
Machine Learning, 2024 Spring

Assignment 5

Name: Zhou Shouchen

Student ID: 2021533042

Notice

Plagiarizer will get 0 points.
 \LaTeX is highly recommended. Otherwise you should write as legibly as possible.

Problem 1

Which of the following are possible growth functions $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ for some hypothesis set:

$$1 + N; 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}; 2^N; 2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}; 2^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}; 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6}$$

Solution

1. $1 + N$:

Since $1 + 1 = 2^1$, and $1 + 2 < 2^2$, so $k = 2$ is the breakpoint.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^1 \binom{N}{i} = 1 + N$$

Since

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$$

So $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N$ is a possible growth function.

2. $1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$:

Since $1 + 2 + \frac{2 * 1}{2} = 2^2$, and $1 + 3 + \frac{3 * 2}{2} < 2^3$, so $k = 3$ is the breakpoint.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{N}{i} = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$$

So

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$$

So $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ is a possible growth function.

3. 2^N :

$\forall k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N$$

So the breakpoint is $k = \infty$.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i} = 2^N$$

So

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$$

So $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N$ is a possible growth function.

4. $2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}$:

Since $2^{\lfloor \sqrt{1} \rfloor} = 2^1$ and $2^{\lfloor \sqrt{2} \rfloor} < 2^2$, so $k = 2$ is the breakpoint.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^1 \binom{N}{i} = 1 + N$$

But $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}$ is close to a exponential function, and the $1 + N$ is polynomial function, so it must has a N , such as $N = 25$:

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 32 > 1 + N = 26$$

So $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}$ is not a possible growth function.

5. $2^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}$:

Since $2^{\lfloor \frac{0}{2} \rfloor} = 2^0$ and $2^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} = 1 < 2^1$, so $k = 1$ is the breakpoint.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^0 \binom{N}{i} = 1$$

But $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}$ is an increasing function, and the 1 is a constant function, so $\forall N \geq 2$

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} > 1$$

So $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}$ is not a possible growth function.

6. $1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6}$:

Since $1 + 1 + \frac{1(1-1)(1-2)}{6} = 2^1$ and $1 + 2 + \frac{2(2-1)(2-2)}{6} = 3 < 2^2$, so $k = 2$ is the breakpoint.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i} = \sum_{i=2}^0 \binom{N}{i} = 1 + N$$

But when $\frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6} \neq 0$, i.e. $N \geq 3$, we have

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6} > 1 + N$$

So $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6}$ is not a possible growth function.

So above all, the possible growth functions $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ are

$$1 + N; 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2}; 2^N$$

And the followings are not possible growth functions.

$$2^{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}; 2^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}; 1 + N + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6}$$

Problem 2

For an \mathcal{H} with $d_{\text{vc}} = 10$, what sample size do you need (as prescribed by the generalization bound) to have a 95% confidence that your generalization error is at most 0.05 ?

Solution

From the VC-inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |E_{\text{in}}(h) - E_{\text{out}}(h)| > \epsilon \right] \leq 4m_{\mathcal{H}}(2N) e^{-\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^2 N}$$

i.e.

$$E_{\text{generalization}}(h) = |E_{\text{out}}(h) - E_{\text{in}}(h)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{8}{N} \ln \left(\frac{4((2N)^{d_{\text{vc}}} + 1)}{\delta} \right)}$$

where d_{vc} is the VC-dimension of \mathcal{H} , and δ is the confidence level.

Since we want the confidence to be 95%, so $\delta = 0.05$.

So we want to find when $d_{\text{vc}} = 10, \delta = 0.05$, what is the minimum sample size N such that $E_{\text{generalization}}(h) \leq 0.05$.

