

18. (a) The R. V. X follows Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda = 1.6 \times 3 = 4.8$.
 (b) $E(X) = \text{Var}(X) = \lambda = 4.8$.
 (c) The R command `ppois(9, 4.8)-ppois(4, 4.8)` gives us 0.4986.
 (d) $Y = 5000X$, thus $E(Y) = 5000E(X) = 24000$ and $\text{Var}(Y) = 5000^2 \text{Var}(X) = 1.2 \times 10^8$.
19. (a) $\text{Var}(X_1) = 2.6$ and $\text{Var}(X_2) = 3.8$.
 (b) Let T_1 and T_2 be the event that the article is handled by typesetter 1 and 2, respectively. Then

$$P(\text{No error}|T_1) = e^{-\lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_1^0}{0!} = e^{-2.6} \text{ and } P(\text{No error}|T_2) = e^{-\lambda_2} \frac{\lambda_2^0}{0!} = e^{-3.8}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{No error}) &= P(\text{No error}|T_1)P(T_1) + P(\text{No error}|T_2)P(T_2) \\ &= e^{-2.6} \times 0.6 + e^{-3.8} \times 0.4 = 0.0535. \end{aligned}$$

(c) By Bayes' Rule

$$P(T_2|\text{No error}) = \frac{P(\text{No error}|T_2)P(T_2)}{P(\text{No error})} = \frac{e^{-3.8} \times 0.4}{0.0535} = 0.1672.$$

22. (a) Each fish has a small probability of being caught. It makes sense to assume that each fish behaves independently, thus we have a large number of Bernoulli trials with a small probability of success. As a consequence of Proposition 3.4-1, the number of fish caught by an angler is modeled as a Poisson random variable.
- (b) The probability of each disabled vehicle being abandoned on I95 is small and it makes sense to assume that each vehicle owner behaves independently. Thus we have a large number of Bernoulli trials with a small probability of success. As a consequence of Proposition 3.4-1, the number of disabled vehicle being abandoned on I95 in one year is modeled as a Poisson random variable.
- (c) Each person has a small probability of dialing a wrong telephone number and it makes sense to assume that each person behaves independently. Thus, we have a large number of Bernoulli trials with a small probability of success. As a consequence of Proposition 3.4-1, the number of wrongly dialed number in a city in one hour is modeled as a Poisson random variable.

- (d) Each person has a small probability of living 100 years and it makes sense to assume that each person behaves independently. Thus, we have a large number of Bernoulli trials with a small probability of success. As a consequence of Proposition 3.4-1, the number of people who reach age 100 in a city is modeled as a Poisson random variable.
23. (a) Both of the two events mean that there is one event happened in $[0, t]$ and there is no event happened in $(t, 1]$.
- (b) From Proposition 3.4-2, $X(0.6)$ has a Poisson distribution with $\lambda_1 = 2 \times 0.6 = 1.2$ and $X(1) - X(0.6)$ has a Poisson distribution with $\lambda_2 = 2 \times 0.4 = 0.8$. Furthermore, $X(0.6)$ and $X(1) - X(0.6)$ are independent, thus

$$\begin{aligned} P([X(t) = 1] \cap [X(1) - X(t) = 0]) &= P([X(t) = 1]) P([X(1) - X(t) = 0]) \\ &= e^{-1.2} \frac{1.2^1}{1!} e^{-0.8} = 0.1624. \end{aligned}$$

(c)

- (i) Both $T \leq t$ and $X(t) = 1$ say that the event happened before or at time t .
- (ii) The proof uses Proposition 3.4-2 and the results in (i) and Part (a):

$$\begin{aligned} P(T \leq t | X(1) = 1) &= \frac{P([T \leq t] \cap [X(1) = 1])}{P(X(1) = 1)} = \frac{P([X(t) = 1] \cap [X(1) = 1])}{P(X(1) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{P([X(t) = 1] \cap [X(1) - X(t) = 0])}{P(X(1) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{P([X(t) = 1]) P([X(1) - X(t) = 0])}{P(X(1) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^1}{1!} e^{-\lambda(1-t)} \frac{(\lambda(1-t))^0}{0!}}{e^{-\lambda \times 1} \frac{(\lambda \times 1)^1}{1!}} = t. \end{aligned}$$

