

Introduction to Machine Learning
Fall 2018
University of Science and Technology of China

Lecturer: Jie Wang
Posted: Oct. 8, 2018
Name: Shilong Zhang

Homework 2
Due: Oct. 15, 2018
ID: PB14214061x

Notice, to get the full credits, please present your solutions step by step.

Exercise 1: Projection Operator 4pts

For a nonempty, closed, and convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the projection of an arbitrary point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ onto S is defined by

$$P_S(x) = \underset{z \in S}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|x - z\|_2. \quad (1)$$

Show that

1. (1pt) $P_S(x)$ always exists and is unique;
2. (2pt) $y = P_S(x)$ if and only if $y \in S$ and

$$\langle z - y, x - y \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in S.$$

3. (1pt) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|P_S(x) - P_S(y)\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2.$$

Solution: (1) 1. if $s \in S$, the conclusion is obviously ok.

2. if $s \notin S$, we consider a set that $B = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|z\| < 1\}$, we can find a β big enough to make $D = S \cap (x + \beta B) \neq \emptyset$, and D is a bounded closed set, and $\|x - z\|_2$ is a continuous function, so that the function must have a minima at $D \in S$, so we prove $P_S(x)$ always exists.

To prove it is unique, we assume x_1 can make $\|x - z\|_2$ get the minima which equal r , if there is another x_2 can make $\|x - z\|_2$ get the minima too, so the $x_3 = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}$, and x_3 must belong to S for S is a convex set. and x_1, x_2, x_3 can construct an isosceles triangle, and we must have $\|x_3 - x\| < r$, which is contradictory with r is the minima. So it is unique.

(2) we first prove if $y = P_S(x)$, there must have $\langle z - y, x - y \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in S$. we proof by contradiction, if there is a $z \in S$, can make $\langle z - y, x - y \rangle > 0$, we can find a $z_1 = y + t(z - y)$, and t is a constant, we now prove $\|z_1 - x\|_2 < \|y - x\|_2$ when t is small enough.

$$\|z_1 - x\|^2 = \|z_1 - y\|^2 + \|y - x\|^2 + 2\langle z_1 - y, y - x \rangle = t^2\|z - y\|^2 + \|y - x\|^2 - 2t\langle z - y, y - x \rangle$$

, when t is small enough, we can get

$$\|z_1 - x\|^2 < \|y - x\|^2$$

which is contradiction with $y = P_S(x)$. then we prove if $\langle z - y, x - y \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in S$, we have $y = P_S(x)$. we can easily prove it by the proof above, for any $z_{n-1} \in S$, we always can find a point $z_n = y + t(z_{n-1} - y)$ can make $\|z_n - y\|$ smaller than $\|z_{n-1} - y\|$, and the limit

of Z_n is y , so we prove it.

(3)

■

Exercise 2: Separation Theorems 6pts

Let $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty convex sets. Show that

1. (2pt) if S_1 is closed, then for $x \notin S_1$, there exists a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\langle v, y \rangle \leq \langle v, x \rangle - \epsilon, \forall y \in S_1;$$

2. (1pt) if S_1 and S_2 are closed, S_1 is bounded and $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, then there exists a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\langle v, x_1 \rangle \leq \langle v, x_2 \rangle - \epsilon, \forall x_1 \in S_1, x_2 \in S_2;$$

3. (2pt) for $x \notin S_1$, there exists a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\langle v, y \rangle \leq \langle v, x \rangle, \forall y \in S_1;$$

4. (1pt) if $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, then there exists a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\langle v, x_1 \rangle \leq \langle v, x_2 \rangle, \forall x_1 \in S_1, x_2 \in S_2.$$

Solution: 1. we assume that $x_1 = P_s(x)$, and make $v = x - x_1$, we have prove the $\langle v, y - x_1 \rangle \leq 0$, so $\langle v, y \rangle < \langle v, x_1 \rangle$, and $\langle v, x - x_1 \rangle > 0$, so $\langle v, x \rangle > \langle v, x_1 \rangle$, so $\langle v, y \rangle \leq \langle v, x \rangle - \epsilon, \forall y \in S_1$.

2. we construct a new set

$$S_0 = S_1 - S_2 = \{x_1 - x_2 | x_1 \in S_1, x_2 \in S_2\}$$

and we know S is convex, closed and $S \neq \emptyset$, and $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, so $0 \notin S$, so there must exist v can make

$$\langle v, x - 0 \rangle > 0, \forall x \in S \text{ the conclusion of question 1}$$

we prove it.

3. when S_1 is closed, we have prove it, and when S_1 is't closed, what we can define $P_s(x)$ as a limit point of $z_k \in S_1$ which can make $\|z_k - x\| < \|z_{k-1} - x\|$, and we easy to know $P_s(x) \in S_1^c$ because S_1 is't closed, so the proof of 1 the x can be x_1 , so $\langle v, x - x_1 \rangle < 0$ should change to $\langle v, x - x_1 \rangle \geq 0$, the = will be ok when x in the border of set S_1 .

so we get $\langle v, y \rangle \leq \langle v, x \rangle, \forall y \in S_1$

4. we can use the conclusion of 3 and do the same thing as 2 and we can prove it easily.

■