## Problem Set #1 – Introduction and Concentration inequalities

**Notation** In this problem set and throughout the course we will use the following notation:

- $a_n \sim b_n$  means  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 1$ .
- $a_n \lesssim b_n$  means that there exists an *absolute* constant C > 0 such that  $a_n \leq b_n$ . By "absolute" it means that this constant does not depend on the problem quantities defining  $a_n, b_n$  (e.g., the random variable). We can think of it as a numerical constant, which for simplicity of analysis is not computed exactly.
- $a_n = \Theta(b_n)$  means  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{b_n} < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{b_n} > 0$ .

**Preliminaries** Let X, Y be random variables. Consult [V, Ch. 1] and verify that you are comfortable with the following:

- Moment generating function  $\mathbb{E}[e^{sX}]$ .
- $L_p$  spaces, their norm  $||X||_{L_p} := (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p}$ , for  $p \in (0, \infty)$  (what makes the last sentence inaccurate?)
  - (specifically)  $L_2$  space and its inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle_{L_2} = \mathbb{E}[XY]$ .
- Basic inequalities: Jensen, Minkowski, Cauchy-Schwarz, Hölder.
- Basic tail inequalities: Markov, Chebyshev, Chernoff.
- The central limit theorem.
- 1. (Gaussian integral)
  - (a) Prove that for  $a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\int e^{-at^2 - bt} \cdot dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \cdot e^{b^2/(4a)}.$$

- (b) Let  $S \sim N(0, 3/4)$ . Compute  $\mathbb{E}[e^{S^2/2}]$ .
- 2. (From tails to expectation) Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be distributed i.i.d.,  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_iX_i^T] = I_d$  (such  $X_i$ 's are called *isotropic*). Let  $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_iX_i^T$  be the empirical covariance matrix. We have stated that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\lambda_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma}) > 1 + C\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + t + \sqrt{t}\right)\right] \le e^{-nt}.$$
 (1)

(a) Show that with probability larger than  $1 - \delta$ 

$$\lambda_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma}) \leq 1 + C_1 \left( \sqrt{\frac{d + \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{n}} + \frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{n} \right).$$

What is  $C_1$ ?

(b) Recall the *integral identity*:  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[X > s] \mathrm{d}s$  if X > 0 a.s. . Show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\lambda_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma}) - 1\right] \leq C_2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

3. (Probability of exact sample statistics) Your highly skeptic friend doesn't believe the coin you are holding is fair. To prove him wrong you flip the coin n times, with the hope of getting exactly n/2 heads and n/2 tails. What is the probability that you will be successful? Show that probably not:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = n/2\right] = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

for  $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2})$ , i.i.d..

Hint: Stirling's approximation  $n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$  might be useful here.

4. (Orlicz spaces) A function  $\psi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is called an Orlicz function if it is convex, increasing, and satisfies

$$\psi(0) = 0$$
,  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \psi(x) = \infty$ .

For a random variable X, define

$$||X||_{\mathcal{W}} := \inf\{t > 0: \mathbb{E}\psi(|X|/t) < 1\}$$
 (2)

- (a) Prove that  $\|\cdot\|_{\psi}$  defines a *norm* on the *Orlicz space*  $L_{\psi} := \{X : \|X\|_{\psi} \le \infty\}$ . *Hint: It holds that*  $\frac{|X+Y|}{\|X+Y\|_{\psi}} \le \alpha \frac{|X|}{\|X\|_{\psi}} + (1-\alpha) \frac{|Y|}{\|Y\|_{\psi}}$ . *What is*  $\alpha$ ?
- (b) What is  $L_{\psi}$  for:

- i.  $\psi = x^p, p \ge 1$  (what about  $p \in [0, 1]$ ?).
- ii.  $\psi_2 = e^{x^2} 1$ .
- iii.  $\psi_1 = e^{|x|} 1$ .
- 5. (Centering) We have defined subGaussian and subExponential distributions only for zero mean random variables. Is the zero-mean assumption essential? Let X be a random variable, possibly with  $\mathbb{E}X \neq 0$ 
  - (a) Prove that  $||X \mathbb{E}X||_{L_2} \le ||X||_{L_2}$  (you are probably well-familiar with this property).
  - (b) Prove that  $||X \mathbb{E}X||_{\psi} \le C||X||_{\psi}$  for some absolute constant C, for both  $\psi = \psi_2$  and  $\psi = \psi_1$ .
  - (c) Show that C > 1 must hold for  $\psi_2$  (unlike the  $L_2$  case). Hint: For a counterexample, it seems easiest to consider X with support  $\{\pm 1\}$ , and numerically check the condition (2).
- 6. (Boosting randomized algorithms) Assume that a random algorithm with a binary (or "yes"/"no") output returns a correct answer with probability  $\frac{1}{2} + \delta$ . Note that this is only slightly better than a completely random guess. Being unsatisfied with this poor performance, you decide to run the algorithm n times. Let  $A_i = 1$  {The algorithm outputs a correct answer in the i-th attempt}, i.e.  $A_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)$ . Note that specifically, they are independent. We decide on the final answer according to a simple majority vote on the  $\{A_i\}$ . For any fixed  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ , prove that if  $n \geq \frac{1}{2\delta^2} \log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$  then the answer is correct with probability larger than  $1 \varepsilon$ .
- 7. (Median-of-means estimator for robust estimation) Suppose you are required to find an estimator  $\hat{\mu}$  of the mean  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$  such that  $\hat{\mu}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -accurate, i.e.,  $\hat{\mu} \in (\mu \varepsilon, \mu + \varepsilon)$  with high probability. For this task, you are given i.i.d. samples  $\{X_i\}$  of X. Assume that  $\text{Var}X^2 < \infty$ .
  - (a) Prove that there exists  $c_1 > 0$  such that the sample mean  $\tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  is  $\varepsilon$ -accurate with probability larger than  $\frac{3}{4}$  if  $n > \frac{c_1 \sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}$  where  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var} X$ .
  - (b) Consider the following median-of-means estimator: Compute k estimators  $\tilde{\mu}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,j}$ ,  $j \in [k]$ , where each is based on different n i.i.d. samples (the total nk samples are all i.i.d.). Let  $\mu^* = \mathbb{M}(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mu}_k)$  be the *median* of these k estimators. Prove that there exists  $c_2 > 0$  such that  $\mu^*$  is  $\varepsilon$ -accurate with probability larger than  $1 \delta$  for

$$nk = c_2 \log(\frac{1}{\delta}) \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

*Hint:* The  $\tilde{\mu}_i$  are random algorithms which are "correct" with probability  $\frac{3}{4}$ . We are *boosting* these *weak estimators* to obtain a more reliable estimator. The price to be paid for this high probability is only logarithmic in  $1/\delta$ .