1. Let T be the life time. From the problem, we know that T has a exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda = 1/6$.
- (a) $P(T > 4) = 1 - P(T \leq 4) = 1 - F(4) = 1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda 4}) = e^{-\lambda 4} = 0.513$.
- (b) $Var(T) = 1/\lambda^2 = 36$. To find the 95th percentile, we solve the equation $F(t_{0.95}) = 0.95$, or, $1 - e^{-\lambda t_{0.95}} = 0.95$. $t_{0.95} = 17.9744$.
- (c) Let T_r be the remaining life time. By the memoryless property of exponential distribution, T_r still has exponential distribution with $\lambda = 1/6$. Thus,
- (i) $P(T_r > 5) = e^{-\lambda 5} = 0.4346$.

(ii) $E(T_r) = 1/\lambda = 6$.

2. Let T_1 be the time, in month, of the first arrival, then $T_1 \sim \text{Exp}(1)$.

(a) We are looking for $P(7/30 \leq T_1 \leq 14/30)$, by the CDF of exponential distribution, we have

$$P\left(\frac{7}{30} \leq T_1 \leq \frac{14}{30}\right) = \exp\left(-1 \times \frac{7}{30}\right) - \exp\left(-1 \times \frac{14}{30}\right) = 0.1648.$$

(b) Let T_2 be the remaining waiting time. By the memoryless property of exponential distribution, $T_2 \sim \text{Exp}(1)$. Thus, $E(T_2) = 1$, and $\text{Var}(T_2) = 1$.

3. From (3.5.3), $P(X > s+t|X > s) = P(X > t)$, we must have $1 - P(X > s+t|X > s) = 1 - P(X > t)$, or, $P(X \leq s+t|X > s) = P(X \leq t) = F(t)$. Using the expression for $F(T)$ given in (3.5.1), we have $P(X \leq s+t|X \geq s) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$.

5. (a) We could calculate this value by R command `qnorm(0.25, 43, 4.5)`, which gives 39.9648.

(b) We could calculate this value by R command `qnorm(0.9, 43, 4.5)`, which gives 48.76698.

(c) According to the requirement, $43+c$ must be the 99.5 percentile, which can be calculated using the command `qnorm(0.995, 43, 4.5)`, resulting in 54.59123. Thus, $c = 11.59123$.

(d) The probability of a randomly selected A36 steel having strength less than 43 is 0.5. Let Y be the number of A36 steels having strength less than 43 among 15 randomly selected steels, then we are calculating $P(Y \leq 3)$. This can be calculated using the R command `sum(dbinom(0:3, 15, 0.5))` and the result is 0.01757812.

6. (a) We could calculate this value by R command `1-pnorm(8.64, 8, 0.5)`, which gives 0.100.

(b) The probability is $0.1^3 = 0.001$.

7. (a) We could calculate this value by R command `pnorm(9.8, 9, 0.4)-pnorm(8.6, 9, 0.4)`, which gives 0.8185946.

(b) Let Y be the number of acceptable resistors, then Y has the binomial distribution with $n = 4$ and probability of success as calculated in part (a). By the binomial probability formula, $P(Y = 2) = 0.1323$. We could also get this result by using R command.

8. (a) We could calculate this value by R command $1-pnorm(600, 500, 80)$, which gives 0.1056498.
(b) We could calculate this value by R command $qnorm(0.99, 500, 80)$, which gives 686.1078.
9. (a) We could calculate this value by R command $qnorm(0.1492, 10, 0.03)$, which gives 9.968804.
(b) We could calculate this value by R command $pnorm(10.06, 10, 0.03)$, which gives 0.9772499.
10. (a) We could calculate this value by R command $pnorm(7.9, 10, 2)$, which gives 0.1468591.
(b) We could calculate this value by R command $qnorm(0.3, 10, 2)$, which gives 8.951199.