1 2 3 4 5	Steven E. Boehmer, Esq. (#144817) Matthew A. Thurmer, Esq. (#298523) McDOUGAL BOEHMER FOLEY LYON MITCHELL & ERICKSON 8100 La Mesa Blvd., Suite 200 La Mesa, California 91942 Telephone: (619) 440-4444 Facsimile: (619) 440-4907 Email: sboehmer@mcdougallawfirm.co Email: mthurmer@mcdougallawfirm.co	m
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant, DESERT AUTO GRO	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN	DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION
10	CHRISTOPHER HAO-KEPPEL, on behalf of the State of California, as a private attorney general,	Case No.: 37-2022-00044571-CU-OE-CTL
12	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR
13	V.	PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET NO. SIX (ERRONEOUSLY NUMBERED
14	DESERT AUTO GROUP V, LLC, a California	
15	Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-25, inclusive,	IMAGED FILE
16	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Michael T. Smyth Dept.: C-67 Conversion Filed Newsysher 2, 2022
17		Complaint Filed: November 3, 2022 Trial Date: May 23, 2025
18		
19	PROPOUNDING PARTY: CHRIS	TOPHER HAO-KEPPEL
20	RESPONDING PARTY: DESER	T AUTO GROUP V, LLC
21	SET NUMBER: SIX	
22	<u>PRELIMINAR'</u>	Y STATEMENT
23	These responses are made solely for the	e purpose of, and in relation to, this action. Each
24	answer is given subject to all appropriate obj	ections (including but not limited to objections
25	concerning competency, relevance, materiality, propriety and admissibility) which would require	
26	the exclusion of any statement contained herein if the Request were asked of, or if any statement	
27	contained herein were made by, a witness presen	nt and testifying in Court. All such objections and
28	grounds therefore are reserved and may be inter	posed at time of trial.

Responding party further objects to the Requests for Production to the extent that they seek production of documents (or information) that are (is) privileged under the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

The party on whose behalf the responses are given has not yet completed its investigation of the facts relating to this action, has not yet completed its discovery in this action, and has not yet completed its preparation for trial. Consequently, the following answers are given without prejudice to the answering party's right to produce, at the time of trial, subsequent discovery considered to be material.

Except for facts explicitly admitted herein, no admission of any nature whatsoever is to be implied or inferred. The fact that any Request herein has been responded should not be taken as an admission, or a concession of the existence, of any facts set forth or assumed by such Request, or that such answer constitutes evidence of any facts thus set forth or assumed. All responses must be construed as given on the basis of present recollection.

It is anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts, add meaning to the known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal conclusions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in, and variations from the contentions herein set forth.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 56:

All emails to CHRISTOPHER HAO-KEPPEL from DESERT AUTO GROUP V, LLC regarding his compensation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 56:

After a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, responding party is unable to comply with this demand at this time because all such emails, if any there were, are believed to have been purged due to retention periods. Responding party is continuing to inquire and will supplement this response should it uncover any responsive emails.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 57:

All emails to CHRISTOPHER HAO-KEPPEL from DESERT AUTO GROUP V, LLC referencing the vehicle's he financed.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 57:

After a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, responding party is unable to comply with this demand at this time because all such emails, if any there were, are believed to have been purged due to retention periods. Responding party is continuing to inquire and will supplement this response should it uncover any responsive emails.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 58:

All emails to CHRISTOPHER HAO-KEPPEL from DESERT AUTO GROUP V, LLC regarding his payroll.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 58:

After a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, responding party is unable to comply with this demand at this time because all such emails, if any there were, are believed to have been purged due to retention periods. Responding party is continuing to inquire and will supplement this response should it uncover any responsive emails.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 59:

A copy of CHRISTOPHER HAO-KEPPEL's salespersons license in effect during his employment at DESERT AUTO GROUP V, LLC.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 59:

After a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, responding party is unable to comply with this demand because it did not retain a copy of the requested license due to retention periods.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 60:

A copy of the salesperson license for each finance manager employed by DESERT AUTO GROUP V, LLC between August 10, 2021 through to the present date.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 60:

Objection. Overbroad. Vague and ambiguous. Not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 61:

All time edit reports for Pablo Amador's time clock entries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 61:
2	Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Without waiving said objection(s), responding party
3	responds as follows: None are known to have ever existed.
4	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 62:
5	All time edit reports for Pablo Amador's time clock entries.
6	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 62:
7	Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Duplicative and cumulative. Asked and answered.
8	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 63:
9	All time edit reports for the employees under the supervision Kamran Syed.
10	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 63:
11	Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Without waiving said objection(s), responding party
12	responds as follows: None are known to have ever existed.
13	
14 15	Dated: January 10, 2025 McDOUGAL BOEHMER FOLEY LYON MITCHELL & ERICKSON
16	
17	By: Mathy
18	Steven E Boehmer Matthew A. Thurmer
19	Attorneys for Defendant, DESERT AUTO GROUP V LLC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Hao-Keppel v. Desert Auto Group V, LLC, et al. Case No.: 37-2022-00044571-CU-OE-CTL
2	
3	PROOF OF SERVICE
4	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is
5	8100 La Mesa Blvd., Suite 200, La Mesa, California, 91942. On January 10, 2025, I served the following
6	document(s): DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET NO. FOUR; and
7	DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS,
8	SET NO. SIX (ERRONEOUSLY NUMBERED SET FIVE), to the following parties:
9 10 11 12 13	ARTHUR R. BOTHAM, JR. (#203072) LAW OFFICES OF ARTHUR R. BOTHAM 2169 First Avenue San Diego, California 92101 Phone: (619) 358-9738/Fax: (619) 393-0103 Email: artbotham@gmail.com Attorneys for CHRISTOPHER HAO-KEPPEL and the Aggrieved Employees under PAGA, on behalf of themselves, all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public
14 15 16 17	By United States mail (Certified, Return Receipt). I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses other than 8100 La Mesa Blvd., Suite 200, La Mesa, California, 91942. I placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
18	By personal service. I caused said documents to be hand-delivered to the addressee(s) on January 10, 2025, via Battle Attorney Service, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1011.
192021	By e-mail or electronic transmission. Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed below by 5:00 p.m. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and
23	correct and that this proof of service was executed on January 10, 2025, in La Mesa, California.
24	Amy Blake
25	Amy Blake
26	
27	
28	
- 1	