

Fundamentals of Statistical Learning; Prof. Dr. Celisse Alain;  
Homework 2, Exercise 2

Anton Zaitsev | 0230981826 | anton.zaitsev.001@student.uni.lu | University of Luxembourg

May 2, 2024

## Exercise 2

1.

First, let us study how  $K_h(X_1 - x_0)$  is bounded:

$$|K_h(X_1 - x_0)| = \left| \frac{1}{h} K \left( \frac{X_1 - x_0}{h} \right) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{h} \|K\|_\infty \right| = \frac{1}{h} \|K\|_\infty$$

Second, let us study how the expectation of  $K_h(X_1 - x_0)$  is bounded:

$$|\mathbb{E}[K_h(X_1 - x_0)]| \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\leq} \mathbb{E}[|K_h(X_1 - x_0)|] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|K\|_\infty}{h}\right] = \frac{1}{h} \|K\|_\infty$$

We get that:

$$0 < |K_h(X_1 - x_0) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_1 - x_0)]| \leq \frac{2}{h} \|K\|_\infty$$

Now, we can express the bound of  $\mathbb{E}[|\zeta_1|^k]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|\zeta_1|^k] &= \mathbb{E}[|K_h(X_1 - x_0) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_1 - x_0)]|^k] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{h} \|K\|_\infty\right)^k \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{h} \|K\|_\infty\right)^{k-2} \mathbb{E}[|K_h(X_1 - x_0) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_1 - x_0)]|^2] \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{h} \|K\|_\infty\right)^{k-2} \text{Var}(K_h(X_1 - x_0)) \\ &\leq \frac{k!}{2} \left(\frac{2}{h} \|K\|_\infty\right)^{k-2} \text{Var}(K_h(X_1 - x_0)) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

2.

In **1.** we proved that  $\zeta_1$  satisfies  $BC(v, c)$ . Notice that since  $X_i$ 's are independent and identically distributed real-valued random variables,  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$  are also  $n$  independent and identically distributed random variables by the definition of  $\zeta_i$ . Thus, we can use the Bernstein's inequality and get that for every  $t > 0$ :

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i > t\right] \vee \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i < -t\right] \leq e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2(v+ct)}}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i\right| > t\right] \leq 2e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2(v+ct)}}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (K_h(X_i - x_0) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_i - x_0)])\right| > t\right] \leq 2e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2(v+ct)}}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(X_i - x_0) - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(X_i - x_0) \right] \right| > t \right] \leq 2e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2(v+ct)}}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \hat{f}_h(x_0) - \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{f}_h(x_0) \right] \right| > t \right] \leq 2e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2(v+ct)}}$$

**3.**

We need to find  $y$  s.t.  $x = \frac{ny^2}{2(v+cy)}$ . Then:

$$x = \frac{ny^2}{2(v+cy)}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$y^2 - \frac{2cx}{n}y - \frac{2xv}{n} = 0 \Rightarrow y = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2cx}{n} \pm \sqrt{\frac{4c^2x^2}{n^2} + 4\frac{2xv}{n}} \right) = \frac{cx}{n} \pm \sqrt{\frac{c^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2xv}{n}}$$

We take  $y = \frac{cx}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{c^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2xv}{n}}$  so that  $y > 0$ . We then get:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > y \right] \leq e^{\frac{-ny^2}{2(v+cy)}}$$

with  $y = \frac{cx}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{c^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2xv}{n}}$ :

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > \frac{cx}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{c^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2xv}{n}} \right] \leq e^{-x} \quad v, c, x > 0$$

**Solving 3. using the solution from the exercise sheet**

Note that with this solution we only get an implication and not an equivalence as required in the exercise sheet.

Let  $y = \sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n}} + \frac{cx}{n}, v, c, x > 0$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{-ny^2}{2(v+cy)}} &= e^{\frac{-n \left( \frac{2vx}{n} + \frac{2cx}{n} \sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n} + \frac{c^2x^2}{n^2}} \right)}{2v+2c\sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n} + \frac{2c^2x}{n}}}} \\ &= e^{\frac{-x \left( 2v+2c\sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n} + \frac{c^2x}{n}} \right)}{2v+2c\sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n} + \frac{2c^2x}{n}}}} \\ &\leq e^{-x} \quad \text{hence implication, not equivalence} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $2v + 2c\sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n} + \frac{c^2x}{n}} \leq 2v + 2c\sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n}} + \frac{2c^2x}{n}$  since  $c, x > 0$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{-ny^2}{2(v+cy)}} &= e^{\frac{-x(2v+2c\sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n} + \frac{c^2x}{n}})}{2v+2c\sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n} + \frac{2c^2x}{n}}}} \\ &\leq e^{-x} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $y > 0$ :

