ASSIGNMENT # 1 ADVANCED MACHINE LEARNING

Assignment overview:

Using the mlp model architecture provided by the professor containing 1 hidden layer and the activation function Sigmoid change the architecture to 1 hidden layer and work with activation function tanh then change the architecture to 3 hidden layers and use the activation sigmoid then change it to 3 hidden layers with activation function tanh. Compare the training and testing results of each. After comparing the results of each of the 4 different architectures and functions, evaluate which worked best, which didn't, and why.

Orignal Template: 1 Hidden Layer and Activation Function Sigmoid

```
import torch
import torch, nn as n
import torch, nn as n
import mutplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import torchvision.transforms as transforms

# Function to plot immages

# Uniformalize

# Uniformalize

# Unnormalize

# Unnormalize

# Unnormalize

# Evan numping = np.clip(nping, 0, 1)

# It immages

# Load the dataset

# From torchvision.datasets import MNIST

# Load the dataset

# From torchvision.datasets import MNIST

# Load dataset = MNIST('-/mnist_data', train=True, download=True, transform=transforms.ToTensor())

# Define the batch size and number of iterations to calculate epochs

# Load dataset to MIST('-/mist_data')

# Uniformalize

# Load dataset to dataloader

# Load dataset to dat
```

```
# Statistist Consideringtons
# 15 docs | Trigge | Trigge | Trigge |
# 1 | Computes software | Trigge | Trigge |
# 2 | Computes software | Trigge |
# 3 | Trigge | Trigge |
# 4 | Computes software |
# 5 | Trigge |
# 6 | Instantiate softmare
# 6 | Instantiate softmare
# 6 | Instantiate softmare
# 7 | Instantiate softmare
# 7 | Instantiate softmare
# 8 | Instantiate softmare
# 9 | Instantiate |
# 1 | Insta
```

Training Result:

```
Iteration: 500. Loss: 2.130690574645996.
Accuracy: 50.28
Iteration: 1000. Loss: 1.9725408554077148.
Accuracy: 52.52
Iteration: 1500. Loss: 1.6072311401367188.
Accuracy: 70.96
Iteration: 2000. Loss: 1.1860986948013306.
Accuracy: 74.64
Iteration: 2500. Loss: 1.1157934665679932.
Accuracy: 79.81
Iteration: 3000. Loss: 0.8797474503517151.
Accuracy: 81.97
Iteration: 3500. Loss: 0.76375412940979.
Accuracy: 83.98
Accuracy: Windows
```

Testing Result:

```
Prediction: tensor([0]). Labels: 0
Prediction: tensor([4]). Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor([1]). Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor([1]). Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 5
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 5
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 6
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 0
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 0
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([1]). Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor([1]). Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor([1]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 9
```

2: 1 Hidden Layer and Activation Function Tanh

```
import torch
import torch
import torch as n
import astplotlib.psplot as plt
import numsy as np
import torchvision.transforms as transforms

# function to plot images

# function to pl
```

```
### Building model with hidden layer (MEP: Multi Layer Perceptron)
class FeedforwardModelTanh(nn,Module):

def_init_(sef_input_dis, hidden_dis, output_dis):

super(FeedforwardModelTanh, sef_i)__init_()

super(FeedforwardModelTanh, sef_i)__init_()

super(FeedforwardModelTanh, sef_i)__init_()

super(FeedforwardModelTanh, sef_i)__init_()

super(FeedforwardModelTanh, sef_i)__init_()

def forward(sef_i, x):

out = sef_icl(x)

out = sef_icl(x)

out = sef_icl(x)

super(FeedforwardModelTanh(input_dis, hidden_dis, output_dis)

### Instantiate model

imput_dis = 28*28

injut_dis = 28*28

injut_dis = 28*28

injut_dis = 10

model = FeedforwardModelTanh(input_dis, hidden_dis, output_dis)

#### Instantiate consistintopyloss

### It does 2 things at the same time.

##### It computes softwax (logistic/softwax function)

#### Computes cross entropy

criterion = ms.CrossEntropyloss()

##### It is it
```

