

SI251 - Convex Optimization, 2024 Spring  
Homework 2

Name: **Zhou Shouchen**

Student ID: 2021533042

Due 23:59 (CST), Apr. 10, 2024

1. **(50 pts) Robust quadratic programming.** In the lecture, we have learned about robust linear programming as an application of second-order cone programming. Now we will consider a similar robust variation of the convex quadratic program

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && (1/2)x^T Px + q^T x + r \\ & \text{subject to} && Ax \preceq b. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we assume that only the matrix  $P$  is subject to errors, and the other parameters  $(q, r, A, b)$  are exactly known. The robust quadratic program is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \sup_{P \in \mathcal{E}} ((1/2)x^T Px + q^T x + r) \\ & \text{subject to} && Ax \preceq b \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of possible matrices  $P$ .

For each of the following sets  $\mathcal{E}$ , express the robust QP as a convex problem in a standard form (e.g., QP, QCQP, SOCP, SDP).

- (a) A finite set of matrices:  $\mathcal{E} = \{P_1, \dots, P_K\}$ , where  $P_i \in S_+^n, i = 1, \dots, K$ .  
 (b) A set specified by a nominal value  $P_0 \in S_+^n$  plus a bound on the eigenvalues of the deviation  $P - P_0$ :

$$\mathcal{E} = \{P \in \mathbf{S}^n \mid -\gamma I \preceq P - P_0 \preceq \gamma I\}$$

where  $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $P_0 \in \mathbf{S}_+^n$ .

- (c) An ellipsoid of matrices:

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ P_0 + \sum_{i=1}^K P_i u_i \mid \|u\|_2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

You can assume  $P_i \in \mathbf{S}_+^n, i = 0, \dots, K$ .

**Solution:**

Since  $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{E}} \left( \frac{1}{2} x^T Px + q^T x + r \right) = \left( \sup_{P \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{2} x^T Px \right) + q^T x + r$ , so we could need to consider the  $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{2} x^T Px$  part of the objective function.

- (a) Let

$$t = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T P \mathbf{x}$$

i.e.

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, K, \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T P_i \mathbf{x} \leq t$$

So the program can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{x}, t} && t + q^T \mathbf{x} + r \\ & \text{subject to} && A\mathbf{x} \preceq b \\ & && \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T P_i \mathbf{x} \leq t, \quad i = 1, \dots, K \end{aligned}$$

The objective function is linear to the variable  $(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , and the constraints are in quadratic form. So above all, the problem is a QCQP.

(b)  $\forall P \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have

$$-\gamma I \preceq P - P_0 \preceq \gamma I$$

which means that  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\mathbf{x}^T(-\gamma I)\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^T(P - P_0)\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^T(\gamma I)\mathbf{x}$$

i.e.

$$\mathbf{x}^T(P_0 - \gamma I)\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^T P \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^T(P_0 + \gamma I)\mathbf{x}$$

So the program can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T(P_0 + \gamma I)\mathbf{x} + q^T \mathbf{x} + r \\ \text{subject to} \quad & A\mathbf{x} \preceq b \end{aligned}$$

The objective function is in the quadratic form to the variable  $\mathbf{x}$ , and the constraints are in linear form. So above all, the problem is a QP.

(c) We can define  $y_i = x^T P_i x$ , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{2} x^T P x &= \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq 1} \left( \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x + \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{1}{2} u_i x^T P_i x \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq 1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^K u_i x^T P_i x \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq 1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^K u_i y_i \right) \quad (y_i = x^T P_i x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq 1} \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq 1} \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \quad (\text{Cauchy Inequality}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

So the objective function becomes  $\frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 + q^T x + r$ .

Since there are no suitable programmings for existing norm in the objective function, so we can convert it into the constraints.

Let  $u = \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x$ ,  $t = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$ .

Then the current simplified problem is that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, u, t} \quad & u + t + q^T \mathbf{x} + r \\ \text{subject to} \quad & A\mathbf{x} \preceq b \\ & t = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \\ & u = \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x \\ & y_i = x^T P_i x \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, K \end{aligned}$$

We could find that the closest form for the problem is the SOCP, but has some difference, so we need to do some conversions.

Since  $u, t$  are separated and independent, and the transmissibility of the inequality, to better suit SOCP, we could do the scalings, which would led to the same result as taking minimum:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_2 \Rightarrow t \geq \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_2 \\ u &= \frac{1}{2}x^T P_0 x \Rightarrow u \geq \frac{1}{2}x^T P_0 x \\ y_i &= x^T P_i x \Rightarrow y_i \geq x^T P_i x \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, K \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P_i \in \mathbf{S}_+^n, i = 0, \dots, K$ , so we could do eigenvalue decomposition to each matrix, which are diagonalizable due to the symmetry.  $P_i = Q_i^{-1} \Lambda_i Q_i$ , and for all eigenvalues in  $\Lambda_i$  is non-negative, so we have  $P_i^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q_i^{-1} \Lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_i$ .

