# **Lagrange Duality**

Ye Shi

ShanghaiTech University

- 1 Lagrangian
- 2 Dual Function
- 3 Dual Problem
- 4 Weak and Strong Duality
- 5 KKT conditions

# Lagrangian

Consider an optimization problem in standard form (not necessarily convex)

minimize 
$$f_0(\boldsymbol{x})$$
  
subject to  $f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 0$   $i=1,\cdots,m$   
 $h_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$   $i=1,\cdots,p$ 

with variable  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , domain  $\mathcal{D}$ , and optimal value  $p^*$ 

The Lagrangian is a function  $L: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\operatorname{dom} L = \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p$ , defined as

$$L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(\boldsymbol{x})$$

where  $\lambda_i$  is the Lagrange multiplier associated with  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$  and  $\nu_i$  is the Lagrange multiplier associated with  $h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ .

- 1 Lagrangian
- 2 Dual Function
- 3 Dual Problem
- 4 Weak and Strong Duality
- 5 KKT conditions

# Lagrange Dual Function I

The *Lagrange dual function* is defined as the infimum of the Lagrangian over  $x: g: \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \inf_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D}} L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$$
$$= \inf_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D}} \left( f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \right)$$

- **Observe** that:
  - the infimum is unconstrained (as opposed to the original constrained minimization problem)
  - g is concave regardless of original problem (infimum of affine functions)
  - $^{*}$  *g* can be −∞ for some  $\lambda$ ,  $\nu$

# **Lagrange Dual Function II**

**Lower bound property:** if  $\lambda \succeq 0$ , then  $g(\lambda, \nu) \leq p^*$ .

#### Proof.

Suppose  $\tilde{x}$  is feasible and  $\lambda \succeq 0$ . Then,

$$f_0(\tilde{x}) \ge L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, \nu) \ge \inf_{x \in \mathcal{D}} L(x, \lambda, \nu) = g(\lambda, \nu)$$

Now choose minimizer of  $f_0(\tilde{x})$  over all feasible  $\tilde{x}$  to get  $p^* \geq g(\lambda, \nu)$ .  $\square$ 

We could try to find the best lower bound by maximizing  $g(\lambda, \nu)$ . This is in fact the dual problem.

- 1 Lagrangian
- 2 Dual Function
- 3 Dual Problem
- 4 Weak and Strong Duality
- 5 KKT conditions

#### **Dual Problem**

The Lagrange dual problem is defined as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}}{\text{maximize}} & g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \\ \text{subject to} & \boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

- \* This problem finds the best lower bound on  $p^*$  obtained from the dual function
- It is a convex optimization (maximization of a concave function and linear constraints)
- The optimal value is denoted  $d^*$
- **№**  $\lambda$ ,  $\nu$  are dual feasible if  $\lambda \succeq 0$  and  $(\lambda, \nu) \in \text{dom } g$  (the latter implicit constraints can be made explicit in problem formulation)

# **Example: Least-Norm Solution of Linear Equations I**

Consider the problem

minimize 
$$x^T x$$
 subject to  $Ax = b$ 

The Lagrangian is

$$L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\nu}^T (\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{b})$$

To find the dual function, we need to solve an unconstrained minimization of the Lagrangian. We set the gradient equal to zero

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = 2\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{0} \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{x} = -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\nu}$$

# **Example: Least-Norm Solution of Linear Equations II**

and we plug the solution in L to obtain g:

$$g(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = L(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{A}^T\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\nu}) = -\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{\nu}^T\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^T\boldsymbol{\nu} - \boldsymbol{b}^T\boldsymbol{\nu}$$

- The function g is, as expected, a concave function of  $\nu$ .
- From the lower bound property, we have

$$p^* \ge -\frac{1}{4} \boldsymbol{\nu}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\nu} - \boldsymbol{b}^T \boldsymbol{\nu}$$
 for all  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ 

The dual problem is the QP

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{\nu}}{\text{maximize}} \quad -\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{\nu}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\nu} - \boldsymbol{b}^T \boldsymbol{\nu}$$

### **Example: Standard Form LP I**

Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\boldsymbol{x}}{\text{minimize}} & & \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} \\ & \text{subject to} & & \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}, & \boldsymbol{x} \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian is

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = c^T x + \nu^T (Ax - b) - \lambda^T x$$
  
=  $(c + A^T \nu - \lambda)^T x - b^T \nu$ 

L is a linear function of x and it is unbounded if the term multiplying x is nonzero.

