \binom{n_2}{m_2}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{m_1} \leq n_1} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{m_2} \leq n_2} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_{m_1}}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_{m_2}}). \quad (1.1.5)$$

Extensions to addition samples are analogous. ■

**Definition 1.1.4.** Consider the multi-sample  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.5). Define  $\zeta_{kl}$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{kl} = \text{Cov}\{ & h(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{m_1}; \mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_l, Y_{l+1}, \dots, Y_{m_2}), \\ & h(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k, \tilde{X}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{X}_{m_1}; \mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_l, \tilde{Y}_{l+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_{m_2}) \} \end{aligned}$$

Here  $(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k)$  and  $(\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_l)$  are in common, while  $(X_{k+1}, \dots, X_{m_1})$  are distinct from  $(\tilde{X}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{X}_{m_1})$ , and  $(Y_{l+1}, \dots, Y_{m_2})$  are distinct from  $(\tilde{Y}_{l+1}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_{m_2})$ . ■

**Theorem 1.1.4 (Finite Sample Variance).** The finite sample variance of the  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.5) is:

$$\text{Var}\{U_n(h)\} = \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_2} \frac{\binom{m_1}{k} \binom{n_1-m_1}{m_1-k}}{\binom{n_1}{m_1}} \frac{\binom{m_2}{l} \binom{n_2-m_2}{m_2-l}}{\binom{n_2}{m_2}} \zeta_{kl}. \quad (1.1.6)$$

□

**Theorem 1.1.5 (Limiting Variance).** Consider the  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.5). Suppose  $(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty$  in such a way that:

$$\lim_{(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} = \rho, \quad \lim_{(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} = 1 - \rho,$$

and let  $n = n_1 + n_2$ . If  $0 < \zeta_{10}, \zeta_{01} \leq \zeta_{m_1 m_2} < \infty$ , then:

$$\sigma^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}\{\sqrt{n}(U_n - \theta)\} = \frac{m_1^2}{\rho} \zeta_{10} + \frac{m_2^2}{1 - \rho} \zeta_{01}. \quad (1.1.7)$$

□

**Remark 1.1.3.** See Lehmann (1999), theorem 6.1.3. ◆

**Theorem 1.1.6 (Asymptotic Normality).** Consider the multi-sample  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.5).

i. If  $0 < \zeta_{10}, \zeta_{01} < \infty$ , then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{U}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where  $\sigma^2$  is the limiting variance in (1.1.7).

ii. If  $0 < \zeta_{10}, \zeta_{01} \leq \zeta_{m_1 m_2} < \infty$ , then:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{U}_n - \theta)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_n)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1),$$

where  $\text{Var}(U_n)$  is the finite sample variance in (1.1.6).

□

**Remark 1.1.4.** See Lehmann (1999), theorem 6.1.4.

◆

## 1.7 Mann-Whitney Statistic

**Example 1.1.3.** Suppose  $(X_i) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_X$  and  $(Y_j) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_Y$ . Consider the parameter  $\theta = P(X \leq Y)$ . The corresponding  $U$ -statistic is:

$$U_n = \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} I(X_i \leq Y_j).$$

Identify  $h(X, Y) = I(X \leq Y)$  as the kernel. The first projections of  $h$  are:

$$h_{10}(x) = E\{h(X, Y)|X = x\} = P(x \leq Y) = 1 - F_Y(x)$$

$$h_{01}(y) = E\{h(X, Y)|Y = y\} = P(X \leq y) = F_X(y).$$

Suppose that, under  $H_0$ ,  $F_X = F_Y = F_0$ , with  $F_0$  continuous, then by the probability integral theorem  $h_{10}(X) = 1 - F_0(X) \sim U(0, 1)$  and  $h_{01}(Y) = F_0(Y) \sim U(0, 1)$ .

