



## Frontiers

# A complex valued approach to the solutions of Riemann-Liouville integral, Atangana-Baleanu integral operator and non-linear Telegraph equation via fixed point method

Sumati Kumari Panda<sup>a,\*</sup>, Thabet Abdeljawad<sup>b</sup>, C. Ravichandran<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam - 532 127, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, P.O. Box 66833, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup> PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Coimbatore 641 029, Tamil Nadu, India

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 8 August 2019

Revised 9 September 2019

Accepted 11 September 2019

Available online 27 September 2019

## MSC:

26A33

34A08

34B24

39A70

47H10

54H25

## Keywords:

Complex valued double controlled metric space

Complex valued extended metric space

Complex valued controlled metric space

Riemann-Liouville integral

Complex valued Atangana-Baleanu integral operator and telegraph equation

## ABSTRACT

This paper involves complex valued versions of Riemann-Liouville integral, Atangana-Baleanu integral operator and non-linear Telegraph equation. Under various suitable assumptions the results are established in the setting of complex valued double controlled metric space. Thereafter, by making consequent use of the fixed point method, short and simple proofs are obtained for solutions of Riemann-Liouville integral, complex valued Atangana-Baleanu integral operator and non-linear Telegraph equation.

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The mathematical terms 'Integral Equations' and 'Fractional Calculus' are introduced in more than a 100 years back. These 100 years seems like a really long time, but predominantly, these topics are extensively gain new structures and are applied to all branches of sciences as well as engineering sciences.

Very recently, Atangana-Baleanu [1] has focused on new fractional derivative targeting non-local and non-singular kernel; thereafter they applied these new structures to fractional heat transfer. This notion is a beautiful mixture of non-local and non-singular kernel and so on. We can see many generalizations/usefulness of Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivatives in the

literature of fractional calculus such as  $AB_C$  type [1],  $AB_{RL}$  type [2], AB derivatives via MHD channel flow [3], AB derivatives via Chaotic burst dynamics [4] and so on (see for example [5–20], [42–56]). These huge generalizations provide undoubtedly a very important increase in the pertinent research topics. Based on these generalizations, many authors showed their interest in Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivatives. Here we recollecting the definition of the AB-fractional integral.

$${}^{AB}_s I_t^\lambda f(t) = \frac{1-\lambda}{B(\lambda)} f(t) + \frac{\lambda}{B(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_s^t f(h)(t-h)^{\lambda-1} dh,$$

where  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $0 < t < s$  and note that the normalization function  $B(0)$  and  $B(1)$ , both are equal to 1.

A bunch of scientists has tackled Riemann-Liouville integral equations and recently identified a new technique, so called 'fixed point approach'. This novel approach have promising the existence of solution of Riemann-Liouville integral equations. (see for example [14,21–25]). The mirror side of this section is as below.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [mumy143143143@gmail.com](mailto:mumy143143143@gmail.com), [sumatikumari.p@gmr.it.edu.in](mailto:sumatikumari.p@gmr.it.edu.in) (Sumati Kumari Panda), [tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa](mailto:tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa) (T. Abdeljawad), [ravibirthday@gmail.com](mailto:ravibirthday@gmail.com) (C. Ravichandran).

Nonlinear analysis is a predominant discipline where fixed point theory achieve an influential role in the fractional calculus, boundary value problems. The literature on *fixed point theory* speaks to two variants of arguments, *fixed point theory in flavour of metric spaces* and *topological problems via fixed point theory*, but only topological problems via fixed point theory is of particular interest to topologists and theoretical computer scientists. The investigations in fixed point theory in flavour of metric spaces is of great importance in computing, bio-informatics and computational biology. Because of this solid relation of fixed point theory and branches of sciences, a big process has been recorded in the last century in the theory of fixed point.

In mathematical analysis, a metric space is a set along with a metric on the set. The metric is a function that characterizes an idea of distance between any two elements of the set, which are normally termed as points. Metric spaces may be a special kind of topological space but its generalizations/extensions is always produces exciting, high quality research outputs that addresses fundamental questions in mathematical-multidisciplinary phenomena. Extensions of metric space could encompass a careful comparative analysis of balls or connected components, but also local compactness and the one-point compactification and neighborhoods will likely continue to use for *problem finding analysis* leading to novel mathematical-multidisciplinary insights.

Very recently, we can see various generalized metric spaces such as, an extended b-metric space [26], controlled metric type space [27], double controlled metric type space [28], complex valued metric space [29] and complex valued b-metric space [30]. Many authors showed their attention in order to obtain fixed point theorems in such spaces (see for example [31–40]).

## 2. Notations and results on complex valued double controlled metric type space

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of complex numbers and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now, define a partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  as below:

$$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2), \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_2).$$

Thus,  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$  if meet one of the following condition.

- (A)  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1) = \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1) = \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_2)$ ,
- (B)  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1) < \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1) = \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_2)$ ,
- (C)  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1) = \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1) < \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_2)$ ,
- (D)  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1) < \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_1) < \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_2)$ .

We can list the notation as,  $\alpha_1 \not\leq \alpha_2$  if  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$  and one of (B), (C) and (D) is satisfied; by following the same pattern, we can write  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  if only (D) satisfied. It follows that

- (1)  $0 \leq \alpha_1 \not\leq \alpha_2$  implies  $|\alpha_1| < |\alpha_2|$ ,
- (2)  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_2 < \alpha_3 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 < \alpha_3$ ,
- (3)  $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$  implies  $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|$ ,
- (4) if  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq p \leq q$  and  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ , then  $p\alpha_1 \leq q\alpha_2$  for all  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We introduce following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S$  be a non-empty set and functions  $\wp, \ell: S \times S \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ . Define a function  $d_{\mathbb{C}}: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  where  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of all complex numbers is called a distance function  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $S$ . We deal with following:

- $\mathcal{D}_1: \forall_{p,q \in S} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) = 0$  if and only if  $p = q$ ,
- $\mathcal{D}_2: \forall_{p,q \in S} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(q, p)$ ,
- $\mathcal{D}_3: \forall_{p,q,r \in S} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) \leq \wp(p, q)[d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, r) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(r, q)]$  (so called, an extended triangle inequality),
- $\mathcal{D}_4: \forall_{p,q,r \in S} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) \leq \wp(p, r)d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, r) + \wp(r, q)d_{\mathbb{C}}(r, q)$  (so called, controlled triangle inequality),
- $\mathcal{D}_5: \forall_{p,q,r \in S} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) \leq \wp(p, r)d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, r) + \ell(r, q)d_{\mathbb{C}}(r, q)$  (so called, double controlled triangle inequality).

$d_{\mathbb{C}}$  is called,

- 1. Complex valued extended metric if  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_1$  through  $\mathcal{D}_3$ ,
- 2. Complex valued controlled metric type if  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$  and  $\mathcal{D}_4$ ,
- 3. Complex valued double controlled metric type if  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$  and  $\mathcal{D}_5$ .

