

# Assignment #4

## CIS 427/527

Group 2

February 3, 2016

**1**

Complete the proof of soundness of propositional logic (given in val Dalen, Lemma 1.5.1) with the case of  $\rightarrow_E$ .

**Solution**

**2**

Prove the soundness of the  $\vee$  rules ( $\vee I$  and  $\vee E$ ).

**Solution**

**3**

Do we have  $\models (p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$ ?

**Solution**

p	q	r	$(p \rightarrow q)$	$(q \rightarrow r)$	$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$
0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1

**4**

Do we have  $(q \rightarrow (p \vee (q \rightarrow p))) \vee \neg(p \rightarrow q) \models p$ ?

**Solution**

If both  $p$  and  $q$  are false, we have  $q \rightarrow (p \vee (q \rightarrow p)) \vee \neg(p \rightarrow q) = 1$  while  $p = 0$ , breaking the semantic entailment.

**5**

Assuming the soundness and completeness of natural deduction for propositional logic, suppose that you need to show that  $\phi$  is not a semantic consequence of  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ , but that you are only allowed to base your argument on the use of natural deduction rules. Which judgement would you need to prove in order to guarantee that  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n \not\models \phi$ ? Do you need completeness and soundness for this to work out?

## Solution

### 6

Consider the following axiom based system, called Hilbert system:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi)) \\ &((\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \sigma)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \sigma))) \\ &((\neg\phi \rightarrow \neg\psi) \rightarrow ((\neg\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi)) \end{aligned}$$

Combined with the Modus Ponens inference rule, which corresponds to the elimination rule of the implication connective.

Prove according to this system the judgement  $\vdash \phi \rightarrow \phi$ .

## Solution

$$\frac{\frac{((\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \sigma)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \sigma))) \quad (\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi))}{(\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi)) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi)} \text{ Let } \psi = \psi \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } \sigma = \phi}{\phi \rightarrow \phi} \quad (\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi))$$

### 7

Consider classical logic given in the handout “Natural deduction in sequent form” in Figure 5. Prove the following judgements:

$\vdash \phi \vee \neg\phi$  (This is called Law of Excluded Middle).

$((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi$  (This is called Peirce’s Law).

## Solution

- $\vdash \phi \vee \neg\phi$

$$\frac{\frac{[\neg(\phi \vee \neg\phi)]^1 \quad \frac{[\phi]^2}{\phi \vee \neg\phi} \text{VI}}{\perp} \rightarrow\text{E} \quad \frac{\perp}{\neg\phi} \rightarrow\text{I}_2 \quad \frac{\neg\phi}{\phi \vee \neg\phi} \text{VI} \quad \frac{[\neg(\phi \vee \neg\phi)]^1}{\perp} \rightarrow\text{E}}{\phi \vee \neg\phi} \text{RAA}_1$$

- $((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi$

Let  $LEM$  be the proof described above.

$$\frac{\frac{LEM}{(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi \vdash \phi \vee \neg\phi} \quad \frac{(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi, \phi \vdash \phi}{\vdash ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi} \quad \dagger}{\vdash ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi}$$

†

Let  $\Gamma = (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi$

$$\frac{\frac{LEM}{\Gamma, \neg\phi \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \vee \neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi)} \quad \frac{\frac{\dagger}{\Gamma, \neg\phi, (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \vdash \phi \wedge \neg\psi}}{\Gamma, \neg\phi, \neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \vdash \phi} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \neg\phi, (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \vdash \Gamma \quad \Gamma, \neg\phi, \phi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma, \neg\phi, (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \vdash \phi}}{\Gamma, \neg\phi \vdash \phi}$$

‡

Let  $\Gamma = \neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma, \neg\phi, \phi \vdash \phi \quad \Gamma, \neg\phi, \phi \vdash \neg\phi}{\rightarrow E} \\
 \frac{\frac{\perp}{\Gamma, \neg\phi, \phi \vdash \psi} \text{EFQ}}{\Gamma, \neg\phi \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow I_2 \quad \frac{\Gamma, \neg\phi \vdash \neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi)}{\rightarrow E} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \psi, \phi \vdash \phi \quad \Gamma, \psi, \phi \vdash \psi}{\Gamma, \psi \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow I \quad \frac{\Gamma, \psi \vdash \neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi)}{\rightarrow E} \\
 \frac{\frac{\Gamma, \neg\phi \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \phi} \text{RAA}_1}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \neg\psi} \wedge I
 \end{array}$$

## 8

Prove the following judgements:

$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$

$(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$

$(A \wedge B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$

$(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \wedge B \rightarrow C)$

Annotate each proof with lambda-terms.

## Solution

$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$

$(\lambda x : A. \lambda y : B. x)$

$(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$

$(\lambda x : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C. \lambda y : A \rightarrow B. \lambda z : A. x \ z \ (y \ z))$

$(A \wedge B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$

$(\lambda x : A \wedge B \rightarrow C. \lambda y : A. \lambda z : B. x(y * z))$

$(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \wedge B \rightarrow C)$

$(\lambda x : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C. \lambda y : A \wedge B. x(\text{fst } y)(\text{snd } y))$