1. (a) Let  $\mu$  be the mean soil heat flux, then the null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0: \mu \le 31$$
 vs.  $H_a: \mu > 31$ .

- (b) If the null hypothesis is rejected, we should use the coal dust cover.
- 2. (a) The null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0: p \le 0.25$$
 vs.  $H_a: p > 0.25$ .

- (b) If the null hypothesis is rejected, we should adopt the modified bumper design.
- 3. (a) If the CEO wants to adopt it unless there is evidence that it has a lower protection index, then  $H_a: \mu < \mu_0$ .
  - (b) If the CEO does not want to adopt it unless there is evidence that it has a higher protection index, then  $H_a: \mu > \mu_0$ .
  - (c) If the null hypothesis is rejected for part (a), the CEO should not adopt the new grille guard and for part (b), the CEO should adopt the new grille guard.
- 4. (a) If the manufacturer does not want to buy the new machine unless there is evidence it is more productive than the old one, then  $H_a: \mu > \mu_0$ .
  - (b) If the manufacturer wants to buy the new machine unless there is evidence it is less productive than the old one, then  $H_a: \mu < \mu_0$ .
  - (c) If the null hypothesis is rejected for part (a), the manufacturer should buy the new machine and for part (b) the manufacturer should not buy the new machine.
- 5. (a) Let p be the proportion of all customers who qualify for membership, then the hypotheses are

$$H_0: p \ge 0.05$$
 vs.  $H_a: p < 0.05$ .

- (b) If the null hypothesis is rejected, the airline should not proceed with the establishment of the traveler's club.
- 6. (a) The statement is true.
  - (b) We determine C from the requirement that the probability of incorrectly rejecting  $H_0$  is no more than 0.05 or, in mathematical notation,

$$P(\bar{X} \geq C) \leq 0.05$$
 if  $H_0$  is true.

Over the range of  $\mu$  values specified by  $H_0$  (i.e.,  $\mu \leq 28,000$ ), the probability  $P(\bar{X} \geq C)$  is largest when  $\mu = 28,000$ . Thus, the requirement will be satisfied if C is chosen so that when  $\mu = 28,000$ ,  $P(\bar{X} \geq C) = 0.05$ . This is achieved by choosing C to be the 95th percentile of the distribution of  $\bar{X}$  when  $\mu = 28,000$ . Recall that  $\sigma$  is assumed to be known, this yields  $C = 28,000 + z_{0.05}\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ .

(c) Let

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{\bar{X} - 28,000}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}.$$

Then the standardized version of the rejection region is  $Z_{H_0} \geq z_{0.05}$ .

8. (a) Let p be the proportion of all detonators that will ignite, then the null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0: p \ge 0.9$$
 vs.  $H_a: p < 0.9$ .

(b) The standardized test statistic is

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{\hat{p} - 0.9}{\sqrt{0.9 \times 0.1/n}}.$$

- (c) The statement is false.
- 10. (a) The value of the test statistic is

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{28640 - 28000}{900/\sqrt{25}} = 3.556.$$

Since the RR is  $Z_{H_0} \geq z_{\alpha}$ , the smallest level at which  $H_0$  is rejected is found by solving  $3.556 = z_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha$ . Let  $\Phi(\cdot)$  be the cumulative distribution function of N(0,1). The solution to this equation, which is also the p-value, is

$$p - \text{value} = 1 - \Phi(3.556) = 0.00019.$$

(b) Since p-value< 0.05, the null hypothesis should be rejected at a 0.05 level of significance.

11. (a) The standardized test statistic is

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{8/50 - 0.25}{\sqrt{0.25 \times 0.75/50}} = -1.469694.$$

To sketch the figure, draw a N(0,1) PDF and shade the right of -1.469694, which represents the p-value.

- (b) The p-value is calculated as 0.9292. Since p-value> 0.05, the null hypothesis should not be rejected at a 0.05 level of significance.
- 1. (a) The value of test statistic is

$$T_{H_0} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{9.8 - 9.5}{1.095/\sqrt{50}} = 1.94.$$

The RR is  $T_{H_0} > t_{n-1,\alpha} = t_{49,0.05} = 1.68$ . Since 1.94 > 1.68, we should reject  $H_0$ .

- (b) Since the sample size n = 50 > 30, no additional assumptions are needed.
- (a) Let μ be the average permissible exposure, then the null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0: \mu \le 1$$
 vs.  $H_a: \mu > 1$ .