The pair  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  is called a complex valued extended metric/complex valued controlled metric type/complex valued double controlled metric type space if  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a complex valued extended metric/complex valued controlled metric type/complex valued double controlled metric type on  $S$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Define  $d_{\mathbb{C}}: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 2) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 1) = 2 + 4i; \quad d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2) = i; \\ d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 3) = 1 - i = d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 1); \quad d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 2) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 3) = 0.$$

Define  $\wp, \ell: S \times S \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  by

$$\wp(1, 2) = \wp(2, 1) = 1; \quad \wp(2, 3) = \wp(3, 2) = \frac{8}{7}; \quad \wp(3, 1) = \wp(1, 3) = \frac{3}{2}. \\ \ell(1, 2) = \ell(2, 1) = \frac{7}{6}; \quad \ell(2, 3) = \ell(3, 2) = \frac{9}{2}; \quad \ell(3, 1) = \ell(1, 3) = 1.$$

Note that,

$$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 2)| > \wp(1, 3)|d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 3)| + \wp(3, 2)|d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2)|.$$

Thus,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$  is neither a complex valued extended metric nor complex valued controlled metric type for the function  $\wp$  but  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$  is double controlled metric type.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  be a complex valued double controlled metric type space (in short,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space) and let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence in  $S$  and  $p \in S$ .

For every  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $0 < c$ , there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that,

- 1.  $\forall_{n > N} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p) < c$ , then  $\{p_n\}$  is said to be convergent,  $\{p_n\}$  converges to  $p$ , and  $p$  is the limit point of  $\{p_n\}$ . We call this notation by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = p$  or  $\{p_n\} \rightarrow p$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- 2.  $\forall_{n > N} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+m}) < c$ , here  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{p_n\}$  is called Cauchy sequence.
- 3. If every Cauchy sequence in  $S$  is convergent, then  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  is said to be a complete  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  be a  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space and let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence in  $S$ . Then  $\{p_n\}$  converges to  $p$  iff  $|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  be a  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space and let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence in  $S$ . Then  $\{p_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if  $|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 2.1.** If for all  $p, q, r \in S$ ,  $\wp(p, r) = \ell(r, q) = b \geq 1$ , then  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space becomes complex valued b-metric space.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  be a complete  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space and let the mappings  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H}: S \rightarrow S$  satisfy,

$$a^b d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p, \mathcal{H}q) \leq \lambda d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) + \mu \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, \mathcal{O}p)d_{\mathbb{C}}(q, \mathcal{H}q)}{1 + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q)}, \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $p, q \in S$  whenever  $0 < d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q)$  where  $a, b \geq 1$  and  $\lambda, \mu$  are non-negative real numbers with  $\lambda + \mu < 1$ . For  $p_0 \in S$ , choose  $p_n = \mathcal{H}^n p_0$ . Assume that,

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\wp(p_{i+1}, p_{i+2})}{\wp(p_i, p_{i+1})} \ell(p_{i+1}, p_m) < \frac{1}{A}; \quad \text{where } A = \frac{\lambda}{a^b - \mu}. \quad (2.2)$$

In addition, for each  $p \in S$  suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp(p, p_n)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(p_n, p)$  exist and are finite. Then  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H}$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $p_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $S$ . Consider the sequence  $p_n = \mathcal{H}^n p_0$  in  $S$  that satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem and define as below:

$$p_{2n+1} = \mathcal{O}p_{2n}.$$

$$p_{2n+2} = \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} a^b d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}) &= a^b d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p_{2n}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \lambda d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) + \mu \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, \mathcal{O}p_{2n}) d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1})}{1 + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1})} \\ &\leq \lambda d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) + \mu \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})}{1 + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1})} \\ &\leq \lambda d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) + \mu d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

This gives,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{\lambda}{a^b - \mu} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1})$ .

Similarly,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, p_{2n+3}) \leq \frac{\lambda}{a^b - \mu} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})$ .

Now with  $A = \frac{\lambda}{a^b - \mu} < 1$ , we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1})| &\leq A |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n-1}, p_n)| \\ &\leq A^2 |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n-2}, p_{n-1})| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq A^n |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For any  $m > n$  where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_m)| &\leq \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1})| + \ell(p_{n+1}, p_m) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n+1}, p_m)| \\ &\leq \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1})| + \ell(p_{n+1}, p_m) [\wp(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2})| + \ell(p_{n+2}, p_m) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n+2}, p_m)|] \\ &\leq \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1})| + \ell(p_{n+1}, p_m) \wp(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2})| + \ell(p_{n+1}, p_m) \ell(p_{n+2}, p_m) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n+2}, p_m)| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1})| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \ell(p_j, p_m)) \wp(p_i, p_{i+1}) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_i, p_{i+1})| + \prod_{k=n+1}^{m-1} \ell(p_k, p_m) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{m-1}, p_m)| \\ &\leq \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) A^n |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \ell(p_j, p_m)) \wp(p_i, p_{i+1}) A^i |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| + \prod_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \ell(p_i, p_m) A^{m-1} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| \\ &\leq \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) A^n |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \ell(p_j, p_m)) \wp(p_i, p_{i+1}) A^i |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| \\ &\quad + \prod_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \ell(p_i, p_m) A^{m-1} \wp(p_{m-1}, p_m) |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| \\ &\leq \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) A^n |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \ell(p_j, p_m)) \wp(p_i, p_{i+1}) A^i |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| \\ &\leq \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) A^n |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} (\prod_{j=0}^i \ell(p_j, p_m)) \wp(p_i, p_{i+1}) A^i |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Let

$$S_l = \sum_{i=0}^l \left( \prod_{j=0}^i \ell(p_j, p_m) \right) \wp(p_i, p_{i+1}) A^i.$$

Hence from above inequality (2.5),

$$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_m)| \leq |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_0, p_1)| [A^n \wp(p_n, p_{n+1}) + (S_{m-1} - S_n)]. \quad (2.6)$$

By using ratio test and (2.2), we will get that the limit of the real number sequence  $\{S_n\}$  exists, and so  $\{S_n\}$  is Cauchy. Indeed the ratio test is applied to the term  $z_i = (\prod_{j=0}^i \ell(p_j, p_m)) \wp(p_i, p_{i+1})$ .

Letting  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.5) gives

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_m)| = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

So the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  is Cauchy. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space, there exists some  $u \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, u)| = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

By using triangle inequality, we have,

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+1}) \leq \wp(u, p_n) d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_n) + \ell(p_n, p_{n+1}) d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1}). \quad (2.9)$$

Using (2.7) and (2.9), we can get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+1})| = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

We claim that  $u = \mathcal{O}u$ , otherwise  $0 < d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, \mathcal{O}u)$  and we would then have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, \mathcal{O}u)| &\leq \wp(u, p_{n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+2})| + \ell(p_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}u)|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}u)| \\ &\leq \wp(u, p_{n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+2})| + \ell(p_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}u)|d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}p_{n+1}, \mathcal{O}u)| \\ &\leq \wp(u, p_{n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+2})| + \ell(p_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}u)a^b|d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}u, \mathcal{H}p_{n+1})| \\ &\leq \wp(u, p_{n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+2})| + \ell(p_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}u)\left(\lambda|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+1})| + \frac{\mu|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, \mathcal{O}u)||d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n+1}, \mathcal{H}p_{n+1})|}{1 + |d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+1})|}\right) \\ &\leq \wp(u, p_{n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+2})| + \ell(p_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}u)\left(\lambda|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+1})| + \frac{\mu|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, \mathcal{O}u)||d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2})|}{1 + |d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, p_{n+1})|}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), we get,

$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, \mathcal{O}u)| = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $u = \mathcal{O}u$ . Similarly, we can prove  $u = \mathcal{H}u$ .

