

A NOTE ON SWAN MODULES

ANUPAM SRIVASTAV

Department of Mathematics, Texas A & M University, College Station
TX 77843, USA

(Received 1 December 1988; accepted 17 July 1989)

1. INTRODUCTION

Swan modules determine a canonical subgroup of the locally free classgroup of a group ring. In fact, this subgroup is contained in the kernel group, i.e. Swan modules are free over maximal orders. In this note we consider Swan modules over certain non-maximal orders. Motivation for studying such Swan modules is provided by Galois module structure problem in Taylor¹⁰ where a certain generalised Swan module is shown to be the obstruction to the freeness of ring of integers over its associated order.

Let G be a group of order n . We set $\Sigma = \sum_{g \in G} g$, the sum of all group elements in the group ring ZG . For each integer s relatively prime to n , we define a Swan module

$$\langle s, \Sigma \rangle = s \cdot ZG + \Sigma \cdot ZG$$

a two-sided locally free ZG -ideal. Swan modules were introduced in Swan⁷. We remark that some authors use a different definition of Swan module, for instance see Gruenberg and Linnell⁴.

Each Swan module determines a class of $Cl(ZG)$, the locally free classgroup of ZG . It is well known that the set of all Swan classes form a finite subgroup $T(ZG)$ of $Cl(ZG)$, called the Swan subgroup of $Cl(ZG)$ (cf. Curtis and Reiner².) The locally free classgroup $Cl(ZG)$ is defined as the subgroup of elements of zero rank in $K_0(ZG)$, the Grothendieck group of finitely generated, locally free ZG -modules.

We now present the Galois module structure problem discussed in Taylor¹⁰. For a number field M , we write \mathcal{O}_M for its ring of integers and for any ring R we write $(a, b)R$ for the right ideal $aR + bR$. Let K be a quadratic imaginary number field with discriminant less than -4 . Moreover, assume that prime 2 splits in K/\mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathfrak{P} = \lambda \mathcal{O}_K$ denote a non-ramified, principal prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K , where $\lambda \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4\mathcal{O}_K}$. We fix positive integers $r > m$ and let N (respectively, L) denote K ray classfield mod $4\mathfrak{P}^{m+r}$ (respectively, $4\mathfrak{P}^r$). Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(N/L)$ and $\mathcal{R} = \{x \in L\Gamma : \mathcal{O}_N \cdot x \subseteq \mathcal{O}_N\}$, the associated order of the extension N/L in $L\Gamma$.

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(s, \lambda) \mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K$, we define a locally free \mathcal{A} -ideal, $I_s = (s, \lambda^{-m} \sum_{\Gamma} \mathcal{A})$. We shall call I_s an elliptic Swan module (the use of 'elliptic' would become clear in Section 3). Taylor¹⁰ showed that \mathcal{O}_N is a free \mathcal{A} -module if, and only if the elliptic Swan module I_2 is \mathcal{A} -free.

In Srivastav⁶ it is shown that $I_s = (s, \sum_{\Gamma} \mathcal{A})$ and therefore, it is obtained from the Swan module $(s, \sum_{\Gamma} \mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$ by an extension of rings. Thus, if \mathcal{P} splits in K/\mathbb{Q} then Γ is cyclic so that $T(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma) = 0$ (cf. Swan⁷). In that case $(2, \sum_{\Gamma} \mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$ is $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -free (since Γ is abelian, the Eichler condition is satisfied) and so I_2 is \mathcal{A} -free. Taylor⁹ had shown that \mathcal{O}_N is \mathcal{A} -free if \mathcal{P} splits in K/\mathbb{Q} without using Swan modules. So in the sequel we assume that \mathcal{P} is inert in K/\mathbb{Q} and set λ equal to an odd rational prime p . In this case Γ is a non-cyclic group of order p^{2m} .

Next, we note that the \mathbb{Z} -order $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma + \mathbb{Z}(p^{-m} \sum_{\Gamma})$ is contained in \mathcal{A} . More generally, we let G be any abstract group of order p^k . For each integer j , $0 \leq j < k$ we consider the \mathbb{Z} -order $\Lambda_j = \mathbb{Z}G + \mathbb{Z}(p^{-j} \sum)$. The Swan subgroup $T(\mathbb{Z}G)$ maps, by an extension of rings, onto a subgroup $T(\Lambda_j)$, determined by Swan modules $(s, p^{-j} \sum) \Lambda_j$ with $p \nmid s$, in the locally free classgroup $Cl(\Lambda_j)$. From Taylor's theorem⁸ that $T(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is a cyclic group of order p^{k-1} , we are able to show that $T(\Lambda_j)$ is a cyclic group of order p^{k-1-j} (cf. Theorem 2).