Training Results:

```
Iteration: 500. Loss: 0.6740624308586121.
Accuracy: 82.03
Iteration: 1000. Loss: 0.6168676018714905.
Accuracy: 86.44
Iteration: 1500. Loss: 0.3922017216682434.
Accuracy: 87.74
Iteration: 2000. Loss: 0.33130553364753723.
Accuracy: 89.27
Iteration: 2500. Loss: 0.12082458287477493.
Accuracy: 89.9
Iteration: 3000. Loss: 0.5180799961090088.
Accuracy: 90.33
Iteration: 3500. Loss: 0.6953763961791992.
Accuracy: 90.62
```

Testing Results:

```
Prediction: tensor([0]). Labels: 0
Prediction: tensor([4]). Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor([1]). Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor([4]). Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor([4]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 5
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([0]). Labels: 0
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 6
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 6
Prediction: tensor([9]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([1]). Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor([5]). Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor([5]). Labels: 5
Prediction: tensor([5]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([7]). Labels: 7
```

3: 3 Hidden Layers with Activation Function Sigmoid

```
# Train model
iter = 0
for epoch in range(num_epochs):
    for 1, (images, labels) in enumerate(train_loader):
        images = images.view(-1, 28*28).requires_grad_()
        optimizer_zero_grad()
        outputs = model(images)
        loss = criterion(outputs, labels)
        loss.backward()
        optimizer_step()
        iter + 1

        if iter % 500 == 0:
            correct = 0
            total = 0
            for images, labels in test_loader:
            images = images.view(-1, 28*28).requires_grad_()
            outputs = model(images)
            __ predicted = torch.max(outputs.data, 1)
            total = labels.size(0)
            correct = (predicted == labels).sue()
            accuracy = 100 * correct.time() / total
            print('Iteration: (). ioss: (). Accuracy: ()'.format(iter, loss.item(), accuracy))
```

Training Results:

```
Iteration: 500. Loss: 2.3478033542633057.
Accuracy: 10.1
Iteration: 1000. Loss: 2.3078713417053223.
Accuracy: 9.74
Iteration: 1500. Loss: 2.2825870513916016.
Accuracy: 9.74
Iteration: 2000. Loss: 2.3121373653411865.
Accuracy: 10.28
Iteration: 2500. Loss: 2.302565097808838.
Accuracy: 11.35
Iteration: 3000. Loss: 2.2815637588500977.
Accuracy: 10.28
Iteration: 3500. Loss: 2.2987074851989746.
Accuracy: 10.28
```

Testing Results:

```
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 0
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 5
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 0
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 6
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 6
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 5
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 9
Prediction: tensor([6]). Labels: 7
```

4: 3 Hidden Layers with Activation Function Tanh

```
import torch.
import torch.an as nn
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import nome and an association of the plant import matplotlib.

Front into plot images

def immhow(imp):

pnime = img.numpy()

pnime = np.transpose(npimg, (1, 2, 0))

mean = np.array((0.5, 0.5, 0.5))

std = np.array((0.5, 0.5, 0.5))

std = np.array((0.5, 0.5, 0.5))

plime = std = npime = mean

npime = np.clip(npime, 0, 1)

pli.shout()

# Load the dataset

train_dataset = MMIST('-/mmist_data', train=True, download=True, transformetransforme.ToTensor())

# Define the batch size and number of iterations to calculate epochs

batch_size = 16

n_ters = Som_iters / (len(train_dataset) / batch_size)

mm_mpochs = n_iters / (len(train_dataset) / batch_size)

# Load dataset to dataloader

train_loader = torch.utils.data.Dataloader(dataset=train_dataset, batch_size=batch_size, shuffle=True)

test_loader = torch.utils.data.Dataloader(dataset=test_dataset, batch_size=batch_size, shuffle=True)

test_loader = torch.utils.data.Dataloader(dataset=test_dataset, batch_size=batch_size, shuffle=False)
```

```
## Building model with a Naidom Layers (NLP. Faulti Layer Perceptron) using familiate class Fendforusardiodal Tankinn (Model):

def __inst.__(ccf, input_dim, hidden_dim, output_dim):

super(fendforusardiodal_fanin, set()__init_()

set(, inth) = nn. Tank()

set(, inth) = nn. Tan
```