Now we will prove that  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  have a unique common fixed point.

Let  $u$  and  $u^*$  are two common fixed points of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ . So that  $u \neq u^*$ .

Then  $0 < d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, u^*)$ . Then from (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} a^b d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, u^*) &= a^b d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}u, \mathcal{H}u^*) \\ &\leq \lambda d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, u^*) + \mu \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, \mathcal{O}u)d_{\mathbb{C}}(u^*, \mathcal{H}u^*)}{1 + d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, u^*)} \\ &\leq \lambda d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, u^*) \\ &\Rightarrow (a^b - \lambda)d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, u^*) \leq 0. \\ &\Rightarrow (a^b - \lambda)|d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, u^*)| \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

This implies,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(u, u^*) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $u = u^*$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  have unique common fixed point.

If we assume that two mappings  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H}$  are equal, i.e.,  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{H}$  then the above Theorem 2.1 reduces to below corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  be a complete complex double controlled metric type space and let the mappings  $\mathcal{O} : S \rightarrow S$  satisfy,

$$a^b d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p, \mathcal{O}q) \leq \lambda d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) + \mu \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, \mathcal{O}p)d_{\mathbb{C}}(q, \mathcal{O}q)}{1 + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q)}, \quad (2.13)$$

for all  $p, q \in S$  whenever  $0 < d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q)$  where  $a, b \geq 1$  and  $\lambda, \mu$  are non-negative real numbers with  $\lambda + \mu < 1$ . For  $p_0 \in S$ , choose  $p_n = \mathcal{O}^n p_0$ . Assume that,

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\wp(p_{i+1}, p_{i+2})}{\wp(p_i, p_{i+1})} \ell(p_{i+1}, p_m) < \frac{a^b - \mu}{\lambda}. \quad (2.14)$$

In addition, for each  $p \in S$  suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp(p, p_n)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(p_n, p)$  exist and are finite. Then  $\mathcal{O}$  have a unique common fixed point.

If we take  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{H}$  and  $a = b = 1$ ;  $\mu = 0$  then the above Theorem 2.1 reduces to below corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  be a complete complex double controlled metric type space and let the mappings  $\mathcal{O} : S \rightarrow S$  satisfy,

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p, \mathcal{O}q) \leq \lambda d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q), \quad (2.15)$$

for all  $p, q \in S$  whenever  $0 < d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q)$  where  $\lambda$  is a non-negative real numbers with  $\lambda < 1$ . For  $p_0 \in S$ , choose  $p_n = \mathcal{O}^n p_0$ . Assume that,

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\wp(p_{i+1}, p_{i+2})}{\wp(p_i, p_{i+1})} \ell(p_{i+1}, p_m) < \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (2.16)$$

In addition, for each  $p \in S$  suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp(p, p_n)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(p_n, p)$  exist and are finite. Then  $\mathcal{O}$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  be a complete complex double controlled metric type space and let the mappings  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H} : S \rightarrow S$  satisfy

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p, \mathcal{H}q) \leq (\Theta(p) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}p))\wp(p, q), \quad (2.17)$$

where,  $\wp(p, q) = \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, \mathcal{O}p), d_{\mathbb{C}}(q, \mathcal{H}q), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, \mathcal{O}p) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(q, \mathcal{H}q)}{2} \right\}$  and  $\Theta : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded from below  $\{\inf \Theta(S)\} > -\infty$ . For  $p_0 \in S$ , choose  $p_n = \mathcal{H}^n p_0$ .

Assume that  $\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\wp(p_{i+1}, p_{i+2})}{\wp(p_i, p_{i+1})} \ell(p_{i+1}, p_m) < \frac{1}{\hbar}$ ; where  $\hbar \in (0, 1)$ .

In addition for each  $p \in S$ , suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp(p, p_n), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(p_n, p)$  exist and are finite. Then  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H}$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $p_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $S$ . Consider the sequence  $\{p_n = \mathcal{H}^n p_0\}$  in  $S$  that satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem and define

$$p_{2n+1} = \mathcal{O}p_{2n}.$$

$$p_{2n+2} = \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1}; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}) &= d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p_{2n}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq (\Theta(p_{2n}) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}p_{2n}))\wp(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Y}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, \mathcal{O}p_{2n}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1}), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, \mathcal{O}p_{2n}) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})\}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.19)$$

If  $\mathbb{Y}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})$ , from (2.18),

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}) \leq (\Theta(p_{2n}) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}p_{2n}))d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}), \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Hence  $\mathbb{Y}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1})$ .

From (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}) &\leq (\Theta(p_{2n}) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}p_{2n}))d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq (\Theta(p_{2n}) - \Theta(p_{2n+1}))d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}).\end{aligned}\quad (2.20)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, p_{2n+3}) &= d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p_{2n+1}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+2}) \\ &\leq (\Theta(p_{2n+1}) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}p_{2n+1}))\mathbb{Y}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}),\end{aligned}\quad (2.21)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Y}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}) &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, \mathcal{O}p_{2n+1}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+2}), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, \mathcal{O}p_{2n+1}) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+2})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, p_{2n+3}), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, p_{2n+3})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, p_{2n+3})\}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.22)$$

From (2.21),

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, p_{2n+3}) \leq (\Theta(p_{2n+1}) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}p_{2n+2}))d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, p_{2n+3}), \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Hence  $\mathbb{Y}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})$ . From (2.21),

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, p_{2n+3}) \leq (\Theta(p_{2n+1}) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}p_{2n+2}))d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}).$$

Hence we can conclude that

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1}) \leq (\Theta(p_{n-1}) - \Theta(p_n))d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n-1}, p_n); \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So we have,

$$\frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1})}{d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n-1}, p_n)} \leq (\Theta(p_{n-1}) - \Theta(p_n)).$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, p_{n+1})|}{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{n-1}, p_n)|} \leq (\Theta(p_{n-1}) - \Theta(p_n)), \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus the sequence  $\{\Theta(p_n)\}$  is necessarily positive and non-increasing. Hence it converges to some  $g \geq 0$ .

On the other hand for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_k, p_{k+1})|}{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{k-1}, p_k)|} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n (\Theta(p_{k-1}) - \Theta(p_k)) \\ &= (\Theta(p_0) - \Theta(p_1)) + (\Theta(p_1) - \Theta(p_2)) + \dots + (\Theta(p_{n-1}) - \Theta(p_n)) \\ &= (\Theta(p_0) - \Theta(p_n)) \\ &\rightarrow \Theta(p_0) - g < \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}\quad (2.23)$$

$$\text{which yields, } \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_k, p_{k+1})|}{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{k-1}, p_k)|} < \infty.$$

Accordingly, we have,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_k, p_{k+1})|}{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{k-1}, p_k)|} = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

From (2.24), there exist  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\frac{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_k, p_{k+1})|}{|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{k-1}, p_k)|} \leq \rho \text{ for all } k \geq k_0. \quad (2.25)$$

It yields that,

$$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_k, p_{k+1})| \leq \rho |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{k-1}, p_k)| \text{ for all } k \geq k_0. \quad (2.26)$$

By following the same pattern as followed in above theorem, we obtain that the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  converges to some  $a \in S$ .

