

Blockchain

1. *What is Blockchain?*

Blockchain is a Distributed Database. It is:

- Append only
- Transparent
- Incorruptible (under mild assumptions)
- Secure
- Time-stamped
- With Distributed Consensus

2. *Distributed Consensus*

- Have a protocol to agree on something.
- Protocol terminates, and all honest nodes decide on the same value
- Value must have been generated/proposed by some honest node

The Fischer-Lynch-Paterson impossibility is often brought up here; blockchain however overcomes it. We shall see that later. A protocol to keep in mind is **Paxos**, which can theoretically fail.

Financial Arrangements

Barter

Simple enough: If **A** has **b** but wants *a* and *B* has *a* but wants **b** then the two can swap with each other. What if **A** has **c** and wants *a*, but *B* has *a* but wants **b**? We look for *C* who has **b** and wants **c**, and then we can arrange for an exchange.

The issue:

Getting the people to get together and arrange an exchange.

Solution:

1. Cash
2. Credit

Credit Make the transaction, be in debt until repayment after.

Cash Denominating some cash value to all goods, and using cash to buy and sell.

Early Crypto attempts

- Tried to link with some fiat currency
- Centralisation was a problem
- Minting was unsolved

Probability

- Basic Rules
- Conditional Probability
- Independence
- Mutually Exclusive
- Birthday Paradox

Central Idea: $1 - P(\text{NO COMMON BIRTHDAYS}), (1 - \frac{k}{d}) < e^{-\frac{k}{d}}$

- Random Variables
 - Binomial Random Variable: $P(X = k) = C_k^n p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$
 - Geometric Random Variable: $P(X = k) = p(1 - p)^{k-1}$
 - Poisson Random Variable: $\frac{(\lambda t)^n e^{-\lambda t}}{n!}$
- Expectation $E(X) = \sum x_i p_i$
 - Binomial: np
 - Geometric: $1/p$
 - Poisson: λt

Combining two poisson processes λ_1 and λ_2 gives a new Poisson with $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$

Number Theory

Group

- $(G, *)$: closure, identity, inverse, associative
- Generator g
- $Z_n = x : x = N \bmod n$
- $(Z_n, +)$ is a group
- $Z_n - 0 = Z_n^*$
- $(Z_n^*, *)$ is a group

- $\phi(n)$: totient function

Number of co-primes captured by n

- $\phi(p) = p - 1$
- $\phi(pq) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$

Public Key Crypto

RSA

Take: $p, q, n = pq, \phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$

select e and d such that $ed = 1 \bmod \phi(n)$

Public: (e, n)

Encryption $c = m^e \bmod n$

Decryption $m = c^d \bmod n$

El Gamal

Take: $Z * p$, Generator g , random x .

Calculate: h st $h = g^x \bmod p$

Public: (h, p)

Encryption: Take random y

$$s = h^y \bmod p$$

$$c1 = g^y \bmod p$$

$$c2 = ms \bmod p$$

Decryption: $(c1^x)^{-1} c2 \bmod p$

Cryptographic Hash Functions

Properties:

- Deterministic
- Efficient to compute
- Pre-image resistance
- Second pre-image resistance
- Collision resistance
- Small change in the input should modify hash extensively
- Fixed size output, for input of any size

SHA-256

Merkle-Damgard transform is used by SHA-256 to keep it to 256 bits.

Padding is $10 * \lceil \text{len} \rceil$

Commitments

Committing

Have a message to commit, and a nonce.

$\text{com} = \text{commit}(\text{message}, \text{nonce})$

Verifying

The message and nonce(key) are revealed. Verified as:

$\text{message} == \text{verify}(\text{com}, \text{message}, \text{nonce})$

Digital Signatures

Properties:

- Analogous to Physical Signatures
- Should not be possible to forge onto other documents
- Signer should not be able to deny signing

We have $\text{sign}()$, $\text{verify}()$, $\text{keygen}()$. keygen gives us sk (secret key) and pk (public key)

keygen should be random. sign should be deterministic

Signing

$\text{sig} = \text{sign}(\text{sk}, \text{message})$

Verifying

$\text{verify}(\text{pk}, \text{sig}, \text{message}) == \text{true}$

RSA

- $p, q, n=pq, \phi(n), e, d$ st $ed=1 \bmod \phi(n)$
- d is private and e is public. d is used to sign, e to verify

Sign-Verify

- sig: $m^d \bmod n$
- verify: $s^e == m \bmod n$

El-Gamal

- p, g, x (random), h (from x, g, p).
-

DSA