

# Numerical Optimization, 2023 Fall

## Homework 2

Name: Zhou Shouchen

Student ID: 2021533042

Due 23:59 (CST), Nov. 2, 2023

# 1 Standard Form

Convert the following problem to a linear program in standard form. [20pts]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4} \quad & 2x_1 - x_3 + x_4 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + x_2 \geq 5 \\
 & x_1 - x_3 \leq 2 \\
 & 4x_2 + 3x_3 - x_4 \leq 10 \\
 & x_1 \geq 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The standard form should have the objective function as a minimization problem.  
So the objective function can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4} \quad & -(2x_1 - x_3 + x_4) \\
 \text{i.e.} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4} \quad & -2x_1 + x_3 - x_4
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  be the slack variables for the first, second and third constraints, respectively.  
And  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$ .

So the inequality constraints can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 + x_2 &= 5 + s_1 \\
 x_1 - x_3 &= 2 - s_2 \\
 4x_2 + 3x_3 - x_4 &= 10 - s_3
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Since there are no constraints on the boundary of  $x_2, x_3$  and  $x_4$  separately.  
So let  $x_2 = u_2 - v_2, x_3 = u_3 - v_3, x_4 = u_4 - v_4$ , where  $u_2, u_3, u_4, v_2, v_3, v_4 \geq 0$ .  
And put them into the origin problem, we can get the standard form of the origin problem:

So the standard form of the origin problem is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{x_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, v_2, v_3, v_4, s_1, s_2, s_3} \quad & 2x_1 - u_3 + v_3 + u_4 - v_4 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + u_2 - v_2 - s_1 = 5 \\
 & x_1 - u_3 + v_3 + s_2 = 2 \\
 & 4u_2 - 4v_2 + 3u_3 - 3v_3 - u_4 + v_4 + s_3 = 10 \\
 & x_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, v_2, v_3, v_4, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

## 2 Two-Phase Simplex

Use the two-phase simplex procedure to solve the following problem. [40pts]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4} \quad & -3x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 - x_4 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\
 & 2x_1 - 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 3x_4 = 9 \\
 & x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 - x_4 = 6 \\
 & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \geq 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Since the origin problem is already the standard form, we can directly use the two-phase simplex procedure to solve it.

1. Phase one:

The supporting problem is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^7} \quad & x_5 + x_6 + x_7 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 0 \\
 & 2x_1 - 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 3x_4 + x_6 = 9 \\
 & x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 - x_4 + x_7 = 6 \\
 & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7 \geq 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

And the supporting problem's simplex tableau is:

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$\mathbf{b}$
	1	2	-1	1	1	0	0	0
	2	-2	3	3	0	1	0	9
	1	-1	2	-1	0	0	1	6
$\mathbf{c}^T / \mathbf{r}^T$	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0

(7)

The basic is  $B = (x_5, x_6, x_7)$ , and  $\mathbf{x} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 9, 6)^T$ .

Then add the row 1,2,3 to the row 4, to let the base variables' reduced cost become 0, we can get:

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1</span>	2	-1	1	1	0	0	0
	2	-2	3	3	0	1	0	9
	1	-1	2	-1	0	0	1	6
$\mathbf{r}^T$	-4	1	-4	-3	0	0	0	-15

(8)

The basic is  $B = (x_5, x_6, x_7)$ .

We choose the leftmost column with negative reduced cost, which is  $x_1$ .

And we choose the row with the minimum ratio, which is row 1, and pivot, let  $x_1$  in base and  $x_5$  out base.

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$B^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
	1	2	-1	1	1	0	0	0
	0	-6	5	1	-2	1	0	9
	0	-3	3	-2	-1	0	1	6
$\mathbf{r}^T$	0	9	-8	1	4	0	0	-15

(9)

The basic is  $B = (x_1, x_6, x_7)$ .

We choose the leftmost column with negative reduced cost, which is  $x_3$ .

And we choose the row with the minimum ratio, which is row 2, and pivot, let  $x_3$  in base and  $x_6$  out base.

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$B^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
	1	$\frac{4}{5}$	0	$\frac{6}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0	$\frac{9}{5}$
	0	$-\frac{6}{5}$	1	$\frac{1}{5}$	$-\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0	$\frac{9}{5}$
	0	$\frac{3}{5}$	0	$-\frac{13}{5}$	$-\frac{1}{5}$	$-\frac{3}{5}$	1	$\frac{3}{5}$
$\mathbf{r}^T$	0	$-\frac{3}{5}$	0	$\frac{13}{5}$	$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{8}{5}$	0	$-\frac{3}{5}$

(10)

The basic is  $B = (x_1, x_3, x_7)$ .

We choose the leftmost column with negative reduced cost, which is  $x_2$ .

And we choose the row with the minimum ratio, which is row 3, and pivot, let  $x_2$  in base and  $x_7$  out base.

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$B^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
	1	0	0	$\frac{14}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$-\frac{4}{3}$	1
	0	0	1	-5	0	-1	2	3
	0	1	0	$-\frac{13}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	-1	$\frac{5}{3}$	1
$\mathbf{r}^T$	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0

(11)

The basic is  $B = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ .

And all the reduced cost are non-negative, so the supporting problem is feasible.

So the phase one is finished.

And the basic feasible solution is  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 1, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$ .