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_n, a)| = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

Consider,

$$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})| \leq \wp(p_{2n+1}, a)|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, a)| + \ell(a, p_{2n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+2})|.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})| \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.28)$$

We claim that  $a = \mathcal{O}a$ . Suppose  $a \neq \mathcal{O}a$ , i.e.,  $0 < d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)$ .

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)| &\leq \wp(a, p_{2n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+2})| + \ell(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{O}a)|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{O}a)| \\ &\leq \wp(a, p_{2n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+2})| + \ell(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{O}a)|d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}a, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1})| \\ &\leq \wp(a, p_{2n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+2})| + \ell(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{O}a)(\Theta(a) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}a))\Upsilon(a, p_{2n+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(a, p_{2n+1}) &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+1}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1}), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, \mathcal{H}p_{2n+1})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+1}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2}), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \{d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+1}), d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a), d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Upsilon(a, p_{2n+1}) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)$ , from (2.29) we have

$$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)| \leq \wp(a, p_{2n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+2})| + \ell(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{O}a)(\Theta(a) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}a))|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)|.$$

i.e.,  $|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)| = 0$ , a contradiction and hence  $a = \mathcal{O}a$ .

If  $\Upsilon(a, p_{2n+1}) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+1})$ , from (2.29) we have

$$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)| \leq \wp(a, p_{2n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+2})| + \ell(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{O}a)(\Theta(a) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}a))|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+1})|.$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)| = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $a = \mathcal{O}a$ .

If  $\Upsilon(a, p_{2n+1}) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})$ , from (2.29) we have

$$|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)| \leq \wp(a, p_{2n+2})|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, p_{2n+2})| + \ell(p_{2n+2}, \mathcal{O}a)(\Theta(a) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}a))|d_{\mathbb{C}}(p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+2})|.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (2.28), we get  $|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a)| = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $a = \mathcal{O}a$ .

Similarly, we can prove  $a = \mathcal{H}a$ . Now we will prove that  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  have a unique common fixed point. Let  $a$  and  $a^*$  are two common fixed points of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ . So that  $a \neq a^*$ , i.e.,  $0 < d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a^*)$ .

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a^*) &= d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}a, \mathcal{H}a^*) \\ &\leq (\Theta(a) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}a))\Upsilon(a, a^*), \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(a, a^*) &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a^*), d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a), d_{\mathbb{C}}(a^*, \mathcal{H}a^*), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, \mathcal{O}a) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(a^*, \mathcal{H}a^*)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a^*), d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a), d_{\mathbb{C}}(a^*, a^*), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(a^*, a^*)}{2} \right\} \\ &= d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a^*). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.30),

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a^*) \leq (\Theta(a) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}a))|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a^*)|, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Hence  $|d_{\mathbb{C}}(a, a^*)| = 0$ , i.e.,  $a = a^*$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and define  $d_{\mathbb{C}} : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 2) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 1) = 2 + 4i; \quad d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2) = i;$$

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 3) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 1) = 1 - i; \quad d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 2) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 3) = 0$$

and define  $\wp, \ell : S \times S \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  by

$$\wp(1, 2) = \wp(2, 1) = 1; \quad \wp(2, 3) = \wp(3, 2) = \frac{8}{7}; \quad \wp(3, 1) = \wp(1, 3) = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\ell(1, 2) = \ell(2, 1) = \frac{7}{6}; \quad \ell(2, 3) = \ell(3, 2) = \frac{9}{2}; \quad \ell(3, 1) = \ell(1, 3) = 1.$$

Then  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  is a complete complex double controlled metric type space.

Define the mapping  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H} : S \rightarrow S$  by  $\mathcal{O}1 = \mathcal{O}3 = 1$ ;  $\mathcal{O}2 = 3$  and  $\mathcal{H}1 = \mathcal{H}2 = 1$ ;  $\mathcal{H}3 = 2$  respectively.

Define  $\Theta : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as  $\Theta(1) = 4$ ;  $\Theta(2) = 2$ ;  $\Theta(3) = 1$ . Thus for all  $p(\neq 1) \in S$ , we have,

**Case. 1:** If  $p = 2, q = 3$ .

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}2, \mathcal{H}3) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2) = i$$

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Theta(2) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}2))\Psi(2, 3) &= (2 - 1) \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3), d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, \mathcal{O}2), d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, \mathcal{H}3), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, \mathcal{O}2) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, \mathcal{H}3)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3), d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3), d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 2)}{2} \right\} \\ &= d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3) \\ &= i. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}2, \mathcal{H}3) \leq (\Theta(2) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}2))\Psi(2, 3)$ .

**Case. 2:** If  $p = 2, q = 1$ .

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}2, \mathcal{H}1) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 1) = 1 - i$$

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Theta(2) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}2))\Psi(2, 1) &= (2 - 1) \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 1), d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, \mathcal{O}2), d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, \mathcal{H}1), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, \mathcal{O}2) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, \mathcal{H}1)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 1), d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3), d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1), \frac{d_{\mathbb{C}}(2, 3) + d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ 2 + 4i, i, \frac{i}{2} \right\} \\ &= 2 + 4i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}2, \mathcal{H}1) \leq (\Theta(2) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}2))\Psi(2, 1)$ .

**Case. 3:** If  $p = 3, q = 2$ .

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}3, \mathcal{H}2) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1) = 0 \text{ and } (\Theta(3) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}3))\Psi(3, 2) = 0.$$

Thus,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}3, \mathcal{H}2) \leq (\Theta(3) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}3))\Psi(3, 2)$ .

**Case. 4:** If  $p = 3, q = 1$ .

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}3, \mathcal{H}1) = d_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1) = 0 \text{ and } (\Theta(3) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}3))\Psi(3, 1) = 0.$$

Thus,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}3, \mathcal{H}1) \leq (\Theta(3) - \Theta(\mathcal{O}3))\Psi(3, 1)$ .

Hence the conditions managed by the [Theorem 2.2](#) are fulfilled, thereby we can conclude that  $\mathcal{O}$  has a common fixed point. Moreover it is unique.

i.e., 1 is a unique common fixed point of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$

### 3. Existence and unique solution of Reimann-Liouville fractional integrals

Let  $S = \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  be the set of all continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $d_{\mathbb{C}} : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined as follows:

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) = |p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|,$$

for all  $p(\kappa), q(\kappa) \in S$  and  $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ . And also define  $\mathfrak{g} : S \times S \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  by  $\mathfrak{g}(p(\kappa), q(\kappa)) = 2p(\kappa) + 3q(\kappa) + 5$  and  $\ell(p(\kappa), q(\kappa)) = p(\kappa) + 4q(\kappa) + 2$  respectively. Then  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  is complete complex valued double controlled metric type space.

