


**FEBRUARY 22 2022 12:24 PM ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED
CONSIDERS THE GREAT PROJECT OF SCIENCE OF MAN**

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED
ZULFIKAR.AHMED@GMAIL.COM

It is most unfortunate that a useless malevolent totally evil and destructive criminal, savage, barbaric, disgusting, worthless, charlatan such as Bill Gates had not been destroyed completely in the 1970s, and I, Zulfikar Moiunuddin Ahmed, a great immortal genius, am having my life destroyed by him with occupation of my Deep Interior. I have asked the United States Senate to physically destroy this threat to my beloved people the human race.

The Great Project of Science of Man requires some work. Here, you see my work environment.



Date: February 23, 2022.



Bill Gates had penetrated my Deep Interior and has been damaging my memories, destroying my peace and sleep. I am fond of Barolo and gained knowledge from friendship with Alessio Calderara many years ago. I saw that he was destroying my memories. It is clear that we need to ensure that United States Government totally destroy him and Deep Interiors and Blood Meta of all people on Earth requires greater security. It is outrageous that United States Government had allowed this wretched miserable disgusting vile worthless scum of the Earth *Bill Gates* to live and continue in his horrible vile unspeakably destructive crimes for the past five decades.

Now let us turn to the glorious project, the complement to my four-sphere theory that is the *Science of Nature* with *Science of Man*. I would like a coherent unified seamless *Science of Man* that is parsimonious and clear. I believe this is possible and that it is worthwhile to attempt a unity of Science of Man and Nature even if incomplete because the effort itself produces progress in Science.

Obviously I am annoyed that even though I am American, with legitimate papers, that the United States Government did not see it fit to totally destroy this *Bill Gates* immediately more than a year ago. I dislike being disturbed from my work. I, Zulfikar Moinuddin Ahmed, immortal genius for work already done in four-sphere theory, Universal Human Moral Nature, completion of Virtues for Life Satisfaction and other results that ensure my immortality shall be comparable to Aristotle and Plato, and for tens of thousands of years in the future. This *Bill Gates* has no comparable great achievements and a totally worthless man and by his disgusting vile crimes against me condemned himself to scorn of all human beings for eternity.

1. THE ORIGIN OF THE PROBLEM OF BILL GATES

Bill Gates is a hick illiterate charlatan, a *Felix Krull* type cat from podunk nowhereville in boondocks in northwhere frontier of America, and he is not a university educated man. He's a college dropout with uncouth, barbaric, savage, primitive sorts of understanding of Civilisation and power. These sorts of men will always be a liability for all of Civilisation. He wants to be the megalomaniac Emperor of the world but he does not have any taste or cultivation or any significant interest in actual philanthropic benevolence. In fact he is a total cunt. So obviously when he deceived the American people about his own 'luminary genius' and his value, it was a suicidal moment in American history. He has instead spent his entire life plotting death, destruction and genocide of non-white people of America and the world. He is a miserable inferior crass disgusting son of a bitch who is a curse, a disease, and a cancerous tumour, upon my beloved people, the human race, and an ugly monster who despises all natural rights of people. He is literally threatening my Life now, and has sabotaged legitimate earning of \$620 million from Finance. I am most displeased that United States Government had not relentlessly bombed and destroyed him physically more than a year ago as I had asked. That was the right decision.

He knows nothing about John Locke and Thomas Jefferson's enlightenment philosophy that lays the foundations of this great nation at all. He's totally illiterate, hick, savage, barbaric, primitive and ought to have been destroyed decades ago.

2. SURPASSING DARWIN

Today, February 22 2022, I, Zulfikar Moinuddin Ahmed, am coming to the realisation that time has come for using Friedrich Nietzsche to surpass Charles Darwin. I submit that Darwin's idea for evolution by natural selection did produce some progress. In fact, I have stood on his work to see clearly that *Emotions* originated on Earth 251-201 million years ago and the great genius *Jaak Panksepp* had finally elucidated for us the seven subcortical systems that produce basic emotions for all human beings. But now time has come to recognise Darwin's weakness. Darwin was too *physical* and so for emotions he was too weak. He only looked at expression of emotions *superficially* in 1872. This leaves me to surpass Darwin in my Great Project of Science of Man for Nietzsche is right, Psychology shall be the Queen of the Sciences now.

