

Laboratory Protocol

# **Characterization of Protein Stability, Catalysis and Aggregation**

**Molecular Biology and Biochemistry of Life**  
Winter Semester 2023-24

**Authors**

Masine Janet Tränkner  
Syed Alisamar Husain

**Supervisor**

Dr. Titus M. Franzmann

## Contents

1	Temperature Induced Unfolding Transitions	2
2	Lysozyme Aggregation Assay	4
3	Michaelis-Menten Kinetics	5
4	UV/VIS Spectroscopy of NADH	7

## List of Figures

1	<i>Lysozyme Fluorescence at wavelengths (a) 350nm and (b) 330nm</i>	2
2	<i>BSA Fluorescence at wavelengths (a) 350nm and (b) 330nm</i>	2
3	<i>Ratio of Fluorescence at 350nm to 330nm for (a) Lysozyme and (b) BSA</i>	3
4	<i>Transition midpoints relative to concentration for Lysozyme and BSA</i>	3
5	<i>Scattering recorded as a function of time.</i>	4
6	<i>Absorbance as a function of time for different concentrations of Pyruvate</i>	5
7	<i>Michaelis-Menten plot and Lineweaver-Burk plot</i>	6
8	<i>Absorbance spectrum of NADH for different concentrations</i>	7
9	<i>Absorbance at 340nm vs. concentration</i>	7
10	<i>Predicted Absorbance at 340nm</i>	8

## Author Information

Masine Janet Tränkner

MSc. Computational Modelling and Simulation

Matriculation Number — 5186813

*masine\_janet.traenkner@mailbox.tu-dresden.de*

Syed Alisamar Husain

MSc. Computational Modelling and Simulation

Matriculation Number — 5198172

*syed\_alisamar.husain@mailbox.tu-dresden.de*

# 1 Temperature Induced Unfolding Transitions

The goal in this experiment is to determine the stability of the proteins Lysozyme and BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin) at different concentrations, 2.5, 5 and 10  $\mu\text{M}$ , by inducing unfolding transitions through temperature changes. We detect unfolding using Fluorescence spectroscopy by measuring emission at two wavelengths, 330nm and 350nm, and we expect to see a sharp increase in the ratio of these emissions when the protein unfolds.

## Measurements

The temperature was increased from 25 °C to 95 °C, at a rate of 2 °C per minute, and corresponding fluorescence at wavelengths 350nm and 330nm was measured and is plotted in Figure 1 (a) and (b) for Lysozyme, and in Figure 2 (a) and (b) for BSA, respectively.

### • Lysozyme — Fluorescence vs. Temperature




Figure 1: *Lysozyme Fluorescence at wavelengths (a) 350nm and (b) 330nm*

### • Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) — Fluorescence vs. Temperature




Figure 2: *BSA Fluorescence at wavelengths (a) 350nm and (b) 330nm*

## Analysis

The Fluorescence Ratio graph (Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b)) was used to approximate the transition midpoint. Stability in the folded state arises from various interactions within the polypeptide. However, upon surpassing a threshold temperature, the polypeptide transitions to an unstable state. Consequently, as temperature increases, the population of unfolded molecules also rises. This process behaves differently for the two proteins.

For Lysozyme, a low ratio can be observed at the beginning, which indicates that the folded state predominates. With increasing temperature, this confirmation changes up to a threshold temperature and the transition of the molecules into the unfolded state, and accordingly the ratio also increases.




Figure 3: Ratio of Fluorescence at 350nm to 330nm for (a) Lysozyme and (b) BSA

The opposite can be observed for BSA. Here, the ratio is already high at the start of the process and falls down to a certain threshold temperature. From this point, the ratio increases for all concentrations and thus also the amount of proteins in the unfolded state.




Figure 4: Transition midpoints relative to concentration for Lysozyme and BSA

The transition midpoints relative to concentration are plotted in Figure 4. The overall stability of the folded proteins increases with protein concentration.