In this section we will investigate the existence and unique solution of Reimann-Liouville (or RL).

$${}^{RL}\mathcal{I}_{\kappa}^{\nu} p(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_c^{\kappa} (\kappa - \varsigma)^{\nu-1} p(\varsigma) d\varsigma; \quad \Re(\nu) > 0, \quad (3.31)$$

where  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $p(\kappa) \in S$  and  $\kappa, \varsigma \in [0, 1]$  which is the fractional integral. Define the operator  $\mathcal{O} : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\mathcal{O}p(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_c^{\kappa} (\kappa - \varsigma)^{\nu-1} p(\varsigma) d\varsigma. \quad (3.32)$$

Now we will prove that Reimann-Liouville fractional integral equation has a unique solution if the following condition hold.

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \frac{(\kappa - \varsigma)^{\nu-1} (\kappa - c)^{\nu}}{|(\kappa - \varsigma)^{\nu-1}|} < \sigma; \quad \text{where } 0 < \sigma < 1.$$

Consider,

$$|\mathcal{O}p(\kappa) - \mathcal{O}q(\kappa)| + i|\mathcal{O}p(\kappa) - \mathcal{O}q(\kappa)|$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_c^\kappa (\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} p(\varsigma) d\varsigma - \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_c^\kappa (\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} q(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right| \\
&\quad + i \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_c^\kappa (\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} p(\varsigma) d\varsigma - \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_c^\kappa (\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} q(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \left| \int_c^\kappa (\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} d\varsigma \right| (|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_c^\kappa |(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}| d\varsigma (|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \frac{(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}}{|(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}|} \int_c^\kappa (\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} d\varsigma (|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|) \\
&\quad \text{put } z = \kappa - \varsigma \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \frac{(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}}{|(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}|} \int_{\kappa-c}^0 (z)^{v-1} dz (|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \frac{(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}}{|(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}|} \left[ \frac{z^v}{v} \right]_{\kappa-c}^0 (|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \frac{(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}}{|(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}|} \frac{(\kappa - c)^v}{v} (|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v+1)} \frac{(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} (\kappa - c)^v}{|(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}|} (|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v+1)} \frac{(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} (\kappa - c)^v}{|(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}|} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p(\kappa), q(\kappa)). \tag{3.33}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p(\kappa), \mathcal{O}q(\kappa)) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v+1)} \frac{(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1} (\kappa - c)^v}{|(\kappa - \varsigma)^{v-1}|} d_{\mathbb{C}}(p(\kappa), q(\kappa)).$$

This implies,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}p, \mathcal{O}q) \leq \sigma d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q)$ .

Thus all the conditions of the [Corollary 2.2](#) satisfied. Hence  $\mathcal{O}$  has a unique fixed point which yields that Reimann-Liouville fractional integral equation has a unique solution.

#### 4. Existence and unique solution of complex valued atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operator

Let  $S$  be the set of all complex analytic functions and  $d_{\mathbb{C}} : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined as follows:

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) = |p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)| + i|p(\kappa) - q(\kappa)|,$$

for all  $p(\kappa), q(\kappa) \in S$ . And also define  $\mathcal{G} \ell : S \times S \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  by  $\mathcal{G}(p(\kappa), q(\kappa)) = 2p(\kappa) + 3q(\kappa) + 5$  and  $\ell(p(\kappa), q(\kappa)) = p(\kappa) + 4q(\kappa) + 2$  respectively. Then  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  is complete complex valued double controlled metric type space.

Let  $c$  be a fixed complex number and  $p$  be a complex function which is analytic on an open star-domain  $R$  centered at  $c$ . The complex valued Atangana-Baleanu integral  ${}_{\mathcal{C}}^{AB}\mathcal{I}_{\kappa}^v p(\kappa)$  is defined for any  $v \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{c\}$  by

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}^{AB}\mathcal{I}_{\kappa}^v p(\kappa) = \frac{1-v}{\mathfrak{B}(v)} p(\kappa) + \frac{v}{\mathfrak{B}(v)} {}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{RL}}\mathcal{I}_{\kappa}^v p(\kappa). \tag{4.34}$$

Very recently, Arran Fernandez [\[41\]](#) has introduced complex valued form of Atangana-Baleanu integral as below:

$${}_{\mathcal{C}}^{AB}\mathcal{I}_{\kappa}^v p(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(v)} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-v}{\varsigma - \kappa} + \frac{v\Gamma(1-v)}{(\varsigma - \kappa)^{1-v}} \right) p(\varsigma) d\kappa. \tag{4.35}$$

where,  $\kappa, \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{c\}$ ;  $v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  is the Hankel contour as defined in [\[29\]](#).

Define the operator  $\mathcal{O}_{AB} : S \rightarrow S$  by,

$$\mathcal{O}_{AB} p(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(v)} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-v}{\varsigma - \kappa} + \frac{v\Gamma(1-v)}{(\varsigma - \kappa)^{1-v}} \right) p(\varsigma) d\kappa. \tag{4.36}$$

Now we will prove that the complex valued Atangana-Baleanu integral has a unique solution if the following condition hold.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \mathfrak{B}(v)} \left[ \frac{|\nu - 1|(\kappa - \varsigma) \ln(|\kappa - \varsigma|)}{|\kappa - \varsigma|} - \Gamma(1-v)(\varsigma - \kappa)^v \right] < e; \text{ where } 0 < e < 1.$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{O}_{AB}p(\kappa) - \mathcal{O}_{AB}q(\kappa)| + i|\mathcal{O}_{AB}p(\kappa) - \mathcal{O}_{AB}q(\kappa)| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(v)} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-v}{\varsigma - \kappa} + \frac{v\Gamma(1-v)}{(\varsigma - \kappa)^{1-v}} \right) p(\varsigma) d\kappa - \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(v)} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-v}{\varsigma - \kappa} + \frac{v\Gamma(1-v)}{(\varsigma - \kappa)^{1-v}} \right) q(\varsigma) d\kappa \right| \\
&\quad + i \left( \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(v)} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-v}{\varsigma - \kappa} + \frac{v\Gamma(1-v)}{(\varsigma - \kappa)^{1-v}} \right) p(\varsigma) d\kappa - \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(v)} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-v}{\varsigma - \kappa} + \frac{v\Gamma(1-v)}{(\varsigma - \kappa)^{1-v}} \right) q(\varsigma) d\kappa \right| \right)
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(\nu)} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-\nu}{\zeta-\kappa} + \frac{\nu \Gamma(1-\nu)}{(\zeta-\kappa)^{1-\nu}} \right) d\kappa \right) (p(\zeta) - q(\zeta)) \right| \\
&\quad + i \left| \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(\nu)} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-\nu}{\zeta-\kappa} + \frac{\nu \Gamma(1-\nu)}{(\zeta-\kappa)^{1-\nu}} \right) d\kappa \right) (p(\zeta) - q(\zeta)) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(\nu)|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-\nu}{\zeta-\kappa} + \frac{\nu \Gamma(1-\nu)}{(\zeta-\kappa)^{1-\nu}} \right) d\kappa \right| |p(\zeta) - q(\zeta)| \\
&\quad + i \left( \frac{1}{|2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(\nu)|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-\nu}{\zeta-\kappa} + \frac{\nu \Gamma(1-\nu)}{(\zeta-\kappa)^{1-\nu}} \right) d\kappa \right| |p(\zeta) - q(\zeta)| \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|2\pi i \mathfrak{B}(\nu)|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{1-\nu}{\zeta-\kappa} + \frac{\nu \Gamma(1-\nu)}{(\zeta-\kappa)^{1-\nu}} \right) d\kappa \right| [|p(\zeta) - q(\zeta)| + i(|p(\zeta) - q(\zeta)|)] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \mathfrak{B}(\nu)} \left[ \frac{|\nu-1|(\kappa-\zeta) \ln(|\kappa-\zeta|)}{|\kappa-\zeta|} - \Gamma(1-\nu)(\zeta-\kappa)^\nu \right] d_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q) \\
&\leq ed_{\mathbb{C}}(p(\kappa), q(\kappa)).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

