Course Project, Spring 2016

Cluster-State Quantum Computing

Mayra Amezcua, Dileep V. Reddy, Zach Schmidt

May 25, 2016

CIS410/510 Introduction to Quantum Information Theory

Lecturer: Prof. Xiaodi Wu

Computer and Information Science, University of Oregon

Table of Contents (optional frame. Can delete.)

- Motivation
 - Gates through teleportation
- Cluster states (CS)
 - Definition
 - Representations
 - Properties
- Universal computation through CS

- Linear wire
- Arbitrary single qubit operations
- Two qubit operations
- Advantages and disadvantages
 - Parallelizability
 - Experimental implementations
 - CS model as an analysis tool
- References



template frame (delete me)

test block

some text

test varblock

Variable block (here 4cm)

test alert

some alert

test example

some example citation ¹



¹Auth, DV, 123, 2001.

The most widely used model of quantum computation at the present time is the quantum circuit model.

A set containing all single-qubit gates and at least one entangling two-qubit gate (such as the controlled-NOT or controlled-PHASE) is universal[Brylinski and Brylinski, 2002]

A vastly different model, proposed by Briegel and Raussendorf [Raussendorf and Briegel, 2000], demonstrated that universal quantum computation could be achieved by *measurements alone!*



This so-called cluster model or one-way quantum computer (1WQC) relies on an entangled state of a large number of qubits or cluster state as the resource.

These models have no evident classical analogues and they offer a new perspective on the role of entanglement in quantum computation



If we have a pool of maximally entangled states we can apply any unitary gate U to any (multi-qubit) input state $|\psi\rangle$ by measurements alone.

A significant annoyance is that we do not get the exact desired result $U|\psi\rangle$ but instead get $PU|\psi\rangle$ where P is some Pauli operation (on each qubit) depending on the measurement outcome[Jozsa, 2006].



Cluster states form a class of multiparty entangled quantum states which belong to the larger set of so-called graph states.

Examples of graph states:

- Bell states
- Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states
- states that appear in quantum error correction

Intuitively, graph states can be thought of as multi-qubit states that can be represented by a graph.

- Each qubit is represented by a vertex of the graph
- An edge between vertices represents an interacting pair of qubits



Representations





Figure: Figure showing representative 2-D cluster shapes. The vertices are qubits with integer indices, and the edges indicate entanglement connectivity between select neighbors.

Any cluster state can be built with the following algorithm:

- Prepare each of the n qubits in the state $|+\rangle$
- Apply controlled-PHASE gates between gubits whose corresponding graph vertices are connected.



A 4-node non-linear cluster state and its associated circuit


The spacial layout of the graph representation of the cluster state plays a role in the computational power of that state.

Operations on a linearly prepared cluster state can be efficiently simulated on a classical computer in $O(n \log^c(1/n))$, where n is the initial number of qubits, and c is the cost of floating point multiplication [Nielsen, 2006].


In general, measurement based models can be polynomial time reduced to the gate array model, and thus have the same power, but they are more easily parallelizable [Jozsa, 2006].



Motivation Cluster states (CS) Universal computation through CS



Callback to teleportation discussion




Linear wire Arbitrary single qubit operations




Universal computation through CS

Apply $\,C_z^{(A,1)}\,$ and $\,C_z^{(B,5)}\,$ to input quantum information into cluster state.






Apply $C_z^{(A,1)}$ and $C_z^{(B,5)}$ to input quantum information into cluster state.





Cluster States in Frequency Combs



Experimental implementations

[Brylinski and Brylinski, 2002] Brylinski, J.-L. and Brylinski, R. (2002).

Universal quantum gates.

Mathematics of Quantum Computation, 20022356.

[Jozsa, 2006] Jozsa, R. (2006).

An introduction to measurement based quantum computation.

NATO Science Series, III: Computer and Systems Sciences. Quantum Information Processing-From Theory to Experiment, 199:137–158.

[Nielsen, 2006] Nielsen, M. A. (2006).

Cluster-state quantum computation.

Reports on Mathematical Physics, 57(1):147-161.

[Raussendorf and Briegel, 2000] Raussendorf, R. and Briegel, H. J. (2000).

Quantum computing via measurements only.

eprint arXiv:quant-ph/0010033.