Which is hard to solve analytically, so we can use the following python code to find the minimum sample size N .

p2.py

```
1 from math import sqrt, log
2
3 def general_error(N, dvc=10, delta=0.05):
4     return sqrt(8 / N * log(4 * ((2 * N) ** dvc + 1) / delta))
5
6 left, right = int(1), int(1e8)
7 ans = 1
8
9 while left <= right:
10     mid = (left + right) // 2
11     if general_error(mid) <= 0.05:
12         ans = mid
13         right = mid - 1
14     else:
15         left = mid + 1
16
17 print('The minimum sample size is N = ', ans)
18 print(f'N = {ans - 1}, generalization error = {general_error(ans - 1)}')
19 print(f'N = {ans}, generalization error = {general_error(ans)}')
```

With the code, we can get that:

$$N = 452956, E_{\text{generalization}}(h) = 0.05000004435489733 > 0.05$$

$$N = 452957, E_{\text{generalization}}(h) = 0.04999999306115058 < 0.05$$

The minimum sample size is $N = 452957$.

So above all, the sample size N should be at least 452957 to have a 95% confidence that the generalization error is at most 0.05.

Problem 3

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_M\}$ with some finite M . Prove that $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \log_2 M$.

Solution

Since there are totally M hypothesis in \mathcal{H} , so we can generate M results with these M hypothesis. And since $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H})$ means that for $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H})$ groups of data, the hypothesis \mathcal{H} can separate all $2^{d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H})}$ cases.

Since the maximum number of cases that can be separated by the hypothesis \mathcal{H} is M , so we have

$$2^{d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H})} \leq M$$

i.e.

$$d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \log_2 M$$

So above all, we have proved that $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \log_2 M$.

Problem 4

Let $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_K$ be K hypothesis sets with finite VC dimension d_{vc} . Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_K$ be the union of these models. Show that $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) < K(d_{\text{vc}} + 1)$.

Solution

To be more general, suppose that $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_i) = d_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$.
And we can prove that

$$d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq K - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^K d_i$$

1. If $K = 1$, then

$$d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) = d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_1) = d_1 \leq 0 + d_1$$

2. If $K = 2$, then we need to prove that

$$d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) = d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2) \leq 1 + d_1 + d_2$$

We can prove this with contradiction.

If we assume that $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) = d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2) \geq d_1 + d_2 + 2$.

Then we have

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(d_1 + d_2 + 2) \geq 2^{d_1 + d_2 + 2}$$

But we also have:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mathcal{H}}(d_1 + d_2 + 2) &= m_{\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2}(d_1 + d_2 + 2) \\ &\leq m_{\mathcal{H}_1}(d_1 + d_2 + 2) + m_{\mathcal{H}_2}(d_1 + d_2 + 2) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{d_1} \binom{d_1 + d_2 + 2}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{d_2} \binom{d_1 + d_2 + 2}{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d_1} \binom{d_1 + d_2 + 2}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{d_2} \binom{d_1 + d_2 + 2}{d_1 + d_2 + 2 - i} \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d_1 + d_2 + 2} \binom{d_1 + d_2 + 2}{i} \right] - \binom{d_1 + d_2 + 2}{d_1 + 1} \\ &= 2^{d_1 + d_2 + 2} - \binom{d_1 + d_2 + 2}{d_1 + 1} \\ &< 2^{d_1 + d_2 + 2} \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we have $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2) \leq 1 + d_1 + d_2$.

3. Then we use induction to prove that $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq K - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^K d_i$.

Suppose that when $K = k$, we have $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_k) \leq k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k d_i$.

When $K = k + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) &= d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_{k+1}) \\ &= d_{\text{vc}}[(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_k) \cup \mathcal{H}_{k+1}] \\ &\leq 1 + d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_k) + d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_{k+1}) \\ &\leq 1 + \left(k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \right) + d_{k+1} \\ &= k + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i \end{aligned}$$

So we have proved that when $K = k + 1$,

$$d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq (k + 1) - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i$$

4. By induction, we can prove that $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq K - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^K d_i$.

