

# PoPL Lecture 5

Zubair Abid

2020-08-25

## Summary

- ASTs are abstract representation of a program
- There is way to theoretically define the abstract syntax by looking at the concrete syntax
- Now, we are defining a language with *only addition*. So, we also show how to define this AST in racket (using **define-datatype**), and then write an **unparser** to go from AST to concrete syntax and then a **parser** to go from concrete syntax to AST

**Agenda:** Introducing ASTs by defining what they are, and how to show them in racket.

- We will be defining our first (very trivial) language
- Will come around to (eventually) Abstract Syntax Trees
- First, a few questions about programs:
  1. What is a program? How is it represented? <-- will tackle this today
  2. What does it mean? How does it run?

## What is a program

Say you have a python program:

```
def f(x):  
    return x+2
```

- It's a sequence of characters, a sequence of tokens
- However, we are more concerned with abstract representations

## A more abstract representation of a program

- **Trees** are an abstract representation. We will be using that.
- We start with a simple language: addition
  - It's representation of something like `2 + 3` is

```

      +      Abstract syntax tree : this is what it is
      / \      represented internally
     2   3

```

```

Equivalent concrete structures: 2 + 3
                               + 2 3

```

```

      concrete syntax      AST
-----> PARSEr -----> EVALUATOR
                        |
                        |
                        V
                      ANSWER

```

## From concrete to abstract

We'll work with a very simple language, *addition*.

Say we have this concrete syntax:

```

<exp> ::= <num> |      \_ prefix
          '( ' <exp> ' ' <exp> ' )' /

<exp> ::= <num> |      \_ infix
          '( ' <exp> '+' <exp> ' )' /

```

The abstract syntax for that language is: <sup>1</sup>

```

<ast> ::= <num> |      \_ grammar of trees
          + <ast> <ast> /

```

We pass judgement on the astness of an ast using this:

```

|-----|
| if n   N           | num rule
|   n AST           |
|         OR         |
| if e1 AST & e2 AST | plus rule
|   + e1 e2 AST     |
|-----|

```

```

-----
| Now, the valid expressions
V in this language are

```

---

<sup>1</sup>at around 14:00, sir talks about the AST structure as a language. This caught me off guard causing me to lose track. It was mentioned once before, but was unable to pick it up at that moment.

usable for rating? judgements

Judgement:  $\frac{}{e \text{ AST}}$

An example of the judgement:

Judgement	rating	justification
3 AST	sound	num, 3 N
2 AST	sound	num, 2 N
2+3 AST	sound	plus, 2 AST & 3 AST
2+ AST	unsound	not derivable

## Implementing a parser and unparser

Rest of class: we will implement regularisation of ASTs, and write two functions: `parse`, `unparse`

### Implementing ASTs in Racket

Other way to define

$\langle \text{ast} \rangle ::= \langle \text{num} \rangle \mid + \langle \text{ast} \rangle \langle \text{ast} \rangle$

$\frac{}{V}$   
 $V$   
 Variant (sum) type  
 base case | Inductive case

Implementing it in racket:

```
> (define-datatype ast ast? ;; the second is the type predicate
  [num (n number?)]
  [plus (left ast?) (right ast?)])

> (number? 5)
#t
> symbol?
> procedure?
;; so
> (check-true (ast? (num 5)))
#t
```

`num` and `plus` get autodefined as *constructor functions* with the following signatures:

- `num ::= number? -> ast?`
- `plus ::= [ast?, ast?] -> ast?`

Eg:

```
> (num 5); --> an AST          num 5

;; example:
> (ast? (plus (num 5)          ;      plus
           (num 6)))          ;  /    \
                               ; num5   num6

#t

; example of more complex????
> (let ([e1 (plus (num 5) (num 6))] ;      +
       [e2 (plus (num 3) (num 3))] ;    / \
       (plus e1 e2))              ;   +   +
                               ;  / \ / \
                               ; n5 n6 n2 n3
```

Now something something looking at abstract to concrete syntax

Abstract syntax —unparser—> concrete syntax <—parser—

### Unparser implementation in RACKET

```
;;; unparse : ast? ----> any/c
> (define (unparse a)
  (cases ast a
    [num (n) n]
    [plus (left right)
      (list '+
            (unparse left)
            (unparse right))]))
> (unparse (num 5))
5
> (unparse (plus (num 5) (num 4)))
'+ 5 4
```

### Parser implementation in RACKET

```
;;; parse : any/c ---> ast? || error
> (define (parse d)
  (cond [(number? d) (num d)]
        [(and (list? d)
              (= (length d) 3)
              (eq? (first d) '+))
         (plus (parse (second d))
               (parse (third d)))]
        [else (error 'parse "invalid syntax" d)]))
> (parse 5)
```

```
(num 5)  
> (parse '(+ 2 3))  
(plus (num 2) (num 3))
```