Damyata: The boat responded
Gaily, to the hand expert with sail and oar
The sea was calm, your heart would have responded
Gaily, when invited, beating obedient To controlling hands
I sat upon the shore
Fishing, with the arid plain behind me
Shall I at least set my lands in order?

And soon, the world shall have a Subjectivity-Oriented Science of Man that is yet parsimonious Science.

I am a great Romantic with a Great Conscience, and soon I shall elevate the *Individual* again from the undifferentiated masses and propel my beloved people the Human Race in a new and fruitful trajectory.

3. CONTRAST BETWEEN MY GREAT ORIGINAL IDEAS AND BILL GATES

As you can see, my great ideas for Science of Man is to re-found Psychology of Emotions on latent state space $E = \mathbf{R}^7$ of signals from the great genius Jaak Panksepp's seven subcortical systems of emotions quantitatively and establish a Universal Theory of Emotions based on the major factor of habituation of Aristotle for non-basic emotions, then establish Psychology as the Queen of Sciences following Nietzsche harmonising Romantic Self with statistical regularities for Universal Human Nature. This overcomes Darwin in accepting evolution but seeing deeper into individual Soul for uplifting the Human Condition for eight billion people.

In contrast Bill Gates did innovate. He innovated on how to use his own *semen* to strengthen destructive meta and find destructive uses of black magic invading other people's Deep Interior with Vampyric draining of their Life Blood and using Conquest and Enslavement meta and US War Power against an American against all national and international law and all norms of Civilisation for several centuries.

Is there even a contest of who is a great man and who is not?

4. MY PLANS ARE DISRUPTED BADLY BY BILL GATES

I am American with papers.



I have great plans for a unified Science of Man based on clearer understanding of Psychology and applications in Positive Psycholgy delivered to eight billion people. I want to collect \$620 million legitimately earned from Finance, move to San Francisco and produce a couple of companies and take a tenured professorship at Stanford as Adjunct Professor and live in Mission District as I have in the past. I don't have time to be the victim of Bill Gates racial genocide program. I would prefer that United States Government fix this situation.

For many years I have slowly made progress on understanding Personality Psychology and Emotions and have plans to examine Romantics for further insight. I expect *universal* results that will benefit all people for higher Life Satisfaction. I do not have time. United States Government ought to destroy Bill Gates physically so he does not continue to disrupt my great and noble enterprises.

5. NO ONE ON EARTH TRUST UNITED STATES EVER AGAIN IF BILL GATES IS NOT TOTALLY NEUTRALISED

I am just amazed that United States Government cannot deliver natural rights security to me which cannot be done without totally destroying Bill Gates. Human beings are social beings and we do trust and cooperate but people don't trust and cooperate with any nation when the government of that nation is not able to secure natural rights of Princeton graduates following legitimate work and pursuing my American Dream while there is a man who was a goddam charlatan all his life who has been lying about all his philanthropy with great plans of ruling the world destroying my life for a year with obsessive focus with absolutely unspeakable disgusting evil and vile atrocity and the United States Government is not able to deliver elementary natural rights security. Who will ever trust the United States Government after this?

6. EMOTIONS OF HUMANS ARE OUR SUBTLEST EVOLUTIONARY FEATURES

One of the things that have not been understood by our best scientists for more than a century is that Charles Darwin's evolution theory, while correct, did not immediately lead to centrality of evolution of emotions. Darwin's own work on emotions is weak. I had determined that our pre-human ancestors including *Homo Australopithecus* had too large a brain size to survive without *paternal attachment to progeny* and therefore that romantic love and love for children from father as well as mother. For about eight million years *emotions* evolved for human beings more than any physical limbs. Emotions and Subjectivity more than anything else are the scientific keys to a Science of Man. And that is what is worth formulating. It is possible within a decade.

Let's take a large step back from all the specialisations – anthropology, sociology, psychology, various social sciences and consider all thoughts, feelings, inner and outer lives, groups and relationships, nations and communities and all human phenomena for the past eight million years and for the next eight million all at once. We want a single unified theory for all of it. The Science of Man.