As a corollary, set $G = \Gamma$ and $m = 1$ to show that I_2 is \mathcal{A} -free. This led the author to state.

Theorem 1—The elliptic Swan module I_2 is a principal ideal of the associated order \mathcal{A} .

We⁶ used transcendental means to show that for $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ the elliptic Swan module is, indeed, \mathcal{A} -free. The hypothesis $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ was introduced in Srivastav⁶ since in that case we could use the Lubin-Tate formal group law of the Fueter model in describing the local Galois module structure (cf. remark on page 173 of Cassou-Noguès and Taylor¹). We shall employ the technical device of relative Lubin-Tate formal groups in removing this hypothesis in Section 3 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

2. THE SWAN SUBGROUP

We keep the notation of Section 1. Let G be a group of order n . For each positive integer f that divides n , we define a \mathbb{Z} -order

$$\Lambda(f) = \mathbb{Z}G + \mathbb{Z} \cdot f^{-1} \sum \quad \dots(2.1)$$

in the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$. We should note that $\Lambda(f)$ is \mathbb{Z} -torsion free and finitely generated as a \mathbb{Z} -module.

Let us fix f . For each integer s relatively prime to n , we define a $\Lambda(f)$ -ideal

$$\langle s, f^{-1} \Sigma \rangle (f) = (s, f^{-1} \Sigma) \Lambda(f). \quad \dots(2.2)$$

We call this ideal a Swan module of $\Lambda(f)$ in the view of the following.

Lemma 1—With the above notation,

$$\langle s, f^{-1} \Sigma \rangle (f) = (s, \Sigma) \Lambda(f).$$

PROOF : Clearly, $\langle s, f^{-1} \Sigma \rangle (f) \supseteq (s, \Sigma) \Lambda(f)$. It suffices to show the equality locally at each prime q . If $q \mid s$, then $f \in \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$ and we obtain the desired equality. On the other hand, if $q \nmid s$, then $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$ and both ideals equal $\Lambda(f)_q$.

Thus $\langle s, f^{-1} \Sigma \rangle (f)$ is a locally free $\Lambda(f)$ -ideal obtained from the usual Swan module $\langle s, \Sigma \rangle$ by extension of rings. It, therefore, determines a class, $\langle s, \Sigma \rangle (f)$ in $Cl(\Lambda(f))$. We denote the set of all swan classes in $Cl(\Lambda(f))$ by $T(\Lambda(f))$. The inclusion

$$i : \mathbb{Z}G \hookrightarrow \Lambda(f)$$

induces a surjective homomorphism

$$i_* : Cl(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow Cl(\Lambda(f))$$

such that $T(\Lambda(f)) = i_*(T(\mathbb{Z}G))$. Hence $T(\Lambda(f))$ is a subgroup of $Cl(\Lambda(f))$ and we shall call $T(\Lambda(f))$, the Swan subgroup of $Cl(\Lambda(f))$.

For convenience we shall write $\Lambda = \Lambda(1) = \mathbb{Z}G$. Let ϵ be the augmentation map of $\mathbb{Z}G$ and we denote its restriction on $\Lambda(f)$ by ϵ_f . Now, we consider a fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda(f) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_f} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \theta_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_f \\ \frac{\Lambda(f)}{(f^{-1} \Sigma)} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\epsilon}_f} & \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f^{-1} n\mathbb{Z}} \end{array} \quad \dots(2.3)$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}_f$ is induced by ϵ_f and ϕ_f, θ_f are quotient maps.