Sample	2.5 $\mu M$	5 $\mu M$	10 $\mu M$
Lysozyme	64.21 °C	70.19 °C	70.66 °C
BSA	66.85 °C	68.24 °C	71.83 °C

Table 1: Transition Midpoints vs. Concentration

The transition temperature for BSA appears to increase linearly with the concentration. The transition temperature for Lysozyme increases drastically initially until 5  $\mu M$ . After this point, the midpoint appears to increase only slightly with concentration.

## 2 Lysozyme Aggregation Assay

In this experiment, aggregation of Lysozyme was studied to understand protein aggregation, where unfolded polypeptide chains of the proteins Lysozyme and Hsp26 associate irreversibly, leading to the formation of large particles that scatter light.

### Measurements

Detecting the actual scattering of light is a challenging task that requires more equipment. Instead, we measure absorbance as a proxy for scattering using a pulsed spectrometer. We choose a wavelength which we know is not absorbed by anything in the mixture and we use that fact to say all of the intensity reduction is because of scattered light. As protein aggregation increases, more light is scattered by the sample.




Figure 5: *Scattering recorded as a function of time.*

### Analysis

At low concentrations of Hsp26 (samples 2 and 3 in Figure 5), Lysozyme aggregation is not effectively inhibited. Thus the presence of TCEP in the solution increases scattering. But it can also be seen that a higher concentration of Hsp26 in sample 3 delays the aggregation.

However, when the concentration of Hsp26 matches that of Lysozyme in sample 4, aggregation is prevented resulting in lower absorbance. The control samples 5 and 6 lacking Lysozyme and denaturant exhibit no absorbance change at 400nm. Only Samples 2 and 3 allow for the determination of an apparent halftime, which are  $T_{50\%}^{S2} = 1200.30s$  and  $T_{50\%}^{S3} = 2422.34s$  respectively, as their curves display a change of conformation. Doubling the concentration appears to double the halftime, but this is not conclusive from just two samples.

### 3 Michaelis-Menten Kinetics

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) catalyzes the reaction conversion of Pyruvate into Lactate. In order to measure its activity the fluorescence of NADH, the cofactor of LDH, is measured under 340nm light. As NADH is converted to  $\text{NAD}^+$ , this fluorescence reduces and reaches a steady state when the reaction reaches equilibrium. We measure how fast this happens to determine the rate of reaction and find the Michaelis-Menten constants.

#### Measurements

We measure the absorbance of 340nm light through a sample of the reaction mixture over time and plot this in Figure 6. The slopes of these lines are directly proportional to the rates of reaction for each sample.




Figure 6: Absorbance as a function of time for different concentrations of Pyruvate

#### Analysis

The Michaelis-Menten plot (Fig. 7(a)) and the Lineweaver-Burk plot (Fig. 7(b)) can be plotted from reaction rates derived from change of absorbance over time, relative to the concentration of substrate. It is evident that for low substrate concentrations, the rate of the reaction increases linearly with increasing substrate concentration. As the substrate concentration increases, the rate of the reaction eventually reaches a maximum value ( $v_{max}$ ). In this region, the active sites of the enzyme are largely occupied by the substrate, and the rate becomes independent of further increases in substrate concentration.

To find the maximum rate of reaction ( $v_{max}$ ) and the Michaelis-Menten constant ( $K_m$ ), we fit a linear model to the data, the model estimates be an approximation of these constants.

The Michaelis-Menten equation  $\frac{1}{V} = \frac{K_m}{v_{max}} \frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{1}{v_{max}}$  is of the form  $y = \beta_1 x + \beta_0$ .

Thus we have,  $v_{max} = \frac{1}{\beta_0}$  and  $K_m = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0}$




Figure 7: Michaelis-Menten plot and Lineweaver-Burk plot

From the linear model estimates we get  $v_{max} = 1.8649 \mu M/s$  and for  $K_m = 194.19 \mu M$ . From the extrapolating plot in figure 6, the initial rate of reaction  $v_0$  is close to  $2 \mu M/s$ .