7. EXAMINATION OF ALL THOUGHTS AND FEELINGS OF ALL HUMAN BEINGS PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE

It is for me quite important to consider the fundamental questions that are, today, in February 22 2022, as I am accosted by power exercise in my Deep Interior by Bill Gates that are not analogous to *War Crimes* but are actually *War Crimes* judged so by United Nations Security Council that began in 2019. I am almost sure to perish from the wounds of his assaults as he had destroyed, obviously violating all national, international, and religious and other laws, all life-sustaining meta that I inherited from my ethnic background completely.

I will be talking about some theories of how to *arrange emotions* from Rene Descartes to the present day. You see, I want to express my views about this lack of crisp clear sharp understanding about this situation today, even among scholars and scientists, let alone the general educated population as a clear sign that there is a problem here.

I was born in 1973 and have lived in America since 1987. I have never met anyone in my entire life who had any questions about whether light speed was finite or not.

Never. Even the most ill-educated person I have ever encountered would know Einstein's $E = mc^2$ as part of their ordinary culture everywhere in the world. This was not the case, however in 1650. Rene Descartes, whose genius is without any doubt, who died in 1650, was quite certain that light speed was infinite.

The situation of how do we arrange emotions is in a similar state today. There is confusion in the world. People do not have any sharp clear consensus on this issue at all.

I wish to change this situation. I will aim to organise all thoughts and feelings of all human beings in the past eight million years and of the future eight million years in a clear and correct organisation that is unchanging, clear, and not very difficult. Those sorts of things allow progress of the civilisation of my beloved people, the Human Race.

I will examine work of Andrew Ortony, Gerald L. Clore, and Allan Collins [1]. They introduce a theory of *emotion types* and they reject the theory of basic emotions. I will be disagreeing with their organisation of emotions, not out of spite, mind you. I don't know these gentlemen personally and unless you are *Bill Gates* I will not have arbitrary deep contempt for you.

Now Ortony-Clore-Collins cognitive theory of emotions, with some slight modifications, might still be a reasonable scientific theory even with the scheme that I will propose as the Final Emotion Scheme that will resolve all disputes and confusions of eight million years of human life regarding emotions. It will mark a new age in human understanding of our own emotions, when finally there is no confusion about what is an emotion and how *Nature* organises emotions.

And this is that we have real-valued signals $x_j(t)$ in time from seven subcortical neural circuits at any given time $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and so for an individual human being, there is a single unambiguous state

$$x(t) \in E = \mathbf{R}^7.$$

The words that we use to qualitatively describe emotions, "When love comes to town I'm gonna take that plane; when love comes to town I'm gonna jump that train; maybe I was wrong to ever let her down; but I did what I did before love came to town" so this represents some period of time $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ the emotion state traces a curve

$$x(t) \in E$$

and that is the latent emotion of the human being. This is the right answer for how to understand emotions. You have to distinguish whether the emotion state *stayed in the B. B. King emotion state* in this time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ based on some geometric region and whether the curve exited it.

There is $D_{B.B.King} \subset E$ and we say that the person was in the B. B. King Love comes to Town emotion state – we don't make the error of trying to reduce the state in ordinary language because we are not *fools* over here. It's the B. B. King Loves comes to Town state. If $x(t) \in D_{B.B.King}$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ we were in that emotional state.

This will resolve all questions about how to define emotions quantitatively. The issue of discreteness of and types and all other issues of emotions can be objectively resolved precisely with the latent variable E .

8. NATURE INVENTED HUMAN EMOTIONS NOT MAN

All emotions experienced by Man is invented by Nature. It is only megalomaniac delusional man such as *Bill Gates* who pretends to have invented human emotions. Emotions have existed on Earth, and felt by all manner of mammals for 251-201 million years. Our primate ancestors felt such intricate emotions that our human languages do not have words for them. Indeed, it is very clear to any connoisseur of refined arts that ordinary human words do not suffice to express all the emotions we experience. It's simple. Is 'disgust' and 'contempt' and revilement' really *adequate* to express my emotions towards *Bill Gates*. They are *inadequate*. And so I urge all humanity to allow *Nature* the question of organisation of emotions rather than attempt to impose on nature our *Mickey Mouse schemes*.