The inclusion $i : \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda(f)$ induces an isomorphism

$$i' : \frac{\Lambda}{(\Sigma)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{\Lambda(f)}{(f^{-1} \Sigma)}. \quad \dots(2.4)$$

The fact that $\Lambda/(\Sigma)$ is a finitely generated free \mathbb{Z} -module shows that $\Lambda(f)/(f^{-1} \Sigma)$ is also a \mathbb{Z} -order. For $f = 1$, the fiber diagram (2.3) was considered by Ullom¹¹. This fiber diagram allows us to study the relation between the K -theory of $\Lambda(f)$ and that

of \mathbb{Z} , $\Lambda(f)/(f^{-1}\Sigma)$ and $\mathbb{Z}/f^{-1}n\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, there is an exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence of Reiner and Ullom⁵.

$$K_1(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus K_1\left(\frac{\Lambda(f)}{(f^{-1}\Sigma)}\right) \rightarrow K_1\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f^{-1}n\mathbb{Z}}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_f} D(\Lambda(f)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \dots(2.5)$$

where $D(\Lambda(f))$ is the kernel group of $\Lambda(f)$. We recall that $D(\Lambda(f))$ is a subgroup of $Cl(\Lambda(f))$ and for any ring R , $K_1(R) = \frac{GL(R)}{GL'(R)}$, where the general linear group $GL(R) = \varinjlim GL_n(R)$ and $GL'(R)$ = the commutator subgroup of $GL(R)$. Moreover, in (2.5) $K_1(\mathbb{Z})$ (respectively, $K_1(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f^{-1}n\mathbb{Z}})$) may be identified with $\mathbb{Z}^x = \{\pm 1\}$ (respectively, $(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f^{-1}n\mathbb{Z}})^x$) via the determinant map.

For $f = 1$, in (2.7) of Ullom¹¹ it is shown that $\partial_1(s \bmod n\mathbb{Z}) = [s, \Sigma]$. In exactly the same manner we obtain the following :

Proposition 1—The connecting homomorphism ∂_f in (2.5) is given by

$$\partial_f(s \bmod f^{-1}n\mathbb{Z}) = [s, \Sigma](f).$$

The exact sequence (2.5) may now be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{Z}^x \oplus K_1\left(\frac{\Lambda(f)}{(f^{-1}\Sigma)}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f^{-1}n\mathbb{Z}}\right)^x \rightarrow T(\Lambda(f)) \rightarrow 0. \quad \dots(2.6)$$

Next, we note the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\Lambda}{(\Sigma)} & \xrightarrow{(\phi_1, -\bar{\epsilon}_1)} & \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}} \\ (id, i') \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_f \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\Lambda(f)}{(f^{-1}\Sigma)} & \xrightarrow{(\phi_f, -\bar{\epsilon}_f)} & \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f^{-1}n\mathbb{Z}} \end{array} \quad \dots(2.7)$$

where π_f is the quotient map.

From (2.7) using the functoriality of K_1 and the exactness of (2.6) together with Proposition 1 and Lemma 1 we obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z}^x \oplus K_1\left(\frac{\Lambda}{(\Sigma)}\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}\right)^x & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & T(\Lambda) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \left(id, i'_*\right) \downarrow & & \pi_f \downarrow & & i_* \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{Z}^x \oplus K_1\left(\frac{\Lambda(f)}{(f^{-1}\Sigma)}\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f^{-1}n\mathbb{Z}}\right)^x & \xrightarrow{\partial_f} & T(\Lambda(f)) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad \dots(2.8)$$

In particular, we have

$$\text{Ker}(\partial_f) = n_f (\text{Ker}(\partial_1)). \quad \dots(2.9)$$

Thus, if $T(\Lambda)$ is explicitly known then $T(\Lambda_f)$ can also be calculated explicitly. For instance, if G is a cyclic group then we know that $T(\Lambda)$ is trivial so that $T(\Lambda_f)$ is also trivial. As another example, we consider the case of p -groups. We remark that only in this section p may equal 2.

Let G be a non-cyclic p -group so that $n = p^k$. It is known that $T(\Lambda)$ is trivial for a dihedral 2-group and that $|T(\Lambda)| = 2$ for a generalized quaternion 2-group or a semidihedral 2-group (cf. Taylor⁸). Ullom conjectured that for a non-cyclic p -group which is not one of these types of 2-groups mentioned above

$$|T(ZG)| = \begin{cases} p^{k-1}, & p \text{ odd} \\ 2^{k-2}, & p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Taylor used Fröhlich's hom description for $Cl(\Lambda)$, and introduced a modified version of the p -adic logarithm to give a remarkable proof of Ullom's conjecture [cf. (2.5) of Taylor⁸]. Taylor's theorem can now be generalised to describe $T(\Lambda_f)$.

