Pointer level analysis

Shuchang Zhou 2009-5-5

Motivation

- Proving fields of a union in fact not interfering each other
- Vpr's

```
struct s_heap {
...
{int prev_node;
struct s_heap *next;} u; ...
};
```

When will they interfere?

Suppose

```
o t.u.prev_node = 3;
```

```
\circ p = t.u.next;
```

When will they interfere?

Suppose

```
o t.u.prev_node = 3;
```

- \circ p = t.u.next;
- One field is written when the other field is alive.

Liveness analysis?



Liveness analysis?

- Very difficult as heap data is involved
- Require full fledged tools. May employ decision procedure that have exponential time or even no guarantee to terminate.

- Observe they are of different types.
 - {int prev node;
 - o struct s_heap *next;}
- Assume the original program is type safe. Then they cannot interfere without explicit type casts.

- Observe they are of different types.
 - {int prev node;
 - o struct s_heap *next;}
- Assume the original program is type safe. Then they cannot interfere without explicit type

Very strong assumption!

- Many common idioms in C violates type-safety
- char* p = (char*) malloc (...
- int i = 352;
- char c = i; // to get the lower 8-bit.

- Observe they are of diff
 - o {int prev_node;
 - o struct s heap *next;}
- Assume the original program is type safe. Then they cannot interfere without explicit type casts.

Different pointer level

- Don't trust original types in the source, analyze by our own.
- Only care about "ranks", i.e., the pointer levels. Intuitively:

- Don't trust original types in the source, analyze by our own.
- Only care about "ranks", i.e., the pointer levels. Intuitively:
 - a[i] = 3; // "i" is of rank 0 as it is used as an index.
 - Int a[20]; // "a" is of rank 1
 - o b = c ; // "b" has the same rank as "c"

- Don't trust original types in the source analyze by our owr But we don't
- Only care about want to trust levels. Intuitively: original types!
 - a[i] = 3; // "i" is of rapido as an index.
 - Int a[20]; // "a" is of rank 1
 - o b = c ; // "b" has the same rank as "c"

• Rules:

```
\circ a=b => R(a) = R(b)
```

- o a.prev_node = b => R(prev_node) = R(b)
- o seeing a[i] => R(i) = 0
- a->u.prev_node =>
 "a" is of type struct s heap *

• Rules:

- \circ a=b => R(a) = R(b)
- o a.prev_node = b =>
- o seeing a[i] => [
- o a->u.prev_node =>

"a" is of type struct s_heap

We guarantee
that we don't
confuse
prev_node field
from different
struct/unions

- We get a bunch of equations.
 - \circ R(a) = R(b)
 - \circ R(i) = 0
 - R(s_heap.u.prev_node) = R (a)

We get a bupd

 \circ R(a) = R(b)

 \circ R(i) = 0

∘ R(s_heap.u.prev_nose) Lver(a)

Requires a simple

The solver of equations

```
type elem =
    | EVarinfo of varinfo
    | EField of fieldinfo

type 'a equation=
    | Equal of 'a * 'a *int
    | Val of 'a * int
```

Elim an variable each pass

The solver of equations

For variables

```
type elem =
| EVarinfo of varinfo
| EField of fieldinfo
```

For fields

```
type 'a equation=
| Equal of 'a * 'a *int
| Val of 'a * int
```

Elim an variable each pass

The solver of equations

```
type elem =
    EVarinfo of varinfo
    EField of fieldinfo
                             R(a) = R(b) + n
type 'a equation=
     Equal of 'a * 'a *int
    Val of 'a * int
                                 R(a) = n

    Elim an variable each pass
```

The solver of equations

```
(*post: occurrences of s is elim'ed *)
let applyVal s i e = match e with
  | Val(s',i') ->
    if (neq s' s || i=i') then return e else
        raise Inconsistent
  | Equal(s',s2',i') ->
    if eq s' s then return (Val(s2',i-i')) else
        if eq s2' s then return (Val(s',i'+i)) else
        return e
```

Introduce

The solver of equations

```
R(s) = i
```

```
(*post: occurrences of s is elim'ed *)
let applyVal s i e = match e with
  | Val(s',i') ->
    if (neq s' s || i=i') then return e else
        raise Inconsistent
  | Equal(s',s2',i') ->
    if eq s' s then return (Val(s2',i-i')) else
        if eq s2' s then return (Val(s',i'+i)) else
        return e
```

The solver of equations

Introduce

```
(*pre: e is processed by simp R(s) = R(s2) + i
(*post: occurrences of s is elim'ed ^)
let applyEq s s2 i e = match e with
  | Val(s',i') ->
    if eq s s' then return (Val(s2,i'-i)) else return e
  | Equal(s',s2',i') ->
    if eq s s' then simp (Equal(s2,s2',i'-i)) else
    if eq s s2' then simp (Equal(s',s2,i+i')) else
    return e
```

 If no Inconsistent exception is raised, then we prove type safety with respect to pointer level.

- If no Inconsistent exception is raised, then we prove type safety with respect to pointer level.
- Under type safety just proved, when no two fields of a union has the same rank, then no two fields can interfere.

- If no Inconsistent exception is raised, then we prove type safety with respect to pointer level.
- Under type safety just proved, when no two fields of a union has the same rank, then

Then this union can be transformed into a struct!

Character of the analysis

- Flow-insesitive
 - May be conservative
- Elimination-based
 - Faster than iteration-based as equations are simple
- Partition of pointers.
 - Oserve as first step for pointer analysis?

Restriction

 Unable to analyze "magic number" pointers.

```
 int i = 0xffffff32; char j = *((char*) i);
```

Questions?

• Thank you!

- Under type safety just proved, when no two fields of a union has the same rank, then no two fields can interfere. Then this union can be transformed into a struct.
- We limit us to transform only locally defined unions.