What I suggest is that for all sufficiently common emotions you do neuroimaging studies after determining how to obtain good real-valued signals from seven subcortical regions with some $N = 500$ or whatever participants. Then you ought to make the data available to the public in some easy to analyse formats as Protein Databank and others do for biochemistry. Then various social scientists could download the data for their work. There are various representations for emotions that will result from algorithmic interpretations of distributions for each recognisable emotions. These will be *Nature's* organisations rather than speculative theories and various theories can be tested with measurements of *Nature's* organisation of emotions.

After this disputes and disagreements will stop permanently because *Nature* has been the arbiter of emotions and schemes for their representation.

9. MY RESPONSE TO WHITES ARE SUPERIOR IS NOT INDIANS ARE SUPERIOR

I am not a fool. I am not going to compromise a lifetime of faith in a single human race to a primitive barbaric savage like *Bill Gates* chanting "Whites Are Superior" with equivalent savage and primitive tribal responses like "Indians are Superior". What do you take me for?

Here is my response.



10. ALL SOCIAL SCIENTISTS HEED MY CALL

Who, if I cried out, would hear me among angel
hierarchies? and even if one of them pressed me
suddenly against his heart: I would be consumed
in that overwhelming existence. For beauty is nothing
but the beginning of terror, which we are just able to endure,

...

Ah, whom can we turn to
in our need? Not angels, not humans,
and already the knowing animals are aware
that we are not really at home in
our interpreted world.

This is the beginning of Rainer Maria Rilke's *Duino Elegies*, published in 1923. The ten poems constitute some of the greatest achievements in poetry. I will promote Kazi Nazrul Islam also as a poet of great genius and a contemporary of Rilke, but that for another day.

Now let me urge all social scientists to adopt my ideas and organise neuroimaging studies to produce databases of measurements of signals in $E = \mathbf{R}^7$ with large samples for all known emotions. Neuroscientists, please consult with psychologists for lists of emotions that are comprehensive. They are well-known already but do a good job and put the measurements in a public database. You are embarking here on a great journey, and your names will be enshrined in the annals of pioneers as a result. After this revolution, all disputes about organisation of emotions will become empirical issues of data analysis from your data, and fruitless debates will be resolved permanently by Nature rather than speculation and preferences of individuals.

After this is done all Human Sciences will have tools of probability theory and solid data that is publicly available for all psychological theories with certainty in objective organisation of human emotions.

You see, the problem with arbitrary organisation of emotions is the norm today because (a) emotions are latent and subjective experience is not directly measured and (b) human language words for emotions have uncertain stability in multiple ways. First you have the uncertainty in identification of the words and second you have the variation of human understanding of emotions. These various complex processes introduce fatal problems to a *natural science* of emotions. Latent state space definition will vastly simplify all social sciences simultaneously. Affect or emotions pervade all human activity, and objective reliable measurements will eliminate disputes across the world among scientists and also among non-scientists and reduce confusion and allow everyone to produce better science standing on solid ground for these interminable disputes.

11. ALL SOCIAL SCIENTISTS BETTER DO YOUR HOMEWORK

Look, social scientists and psychologists, I do like your quaint seventeenth century genteel writing style. It's very nice. I feel that I am in the movie *Amadeus* chasing around beautiful young women under the tables when I read your writing.




Very quaint. Quite charming. Some ladies do adore the charm and the playfulness. But you've been quite spoiled. While I had to struggle with inference in all manner of statistical models all my life with various absurdly misbehaving latent distributions and scratching my head regarding stochastic processes driven by Lévy Processes and so on to get measly pay, you have been sitting around in luxury writing about how various sorts of ideas of classical philosophers. You have not been doing real work according to some. Perhaps that is unfair.

Anyway, better study some probability theory and join us in the *twenty first century*. Here in the twenty-first century, Generalised Hyperbolic distributions and their inference in latent vector models are not so uncommon. Instead of inane discussions about whether 'angry' and 'fearful' should be considered emotions at all or whether they really connote the hidden jibe at the rude character of a jilted lover and so on are matters of literary analysis, not natural science okay? You want a natural science, you better buckle up buddies. Read Rick Durrett's *Probability Theory: Theory And Examples* because your profession is not baby any more. Zulf has arrived in town, and I will not tolerate inability of Social Scientists in doing inference in latent vector probability models. Capisce?