Since we have $d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_i) = d_i = d_{\text{vc}}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, K$, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) &= d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_K) \\
 &\leq K - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^K d_i \\
 &= K - 1 + K d_{\text{vc}} \\
 &< K + K d_{\text{vc}} \\
 &= K(d_{\text{vc}} + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

So above all, we have proved that

$$d_{\text{vc}}(\mathcal{H}) < K(d_{\text{vc}} + 1)$$

Problem 5

In this part, you need to complete some mathematical proofs about VC dimension. Suppose the hypothesis set

$$\mathcal{H} = \{f(x, \alpha) = \text{sign}(\sin(\alpha x)) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

where x and f are feature and label, respectively.

- Show that \mathcal{H} cannot shatter the points $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 3, x_4 = 4$.

(Key: Mathematically, you need to show that there exists y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 , for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(x_i) \neq y_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, for example, $+1, +1, -1, +1$)

- Show that the VC dimension of \mathcal{H} is ∞ . (Note the difference between it and the first question)

(Key: Mathematically, you have to prove that for any label sets $y_1, \dots, y_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that $f(x; \alpha)$ can generate this set of labels. Consider the points $x_i = 10^{-i} \dots$)

Solution

(1) For the case $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 3, x_4 = 4$ with label $y_1 = +1, y_2 = +1, y_3 = -1, y_4 = +1$, we can prove that $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \exists i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, f(x_i) \neq y_i$.

- 1. For $x_1 = 1$
Since $y_1 = +1$, we have $f(x_1) = \text{sign}(\sin \alpha) = +1 \Rightarrow \sin \alpha > 0$
i.e. we have $\sin \alpha > 0$.
- 2. For $x_2 = 2$
Since $y_2 = +1$, we have $f(x_2) = \text{sign}(\sin 2\alpha) = +1 \Rightarrow \sin 2\alpha > 0$
i.e. we have $\sin 2\alpha = 2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha > 0$.
From 1. we have known that $\sin \alpha > 0$, so we must have $\cos \alpha > 0$.
i.e. we have $\cos \alpha > 0$ and $\sin 2\alpha > 0$.
- For $x_3 = 3$
Since $y_3 = -1$, we have $f(x_3) = \text{sign}(\sin 3\alpha) = -1 \Rightarrow \sin 3\alpha < 0$
i.e. we have $\sin 3\alpha = \sin \alpha \cos 2\alpha + \sin 2\alpha \cos \alpha < 0$.
From 1. we have $\sin \alpha > 0$, and from 2. we have $\cos \alpha > 0, \sin 2\alpha > 0$,
so we must have $\cos 2\alpha < 0$.
i.e. we have $\cos 2\alpha < 0$.
- For $x_4 = 4$
Since $y_4 = +1$, we have $f(x_4) = \text{sign}(\sin 4\alpha) = +1 \Rightarrow \sin 4\alpha > 0$
i.e. we have $\sin(4\alpha) = 2 \sin(2\alpha) \cos(2\alpha) > 0$
But from 2. we have $\sin 2\alpha > 0$, from 3. we have $\cos 2\alpha < 0$,
so we must have $\sin(4\alpha) < 0$, which is a contradiction.

So we have prove that for $y_1 = +1, y_2 = +1, y_3 = -1, y_4 = +1$,

$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, f(x_i) = y_i$, then $f(x_4) \neq y_4$.

So above all, \mathcal{H} cannot shatter the points $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 3, x_4 = 4, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

(2) We can construct that:

Let $\alpha = 1, x_i = \pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot y_i + 2\pi i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

- For $y_i = +1$, we have

$$f(x_i) = \text{sign}(\sin(\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot y_i + 2\pi i)) = \text{sign}(\sin(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi i)) = +1$$

- For $y_i = -1$, we have

$$f(x_i) = \text{sign}(\sin(\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot y_i + 2\pi i)) = \text{sign}(\sin(\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2\pi i)) = -1$$

So above all, we have proved that for any label sets $y_1, \dots, y_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that $f(x; \alpha)$ can generate this set of labels.

i.e. the VC dimension of \mathcal{H} is ∞ .