For convenience we now write Λ_j for $\Lambda_{(p^j)}$ where $0 \leq j \leq k$. Using (2.8) and so (2.9) we deduce from Taylor's theorem [(2.5) of Taylor⁸].

Theorem 2—Let G be a non-cyclic p -group of order p^k . If $p = 2$, assume that G is not a generalised quaternion, dihedral or semidihedral group. Let $0 \leq j < k$ (if $p = 2$, $0 \leq j < k - 1$).

- (i) If $p \neq 2$, then $T(\Lambda_j)$ is a cyclic group of order p^{k-j-1} with $[1 + p, \Sigma]_{(p^j)}$ as a generator.
- (ii) If $p = 2$, then $T(\Lambda_j)$ is a cyclic group of order 2^{k-j-2} with $[5, \Sigma]_{(2^j)}$ as a generator.

Now let us return to the Galois module structure problem of Section 1. We let p be an odd prime, inert in K/Q and set $G = \Gamma$, then $|G| = p^{2m}$. We also note that $\Lambda_m \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. In case $m = 1$, we conclude that $T(\Lambda_m) = 0$. Moreover, if $m = 2$ and p^2 is a Wieferich square, i.e. $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, then $[2, \Sigma]_{(p^2)} = 0$. Thus we have,

Corollary 1—Let p be inert in K/Q . If either $m = 1$ or $m = 2$ and p^2 is a Wieferich square then I_2 is a principal \mathcal{H} -ideal.

An example of Wieferich square is 1093^2 .

3. ELLIPTIC SWAN MODULES

As in Taylor¹⁰ and Srivastav⁶ we consider the lattice $\Omega = \mathcal{O}_K$ in \mathbb{C} . We fix a primitive 4-division point ψ of \mathbb{C}/Ω such that 2ψ has annihilator 2Ω . We set a complex

number

$$t = \frac{12 \mathcal{P}(2\psi)}{\mathcal{P}(\psi) - \mathcal{P}(2\psi)}$$

where \mathcal{P} is the usual Weierstrass \mathcal{P} -function for Ω .

Let

$$e : y^2 = 4x^3 + tx^2 + 4x$$

be an elliptic curve with the identity of the group law at the origin $O = (0 : 0 : 1)$. We know¹ (Chapter XI) that $t^2 - 2^6$ is a unit in $K(4)$, the K ray classfield mod 4 \mathcal{O}_K . Moreover, the discriminant of \mathcal{E} is 4 ($t^2 - 2^6$). Thus \mathcal{E} has good reduction at all odd primes. There is an isomorphism [cf. (4.10) Srivastav⁶] called the Fueter model

$$\xi : \mathbb{C}/\Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} e$$

given by

$$\xi(z) = \begin{cases} (T(z) : T_1(z) : 1), & z \neq 2\psi \\ (0 : 1 : 0), & z = 2\psi \end{cases}$$

where T and T_1 are two elliptic functions for Ω . We set

$$D(z) = \frac{T(z)}{T_1(z)}$$

an elliptic function for Ω .

Let $E = \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{p^m \mathcal{O}_K}$, a finite ring. Then as in Taylor¹⁰, the Galois group Γ and the group of p^m -division points of \mathbb{C}/Ω are both rank one free E -modules. We write both the E -actions exponentially as in Srivastav⁶.

Let γ be an E -generator of Γ and α a primitive p^m -division point of \mathbb{C}/Ω . In Srivastav⁶ we defined the resolvent element ρ associated with α and γ by

$$\rho = p^{-m} \sum_{e \in E} \frac{D(\alpha^e + \psi)}{D(p^m \psi)} \gamma^{[e]}.$$

From (5.7) of Srivastav⁶ we know that $p^m \rho \in \mathcal{O}_L \Gamma$.

Moreover, in (8.1) of Srivastav⁶ we showed that if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, then $\rho \in \mathcal{H}$. We show

Theorem 3—The resolvent element ρ lies in the associated order \mathcal{H} .

From (3.6) and (5.17) of Srivastav⁶ we obtain Theorem 1 as a consequence of

Theorem 3. In order to prove Theorem 3 we need to look at the formal group associated with the Fueter model in some detail.

We fix an embedding of \bar{Q} , a fixed algebraic closure of Q , in \bar{Q}_p , a fixed algebraic closure of Q_p , so that it corresponds to \mathcal{P} for K . We write M' for the closure in \bar{Q}_p of a field $M \subseteq \bar{Q}$. We note that $K(4)' = K'$ and $t \in K(4)$. We denote by P the maximal ideal of the ring of integers of \bar{Q}_p .