And construct an inequality:

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} P_0^{\frac{1}{2}} x \\ u - \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq u + \frac{1}{2}$$

If we square to the both side, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_0^{\frac{1}{2}} x\|_2^2 + (u - \frac{1}{2})^2 &\leq (u + \frac{1}{2})^2 \\ x^T P_0 x + u^2 - u + \frac{1}{4} &\leq u^2 + u + \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2}x^T P_0 x &\leq u \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in the exactly same way, we can construct

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} P_i^{\frac{1}{2}} x \\ y_i - \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq y_i + \frac{1}{4}$$

If we square to the both side, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_i^{\frac{1}{2}} x\|_2^2 + (y_i - \frac{1}{4})^2 &\leq (y_i + \frac{1}{4})^2 \\ x^T P_i x + y_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}y_i + \frac{1}{16} &\leq y_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}y_i + \frac{1}{16} \\ x^T P_i x &\leq y_i \end{aligned}$$

So the program can be rewritten as linear objective function and second-order cone constrains:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, u, t} \quad & u + t + q^T \mathbf{x} + r \\ \text{subject to} \quad & A\mathbf{x} \preceq b \\ & \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_2 \leq t \\ & \left\| \begin{bmatrix} P_0^{\frac{1}{2}} x \\ u - \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq u + \frac{1}{2} \\ & \left\| \begin{bmatrix} P_i^{\frac{1}{2}} x \\ y_i - \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \leq y_i + \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

So above all, the problem is a SOCP.

2. (50 pts) **Water-filling.** Please consider the convex optimization problem and calculate its solution

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && -\sum_{i=1}^n \log(\alpha_i + x_i) \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{x} \succeq 0, \quad \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Solution:**

Since  $\log x$  is a concave function, so  $-\log x$  is a convex function, so the objective function is a convex function.

And the constraints are affine constraints.

So we can use  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as multipliers for the inequality constraints, and  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$  as multiplier for equality constraint.

So the Lagrangian function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \nu) &= -\sum_{i=1}^n \log(\alpha_i + x_i) - \lambda^T \mathbf{x} + \nu(\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} - 1) \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \nu) &= -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\alpha_i + x_i} - \lambda + \nu \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

Since we have the convex objective function, and affine constraints, the optimal solutions must suit the KKT condition:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x \succeq 0, \quad \mathbf{1}^T x = 1 & (1) \quad \text{primal feasibility} \\ \lambda \succeq 0 & (2) \quad \text{dual feasibility} \\ \lambda_i x_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n & (3) \quad \text{complementary slackness} \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \nu) = 0 & (4) \quad \text{zero gradient of Lagrangian with respect to } \mathbf{x} \end{array} \right.$$

From (4), we can get that:

$$\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad -\frac{1}{\alpha_i + x_i} - \lambda_i + \nu = 0$$

i.e.

$$x_i = -\alpha_i - \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \nu}$$

From (3), we can get that:

1. from (2), we have  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ , so

$$x_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda_i = \nu - \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \nu \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$$

2. from (1), we have  $x_i \geq 0$ , so

$$x_i \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\nu} = x_i + \alpha_i \geq \alpha_i \quad <1>$$

From the domain of the log function, we could get that

$$\alpha_i + x_i > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\nu} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \nu > 0 \quad <2>$$

Combine <1> and <2>, we can get that i. if  $\alpha_i \leq 0$ , then  $\nu \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$  always holds, with is the same situation with 1.

ii. if  $\alpha_i > 0$ , then

$$x_i \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \nu \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$$

So conclude the information we get from (3), we know that:

1. if  $\nu \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$ , then  $x_i = 0$
2. if  $\nu < \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$ , then  $x_i = \frac{1}{\nu} - \alpha_i \geq 0$

So we could see that  $x_i = \max\{\frac{1}{\nu} - \alpha_i, 0\}$

From (1), we could get that

$$\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \max\{\frac{1}{\nu} - \alpha_i, 0\} = 1$$

Since  $\alpha_i$  are fixed constants, so we could calculate  $\nu$  with the above formula.

So above all, after getting the  $\nu$ , we could get that the variables to make the optimal solution is that

$$x_i = \max\{\frac{1}{\nu} - \alpha_i, 0\}, i = 1, \dots, n$$

and the optimal solution for the objective function is that

$$\min \text{obj} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( \alpha_i + \max\{0, \frac{1}{\nu} - \alpha_i\} \right)$$