This means,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{AB}p, \mathcal{O}_{AB}q) \leq ed_{\mathbb{C}}(p, q)$ . Thus all the conditions of [Corollary 2.2](#) satisfied. Hence  $\mathcal{O}_{AB}$  has a unique fixed point, which concludes that complex valued Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral has a unique solution.

## 5. Solution to the Telegraph equation via fixed point approach

Thompson's mathematical phenomena for the signal conduction via cables was concerning to Fourier's equation for heat conduction in a wire. In telegraph's equation characterizing the variation of voltage  $\mathfrak{V}$  along an electrical cable as function of time and position.

$$\mathfrak{V}_{zz} + (\theta + \gamma)\mathfrak{V}_z + \theta\gamma\mathfrak{V} = c^2\mathfrak{V}_{pp}, \tag{5.38}$$

where  $c^2 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $\theta = \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{L}}$  which consists of a resistor of resistance  $\mathcal{R}$ , a coil of inductance  $\mathcal{L}$ , a resistor of conductance  $\mathfrak{G}$ , or a capacitor of capacitance  $\mathcal{C}$ .

In 1893, the physicist oliver Heavyside showed that if  $\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{L}}$  could be made equal to  $\frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathcal{C}}$  (or  $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{G}\mathcal{L}$ ) a constant velocity of propagation would result and the attenuation would be minimized.

This equation is a special case of nonlinear Cauchy problem as follow.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathfrak{V}}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(g(p, z, \mathfrak{V})) = \frac{\partial^2 \mathfrak{V}}{\partial p^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p}(g(p, z, \mathfrak{V})) + \Upsilon(p, z, \mathfrak{V}),$$

which,  $(p, z) \in \zeta := \{(p, z) : p+z \geq 0, p-z \geq 0\}$ . This [Eq. \(5.38\)](#) is equivalent to the following 2D Volterra integral equation as,

$$\mathfrak{V}(S, \mathcal{O}) = \int_0^{\mathcal{O}} \int_0^S \mathcal{K}(S', z', \mathfrak{V}(S', z')) dS' dz' + \int_0^{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{N}(S', z', \mathfrak{V}(S', z')) dz' + \int_0^S \mathcal{M}(S', z', \mathfrak{V}(S', z')) dS' + \mathcal{R}(S, \mathcal{O}), \tag{5.39}$$

where  $p' = p+z$  and  $z' = p-z$ .

Common form of 2D Volterra integral equation can be written as

$$\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha, \beta) + \int_0^{\beta} \int_0^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_1(p, q, \mathfrak{V}(p, q)) dp dq + \varrho \int_0^{\beta} \mathcal{A}_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q)) dq + \vartheta \int_0^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{V}(p, \beta)) dp, \tag{5.40}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, p, q \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathfrak{V} \in S = C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$  and  $\varrho, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ;  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Let  $S = C([0, 1]^2, \mathbb{C})$  be the set of all continuous functions from  $[0, 1]^2$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $d_{\mathbb{C}} : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined as follows:

$$d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta), \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)) = |\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta) - \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)| + i|\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta) - \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)|,$$

for all  $\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{U} \in S$ . And also define  $\varrho, \vartheta : S \times S \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  by

$$\varrho(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta), \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)) = 2\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta) + 3\mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta) + 2 \text{ and}$$

$$\vartheta(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta), \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)) = 5\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta) + 3\mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta) + 2.$$

Then  $(S, d_{\mathbb{C}})$  is clearly  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space.

Let us define the integral operator  $\mathcal{O} : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha, \beta) + \int_0^{\beta} \int_0^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_1(p, q, \mathfrak{V}(p, q)) dp dq + \varrho \int_0^{\beta} \mathcal{A}_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q)) dq + \vartheta \int_0^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{V}(p, \beta)) dp. \tag{5.41}$$

**Theorem 5.1.** Presume that the below prospectives are gratified:

1. Let  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3 : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be continuous satisfying the Lipschitz condition that is there exists  $\mathfrak{Q}_1, \mathfrak{Q}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_3$  such that

$$|\mathcal{A}_1(p, q, \mathfrak{U}_1(p, q)) - \mathcal{A}_1(p, q, \mathfrak{U}_2(p, q))| \leq \mathfrak{Q}_1 |\mathfrak{U}_1 - \mathfrak{U}_2|$$

$$|\mathcal{A}_2(p, q, \mathfrak{U}_1(p, q)) - \mathcal{A}_2(p, q, \mathfrak{U}_2(p, q))| \leq \mathfrak{Q}_2 |\mathfrak{U}_1 - \mathfrak{U}_2|$$

$$|\mathcal{A}_3(p, q, \mathfrak{U}_1(p, q)) - \mathcal{A}_3(p, q, \mathfrak{U}_2(p, q))| \leq \mathfrak{Q}_3 |\mathfrak{U}_1 - \mathfrak{U}_2|$$