Training Results:

```
Iteration: 500, Loss: 1.327955921334229. Accuracy: 64.9 
Iteration: 1000, Loss: 0.8662739369695276. Accuracy: 80.41 
Iteration: 1500, Loss: 0.4090269901753472. Accuracy: 88.29 
Iteration: 2000, Loss: 0.48641695261001597. Accuracy: 89.4 
Iteration: 2000, Loss: 0.48641695261001597. Accuracy: 89.4 
Iteration: 3000, Loss: 0.7688746452331543. Accuracy: 89.98 
Iteration: 3000, Loss: 0.7688746452331543. Accuracy: 89.98 
Iteration: 3000, Loss: 0.7688746452315431. Accuracy: 89.98
```

Testing Results:

```
Prediction: tensor(0)]. Labels: 0
Prediction: tensor(1)]. Labels: 4
Prediction: tensor(1)]. Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor(1)]. Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor(1)]. Labels: 1
Prediction: tensor(1)]. Labels: 2
Prediction: tensor(1)]. Labels: 9
```

1 Hidden layer + Sigmoid, initial, mid, final

	loss	accuracy	predictions
500	2.13	50.28	4/20 results wrong
2000	1.18	74.64	
3500	0.76	83.98	

1 Hidden layer + Tanh, initial, mid, final

	loss	accuracy	predictions
500	0.67	82.03%	1/20 results wrong
2000	0.33	89.27%	
3500	0.69	90.62%	

3 Hidden layers + Sigmoid, initial, mid, final

	loss	accuracy	predictions
500	2.34	10.10%	1/20 results correct
2000	2.31	10.28%	
3500	2.29	10.28%	

3 Hidden layers + Tanh, initial, mid, final

	loss	accuracy	predictions
500	1.32	64.9%	1/20 results wrong
2000	0.32	88.12%	
3500	0.32	90.31%	

Comparison

1. Activation Functions:

- Tanh consistently outperforms Sigmoid across all configurations. The loss values are lower, and the accuracy is higher for the Tanh configurations at all stages.
- The initial performance of both 1 Hidden Layer + Tanh and 3 Hidden Layers
 + Tanh is significantly better than their Sigmoid counterparts.

2. Model Complexity:

- The addition of 3 hidden layers does not improve the model's performance when using the Sigmoid activation function. In fact, it results in significantly poor performance, maintaining an accuracy around 10%, indicating severe underfitting.
- The 3 Hidden Layers + Tanh configuration shows better results compared to 3 Hidden Layers + Sigmoid, indicating that the deeper architecture can benefit from the Tanh activation.

3. Loss and Accuracy Trends:

- For both 1 Hidden Layer configurations, accuracy improves significantly with each iteration, especially for the Tanh model. The 1 Hidden Layer + Tanh achieves a final accuracy of 90.62%, compared to 83.98% for the 1 Hidden Layer + Sigmoid.
- The 3 Hidden Layers + Tanh model also achieves high accuracy (90.31%) but starts at a significantly higher initial loss compared to its single-layer counterpart.

4. Prediction Performance:

- 1 Hidden Layer + Tanh has the best predictive accuracy (1 wrong out of 20) at the initial stage, while 3 Hidden Layers + Sigmoid performs poorly with only 1 correct prediction out of 20.
- This indicates that the complexity added by the additional layers is detrimental when using Sigmoid but beneficial with Tanh.

Conclusion

- **Tanh** is the better activation function for this task, leading to higher accuracy and lower loss in both single-layer and multi-layer configurations.
- The performance of a model does not necessarily improve with more hidden layers when using **Sigmoid**; it is crucial to choose both the activation function and the architecture wisely.
- The 1 Hidden Layer + Tanh configuration provides the best balance of performance, accuracy, and computational efficiency for this dataset. In contrast, 3 Hidden Layers + Sigmoid appears to be ineffective and does not capture the complexities of the MNIST dataset well.