University of Michigan
Fall 2022 Instructor Report
ECON 401-003: Interm Micro Thry
Zsigmond Palvolgyi

13 out of 29 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	7	5	0	0	0	0	4.6	4.5	4.6
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	1	8	3	0	0	0	3.9	4.2	4.4
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	6	5	1	0	0	0	4.5	4.6	4.7
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	2	4	5	1	0	0	3.5	4.0	4.2
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	1	2	3	1	4	1	2.7	3.0	3.0

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Zsigmond Palvolgyi seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	7	5	0	0	0	0	4.6	4.8	4.9
Zsigmond Palvolgyi explained material clearly.(Q199)	3	8	1	0	0	0	4.1	4.7	4.8
Zsigmond Palvolgyi treated students with respect.(Q217)	11	1	0	0	0	0	5.0	4.8	4.9

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	10	2	0	0	0	0	4.9
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	0	2	2	0	0	8	3.5
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	4	2	0	1	0	5	4.6
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	1	3	2	1	0	5	3.7
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	2	4	2	0	1	3	3.9

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Zsigmond Palvolgyi was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	7	4	1	0	0	0	4.6
Zsigmond Palvolgyi handled questions well. (Q200)	4	6	2	0	0	0	4.2
Zsigmond Palvolgyi was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	8	3	0	0	0	1	4.8
Zsigmond Palvolgyi set high standards for students. (Q241)	3	8	0	0	0	1	4.2
Zsigmond Palvolgyi was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	6	4	2	0	0	0	4.5
Zsigmond Palvolgyi seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	7	5	0	0	0	0	4.6

The medians are calculated from Fall 2022 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
I am sorry that I only attend the first several discussion sections. I think Zsigmond explains discussion questions clearly and handles questions seriously.
Zsigmond is a great GSI. Really cares for his students and does his best to assist us.
He's great at gauging reactions. I think he realized when we didn't fully understand a topic and he tried to explain it as best he could.
It was really good, wish there were more examples in lecture, loved the dog pictures
Zsigmond is the reason that I enjoy this class as much as I do. He explains the material so well and explains how concepts connect with great clarity. He is an excellent teacher and is clearly passionate about all of us succeeding in this course. Thank you, Zsigmond!
Zsigmond / section is the only thing getting me through 401. I should have reached out when I was struggling in the beginning. He doesn't always have the explanations for questions, but he always follows up. My respect for him is tenfold that of Miller.
N/A

University of Michigan
Fall 2022 Instructor Report
ECON 401-008: Interm Micro Thry
Zsigmond Palvolgyi

14 out of 28 students responded to this evaluation.

Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	6	7	1	0	0	0	4.4	4.5	4.6
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	3	8	3	0	0	0	4.0	4.2	4.4
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	4	8	2	0	0	0	4.1	4.6	4.7
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	4	6	2	1	0	1	4.1	4.0	4.2
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	1	0	10	2	1	0	2.9	3.0	3.0

Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Zsigmond Palvolgyi seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	9	4	1	0	0	0	4.7	4.8	4.9
Zsigmond Palvolgyi explained material clearly.(Q199)	9	3	0	2	0	0	4.7	4.7	4.8
Zsigmond Palvolgyi treated students with respect.(Q217)	12	2	0	0	0	0	4.9	4.8	4.9

Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	10	3	1	0	0	0	4.8
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	4	3	1	1	0	5	4.3
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	5	4	1	0	0	4	4.5
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	3	3	4	0	0	4	3.8
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	5	6	1	0	0	2	4.3

Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median
Zsigmond Palvolgyi was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	9	4	1	0	0	0	4.7
Zsigmond Palvolgyi handled questions well. (Q200)	8	5	1	0	0	0	4.6
Zsigmond Palvolgyi was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	8	5	1	0	0	0	4.6
Zsigmond Palvolgyi set high standards for students. (Q241)	4	7	3	0	0	0	4.1
Zsigmond Palvolgyi was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	6	7	1	0	0	0	4.4
Zsigmond Palvolgyi seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	5	7	2	0	0	0	4.2

The medians are calculated from Fall 2022 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

Written Comments

Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
Instruction was handled very well.
Zsigmond was a great GSI. He explained the handout problems very clearly and had a good balance between letting us try the questions first and guiding us through the harder questions. He also took the feedback we gave him well because he made the appropriate adjustments after that made discussions better. He also is great with answering students' questions, which made a lot of the harder topics in the course more accessible and clear.