

Homework – 1

Exercise 1: [Rademacher complexities] Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denote a subset (not necessarily a vector space!) of vectors $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^\top$, and define the Rademacher complexity of the set A as

$$\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left[\sup_{a \in A} \langle a, \epsilon \rangle \right],$$

where $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)^\top$, and the ϵ_i s are independent Rademacher random variables.

1. Basic facts:

- (a) Justify that $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(-A)$.
- (b) Show that $\mathcal{R}(A) \geq 0$.
- (c) Prove that $\mathcal{R}(A \cup -A) = \mathbb{E}_\epsilon [\sup_{a \in A} |\langle a, \epsilon \rangle|]$, and give an example to justify that in general $\mathcal{R}(A \cup -A) \neq \mathcal{R}(A)$.

2. Show the next properties:

- (a) $A \subset B$ implies $\mathcal{R}(A) \leq \mathcal{R}(B)$.
- (b) $\mathcal{R}(cA + \{b\}) = |c| \mathcal{R}(A)$.
- (c) $\mathcal{R}(A + B) = \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$.
- (d) $\mathcal{R}(\text{Conv}(A)) = \mathcal{R}(A)$, with $\text{Conv}(A) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i a_i \mid (\theta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^n, \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i = 1\}$.
Hint: Use that with nonnegative scalars θ_i s and a real-valued function f , $\sup_{u_1, u_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i f(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \sup_{u_i} f(u_i)$.

3. Bounded-difference inequality (BDI):

Theorem [BDI]: Let $f : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a measurable function such that there exist numeric constants $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_i \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_i.$$

Then for every $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[f(D_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(D_n)] > t] \vee \mathbb{P}[f(D_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(D_n)] < -t] \leq e^{-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}}.$$

where $D_n = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ denotes a n -tuple of independent random variables.

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of real-valued functions such that $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_\infty \leq M < +\infty$, and let us note $\phi(D_n) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[f(X_i)] - f(X_i)) \right\} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \{(P - P_n)f\}$.

- (a) Prove that $|\phi(D_n) - \phi(D'_n(i))| \leq 2M/n$ a.s., for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $D'_n(i) = (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X'_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$ is equal to D_n up to the i th coordinate where X_i is replaced by a copy X'_i (same probability distribution as X_i).
- (b) Using the bounded-difference inequality (BDI), prove that for every $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_f (P - P_n)f - \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_f (P - P_n)f \right] > t \right] &\leq e^{-\frac{2nt^2}{(2M)^2}} \\ \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_f (P_n - P)f - \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_f (P_n - P)f \right] > t \right] &\leq e^{-\frac{2nt^2}{(2M)^2}} \end{aligned}$$

4. Symmetrization:

With the above notation,

(a) use convexity of the supremum and Jensen's inequality to justify that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_f (P - P_n) f \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_f \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(X'_i)) \right\} \right],$$

where X'_i is an independent copy of X_i .

(b) show that $f(X_i) - f(X'_i) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \epsilon_i [f(X_i) - f(X'_i)]$, and deduce that the joint distribution of $(f(X_i) - f(X'_i))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is equal to the one of $(\epsilon_i [f(X_i) - f(X'_i)])_{1 \leq i \leq n}$.

(c) deduce using the triangle inequality that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_f (P - P_n) f \right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}_{D,\epsilon} \left[\sup_f \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right\} \right].$$

(d) finally considering the set of n -dimensional vectors $\mathcal{F}(D_n) = \{(f(X_1), \dots, f(X_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_f (P - P_n) f \right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}_D [\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}(D_n)/n)].$$

REMARK: $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}(D_n)/n)$ is a function of $D_n = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ called the *empirical Rademacher complexity* of the function class \mathcal{F} at D_n . Its expectation with respect to D_n is called the Rademacher complexity of the function class \mathcal{F} .

□

Exercise 2:

1. ψ_2 -Orlicz norm:

Given the definition of the ψ_2 -Orlicz norm discussed along the class, we aim at proving that this is truly a norm.

(a) Using $x \mapsto e^x$ is convex on \mathbb{R} combined with Jensen's inequality, prove that $\|X\|_{\psi_2} = 0$ implies

$$E[X^2] \leq t^2 \ln(2), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Deduce that $\|X\|_{\psi_2} = 0$ implies $X = 0$ a.s.

(b) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, prove that $\|\lambda X\|_{\psi_2} = |\lambda| \|X\|_{\psi_2}$

(c) Assume X and Y have finite ψ_2 -norm. Using the convexity of $u \mapsto e^{u^2}$ on \mathbb{R} , prove that

$$\exp \left(\frac{X + Y}{\|X\|_{\psi_2} + \|Y\|_{\psi_2}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{\|X\|_{\psi_2}}{\|X\|_{\psi_2} + \|Y\|_{\psi_2}} e^{\left(\frac{X}{\|X\|_{\psi_2}} \right)^2} + \frac{\|Y\|_{\psi_2}}{\|X\|_{\psi_2} + \|Y\|_{\psi_2}} e^{\left(\frac{Y}{\|Y\|_{\psi_2}} \right)^2}$$

Deduce that $\|X + Y\|_{\psi_2} \leq \|X\|_{\psi_2} + \|Y\|_{\psi_2}$.

REMARK: Following the same arguments, the ψ_1 -Orlicz norm can be proved to be a norm as well.

2. Centering lemma:

By carefully checking the proofs made along the first class on sub-Gaussian random variables, it comes out that any random variable (not necessarily centered) with a finite ψ_2 -norm satisfies the following inequality.

$$\|X\|_k \leq \sqrt{\frac{e}{2}} \|X\|_{\psi_2} k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

The purpose is to prove this upper bound as well as studying a few consequences.

Assume that X is a real-valued random variable with finite ψ_2 -norm.

(a) Prove that it implies, for every $t > 0$, that

$$\mathbb{P}[|X| > t] \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{\mathbb{E}[X]\|\psi_2\|}}.$$

(b) Following the same strategy as in the lecture notes, show that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \|X\|_k \leq \frac{k^{1/k}}{\sqrt{2}} \|X\|_{\psi_2} \sqrt{k} \leq \frac{\sqrt{e}}{\sqrt{2}} \|X\|_{\psi_2} \sqrt{k},$$

where the last inequality comes from $\sup_{x>0} \log(x)/x \leq 1/2$.

(c) Prove that $\|\mathbb{E}[X]\|_{\psi_2} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{\sqrt{\log 2}}$.

(d) Deduce that there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that $\|\mathbb{E}[X]\|_{\psi_2} \leq c_2 \|X\|_{\psi_2}$.

(e) Combine the previous results to show that

$$\|X - E[X]\|_{\psi_2} \leq (1 + c_2) \|X\|_{\psi_2}.$$

Consequently, upper bounding the ψ_2 -norm of a non-centered random variable allows to get a bound on its centered counterpart, up to a numeric constant.

REMARK: Similarly with the ψ_1 -norm, a similar result is available as well. In particular, any random variable with a finite ψ_1 -norm satisfies that there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|X\|_k \leq c_1 \|X\|_{\psi_1} k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Then,

- $\|\mathbb{E}[X]\|_{\psi_1} \leq \underbrace{\frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{\log 2}}_{>1} \leq \frac{c_1}{\log 2} \|X\|_{\psi_1}$.

• This implies that

$$\|X - E[X]\|_{\psi_1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{\log 2}\right) \|X\|_{\psi_1}.$$

□