(b) The value of test statistic is

$$T_{H_0} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{2.1 - 1}{4.1/\sqrt{36}} = 1.61.$$

The RR is  $T_{H_0} > t_{n-1,\alpha} = t_{35,0.05} = 1.69$ . Since 1.69 > 1.61, we should not reject  $H_0$ . Since the sample size n = 36 > 30, no additional assumptions are needed.

- (c) By using Table A4 the p-value should be between 0.05 and 0.1. Using the R command 1-pt(1.61,35), the exact p-value is 0.058.
- 3. (a) Let  $\mu$  be the (population) mean penetration, then the null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0: \mu \le 50$$
 vs.  $H_a: \mu > 50$ .

(b) The value of test statistic is

$$T_{H_0} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{52.7 - 50}{4.8/\sqrt{16}} = 2.25.$$

The RR is  $T_{H_0} > t_{n-1,\alpha} = t_{15,0.1} = 1.34$ . Since 2.25 > 1.34, we should reject  $H_0$ . In order to make the test valid, we need the assumption that the population is normal.

3

- (c) By using Table A4 p-value should be between 0.01 and 0.025. Using R command 1-pt(2.25, 15), the exact p-value is 0.02.
- 4. (a) The value of test statistic is

$$T_{H_0} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{30.79 - 29}{6.53/\sqrt{8}} = 0.775.$$

The RR is  $T_{H_0} > t_{n-1,\alpha} = t_{7,0.05} = 1.89$ . Since 1.89 > 0.775, we should not reject  $H_0$ . Using R command 1-pt(0.775, 7), the exact p-value is 0.2318.

- (b) In order to make the test valid, we need the assumption that the population is normal.
- 5. (a) The standardized test statistic is

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{40/500 - 0.05}{\sqrt{0.05 \times 0.95/500}} = 3.0779.$$

The RR is  $Z_{H_0} < -z_{\alpha} = -z_{0.01} = -2.33$ . Since 3.0779 > -2.33, we should not reject  $H_0$ , and thus the traveler's club should be established.

- (b) The p-value is calculated as 0.999 by using R command pnorm(3.0779).
- 6. (a) Let p be the proportion of all customers in states east of the Mississippi who prefer the bisque color, then the null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0: p \le 0.3$$
 vs.  $H_a: p > 0.3$ .

(b) The standardized test statistic is

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{185/500 - 0.3}{\sqrt{0.3 \times 0.7/500}} = 3.416.$$

The RR is  $Z_{H_0} > z_{\alpha} = z_{0.05} = 1.645$ . Since 3.416 > 1.645, we should reject  $H_0$  at level 0.05.

- (c) The p-value is calculated as 0.0003 by using R command 1-pnorm(3.416). Since p-value is less than the significant level  $\alpha=0.01$ , we should reject  $H_0$ .
- 7. (a) Let p be the proportion of all consumers who would be willing to try this new product, then the the null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0: p \le 0.2$$
 vs.  $H_a: p > 0.2$ .

(b) The standardized test statistic is

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{9/42 - 0.2}{\sqrt{0.2 \times 0.8/42}} = 0.23.$$

The RR is  $Z_{H_0} > z_{\alpha} = z_{0.01} = 2.33$ . Since 0.23 < 2.33, we should not reject  $H_0$ . The *p*-value is calculated as 0.41 by using R command 1-pnorm(0.23). Thus, there is not enough evidence that the marketing would be profitable.

- 1. (a) When a null hypothesis is rejected, there is risk of committing Type I error.
  - (b) When a null hypothesis is not rejected, there is risk of committing Type II error
- 2. (a) True
  - (b) False
  - (c) False
- 3. (a) To calculate Type I error, we have

 $P(\text{Type I Error}) = P(H_0 \text{ is rejected when it is true})$ 

$$= P(X \ge 8 | p = 0.25, n = 20) = \sum_{k=8}^{20} {20 \choose k} 0.25^k 0.75^{20-k},$$

because under this situation, the random variable X has a binomial distribution with n=20 and p=0.25. This probability can be calculated using R command 1-pbinom(7,20,0.25), which gives us 0.1018 as the probability of Type I error.