where,  $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

2.  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 + |\varrho|\mathfrak{Q}_2 + |\vartheta|\mathfrak{Q}_3 < \Upsilon$ ; where  $\Upsilon \in (0, 1)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{O}$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** By applying (5.41) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta)) - \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta))| + i|\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta)) - \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta))| \\
&= |f(\alpha, \beta) + \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha \Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{V}(p, q)) dp dq + \varrho \int_0^\beta \Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q)) dq + \vartheta \int_0^\alpha \Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{V}(\beta, p)) dp \\
&\quad - [f(\alpha, \beta) + \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha \Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{U}(p, q)) dp dq + \varrho \int_0^\beta \Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q)) dq + \vartheta \int_0^\alpha \Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p)) dp]| \\
&\quad + i|f(\alpha, \beta) + \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha \Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{V}(p, q)) dp dq + \varrho \int_0^\beta \Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q)) dq + \vartheta \int_0^\alpha \Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{V}(\beta, p)) dp \\
&\quad - [f(\alpha, \beta) + \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha \Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{U}(p, q)) dp dq + \varrho \int_0^\beta \Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q)) dq + \vartheta \int_0^\alpha \Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p)) dp]| \\
&= |\int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha (\Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{V}(p, q)) - \Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{U}(p, q))) dp dq + \varrho \int_0^\beta (\Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q)) - \Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q))) dq \\
&\quad + \vartheta \int_0^\alpha (\Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{V}(\beta, p)) - \Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p))) dp| + i|\int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha (\Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{V}(p, q)) - \Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{U}(p, q))) dp dq \\
&\quad + \varrho \int_0^\beta (\Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q)) - \Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q))) dq + \vartheta \int_0^\alpha (\Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{V}(\beta, p)) - \Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p))) dp| \\
&\leq \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha |\Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{V}(p, q)) - \Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{U}(p, q))| dp dq + |\varrho| \int_0^\beta |\Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q)) - \Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q))| dq \\
&\quad + |\vartheta| \int_0^\alpha |\Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{V}(\beta, p)) - \Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p))| dp + i \left[ \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha |\Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{V}(p, q)) - \Lambda_1(p, q, \mathfrak{U}(p, q))| dp dq \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\varrho| \int_0^\beta |\Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q)) - \Lambda_2(\alpha, q, \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q))| dq + |\vartheta| \int_0^\alpha |\Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{V}(\beta, p)) - \Lambda_3(\beta, p, \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p))| dp \right] \\
&\leq \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha \Omega_1 |\mathfrak{V}(p, q) - \mathfrak{U}(p, q)| dp dq + |\varrho| \int_0^\beta \Omega_2 |\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q) - \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q)| dq \\
&\quad + |\vartheta| \int_0^\alpha \Omega_3 |\mathfrak{V}(\beta, p) - \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p)| dp + i \left[ \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha \Omega_1 |\mathfrak{V}(p, q) - \mathfrak{U}(p, q)| dp dq \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\varrho| \int_0^\beta \Omega_2 |\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q) - \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q)| dq + |\vartheta| \int_0^\alpha \Omega_3 |\mathfrak{V}(\beta, p) - \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p)| dp \right] \\
&\leq \Omega_1 [|\mathfrak{V}(p, q) - \mathfrak{U}(p, q)| + i|\mathfrak{V}(p, q) - \mathfrak{U}(p, q)|] \\
&\quad + \Omega_2 |\varrho| [|\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q) - \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q)| + i|\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, q) - \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, q)|] \\
&\quad + \Omega_3 |\vartheta| [|\mathfrak{V}(\beta, p) - \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p)| + i|\mathfrak{V}(\beta, p) - \mathfrak{U}(\beta, p)|] \\
&\leq \Omega_1 d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta), \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)) + \Omega_2 |\varrho| d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta), \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)) + \Omega_3 |\vartheta| d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta), \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)) \\
&= (\Omega_1 + |\varrho| \Omega_2 + |\vartheta| \Omega_3) d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta), \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)) \\
&< \Upsilon d_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{V}(\alpha, \beta), \mathfrak{U}(\alpha, \beta)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the conditions managed by the Corollary 2.2 are fulfilled, thereby we can conclude that  $\mathcal{O}$  has a fixed point which means that (5.40) has a unique solution.

## 6. Conclusion

Complex valued versions of Riemann-Liouville integral, Atangana-Baleanu integral operator and non-linear Telegraph equation are introduced. Moreover, we introduced complex valued double controlled metric type space (in short,  $d_{\mathbb{C}}$ -metric space). Thereafter, by making consequent use of the fixed point method, we proposed short and simple proofs are obtained for solutions of Riemann-Liouville integral, complex valued Atangana-Baleanu integral operator and non-linear Telegraph equation.

A few words about possible extensions of the preceding conclusions:

- Fixed point method for solutions of time fractional telegraph equation in complex valued double controlled metric type space.
- Solutions for Atangana-Baleanu integral operator via collocation-type method in complex valued double controlled metric type space.

- Solutions for Riemann-Liouville integral equations via Guo-Gupta-Suzuki-Ćirić type results in complex valued double controlled metric type space.

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgments

The second author would like to thank Prince Sultan University for funding this work through research group Nonlinear Analysis Methods in Applied Mathematics (NAMAM), Group Number RG-DES-2017-01-17.

## References

- [1] Atangana A, Baleanu D. New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model. *Therm Sci* 2016;20(2):763–9. doi:10.2298/TSCI16011018A.
- [2] Baleanu D, Fernandez A. On some new properties of fractional derivatives with Mittag-Leffler kernel. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul* 2018;59:444–62.
- [3] Saqib M, Khan I, Shafie S. Application of Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative to MHD channel flow of CMC-based-CNT's nanofluid through a porous medium. *Chaos Soliton Fractal* 2018;116:79–85.