Now, under  $H_0$ ,

$$\theta = E\{I(X \leq Y)\} = E\{F_0(Y)\} = E\{U(0, 1)\} = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\zeta_{10} = \text{Var}\{h_{10}(X)\} = \text{Var}\{U(0, 1)\} = \frac{1}{12},$$

$$\zeta_{01} = \text{Var}\{h_{01}(Y)\} = \text{Var}\{U(0, 1)\} = \frac{1}{12}.$$

Therefore, under  $H_0$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} \left( U_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{1-\rho} \right) \right\},$$

where  $n = n_1 + n_2$ , and  $\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n_1/n$ .

♠

## 1.8 Joint Distribution

**Theorem 1.1.7 (Joint Asymptotic Normality).** Suppose  $(Y_i)_{i=1}^n$  is a random sample, and consider two distinct, one-sample  $U$ -statistics  $(U_n^{(1)}, U_n^{(2)})$  of the form (1.1.1). Let  $\theta_k = E(U_n^{(k)})$ , and define:

$$\gamma_k = \text{Cov}\{h^{(1)}(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_{m_1}), \\ h^{(2)}(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_{m_2})\},$$

where  $h^{(k)}$  is the *kernel* of  $U_n^{(k)}$ . If

$$0 < \text{Var}\{h^{(1)}(Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_1})\} < \infty, \quad 0 < \text{Var}\{h^{(2)}(Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_2})\} < \infty,$$

then:

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} U_n^{(1)} - \theta_1 \\ U_n^{(2)} - \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 \zeta_1^{(1)} & m_1 m_2 \gamma_1 \\ m_1 m_2 \gamma_1 & m_2^2 \zeta_1^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where  $\zeta_1^{(1)} = \text{Var}\{h_1^{(1)}(Y_1)\}$  and  $\zeta_2^{(2)} = \text{Var}\{h_1^{(2)}(Y_1)\}$ . □

**Remark 1.1.5.** See Lehmann (1999), theorem 6.1.6. ◆

**Example 1.1.4.** Suppose  $(Y_i) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_Y$ . Consider the joint asymptotic distribution of the sample mean and variance:

$$U_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \quad U_n^{(2)} = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{2} (Y_i - Y_j)^2.$$

For the mean sample mean  $h_1^{(1)}(Y_1) = h^{(1)}(Y_1) = Y_1$ , hence:

$$\theta_1 = E\{h_1^{(1)}(Y_1)\} = E\{Y_1\} = \mu, \\ \zeta_1^{(1)} = \text{Var}\{h_1^{(1)}(Y_1)\} = \text{Var}(Y_1) = \sigma^2.$$

For the variance, the first projection is:

$$h_1^{(2)}(y) = E\{h^{(2)}(Y_1, Y_2) | Y_1 = y\} = \frac{1}{2} E\{(y - Y_2)^2\} = \frac{1}{2} E\{(Y_2 - \mu + \mu - y)^2\} \\ = \frac{1}{2} E\{(Y_2 - \mu)^2\} + \frac{1}{2} (y - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} (y - \mu)^2.$$

Thus:

$$\theta_2 = E\{h_1^{(2)}(Y_1)\} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} E\{(Y_1 - \mu)^2\} = \sigma^2, \\ \zeta_1^{(2)} = \text{Var}\{h_1^{(2)}(Y_1)\} = \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}\{(Y_1 - \mu)^2\} = \frac{1}{4} (\mu_4 - \sigma^4),$$

where  $\mu_4 = E\{(Y_1 - \mu)^4\}$ .

The covariance term is:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= \text{Cov}\{h^{(1)}(Y_1), h^{(2)}(Y_1, Y_2)\} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Cov}\{Y_1, (Y_1 - Y_2)^2\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\text{Cov}\{(Y_1 - \mu), (Y_1 - \mu + \mu - Y_2)^2\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\text{Cov}\{(Y_1 - \mu), (Y_1 - \mu)^2 + (Y_2 - \mu)^2 - 2(Y_1 - \mu)(Y_2 - \mu)\}\end{aligned}$$

By independence of  $Y_1$  from  $Y_2$ :

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\text{Cov}\{(Y_1 - \mu), (Y_1 - \mu)^2\} - \text{Cov}\{(Y_1 - \mu), (Y_1 - \mu)(Y_2 - \mu)\} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_3 - 0,$$

where  $\mu_3 = E\{(Y_1 - \mu)^3\}$ .

