http://www.hnagata.net/archives/496 P\\

Original from link above.

Original $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$ $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$ $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$ 2018年3月26日 I will show $p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$ and we are Palso becomes moderate.

Paiso Kert moderate. $\frac{2^{K}}{\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{K}}$ $\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{K}}$ $\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{K}}$ $\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{K}}$ $= \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} \left(\frac{1-\frac{2}{n}}{1-\frac{2}{n}} \right)^{k} \left(\frac{1-\frac{1}{n}}{1-\frac{2}{n}} \right)^{k}$ - 2" (1-2)" K-1 (1-1)" (1-1) Now $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2n} \right)^n = e^{-\lambda}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2n} \right)^{k-1}$, and lim TI (1- in) = 1 therefore lim (n) pk (1-p) n-1 = TK e-2

therefore lim (n) pk (1-p) n-1 = 1 K? this the proof you after see in textbooks. Here are two issues. one minor problem is with K=0. But this can be solved earily. The bigger problem is that under this limit N77K (n is much larger than k. we want this approximation to hold even for the close to n. The proof below addresse this problem. But before we start, we need a g ab refult. $\operatorname{lemma}: \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n} \right)^{\ln} = 1$ proof put m= 5n. $\lim_{m \to \infty} \left(\left| - \frac{\lambda}{m^2} \right|^m = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} \frac{\lambda^j}{m^{2j}}}{m^2}$ note we are assuming in in a square of an integer. This in ok to do. You may want to

think Why. = $1 + \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(-1\right)^{i} \left(\frac{m}{i}\right) \frac{\lambda^{i}}{h^{2i}}$ = |+ $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^{i} \binom{m}{i} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{m^{2i-1}}$ look at the terms of the summation. $\left| \left(-1 \right)^{i} \left(\frac{m}{i} \right) \frac{\lambda i}{m^{2i-1}} \right| = \left(\frac{m}{i} \right) \frac{\lambda^{i}}{m^{2i-1}} = \frac{m!}{j! (m-i)!} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{m^{2i-1}}$ < m. (m-1) ... (m-i+1) 2 m 2:-1 = mier Series with there terms are absolutely convergent. serier is Since the tems of om bounded by the terms of this series. om suin in also absolutely convergent. therefore fin I (-1)' (in) in in finite therefore lim L \(\frac{h}{2} \left(-1)^i \left(\frac{m}{i} \right) \frac{\lambda^{i}}{2^{i-1}} \) is

Now to the proof of PLT we will divida it in to three ceses lim (x) pl(11-p) n=1(= lim (1-p) = lim (1- 2) = e) 1st (or : K=0 = 2 7 2° good 无务可与分开的中心 13 Pazin 21 (3)

ignore.

cme 2: 1 & 16 6 5n

now for Osiela,

1- in 61 and

トラントニーニートカー かれか

therefore again by the Sandwitching

lin 1-i=1 therefore

| im | | | | | | | | =).

so ar in above, we get what

good. we went.

Thurfon, again, the two probabilitions
witch.