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > y \right] \leq e^{\frac{-ny^2}{2(v+cy)}}$$

with  $y = \sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n}} + \frac{cx}{n}$ ,  $v, c, x > 0$ :

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > \sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n}} + \frac{cx}{n} \right] \leq e^{-x}$$

**4.**

By using the Bernstein's inequality on  $\zeta_i$  - iid real-valued random variables, we get

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i > t \right] \leq e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2(v+ct)}} \iff \mathbb{P} \left[ \hat{f}_h(x_0) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h(x_0)] > t \right] \leq e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2(v+ct)}} \quad \forall x > 0$$

With the above inequality equal to (from **3.**)

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \hat{f}_h(x_0) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h(x_0)] > \frac{cx}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{c^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2xv}{n}} \right] \leq e^{-x},$$

for  $x > 0$ .

Let  $g(x) = x^2$ . Note that to apply  $g$  to both sides of the inequality in the probability term, we need to be sure that both of these terms are positive. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \hat{f}_h(x_0) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h(x_0)] \right| > \frac{cx}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{c^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2xv}{n}} \right] &= \mathbb{P} \left[ \left( \hat{f}_h(x_0) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h(x_0)] \right)^2 > \left( \frac{cx}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{c^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2xv}{n}} \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 2e^{-x} \quad \forall x > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$  we achieve:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \left( \hat{f}_h(x_0) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h(x_0)] \right)^2 > 4 \left( \left( \frac{cx}{n} \right)^2 + \frac{xv}{n} \right) \right] \leq 2e^{-x} \quad \forall x > 0$$

Note that the result differs from the one in the exercise sheet. We would achieve the same result as in the exercise sheet if we used  $y = \sqrt{\frac{2vx}{n}} + \frac{cx}{n}$  and assumed that  $\hat{f}_h(x_0) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h(x_0)]$  is positive and followed the same steps as above.

**5.**

We know that  $\zeta_i$  are independent. From **1.** we know that  $\zeta_i$  are bounded by  $\frac{2}{h} \|K\|_\infty$ . Notice also that  $\zeta_i$  are centered, since  $\mathbb{E} [\zeta_i] = \mathbb{E} [K_h(X_i - x_0) - \mathbb{E} [K_h(X_i - x_0)]] = \mathbb{E} [K_h(X_i - x_0)] - \mathbb{E} [K_h(X_i - x_0)] = 0$ . Thus, we have all the requirements to use the Hoeffding's inequality for  $\zeta_i$ . Recall that Hoeffding's inequality requires that the random variables under consideration are independent, centered and bounded. Then, for all  $t > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \right| > t \right] &= \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \hat{f}_h(x_0) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h(x_0)] \right| > t \right] \\
&\leq 2e^{\frac{-2n^2 t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}} \\
&= 2e^{\frac{-2nh^2 t^2}{4\|K\|_\infty^2}} \quad \text{with } a = 0, b = \frac{2}{h}\|K\|_\infty \\
&= 2e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2c^2}} \quad \text{with } c = \left( \frac{2}{h}\|K\|_\infty \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

**6.**

We apply Hoeffding's inequality to  $\zeta_i$ :

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \right| > t \right] = \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \hat{f}_h - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h] \right| > t \right] \leq 2e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2c^2}} \quad \text{with } c = \left( \frac{2\|K\|_\infty}{h} \right)^2$$

Using Bernstein's inequality, we achieved the following bound:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \right| > t \right] = \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \hat{f}_h - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}_h] \right| > t \right] \leq 2e^{\frac{-nt^2}{2(v+ct)}}$$

where  $c = \frac{2\|K\|_\infty}{h}$  (same as before in Hoeffding's inequality) and  $v = \text{Var}(K_h(X_1 - x_0))$ .

We compare  $c^2$  and  $v + ct$ .

We can notice that in comparison to the Bernstein's inequality, Hoeffding's inequality considers the highest variance possible, which is  $c^2$ . For  $v \ll c^2$ , Bernstein's inequality provides a tighter upper bound. On the contrary, when  $v$  is close to  $c^2$  or equal to  $c^2$ , Hoeffding's inequality actually provides tighter bound. The advantage is limited, since the maximum variance of  $K_h(X_1 - x_0)$  is  $c^2$  (bounded).