2. Phase two:

The tableau of the origin problem is:

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$\mathbf{b}$
	1	0	0	$\frac{14}{3}$	1
	0	0	1	-5	3
	0	1	0	$-\frac{13}{3}$	1
$\mathbf{c}^T/\mathbf{r}^T$	-3	1	3	-1	0

(12)

Then let the base variables' reduced cost become 0, we can get:

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
	1	0	0	$\frac{14}{3}$	1
	0	0	1	$-5$	3
	0	1	0	$-\frac{13}{3}$	1
$\mathbf{r}^T$	0	0	0	$\frac{97}{3}$	$-7$

(13)

So above all, the basic feasible solution of the origin problem is  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 1, 3, 0)^T$ .  
And the optimal value is 7.

### 3 Extreme Point

#### 3.1 Q1

Prove that the extreme points of the following two sets are in one-to-one correspondence. [20pts]

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq 0\} \\ S_2 &= \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m : \mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq 0, \mathbf{y} \geq 0\} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

, where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Suppose that the extreme points of  $S_1$  compose the set  $P_1$ .

And the extreme points of  $S_2$  compose the set  $P_2$ .

So we can construct the mapping from  $P_1$  to  $P_2$ .

$\forall \mathbf{x} \in P_1$ , we can get that  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{x} \geq 0$ , and  $\mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$  is the extreme point of  $S_1$ .

so there must  $\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , such that  $\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mathbf{y}_i = (\mathbf{Ax})_i - \mathbf{b}_i$ .

Where  $(\mathbf{Ax})_i$  be the  $i$ th element of the vector  $(\mathbf{Ax})$ , and  $\mathbf{y}_i$  be the  $i$ th element of the vector  $\mathbf{y}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_i$  be the  $i$ th element of the vector  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Then we say that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is the mapping result.

So we have constructed the mapping from  $P_1$  to  $P_2$  that  $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ .

Then we just need to prove that the mapping is bijective.

$\forall \mathbf{x} \in P_1$ , we get  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  throw the mapping.

1. First, we need to prove that the mapping result is in  $S_2$ :

Since  $\mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b}$ , i.e.  $(\mathbf{Ax})_i \leq \mathbf{b}_i$ ,

so  $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{b}_i - (\mathbf{Ax})_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

i.e.  $\mathbf{y} \geq 0$ .

So  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq 0, \mathbf{y} \geq 0$ .

So we have proved that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in S_2$ .

2. Then we need to prove that its also an extreme point of  $S_2$ , i.e.  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in P_2$ .

We can prove this by contradiction.

Suppose that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is not an extreme point of  $S_2$ .

Then there must  $\exists \lambda \in (0, 1)$ , and  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2) \in S_2, (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1) \neq (\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2)$ .

i.e.  $\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2$ .

And since  $\mathbf{x}$  is generated throw the mapping, so  $\mathbf{x} \in P_1$ .

i.e.  $\mathbf{x}$  is the extreme point in  $S_1$ .

But since  $\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2$ , so it is contradict with  $\mathbf{x}$  is the extreme point of  $S_1$ .

So the assumption not valid.

So above all, we have proved that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in P_2$ .

Combine 1. and 2., we have proved that the mapping from  $P_1$  to  $P_2$  is surjective.

3. Then we need to prove that the mapping from  $P_1$  to  $P_2$  is injective.

If  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2 \in P_1$ ,

then  $\mathbf{Ax}_1 = \mathbf{Ax}_2$ ,

$\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}_1 = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}_2$ ,

Since  $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}_2$

So  $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{y}_2$ .

So above all, if  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2$ , then  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1) = (\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2) \in P_2$ .

So the mapping from  $P_1$  to  $P_2$  is injective.

From 1., 2., we have get that the mapping is surjective, and from 3., we get that the mapping is injective.

So the mapping is bijective.

So above all, the mapping is bijective. i.e. We have proved that the extreme points of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are one-to-one correspondence.

### 3.2 Q2

Does the set  $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1\}$  have extreme points? What is its standard form? Does it have extreme points in its standard form? If so, give a extreme point and explain why it is a extreme point. [20pts]

Since the set  $P$  is the polyhedron consists of two parallel lines, so it has no extreme points.

Since  $x_2$  is not bounded, so we can let  $x_2 = u - v$ , where  $u, v \geq 0$ .

And since  $x_1 \leq 1$ , so we can add slack variable  $s_1$  to the inequality constraint, i.e.  $x_1 + s_1 = 1$ .

so the standard form of  $P$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, s_1, u, v} \quad & \text{constant} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + s_1 = 1 \\ & x_1, s_1, u, v \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

And its variables are  $x_1, s_1, u, v$

And the standard form has extreme points, and  $(x_1, s_1, u, v) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$  is one of the extreme points.

This is because:

$$x_1 + s_1 = 1, s_1 = 0, u = 0, v = 0$$

This makes the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + s_1 &= 1 \\ s_1 &\geq 0 \\ v &\geq 0 \\ u &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

activate, and these 4 constraints are independent.

Since the number of variables of the standard form is 4, and the number of independent activate constraints is 4, so  $(x_1, s_1, u, v) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$  is one of the extreme points.

So above all,  $P$  has no extreme points.

The standard form of  $P$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, s_1, u, v} \quad & \text{constant} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + s_1 = 1 \\ & x_1, s_1, u, v \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The standard form has extreme points, and  $(x_1, s_1, u, v) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$  is one of the extreme points. The reasons are above.