- [4] Goufo EFD, Mbhehou M, Pene MMK. A peculiar application of atangana-baleanu fractional derivative in neuroscience: chaotic burst dynamics. *Chaos Soliton Fractal* 2018;115:170–6.
- [5] Khan H, et al. Minkowski's inequality for the AB-fractional integral operator. *J Inequalit Appl* 2019;2019:1:96.
- [6] Suwan I, Abdeljawad T, Jarad F. Monotonicity analysis for nabla h-discrete fractional Atangana-Baleanu differences. *Chaos Soliton Fractal* 2018;117:50–9.
- [7] Jarad F, Abdeljawad T, Hammouch Z. On a class of ordinary differential equations in the frame of Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative. *Chaos, Soliton Fractal* 2018;117:16–20.
- [8] Yadav S, Pandey R, Shukla AK. Numerical approximations of Atangana-Baleanu Caputo derivative and its application. *Chaos Soliton Fractal* 2019;118:58–64.
- [9] Bahaa GM. Optimal control problem for variable-order fractional differential systems with time delay involving Atangana-Baleanu derivatives. *Chaos, Soliton Fractal* 2019;122:129–42.
- [10] Saad KM, Atangana A, Baleanu D. New fractional derivatives with non-singular kernel applied to the burgers equation. *Chaos* 2018;28:063109.
- [11] Owolabi KM, Atangana A. On the formulation of Adams-Bashforth scheme with Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo fractional derivative to model chaotic problems. *Chaos* 2019;29.2:023111.
- [12] Atangana A, Mekkaoui T. Capturing complexities with composite operator and differential operators with non-singular kernel. *Chaos* 2019;29.2:023103.
- [13] Owolabi KM, Atangana A. Analysis and application of new fractional Adams-Bashforth scheme with Caputo-Fabrizio derivative. *Chaos Soliton Fractal* 2017;105:111–19.
- [14] Gomez-Aguilar, Francisco J, Atangana A, Morales-Delgado VF. Electrical circuits RC, LC, and RL described by Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivatives. *Int J Circuit TheoryAppl* 2017;45.11:1514–33.
- [15] Al-Refai M, Jarrah AM. Fundamental results on weighted Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative. *Chaos Soliton Fractal* 2019;126:7–11.
- [16] Al-Refai M, Hajji MA. Analysis of a fractional eigenvalue problem involving Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative: a maximum principle and applications. *Chaos* 2019;29.1:013135.
- [17] Al-Refai M. Fractional differential equations involving Caputo fractional derivative with Mittag-Leffler non-singular kernel: comparison principles and applications. *Electron J Diff Eqs*, 2018;2018:36:1–10.
- [18] Ravichandran C, Jothamani K, Baskonus HM, Valliammal N. New results on nondensely characterized integrodifferential equations with fractional order. *Eur Phys J Plus* 2018;133(109):1–10.
- [19] Ravichandran C, Valliammal N, Nieto JJ. New results on exact controllability of a class of fractional neutral integrodifferential systems with state dependent delay in Banach spaces. *J Franklin Inst* 2019;356(3):1535–65.
- [20] Ravichandran C, Logeswari K, Jarad F. New results on existence in the framework of Atangana-Baleanu derivative for fractional integrodifferential equations. *Chaos, Soliton Fractal* 2019;125:194–200.
- [21] Xie W, Xiao J, Luo Z. Existence of solutions for Riemann-Liouville fractional boundary value problem. *Abstr Appl Anal* 2014;2014.
- [22] Eloe PW, Jonnalagadda J. Quasilinearization and boundary value problems for Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations. *Electron J Differ Eqs* 2019;58:1–15.
- [23] Borisut P, Khammahawong K, Kumam P. Fixed point theory approach to existence of solutions with differential equations. *Differ Eqs* 2018:1.
- [24] Baleanu D, Mohammadi H, Rezapour S. Positive solutions of an initial value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Abstr Appl Anal* 2012;2012. Hindawi.
- [25] Ahmad B, Nieto JJ. Riemann-Liouville fractional integro-differential equations with fractional nonlocal integral boundary conditions. *Boundary Value Problems* 2011;2011.1:36.
- [26] Kamran T, Samreen M, Ain QUL. A generalization of b-metric space and some fixed point theorems. *Mathematics* 2017;5.2:19.
- [27] Mlaiki N, et al. Controlled metric type spaces and the related contraction principle. *Mathematics* 2018;6.10:194.
- [28] Abdeljawad T, Mlaiki N, Aydi H, Souayah N. Double controlled metric type spaces and some fixed point results. *Mathematics* 2018;6.12:320.
- [29] Azam A, Fisher B, Khan M. Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces. *Numerical Funct Anal Optim* 2011;32.3:243–53.
- [30] Rao KPR, Swamy PR, Prasad JR. A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces. *Bull Math Stat Res* 2013;1.1.
- [31] Karapinar E, Kumari S, Lateef D. A new approach to the solution of the Fredholm integral equation via a fixed point on extended b-metric spaces. *Symmetry* 2018;10.10:512.
- [32] Panda SK, Tassaddiq A, Agarwal RP. A new approach to the solution of nonlinear integral equations via various  $\mathcal{F}_B$ -contractions. *Symmetry* 2019;11:206.
- [33] Abdeljawad T, Agarwal RP, Karapinar E, Panda SK. Solutions of the nonlinear integral equation and fractional differential equation using the technique of a fixed point with a numerical experiment in extended b-metric space. *Symmetry* 2019;11.5:686.
- [34] Kumari P, Sumati C, Ampadu B, Nantadilok J. On new fixed point results in eb-metric spaces. *Thai J Math* 2018;16(4).
- [35] Kumari PS, Panthi D. Cyclic contractions and fixed point theorems on various generating spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2015;2015.1:153.
- [36] Sarma IR, Rao JM, Kumari PS, Panthi D. Convergence axioms on dislocated symmetric spaces. *Abstr Appl Anal* 2014;2014. Article ID 745031, 7 pages. doi: 10.1155/2014/745031.
- [37] Ege O. Complex valued rectangular b-metric spaces and an application to linear equations. *J Nonlinear Sci Appl* 2015;8.6:1014–21.
- [38] Ege O, Karaca I. Complex valued dislocated metric spaces. *Korean J Math* 2018;26.4:809–22.
- [39] Priyobarta N, Rohen Y, Mlaiki N. Complex valued Sb-metric spaces. *J Math Anal* 2017;8.3:13–24.
- [40] Mukheimer AA. Common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings in complex valued b-metric spaces. *Adv Fixed Point Theory* 2014;4.3:344–54.
- [41] Fernandez A. A complex analysis approach to Atangana-Baleanu fractional calculus. *Math Method Appl Sci* 2019. doi: 10.1002/mma.5754.
- [42] Abdeljawad T. Fractional difference operators with discrete generalized Mittag-Leffler kernels. *Chaos Soliton Fractal* 2019;126:315–24.
- [43] Abdeljawad T. Fractional operators with generalized Mittag-Leffler kernels and their iterated differintegrals. *Chaos* 2019;29.2:023102.
- [44] Gao W, Ghanbari B, Baskonus HM. New numerical simulations for some real world problems with Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative. *Chaos Soliton Fractal* 2019;128:34–43.
- [45] Baskonus HM, Bulut H. On the numerical solutions of some fractional ordinary differential equations by fractional Adams-Bashforth-Moulton method. *Open Math* 2015;13.1.
- [46] Veerasha P, Prakasha DG, Baskonus HM. New numerical surfaces to the mathematical model of cancer chemotherapy effect in Caputo fractional derivatives. *Chaos* 2019;29.1:013119.
- [47] Yokus A, Gulbahar S. Numerical solutions with linearization techniques of the fractional Harry Dym equation. *Appl Math Nonlinear Sci* 2019;4.1:35–42.
- [48] Ali Dokuyucu M, Celik E, Bulut H, Mehmet Baskonus H. Cancer treatment model with the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative. *Eur Phys J Plus* 2018;133(3). doi:10.1140/epjp/i2018-11950-y.
- [49] Esen A, et al. Optical solitons to the space-time fractional (1+ 1)-dimensional coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Optik* 2018;167:150–6.
- [50] Zhang Y, Cattani C, Yang X-J. Local fractional homotopy perturbation method for solving non-homogeneous heat conduction equations in fractal domains. *Entropy* 2015;17.10:6753–64.
- [51] Brzezinski DW. Comparison of fractional order derivatives computational accuracy-right hand vs left hand definition. *Appl Math Nonlinear Sci* 2017;2.1:237–48.
- [52] Veerasha P, Prakasha DG, Baskonus HM. Novel simulations to the time-fractional Fisher's equation. *Math Sci* 2019;13.1:33–42.
- [53] Yokus A. Numerical solution for space and time fractional order Burger type equation. *Alexandria Eng J* 2018;57.3:2085–91.
- [54] Kaya D, Gulbahar S, Yokus A. Numerical solutions of the fractional KdV-Burgers-Kuramoto equation. *Thermal Sci* 2018;22.1:S153–8.
- [55] Yokus A. Comparison of Caputo and conformable derivatives for time-fractional Korteweg-de Vries equation via the finite difference method. *Int J Modern Phys B* 2018;32.29:1850365.
- [56] Yokus A, Kaya D. Numerical and exact solutions for time fractional burgers' equation. *J Nonlinear Sci Appl* 2017;10.7:3419–28.