12. MY PIONEERING WORK ON UNIVERSAL DISTRIBUTIONS FOR SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA

First of all, I, Zulfikar Moinuddin Ahmed, was the first to seek Universal Noise Models, as I was not particularly convinced by Benoit Mandelbrot's obsession with self-similar distributions and Stable distributions. These are indeed *infinitely divisible*. You need to know what these things are technically. But Nature's choice happens to be Ole Barndorff-Nielsen's Generalised Hyperbolic Distributions and neither Gaussian nor Stable ones.

Several years ago I was seeking the answer to *Nature's choice* for distributions. I will show you a few fits. These will be almost exact for all Social Science. You should default to them.



This is distrust levels of people from another nation or religion from World Values Survey. It does not matter what it is. This is a good fit by a parametric distribution. There is an R package 'ghyp' that will fit these. I have a large amount of work fitting many social science data to Generalised Hyperbolic Distributions and experience tells me that this is good enough that you can even theorise with GHD distribution as the default and still produce very good scientific models. Don't waste your time with looking for other models just use Generalised Hyperbolic Models. They are almost the best you can do. The great man, Ole Barndorff-Nielsen, discovered them in 1978 [?]. You see one of my favourite ex-lovers for some years was Danish as well, and Danes had been very kind to me, so it's a pleasure to ensure that all the world knows the profound magical thing that Barndorff-Nielsen had found. It will change all of Science of Man forever.

I wonder whether he ought to have an altar devoted to him as some sort of Thane of Jutland or some such thing for this. I love Scandinavia, their Iron Age was 500 BC–800 AD, and my ancestors in India had Iron Age from 1200BC–200BC. But they did much better by 1978, as South Asia did not discover Generalised Hyperbolic Distributions but Ole Barndorff-Nielsen did. We'll chalk this up as a pretty significant advancement of universal human knowledge. I discovered the universality personally, but I think the Barndorff-Nielsen deserves credit. Besides I am Asian-American, so I am wearing America's shirts here not Asia's.

13. CAN SOMEONE PLEASE EXPLAIN TO THIS ILLITERATE HICK BILL GATES?

This illiterate hick Bill Gates who was born in a totally peasant family in podunk Seattle in boondocks nowheresville pretends that Danes and Germans and English and French will give up their own history and join in his mythical 'White Race' which was fabrication mostly in American South.

Just look at this man walking around with jars of feces in his hands. Who wants to join his mythical race anyway? That's disgusting.



Seriously, no one wants to join your mythical white race. You should have learned that jars of feces being carried around is disgusting to everyone. It's too late now.

14. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT JUST KILL BILL GATES WITH ORDINARY PHYSICAL WEAPONS BY MILITARY STRIKE

I am being harmed for more than a year every day by Bill Gates. He will continue because he believes the United States Government does not have the power to stop him. You have to eliminate him physically with ordinary physical lethal force. You have an obligation to secure my natural rights and he is a significant threat to American people. So just use ordinary lethal military force and end this farce.

15. VISION OF SCIENCE OF MAN

I am still a novice in the established theories that would constitute Science of Man, but I have laid the foundations for a much stronger future Science that is quantitative. I wish to emphasize that the great discovery that Barndorff-Nielsen's Generalised Hyperbolic Distributions are the best parametric models for all data from Human Sciences is my own great discovery. This is a profound discovery because it allows radically simpler and more accurate theories for all Science of Man in the future because it removes the confusions and disputes about what Human Science variables behave quantitatively quite generally. This is a very precise choice and decades of experience will reveal why Nature prefers them. All scientific theories can however feel relatively confident that these are good as *exact distributions* of

measurements and therefore avoid uncertainties which will speed up development of better and more valuable theories.

16. ROSS BUCK'S 1990 REVIEW OF BOOKS ON EMOTIONS


You see I have innate interest in a Science of Man. This reflects my own intellectual development because my four-sphere theory is the Science of Nature. I did not study biology or any of the social sciences formally at Princeton. I turned to questions of the inner soul with Personality Psychology and then Affective Neuroscience on my own for about five years now. My outlook is Romanticism philosophy, the division of Man and Nature, the inner and outer worlds.

So I have an independent assessment of how things are in the Social Sciences. Obviously I do see conceptual and definitional chaos in emotions.

