

Method used

Implemented Background detection with Kernel density estimation with exponentially decaying weights to the past N -values

The probability density function estimate is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^i K(x, x_i, \sigma)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha^i}$$

We predict background when $f(x_t) > \text{threshold}$ for a chosen threshold

The estimation of the kernel width σ is given by $\frac{m}{0.68\sqrt{2}}$ where m is the median of $|x_i - x_{i-1}|, 2 \leq i \leq n$

Foreground Pixel Aggregation

To suppress false detections we use foreground pixel aggregation method where for a pixel position (i, j) predicted as foreground we aggregate the pixels in the rectangular region

$i - 5 \leq x \leq i + 5, j - 5 \leq y \leq j + 5$. If the count of pixels does not exceed some chosen threshold, then the pixel position is considered as part of noise and removed.

Bounding Box detection

We set the probability of an object being present in the bounding box be given by the ratio of the number of pixels predicted as foreground and the total number of pixels in the bounding box. We use non-maximal suppression to predict the appropriate bounding box capping the bounding box overlap at some chosen threshold

Comparison

KDE with exponentially decaying weights	GMM with exponentially decaying weights
Model responds quickly to the changes in background	Model is slow to respond to changes in background compared to that of KDE.

KDE with exponentially decaying weights	GMM with exponentially decaying weights
	Sometimes a mirage of a moving object is created because of that
Relatively noisier as more false detection occur	Less noisy compared to that of KDE
Model performs poorly when a moving objects stands still for some time as it amalgamates it to the background. This can be seen for the case of "Hall And Monitor" data and "Candela_m1.10" data	Model relatively robust when a moving objects stands still for some time

Failure cases of the method

1. The kernel width σ plays a very important role in the prediction accuracy by the model. The calculation for the value $\exp \left\{ -(x - \mu)^2 / (\sigma^2) \right\}$ overflows very quickly if the variance is small and hence a lot of false detections occur because of that.
Hence on a still scene a lot false detections can occur because of this method.
2. This model does not work well for detecting objects with varying speeds because if an object is slow, it get amalgamated with the background and if the adaptation(learning) rate is slow, then the model does not adapt quickly to the background changes.

Links to videos

[Link](#)