HW U PI reduction to we can demonstrate this by proving that PCA for to highest true hadmenstons tolus the eigenvectors corresponding agenvalues of E and then setting his 2. So our problem is now derlying PCA for 122. Good: Get Pand and that Pana Kan goes Numi, God Phra s.t. X'kx1= Phra XXXI, and we got 'best' P. or get Ps.t. (econtruction loss is number of (since pis assistantedias over which we 18 2 = P" x' 2 = P" Pr = P"BL projed vectors, it is actrogonal) moner 11 x-21 Basically: mm || X - (Xp)p7 || 51. p7p21. looking at PK mas contribute maximisation If me lookal Rhas bestsetof directors such that variobility of data () maximised in lower dynastons, x = xp (p. direction) max | | | X' | | 2 = max | | 'xp | | st. p = 1 = max tr (ap) (xp)) st p = 1 = muck ptxtxp xtptp=1 = max pTSp & p"p=1 S = X x is scutternotion. Europeracy med: mm | x - (xp)p" 11 x. p"p=1 = mm tr ((x-(xp)p7) (x-(xp)p7) st p7p=1 = mm by ((x - (xp)p2) (x2 - Pp2x2)) " = him br (XXT -- LX PPTXT LXp(ptp) pTXT) """" = my tr (xx1) - 4 (xpp x1) SI p7p=1 = non - tr (xpp*x*) + 17p=1 = mm - h (px xp) st reed = max p>p st pre=1.

Now, these 2 are equivalent fried.

Derving algorishing

PCA: man p75p st p7p=1.

we use lagrangemen multipliers to correct this to see a conferenced optimisation problem

max pTSp - x (pTp-1) St. x70,

so objective: L(1,x) = pTSp-b(xTp-1), maximum L.

81, sette: pTp-1=0: pTp=1.

0 1 mm = 2 m - 2 mp = 0. Sp = mp.

now, $L(p, \lambda) = p^{T}(Sp) - \lambda(p^{T}p-1)$ = $p^{T}\lambda p - \lambda(1-1) = \lambda p^{T}p = \lambda$.

So aptimisations sp = xp

= eigenvolue & force stylednesda.

Frindreckers, we got eigenvieters corresponding to each be eigenviewes softed in a descending after.

Someget from this that if h=2, the second largest eigenvicter-