(b) We first calculate the probability of Type II error as

 $P(\text{Type II Error when } p = 0.3) = P(H_0 \text{ is not rejected when } p = 0.3)$ 

$$= P(X < 8|p = 0.3, n = 20) = \sum_{k=0}^{7} {20 \choose k} 0.3^{k} 0.7^{20-k},$$

because under this situation, the random variable X has a binomial distribution with n=20 and p=0.3. This probability can be calculated using R command pbinom(7,20,0.3), which gives us 0.7723 as the probability of Type II error. Finally, the power is 1-0.7723 = 0.2277.

- (c) When n = 50 and rejection region is  $X \ge 17$ , the probability of Type I error can be found by the command 1-pbinom(16,50,0.25), which gives us 0.0983. The power at p = 0.3 can be found by the command 1-pbinom(16,20,0.3), which gives us 0.316. We found that as the sample size increases, we have a smaller probability of Type I error and more power.
- 4. (a) The R command 1-pwr.t.test(36, (2-1)/4.1, 0.05, power=NULL, "one.sample", "greater")\$power returns 0.583 as the probability of Type II error when the true concentration is 2 ppm.
  - (b) The R command pwr.t.test(n=NULL,~(2-1)/4.1,~0.05,~0.99,~"one.sample", alternative="greater") returns a sample size of 266.46, which is rounded up to 267.

- 5. In this problem, the hypotheses tells us that  $\mu_0 = 8.5$ . We require that the probability of delivering a batch of acidity 8.65 should not exceed 0.05, thus,  $\mu_a = 8.65$  and The type II error is 0.05, therefore the power is 0.95. We also know that the standard deviation from a preliminary study is 0.4, therefore,  $S_{pr} = 0.4$ . Combining this information, we use the commands library(pwr); pwr.t.test(n=NULL, (8.65-8.5)/0.4, 0.05, 0.95, "one.sample", alternative="greater"), which returns a sample size of 78.33 and is rounded up to 79.
- 6. (a) In this test, the testing statistic is

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}}$$

and because of  $H_a$ : p>0.2, the rejection region is  $Z_{H_0}>z_{\alpha}$ . Thus, the probability of Type II error at  $p_a=0.25$  is

$$\beta(0.25) = P(\text{Type II error}|p = 0.25) = P\left(\frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}} < z_\alpha \middle| p = 0.25\right)$$

$$= P\left(\hat{p} < p_0 + z_\alpha \sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n} \middle| p = 0.25\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{p_0 + z_\alpha \sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n} - p}{\sqrt{p(1 - p)/n}}\right).$$

For the calculation, we use the command pnorm((0.2+qnorm(1-0.01)\*sqrt(0.2\*0.8/42)-0.25)/sqrt(0.25\*0.75/42)) and it gives 0.9193 as the probability of Type II error.

- (b) To achieve power of 0.3 at  $p_a = 0.25$  while keeping the level of significance at 0.01, we should use the commands library(pwr); h=2\*asin(sqrt(0.25))-2\*asin(sqrt(0.2)); pwr.p.test(h, n=NULL, 0.01, 0.3, alternative="greater"). The code returns a sample size of 225.85 and is rounded up to 226.
- 7. (a) In this test, the testing statistic is

$$Z_{H_0} = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}}$$

and because of  $H_a$ : p < 0.05, the rejection region is  $Z_{H_0} < -z_{\alpha}$ . Thus, the probability of Type II error at  $p_a = 0.04$  is

$$\beta(0.04) = P(\text{Type II error}|p = 0.04) = P\left(\frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}} > -z_\alpha \middle| p = 0.04\right)$$

$$= P\left(\hat{p} > p_0 - z_\alpha \sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n} \middle| p = 0.04\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{p_0 - z_\alpha \sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n} - p}{\sqrt{p(1 - p)/n}}\right).$$

For the calculation, we use the command  $1\text{-}pnorm((0.05\text{-}qnorm(1\text{-}0.01)\text{*}sqrt(0.05\text{*}0.95/500)\text{-}0.04)/sqrt(0.04\text{*}0.96/500))}$  and it gives 0.926 as the probability of Type II error.

(b) To achieve power of 0.5 at  $p_a=0.04$  while keeping the level of significance at 0.01, we should use the following commands library(pwr); h=2\*asin(sqrt(0.04))-2\*asin(sqrt(0.05));  $pwr.p.test(h,n=NULL,\ 0.01,\ 0.5,\ alternative="less")$ . The code returns a sample size of 2318.77 and is rounded up to 2319.