Overall, noting that  $m_1 = 1$  and  $m_2 = 2$ , the joint asymptotic distribution of the sample mean and covariance is:

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} U_n^{(1)} - \mu \\ U_n^{(2)} - \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \mu_3 \\ \mu_3 & \mu_4 - \sigma^4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

This result may be confirmed using the  $M$ -estimation framework. ♠

## Projection

### 2.1 Projections of Random Variables

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  denote the linear space of random variables with finite second moments, and let  $\mathcal{U}$  denote a closed linear subspace. The projection of  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  onto  $\mathcal{U}$  is the random variable  $\hat{U} = \Pi(V|\mathcal{U})$  satisfying:

- i.  $\hat{U} \in \mathcal{U}$ ,
- ii.  $E\{(V - \hat{U})U\} = 0$  for  $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

■

**Proposition 1.2.1.** Suppose  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $\hat{U} = \Pi(V|\mathcal{U})$  is the closest element in  $\mathcal{U}$  to  $V$  in the sense that:

$$E(\hat{U} - V)^2 \leq E(U - V)^2 \text{ for } \forall U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Moreover, the inequality is strict unless  $E(U - \hat{U})^2 = 0$ . ♦

**Proof.**

$$E(U - V)^2 = E(U - \hat{U} + \hat{U} - V)^2 = E(U - \hat{U})^2 + E(\hat{U} - V)^2 + 2E\{(U - \hat{U})(\hat{U} - V)\}.$$

Since  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\hat{U} \in \mathcal{U}$ , the difference  $(U - \hat{U}) \in \mathcal{U}^\perp$ , thus:

$$E\{(U - \hat{U})(\hat{U} - V)\} = 0.$$

Thus,  $E(U - V)^2 \geq E(\hat{U} - V)^2$ , equality holding if and only if  $E(U - \hat{U})^2 = 0$ . ■

**Proposition 1.2.2.** Suppose the closed, linear subspace  $\mathcal{U}$  contains the constant random variable, then:

- i.  $E(V) = E(\hat{U})$ ,
- ii.  $\text{Cov}(V - \hat{U}, U) = 0$  for  $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- iii.  $\text{Cov}(V, \hat{U}) = \text{Var}(\hat{U})$ .

◆

**Proof.** (i.) If  $\mathcal{U}$  contains the constant random variable  $U = 1$ , then the orthogonality condition implies  $E\{(V - \hat{U})1\} = E(V - \hat{U}) = 0$ , so  $E(V) = E(\hat{U})$ .

(ii.)

$$\text{Cov}(V - \hat{U}, U) = E\{(V - \hat{U})U\} - E(V - \hat{U})E(U) = 0.$$

(iii.) Since  $(V - \hat{U})$  is orthogonal to  $\hat{U}$ :

$$E\{(V - \hat{U})\hat{U}\} = 0 \implies E(V\hat{U}) = E(\hat{U}^2).$$

The covariance of  $V$  and  $\hat{U}$  is:

$$\text{Cov}(V, \hat{U}) = E(V\hat{U}) - E(V)E(\hat{U}) = E(\hat{U}^2) - E^2(\hat{U}) = \text{Var}(\hat{U}).$$

■

**Proposition 1.2.3.** Suppose  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is a sequence of closed linear subspaces, each containing the constant random variable. Let denote  $V_n$  is a sequence of random variables with  $\hat{U}_n = \Pi(V_n|\mathcal{U})$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)/\text{Var}(V_n) = 1$ , then:

$$\frac{V_n - E(V_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(V_n)}} = \frac{\hat{U}_n - E(\hat{U}_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)}} + o_p(1). \quad (1.2.8)$$

◆

**Proof.** Recall that convergence in mean square implies convergence in probability. The variance of the difference is:

$$\text{Var} \left\{ \frac{V_n - E(V_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_n)}} - \frac{\hat{U}_n - E(\hat{U}_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)}} \right\} = 1 + 1 - 2 \frac{\text{Cov}(V_n, \hat{U}_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(V_n)\text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)}}$$

