

Cluster State Computing

Dileep Reddy, Maira Amezcua, Zach Schmidt

dileep@uoregon.edu, mamezcua@cas.uoregon.edu, zschmidt@cs.uoregon.edu

Abstract—Computation can be done via measurement only, the outcome of which is dependant on the initial entangled state – the *cluster state*. This paper will attempt to provide background regarding computation using only measurements, a brief forray into the preparation of cluster states, a discussion of one way quantum computers (1WQC), and the computational power of various configurations of a 1WQC.

I. BACKGROUND

This paper will attempt to discuss some background on cluster states, a correspondence between 1WQC and the more traditional gate array model, and the computational power of this new model.

A. Cluster States

A cluster state is characterized by a set of eigenvalue equations, which are determined by the distribution of the qubits on some lattice[1]. A method to prepare a one-dimensional cluster state is given in [2], consisting of “cascading” Controlled-Z (C_z) gates on n qubits, where:

$$C_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The action of the C_z gate in the computational basis can be seen to be $|x, y\rangle \rightarrow (-1)^{xy} |x, y\rangle$. The set of all single qubit unitaries, coupled with either C_x or C_z has been shown to be universal[3]. Intuitively, a cluster state can be thought of as a graph where every vertex represents a qubit, and every edge represents the application of a C_z gate to both adjacent vertices. Briegel and Raussendorf show that any quantum logic circuit can be implemented on a cluster state, which demonstrates universality of the proposed scheme[4]. Nielsen[5] extended this result to no longer require coherent dynamics, instead relying on a method to teleport quantum gates, and he provided a concise algorithm to do it.

B. One-Way Quantum Computation

The unidirectionality of cluster state computation is inherent, due to the fact that the entanglement is progressively consumed at every step. An execution on a one-way quantum computer is a sequence of one-qubit measurements on a cluster state[2].

C. Gate Array Correspondence

In his analysis of the reducibility of 1WQC to the gate array model, Richard Jozsa gives a polynomial time algo-

rithm to perform the conversion between the two computational models[6].

D. Computational Power and Complexity

The spacial layout of the graph representation of the cluster state plays a role in the computational power of that state. If a cluster state can be prepared linearly via the cascading C_z technique mentioned above, it can be represented as a “one-dimensional” graph (i.e., some graph $G = (V, E)$, $\forall v \in V$, $\deg(v) \leq 2$). A linearly prepared cluster state can be efficiently simulated on a classical computer in $O(n \log^c(1/n))$, where n is the initial number of qubits, and c is the cost of floating point multiplication[7]. Though the author consequently dismisses linearly prepared cluster states as a substrate for quantum computation, it would be interesting to know which class of problems they would be able to solve.

In general, measurement based models can be polynomial time reduced to the gate array model, and thus have the same power, but they are more easily parallelizable[6].

The gate teleportation algorithm[5] has a time complexity of $O(\log(1/\epsilon))$, where ϵ is the failure probability.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hans J Briegel and Robert Raussendorf, “Persistent entanglement in arrays of interacting particles,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 86, no. 5, pp. 910, 2001.
- [2] Philippe Jorrand and Simon Perdrix, “Unifying quantum computation with projective measurements only and one-way quantum computation,” in *Moscow, Russia*. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2005, pp. 44–51.
- [3] Jean-Luc Brylinski and Ranee Brylinski, “Universal quantum gates,” *Mathematics of Quantum Computation*, vol. 20022356, 2002.
- [4] R. Raussendorf and H. J. Briegel, “Quantum computing via measurements only,” *eprint arXiv:quant-ph/0010033*, Oct. 2000.
- [5] MA Nielsen, “Universal quantum computation using only projective measurement, quantum memory, and preparation of the $|0\rangle$ state,” *arXiv preprint quant-ph/0108020*, 2001.
- [6] Richard Jozsa, “An introduction to measurement based quantum computation,” *NATO Science Series, III: Computer and Systems Sciences. Quantum Information Processing-From Theory to Experiment*, vol. 199, pp. 137–158, 2006.
- [7] Michael A Nielsen, “Cluster-state quantum computation,” *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 147–161, 2006.