We mark the value  $v_{max}/2$  on the Michaelis-Menten plot (Fig. 7(a)), which can also give us the value of  $K_m$  graphically. In the Lineweaver-Burk plot (Fig. 7(b)) the slope of the fitted line indicates the ratio  $\frac{K_m}{v_{max}}$ .

To find the change in NADH concentration for each sample, we can use the absorbance formula of Lambert-Beer,  $A = \epsilon dC$ , we have,

$$\Delta A = \epsilon d \Delta C$$

Thus,  $\Delta C = \frac{\Delta A}{\epsilon d}$ , where  $\epsilon = 6220 M^{-1} cm^{-1}$  and  $d = 1 cm$ .

Change in NADH concentration is calculated from the change in absorbance.

[Pyruvate] ( $\mu M$ )	$\Delta A$ (A.U.)	$\Delta[NADH]$ ( $\mu M$ )
5000	0.7425	119.3729
2500	0.7365	118.4083
1250	0.6441	103.5530
625	0.6013	96.67202
313	0.4660	74.91961
156	0.3884	62.44372
78	0.2286	36.75241
39	0.1179	18.95498
20	0.0623	10.01607
10	0.0311	4.999999
5	0.0173	2.781350
2	0.0076	1.221864
1	0.0036	0.578778

## 4 UV/VIS Spectroscopy of NADH

The NADH (Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide reduced) UV-Visible spectrum has two absorption maxima at 208nm, 260 nm and 340 nm. We measure the absorbance UV/VIS spectroscopy at different concentrations and thus try to determine the concentration of the original stock of NADH using the Lambert-Beer law. It is expected that the absorbance increases with increasing NADH concentration.

### Measurements




Figure 8: Absorbance spectrum of NADH for different concentrations


### Analysis

We expected to see an increase in the absorbance with increase in concentrations and to see a straight line in the plot between samples of unknown concentrations and absorbance.

However, according to Figure 9, the absorbance increases from sample 1 to 3, then increases for the samples 4 and 5 and again increases for sample 6, without exceeding the highest absorbance of sample 2.

The experiment was repeated with different equipment, different pipette and different person preparing the samples, to eliminate causes of error. But the data obtained was still not as expected.

Figure 9: Absorbance at 340nm vs. concentration




Our best reasoning for the cause of this discrepancy is that while washing the cuvette used in the spectrometer between samples, there were droplets of water left in the slot which caused dilution of the sample. Another possibility is that due to the time taken to prepare samples, the ambient temperature caused degradation of NADH.



We have tried to rectify this situation by using the points that are increasing with concentration as expected to fit a linear regression model, and predict those absorbance values that *should* have been observed for those concentrations where this value is not increasing as expected.

Figure 10: Predicted Absorbance at 340nm



The accepted and predicted values of absorbance are listed in this table. The predicted absorbance values are written in *italics*.

Sample	Absorbance (A.U.)	Source
1	0.001	Run 2
2	0.201	Run 2
3	<i>0.410</i>	*
4	<i>0.605</i>	*
5	<i>0.801</i>	*
6	0.986	Run 1

\* Linear Model based on selected points.

Now by using the Lambert-Beer law  $A = \varepsilon dC$  and using the formula  $C_p V_p = C_s V_s$ , we can find the initial stock concentration of NADH.

$$C = \frac{A}{\varepsilon d} \mu M \text{ and } C_s = \frac{C_p V_p}{V_s}$$

$$\text{Thus } C_s = \frac{AV_p}{\varepsilon d V_s} \text{ where,}$$

$$V_s = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5] \mu L \quad V_p = 1000 \mu L, \\ \varepsilon = 6220 M^{-1} cm^{-1} \quad d = 1 \text{ cm.}$$

Using this formation for all the samples we get mean stock concentration of **32.328 mM**. The calculated concentration for each sample is listed in the table below.

Sample	$V_s (\mu L)$	A (A.U.)	$C_s (mM)$
1	0	0.001	<i>Inf</i>
2	1	0.201	32.315
3	2	0.410	32.993
4	3	0.605	32.449
5	4	0.801	32.177
6	5	0.986	31.704