## Assignment 4

- 1. (3) Propose a numerically stable way to compute the function  $f(x,a) = \sqrt{x+a} \sqrt{x}$  for positive x, a.
- 2. (5) Consider numerical evaluation  $C = \tan(10^{100})$  with the help of arbitrary-precision arithmetic module mpmath, which can be called as follows:

```
from mpmath import *
mp.dps = 64 # precision (in decimal places)
mp.pretty = True
+pi
```

What is the relative condition number of evaluating C w.r.t the input number  $10^{100}$ ? How many digits do you need to keep at intermediate steps to evaluate C with 7-digit accuracy?

3. (5) Implement the function  $solve_quad(b, c)$ , receiving coefficients b and c of a quadratic polynomial  $x^2+bx+c$ , and returning a pair of equation roots. Your function should always return two roots, even for a degenerate case (for example, a call  $solve_quad(-2, 1)$  should result into (1, 1)). Additionally, your function is expected to return complex roots.

After checking ensuring that your algorithm sort of works, try it on the following 5 tests. Make sure that all of them pass.

4. (10) Consider the polynomial

$$w(x) = \prod_{r=1}^{20} (x - r) = \sum_{i=0}^{20} a_i x^i$$
 (1)

and investigate the condition number of roots of this polynomial w.r.t the coefficients  $a_i$ . To this end, perform the following experiment, using numpy root-finding algorithm. Randomly perturb w(x) by replacing the coefficients  $a_i \to n_i a_i$ , where  $n_i$  is drawn from a normal distribution of mean 1 and variance  $10^{-10}$ . Show the results of 100 such experiments in a single plot, along with the roots of the unperturbed polynomial w(x). Using one of the experiments, estimate the relative and absolute condition number of the problem of finding the roots of w(x) w.r.t. polynomial coefficients.

5. (10) Cosider computing the function  $f(n,\alpha)$  defined by  $f(0,\alpha) = \ln(1+1/\alpha)$  and recurrent relation

$$f(n,\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} - \alpha f(n-1,\alpha). \tag{2}$$

Compute f(20, 0.1) and f(20, 10) in standard (double) precision. Now, do the same exercise in arbitrary precision arithmetic:

```
from mpmath import mp, mpf
mp.dps = 64 # precision (in decimal places)
f = mp.zeros(1, n)
f[0] = mp.log(1+1/mpf(alpha))
for i in range(1, n):
    f[i] = 1/mpf(i) - mpf(alpha)*f[i-1]
```

Plot the relative difference between exact and approximate results, in units of machine epsilon np.finfo(float).eps for  $\alpha = 0.1$  and  $\alpha = 10$  as function of n. How would you evaluate f(30, 10) without relying on the arbitrary precision arithmetic?

6. (20) Consider the least squares problem  $Ax \approx b$  at

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1.00001 \\ 1 & 1.00001 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0.00001 \\ 4.00001 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

• Formally, solution is given by

$$x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b, \quad y = Ax.$$
 (4)

Using this equation, compute the solution analytically (you may use sympy).

- Implement Eq. (4) in numpy in single and double precision; compare the results to the analytical one.
- Instead of Eq. (4), implement SVD-based solution to least squares. Which approach is numerically more stable?
- Use np.linalg.lstsq to solve the same equation. Which method does this function use?
- What are the four relative condition numbers of this problem, describing sensitivities of x and y to perturbations of b and A? Give examples of perturbations  $\delta b$  and  $\delta A$  that approximately attain those condition numbers?
- 7. (20\*) Consider the infinite matrix A with entries  $a_{11} = 1$ ,  $a_{12} = 1/2$ ,  $a_{21} = 1/3$ ,  $a_{13} = 1/4$ ,  $a_{22} = 1/5$ ,  $a_{31} = 1/6$ , and so on. Compute  $||A||_2$  with 10 significant digits.