

## Lecture 19

### 1 Computing Privacy Loss

A randomized algorithm  $M$  is said to be  $\epsilon$  differentially private if  $\forall E \subseteq \text{Range}(M)$  and  $\forall x, y$  such that  $\|x - y\| \leq 1$  then

$$P(M(x) \in E) \leq e^\epsilon P(M(y) \in E) \quad \forall E \subseteq S$$

This can be modified for computing privacy loss in the following way. First we consider a certain output  $O \in E$  and  $P(M(x) = O)$ . We have

$$P(M(x) = O) \leq e^\epsilon P(M(y) = O)$$

$$\frac{P(M(x) = O)}{P(M(y) = O)} \leq e^\epsilon$$

$$\ln \frac{P(M(x) = O)}{P(M(y) = O)} \leq \ln e^\epsilon$$

$$\ln \frac{P(M(x) = O)}{P(M(y) = O)} \leq \epsilon$$

Therefore, a mechanism  $M(x)$  is said to be  $\epsilon$  differentially private if

$$\ln \frac{P(M(x) = O)}{P(M(y) = O)} \leq \epsilon$$

### 2 Calculating Privacy Loss for Mechanisms

#### 2.1 Coin Toss Mechanism

Now let us take a mechanism and try to compute the privacy loss from the formula we derived above. Let us consider a database that has  $n$  types of elements:  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y$  differs from  $x$  only on one element:  $y = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, \tilde{x}_i, \dots, x_n)$ , that is  $\|x - y\|_1$ . The mechanism  $M$  can be described as follows:

- Toss a Coin: If heads, respond  $x_i$  the true value.
- If tails, toss the coin again. If heads, return 1 and if tails return 0.

Let  $\tilde{x}_i$  be the output  $O$  returned by the mechanism. Privacy loss can be considered for this mechanism as follows:

$$\ln \frac{P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 1)}{P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 0)}$$

$P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 1)$  is probability of first coin toss being heads OR probability of first coin toss being tails and second coin toss being heads. Therefore  $P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 0) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}$ . There is only one possibility out of 4 for  $P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 0)$  to be 1. Therefore  $P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 0) = \frac{1}{4}$

$$\ln \frac{P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 1)}{P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 0)} = \ln \frac{\frac{3}{4}}{\frac{1}{4}} = \ln 3$$

Therefore this mechanism is  $\ln 3$  differentially private mechanism.

## 2.2 $\epsilon$ -differentially private mechanism

Let us consider another mechanism  $M$  as follows: If  $\tilde{x}_i = O$  is the output of the mechanism. The following describes getting  $\tilde{x}_i$  with corresponding probability.

$$\tilde{x}_i = \begin{cases} x_i & w.p. \frac{e^\epsilon}{e^\epsilon + 1} \\ \bar{x}_i & w.p. \frac{1}{e^\epsilon + 1} \end{cases}$$

For this mechanism, we can clearly see that:

$$P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 1) = \frac{e^\epsilon}{e^\epsilon + 1}$$

$$P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 0) = \frac{1}{e^\epsilon + 1}$$

$$\ln \frac{P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 1)}{P(\tilde{x}_i = 1 | x_i = 0)} = \ln \frac{\frac{e^\epsilon}{e^\epsilon + 1}}{\frac{1}{e^\epsilon + 1}} = \ln e^\epsilon = \epsilon$$

Therefore this is a  $\epsilon$ -differentially private mechanism.

## 3 Composition Theorem

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f : S \rightarrow S'$  be any deterministic mapping on output of  $\epsilon$ -differentially private mechanism, then  $f \circ M$  is also  $\epsilon$ -differentially private.*

**Given:**  $P(M(x) \in E_2) \leq e^\epsilon P(M(y) \in E_2) \forall E_2 \subseteq S$

**To Prove:**  $P(f \circ M(x) \in E_1) \leq e^\epsilon P(f \circ M(y) \in E_1) \forall E_1 \subseteq S'$

*Proof.*

$$E = \{x \in S | f(x) \in E_1\}$$

This is a direct result of taking pre-image of  $E_1$  i.e.  $f^{-1}(E_1)$

$$P(f \circ M(x) \in E_1) = P(M(x) \in E_2) \leq e^\epsilon P(M(y) \in E_2) = e^\epsilon P(f \circ M(y) \in E_1)$$

□

Any  $\epsilon$ -differentially private mechanism is  $k\epsilon$ -differentially private for a group of size  $k$ . That is:  $\|x - y\|_1 \leq k \Rightarrow$  its  $k\epsilon$  differentially private.

## 4 Advantages of $\epsilon$ -differential privacy

- Neutralize linkages attacks - protects against reidentification
- Quantification of privacy loss
- Post processing does not increase privacy loss
- Group privacy
- Composition - if two mechanisms  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  are composed, then resulting mechanism is  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$