

Assignment #2

CIS 427/527

Group 2

January 19, 2016

1

Since two of the men are telling the truth, we have a proposition of the form $P = (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)$.

- If Adams is telling the truth, then Brown is lying ($A \implies \neg B$).
- If Brown is telling the truth, then both Adams and Clark are lying ($B \implies (\neg A \wedge \neg C)$).
- If Clark is telling the truth, then Brown is lying ($C \implies \neg B$).

If Brown were telling the truth, then P could never be satisfied, therefore Brown is lying, which makes him the killer.

2

2. Show that $((\rightarrow) \notin PROP$


Suppose $((\rightarrow) \in X$ and X satisfies (i), (ii), (iii) of Definition 2.1.2. We claim that $Y = X \setminus \{((\rightarrow)\}$ also satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii).

- (i) $\perp, p_i \in Y$,
- (ii) $\varphi, \psi \in Y$ and $(\varphi \Box \psi) \neq ((\rightarrow)$, it is clear that $(\varphi \Box \psi) \in Y$
- (iii) Similarly, $\varphi \in Y$ and $(\neg \varphi) \neq ((\rightarrow)$, so $(\neg \varphi) \in Y$


Therefore X is not the smallest set satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii), so $((\rightarrow)$ cannot belong to $PROP$.

7. (a) Determine the trees of the proposition in Exercise 1


$$(\neg p_2 \rightarrow (p_3 \vee (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2))) \wedge \neg p_3$$



$$(p_7 \rightarrow \neg \perp) \leftrightarrow ((p_4 \wedge \neg p_2) \rightarrow p_1$$



$$(((p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \rightarrow p_1) \rightarrow p_2 \rightarrow p_1$$



(b) Determine the propositions with the trees given

$$\neg\neg\neg\perp$$

$$(p_0 \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow ((p_0 \leftrightarrow p_1) \wedge p_5)$$

$$\neg((\neg p_1) \rightarrow (\neg p_1))$$

9. Show that a proposition with n connectives has at most $2n + 1$ subformulas

Base Case A proposition P with zero connectives has $\leq 2(0) + 1 = 1$ subformula(s).

Inductive Case Inductive Hypothesis: A proposition φ with n connectives has at most $2n + 1$ subformulas, where $s(\varphi)$ gives the number of subformulas (Def 2.1.3).

Assume propositions p, q with $n, m \leq n$ connectives resp., both of which have the property above. $s(p) \leq 2n + 1$ and $s(q) \leq 2m + 1$. Consider the proposition $r = (p \Box q)$. r must have $n + m + 1$ connectives. From the definition of s ,

$$s(r) = s(p) \cup s(q) \cup \{r\}$$

$$|s(r)| \leq |s(p)| + |s(q)| + |\{r\}|$$

$$|s(r)| \leq 2n + 1 + 2m + 1 + 1$$

$$|s(r)| \leq 2(n + m + 1) + 1$$

Since the desired property holds for r the inductive hypothesis holds $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3

(a)

$(\neg\varphi \vee \psi) \iff (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$	φ	ψ
1	0	0
0	0	1
1	1	0
1	1	1

Not a tautology.

(f)

$(\varphi \vee \neg\varphi)$	φ
1	0
1	1

Is a tautology.

(h)

$(\perp \rightarrow \varphi)$	φ
1	0
1	1

Is a tautology.

4

(a) $\varphi \models \varphi$

(b) $\varphi \models \psi$ and $\psi \models \sigma \implies \varphi \models \sigma$

(c) $\models \varphi \rightarrow \psi \iff \varphi \models \psi$

5

1. Show by algebraic means:

(i) $\models (\varphi \implies \psi) \iff (\neg\psi \implies \neg\varphi)$ contraposition

$$\varphi \implies \psi \approx \neg\varphi \vee \psi \text{ Thm 2.3.4.b}$$

$$\varphi \implies \psi \approx \psi \vee \neg\varphi \text{ commutativity}$$

$$\varphi \implies \psi \approx \neg\psi \implies \neg\varphi \text{ Thm 2.3.4.b}$$

$$(v) \models \neg(\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi)$$

$$\neg(\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi) \approx \neg\varphi \vee \neg(\neg\varphi) \text{ de Morgan's}$$

$$\neg(\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi) \approx \neg\varphi \vee \varphi \text{ Double Negation law}$$

$$\neg(\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi) \approx \varphi \implies \varphi \text{ Thm 2.3.4.b}$$

$$\neg(\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi) \approx \top \text{ (Not sure which rule for this)}$$

6

$$\# = \neg(\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee \neg(p \vee q))$$

7

A conjunctive normal form is a tautology iff every clause is also a tautology.

8

9

$P \rightarrow Q$: True when P is false; false when P is true and Q is false.

$P \vee Q \rightarrow P \wedge Q$: True when $P \iff Q$; false otherwise.

$\neg(P \vee Q \vee R)$: True when P, Q, R are all false; false when any P, Q, R are true.

$\neg(P \wedge Q) \wedge \neg(Q \vee R) \wedge (P \vee R)$: True when P is true, Q is false, R is false; false when P is false and R is false.