Ross Buck's 1990 review of several books introduces some books as "a number of excellent books that have attempted to bring some degree of order to the conceptual and definitional chaos that characterises this area of research" [2]. I won't go into the comparison itself of the books he reviews. Instead, I will promote the *solution* that I am providing to this sort of chaos. My definition of emotions in terms of a latent quantitative state vector $E = \mathbf{R}^7$ is the final answer to all these difficulties that apply to all social sciences permanently. The features of my definition that are important are (a) emotions are defined by objective measurements and (b) all disputes will be settled by neuroimaging studies about how various 'named emotions' with English words associated to them are organised by *Nature* rather than by arbitrary dictates of people. This will not resolve all conceptual disagreements but it is easy to see how *mathematical analysis on E = R⁷* will allow easy resolutions by various standard and enormously established and sophisticated tools in pure mathematics and statistical theory will easily resolve conceptual disagreements. In other words, I am proposing a revolutionary advance that will annihilate many many disputes and end all debates between all social scientists permanently and found a key pillar of natural science of Man for the first time in the history of all human thought about these issues that will last for thousands of years in the future. Why? Well's the obvious right answer. What are you going to do to budge it? You can't budge it in a million years.

17. JAAK PANKSEPP'S WORK IS IMMORTAL GENIUS

As I look at Ross Buck's analysis of views from 1990 regarding emotions, and his analysis includes five books at the time, the enormous immortal genius of Jaak Panksepp becomes clearer to me. The entire world really was sunk in total confusion and darkness and ignorance regarding the biological sources of emotions before Panksepp's great work that illuminated it sharply and clearly. Let me give my tribute to a true masterpiece and immortal work of scientific genius.



Panksepp's analysis gives such *precision* to the biological systems responsible for generation of emotion systems in all mammals that a century of confused theories about what emotions just became obsolete. My definition of emotions is sharp because I just stand on Panksepp's seven neural systems and so I can immediately posit $E = \mathbf{R}^7$ as the latent state space without nebulous evolutionary ideological speculations such as 'emotions are adaptations that may have to do with some sort of threats faced by ancestors'. In 1990 Ross Buck's review does include this 1998 book which is clearly revolutionary. So Panksepp's theory is right, so that ends the story of the *biological confusions* that existed before it and all those are obsoleted by Panksepp.

It does not matter which Nobel Prize winning expert disagrees here. I am Zulfikar Moinuddin Ahmed. I see Panksepp ends this story. Everyone else must give up here, as I am right.

Let me try to put this in context. All sorts of things are "seminal". This book of Panksepp is not seminal at all. It is *immortal scientific genius*. That's not seminal. It's the goddam *Origin of Species by Natural Selection* of biology of Emotions. Many other great neuroscientists have done fabulous work. You see, these people in Social Science and biology are slow and ponderous. They take fifty years to admit what is immortal genius because they are competing. I'm from Mathematics where people recognise immortal genius faster. This man, Jaak Panksepp, and this book, *Affective Neuroscience* is *immortal genius* okay? He should have been given some Nobel Prizes or something right away. Now you will have alternative theories.

You will weigh this on one hand and that on one hand and write ponderous jargon-filled filibusters. It's all in vain. Jaak Panksepp has the final theory modulo various totally arcane issues of relation to cognition that are secondary. He made the right choices, followed Rene Descartes' original ideas from 1649, and so he's the final word here. And my definitions are standing on his shoulders, so my quantitative parsimonious push is canonical and that's all she wrote here.

The rest of the arcane questions of what would happen if the Keanu Reeves character from Constantine was expressing divine emotions based on cognitive input of inhuman types on such and such a moment when the Archangel Gabriel, played by Tilda Swinton, jumps down.



You see, those things are important, but the primary subcortical systems of all emotions were worked out in loving detail by Jaak Panksepp, and this is here for eternity the first understanding of human emotions that is right. You can't touch this. It's Holy Scripture, right here. Nothing you can do really.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrew Ortony, Gerald L. Clore and Allan Collins, *The Cognitive Structure Of Emotions*, Cambridge 1988 [?]Ole Barndorff-Nielsen, Hyperbolic Distributions and Distributions On Hyperbolae, Scand J Statist, 5, 151–157, 1978
- [2] Ross Buck, Mood and Emotion: A Comparison Of Five Contemporary Views, Psychological Inquiry, 1(4), 330–336