Since  $\text{Cov}(V_n, \hat{U}_n) = \text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} \left\{ \frac{V_n - E(V_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(U_n)}} - \frac{\hat{U}_n - E(\hat{U}_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)}} \right\} &= 2 - 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(V_n)\text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)}} \\ &= 2 - 2 \sqrt{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)}{\text{Var}(V_n)}} = 2 - 2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the difference:

$$\frac{V_n - E(V_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(V_n)}} - \frac{\hat{U}_n - E(\hat{U}_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{U}_n)}} \xrightarrow{L^2} 0.$$

■

## 2.2 Projection onto Sums

**Theorem 1.2.1.** Suppose  $(Y_i)$  are independent. Consider the class  $\mathcal{S}$  of random variables of the form:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(Y_i),$$

for measurable functions  $g_i(\cdot)$  with finite second moments  $E\{g_i^2(Y_i)\}$ . The **Hájek projection** of  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  onto this class is given by:

$$\hat{S} = \Pi(V|\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{E(V|Y_i)\} - (n-1)E(V).$$

□

**Proof.** Towards finding  $E(\hat{S}|Y_j)$ , consider the expectation of the random variable  $E(V|Y_i)$  given  $Y_j$ . For  $i = j$ :

$$E\{E(V|Y_i)|Y_j\} = E(V|Y_i).$$

By independence, for  $i \neq j$ :

$$E\{E(V|Y_i)|Y_j\} = E\{E(V|Y_i)\} = E(V).$$

Thus the expectation of the Hajek projection  $\hat{S}$  given  $Y_j$  is:

$$E(\hat{S}|Y_j) = E(V|Y_j) + \sum_{i \neq j} E\{E(V|Y_i)|Y_j\} - (n-1)E(V) = E(V|Y_j).$$

For each  $j$ , the residual  $(V - \hat{S})$  is orthogonal to  $g_j(Y_j)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E\{(V - \hat{S})g_j(Y_j)\} &= E\left[E\{(V - \hat{S})g_j(Y_j)|Y_j\}\right] \\ &= E\left[\{E(V|Y_j) - E(\hat{S}|Y_j)\}g_j(Y_j)\right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(V - \hat{S})$  is orthogonal to random variables of the form  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(Y_i)$ , i.e. to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Finally, since  $\hat{S} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $(V - \hat{S})$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{S}$ , conclude that  $\hat{S}$  is the projection of  $V$  onto  $\mathcal{S}$ . ■

**Proposition 1.2.4.** The Hajek projection of the  $U$ -statistic in (1.1.1) is:

$$\hat{S} - \theta = \frac{m}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{h_1(Y_i) - \theta\}. \quad (1.2.9)$$

◆

**Proof.** Recall that the  $U$ -statistic takes the form:

$$U_n(h) = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m}).$$

Taking the expectation conditional on  $Y_i$ :

$$E(U_n|Y_i = y) = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} E\{h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m})|Y_i = y\}$$

Consider cases. If  $i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ , then:

$$E\{h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m})|Y_i = y\} = h_1(y),$$

whereas if  $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ , then:

$$E\{h(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_m})|Y_i = y\} = \theta.$$

There are  $\binom{n-1}{m-1}$  ways to choose  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  so as to include  $i$ , and  $\binom{n-1}{m}$  to choose  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  so as to exclude  $i$ . Thus:

$$E(U_n|Y_i = y) = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \left\{ \binom{n-1}{m-1} h_1(y) + \binom{n-1}{m} \theta \right\} = \frac{m}{n} h_1(y) + \frac{n-m}{n} \theta.$$

The Hajek projection is:

$$\hat{S} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{m}{n} h_1(y) + \frac{n-m}{n} \theta \right\} - (n-1)\theta = \frac{m}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{h_1(Y_i) - \theta\} + n\theta - (n-1)\theta.$$

■