# **Example: Standard Form LP II**

Hence, the dual function is

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x} L(x, \lambda, \nu) = \begin{cases} -b^T \nu & c + A^T \nu - \lambda = 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- **№** The function g is a concave function of  $(\lambda, \nu)$  as it is linear on an affine domain.
- From the lower bound property, we have

$$p^{\star} \geq -\boldsymbol{b}^{T} \boldsymbol{\nu} \quad \text{if } \boldsymbol{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{\nu} \succeq \boldsymbol{0}$$

The dual problem is the LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & - \boldsymbol{b}^T \boldsymbol{\nu} \\ \text{subject to} & \boldsymbol{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\nu} \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \end{array}$$

- 1 Lagrangian
- 2 Dual Function
- 3 Dual Problem
- 4 Weak and Strong Duality
- 5 KKT conditions

# Weak and Strong Duality I

- From the lower bound property, we know that  $g(\lambda, \nu) \leq p^*$  for feasible  $(\lambda, \nu)$ . In particular, for a  $(\lambda, \nu)$  that solves the dual problem.
- Hence, weak duality always holds (even for nonconvex problems):

$$d^{\star} \leq p^{\star}$$

- The difference  $p^* d^*$  is called **duality gap**.
- Solving the dual problem may be used to find nontrivial lower bounds for difficult problems.
- Even more interesting is when equality is achieved in weak duality. This is called **strong duality**:

$$d^{\star} = p^{\star}$$

# Weak and Strong Duality II

- Strong duality means that the duality gap is zero.
- Strong duality:
  - is very desirable (we can solve a difficult problem by solving the dual)
  - does not hold in general
  - usually holds for convex problems
  - conditions that guarantee strong duality in convex problems are called constraint qualifications.

### Slater's Constraint Qualification I

- Slater's constraint qualification is a very simple condition that is satisfied in most cases and ensures strong duality for convex problems.
- Strong duality hold for a convex problem

minimize 
$$f_0(m{x})$$
 subject to  $f_i(m{x}) \leq 0$   $i=1,\cdots,m$   $m{A}m{x} = m{b}$ 

if it is strictly feasible, i.e.,

$$\exists x \in \text{int } \mathcal{D}: \quad f_i(x) < 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad Ax = b$$

There exist many other types of constraint qualifications.

# **Example: Inequality Form LP**

Consider the problem

$$egin{array}{ll} ext{minimize} & oldsymbol{c}^T oldsymbol{x} \ ext{subject to} & oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{x} \preceq oldsymbol{b} \ \end{array}$$

The dual problem is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & - \boldsymbol{b}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \text{subject to} & \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \end{array}$$

- From Slater's condition:  $p^* = d^*$  if  $A\tilde{x} \prec b$  for some  $\tilde{x}$ .
- **\*•** In this case, in fact,  $p^* = d^*$  except when primal and dual are infeasible.

### **Example: Convex QP**

ightharpoonup Consider the problem (assume  $P \succeq 0$ )

$$egin{array}{ll} ext{minimize} & m{x}^T m{P} m{x} \ ext{subject to} & m{A} m{x} \preceq m{b} \end{array}$$

The dual problem is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & -\frac{1}{4} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{P}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{b}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \text{subject to} & \boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq \boldsymbol{0} \end{array}$$

- From Slater's condition:  $p^* = d^*$  if  $A\tilde{x} \prec b$  for some  $\tilde{x}$ .
- In this case, in fact,  $p^* = d^*$  always.

# **Complementary Slackness**

Assume strong duality holds,  $x^*$  is primal optimal and  $(\lambda^*, \nu^*)$  is dual optimal. Then

$$f_0(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^*, \boldsymbol{\nu}^*) = \inf_{\boldsymbol{x}} \left( f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* h_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \right)$$

$$\leq f_0(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* f_i(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* h_i(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$$

$$\leq f_0(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$$

- Hence, the two inequalities must hold with equality. Implications:
  - $m{x}^{\star}$  minimizes  $L(m{x}, m{\lambda}^{\star}, m{
    u}^{\star})$
  - $\lambda_i^{\star} f_i(x^{\star}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ; this is called **complementary slackness**:

$$\lambda_i^{\star} > 0 \Longrightarrow f_i(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}) = 0, \quad f_i(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}) < 0 \Longrightarrow \lambda_i^{\star} = 0$$

- 1 Lagrangian
- 2 Dual Function
- 3 Dual Problem
- 4 Weak and Strong Duality
- 5 KKT conditions

#### Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) Conditions

#### **KKT conditions** (for differentiable $f_i, h_i$ ):

1 primal feasibility:

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m, \ h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \ i = 1, \dots, p$$

- **2** dual feasibility:  $\lambda \succeq 0$
- **3** complementary slackness:  $\lambda_i^{\star} f_i(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$
- **4** zero gradient of Lagrangian with respect to x:

$$abla f_0(oldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i 
abla f_i(oldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^p 
u_i 
abla h_i(oldsymbol{x}) = oldsymbol{0}$$

#### KKT condition

- We already known that if strong duality holds and x,  $\lambda$ ,  $\nu$  are optimal, then they must satisfy the KKT conditions.
- What about the opposite statement?
- If x,  $\lambda$ ,  $\nu$  satisfy the KKT conditions for a convex problem, then they are optimal.

#### Proof.

From complementary slackness,  $f_0(\mathbf{x}) = L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \nu)$  and, from 4th KKT condition and convexity,  $g(\lambda, \nu) = L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \nu)$ . Hence,  $f_0(\mathbf{x}) = g(\lambda, \nu)$ .

#### **Theorem**

If a problem is convex and Slater's condition is satisfied, then x is optimal if and only if there exists  $\lambda$ ,  $\nu$  that satisfy the KKT conditions.

#### Reference

#### Chapter 5 of:

Stephen Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2004.