Let \mathcal{E}' denote the elliptic curve \mathcal{E} of the Fueter model (2.3) considered locally at P . This local elliptic curve \mathcal{E}' admits complex multiplication and has good reduction modulo P . Let \mathcal{E}'_0 denote the kernel of reduction of \mathcal{E}' modulo P . For convenience we write (x, y) for a point on \mathcal{E}' with projective coordinates $(x : y : 1)$.

We know¹ (Chapter X) that there is a Lubin-Tate formal group law F' defined over $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$, for a uniformizer $p' \in \{\pm p\}$, where the parameter

$$t = \frac{2x}{y} \text{ on } F' \quad \dots(3.1)$$

is associated with the point (x, y) on \mathcal{E}'_0 . Therefore, for a positive integer s and a primitive p^s -division point α_s of C/Ω , $(T(\alpha_s), T_1(\alpha_s)) \in \mathcal{E}'_0$ and the associated parameter $2D(\alpha_s)$ on F' is a primitive p^s -division point for F' .

Next, we note that $\frac{D(\alpha_s)}{D(\psi)} \in K(4\mathcal{P}^s)$ (cf. (5.6) of Srivastav⁶) and in addition, $\left[K' \left(\frac{D(\alpha_s)}{D(\psi)} \right) : K' \right] = [K(4\mathcal{P}^s)' : K']$. Thus we obtain

$$K(4\mathcal{P}^s)' = K' \left(\frac{D(\alpha_s)}{D(\psi)} \right). \quad \dots(3.2)$$

Now from (5.5) of Srivastav⁶ and (6.8). Chapter IX of Cassou-Noguès and Taylor¹ we infer that $D(\psi) \in K(8)$ and $D^2(\psi) = (t+8)^{-1} \in K(4)$. This shows that $K'(D(\psi))/K'$ is an unramified extension of degree d , where $d|2$. Henceforth, we write K'_n for the unique unramified extension of K' of degree n so that

$$K'_d = K'(D(\psi)). \quad \dots(3.3)$$

In view of (3.2) we have

$$K'_d(D(\alpha_s)) = K(4\mathcal{P}^s)'(D(\psi)). \quad \dots(3.4)$$

From local classfield theory as in Taylor¹⁰ there is a relative Lubin-Tate formal group law F'' on $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$, for a uniformizer p'' such that

$$K(4\mathcal{P}^s)' = K'(\omega_s) \quad \dots(3.5)$$

where ω_s is a primitive p^s -division point for F'' . Combining (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$K'_d(D(\alpha_s)) = K'_d(\omega_s). \quad \dots(3.6)$$

The finite ring E acts on p^m -division points of C/Ω and also on p^m -division points of F'' .

Proposition 2—Let α be a primitive p^m -division point of C/Ω . Then there exists

(i) a formal power series $\theta(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{K'_d}[[X]]$

and

(ii) a primitive p^m -division point ω of F'' such that

$$2D(\alpha^e) = \theta(\omega[e]) \quad \forall e \in E.$$

PROOF : We view both F' and F'' as relative Lubin-Tate formal group laws over $\mathcal{O}_{K'_d}$. From (1.2), Chapter I of de Shalit³ we see that F' (respectively, F'') is a relative Lubin-Tate formal group law for $(p')^d$ (respectively, $(p'')^d$). For each positive integer s , let α_s (respectively, ω_s) be a primitive p^s -division point of C/Ω (respectively, F'').

We know from (1.8), Chapter I of de Shalit³ that $K'(D(\alpha_s))$ (respectively, $K'_d(\omega_s)$) is the classfield for K' to the subgroup $\langle (p')^d \rangle \cdot (1 + \mathcal{P}^s)$ (respectively, $\langle (p'')^d \rangle \cdot (1 + \mathcal{P}^s)$) of $(K')^*$. From (3.6) we deduce that

$$\langle (p')^d \rangle \cdot (1 + \mathcal{P}^s) = \langle (p'')^d \rangle \cdot (1 + \mathcal{P}^s). \quad \dots(3.7)$$

Since (3.7) holds for each positive integer s we must have

$$(p')^d = (p'')^d. \quad \dots(3.8)$$

From (3.8) we conclude that F' and F'' are both relative Lubin-Tate formal group law for $(p')^d$. Hence by (1.5), Chapter I of de Shalit³, F' and F'' are isomorphic formal group laws over $\mathcal{O}_{K'_d}$ and there exists a formal power series $\theta(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{K'_d}[[x]]$ such that

$$\theta((F''(X, Y)) = F'(\theta(X), \theta(Y)) \quad \dots(3.9)$$

and

$$\theta([a]_{F''}(X)) = [a]_{F'}(\theta(X)) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}. \quad \dots(3.10)$$

In view of (3.10) there exists a primitive p^m -division point ω of F'' such that

$$2D(\alpha) = \theta(\omega). \quad \dots(3.11)$$

Moreover, applying (3.10) on (3.11) we obtain

$$2D(\alpha^e) = \theta(\omega^{[e]}) \quad \forall e \in E$$

proving the proposition.

Remark : In the case that $d = 1$, from (3.8) we obtain that $p' = p''$ and then $\theta(X) = X$. Indeed, this is the case for $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ as seen in (8.2) of Srivastav⁶, which is

$$2D(\alpha^e) = \omega^{[e]} \quad \forall e \in E. \quad \dots(3.12)$$

We also note that if $d = 2$ then $p' = -p''$.

Now we can prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3—As in the proof of (8.1) of Srivastav⁶ it suffices to show that $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_q$ whenever q is a prime of \mathcal{O}_L such that $q \mid \mathcal{F}$. For such a prime q of \mathcal{O}_L we fix the embedding of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ so that it corresponds to q_N over N where q_N is the unique prime of \mathcal{O}_N with $q = q_N \cap \mathcal{O}_L$.

We write \mathcal{H}' for \mathcal{H}_q . From (3.3) and (3.6) of Srivastav⁶ we note that

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{O}_{L'} \cdot 1_{\Gamma} + \sum_{i=0}^{p^{2m}-2} \mathcal{O}_{L'} \cdot \sigma_i \quad \dots(3.13)$$

where

$$\sigma_i = p^{-m} \cdot \sum_{e \in E} (\omega^{[e]})^i \gamma^{[e]} - 1_{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{H}' \quad \forall i \geq 0.$$

We set

$$L' = L'(D(\psi)) \quad \dots(3.14)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}'' = \mathcal{O}_{L'} \cdot 1_{\Gamma} + \sum_{i=0}^{p^{2m}-2} \mathcal{O}_{L'} \cdot \sigma_i \quad \dots(3.15)$$

an $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ -order in $L' \Gamma$. Since $\{1_{\Gamma}, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{p^{2m}-2}\}$ forms an L' -basis of $L' \Gamma$ we deduce that

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}'' \cap L' \Gamma. \quad \dots(3.16)$$

Thus in order to prove Theorem 3 it suffices to show that $\rho \in \mathcal{H}''$ since $\rho \in L' \Gamma$. We show that $\rho \in \mathcal{H}''$ by proceeding exactly as in the proof of (8.1) of Srivastav⁶ and using Proposition 2 instead of (3.12).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to S. V. Ullom for suggesting the use of relative Lubin-Tate formal groups.

REFERENCES

1. Ph. Cassou-Noguès and M. J. Taylor, Rings of Integers and Elliptic Functions, *Progress in Mathematics*, 66 Birkhäuser, Boston, 1987.
2. C. W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory with Application to Finite Groups and Orders*, Vol. II, John Wiley & Sons, 1987.
3. E. de Shalit, *Iwasawa Theory of Elliptic Curves with Complex Multiplication*, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, 1987.
4. K. W. Gruenberg and P. A. Linnell, *Illinois J. Math.* **32** (3) (1988), 361–74.
5. Irving Reiner and S. Ullom, *J. Algebra* **31** (1974), 305–92.
6. A. Srivastav, *Illinois J. Math.* **32** (1988), 462–83.
7. R. G. Swan, *Ann. Math.* **72** (1960), 267–91.
8. M. J. Taylor, *Classgroups of Group Rings*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 91, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
9. M. J. Taylor, *Ann. Math.* **121** (1985), 519–35.
10. M. J. Taylor, *Proc. L.M.S.* (3) **51** (1985), 415–31.
11. S. Ullom, *Illinois J. Math.* **20** (1976), 361–71.