

BILL GATES' DEEP MISUNDERSTANDING OF CHARLES DARWIN'S EVOLUTION THEORY THAT LEADS HIM TO PLOT GENOCIDES

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

Let this be a stark lesson to all those who drop out of college without getting a proper education. Bill Gates, who is a college dropout and who is totally illiterate, has had such a bad education that he thinks that Charles Darwin's theory of evolution by Natural Selection includes Genocide of a Species of its own kind.

To me this is quite preposterous, and if I were teaching a course on Evolution at Harvard or Stanford, I would not only give this illiterate Bill Gates an "F" but arrange remedial corrections for his own good.

1. CHARLES DARWIN'S THEORY OF EVOLUTION OF SPECIES BY NATURAL SELECTION DOES NOT INCLUDE MASS MURDER OF THE SAME SPECIES

Evolution of Species by Natural Selection is fundamentally a theory about the features of species and an explanation of the forces that drove the evolution of the features. It is an explanatory theory for features that the species actually possesses. Since 1953 when the great genius of Francis Crick and James Watson decoded the double helix structure of our genetic code, that is not even 70 years ago, there has developed slow and deeper understanding of what exactly our genetic heritage is.

Our genetic code is around 6 billion DNA letters long, and snip locations where genetic code between two people can vary are 0.1 percent of this 6 billion snip locations. The Human Genome Project began not even three decades ago. It is fair to say that despite many great contributions, we are at the very infancy of understanding our evolutionary heritage. We don't even know what most of the 6 billion letters are doing very clearly.

Let us consider the situation from a broader perspective. You look around across the globe and note that there are 8 billion people alive today. They all passed literally millions of years of Natural Selection. And they are alive, and all of them have 99.9% identical genetic code.

Let us be quite counterfactual and say there is a Natural Selection that leads to murdering other people. We are broad-minded and can imagine that for various reasons, murdering and mayhem are important parts of evolution by Natural Selection. So let's assume, counterfactually, there is the James Bond gene, where in some people's genetic code there is a specific set of alleles composed of A, C, T, G in a large part of the snip locations, say 2000-3000 of 6 million, which together have a very special sequence that means "Ah, you're born to be a James Bond. Your signature says you have 007 license to kill." Then let's say that normal human beings are not all that special. They don't have the 007 genetic pattern and so they *don't* have the license to kill. These ordinary humans then have to follow ordinary

Date: June 19, 2021.

laws where killing is criminal. But for the 007 James Bond type of human, they are born with a special evolutionary adaptation and from their birth, they just have the right to kill. They then consider themselves a breed apart, and their mission is to produce Genocides of the others. Remember, this is not fact but a hypothetical situation.

Several questions arise. First of all, what is the probability that this is actually what is going on with the murderers on Earth? In other words, most people are glib and herd-like. Like myself. I can't even comprehend stepping on a frog by mistake without enormous amount of guilt and horror and self-contempt. I am then sheeple, a herd animal, not a James Bond type with natural right to kill. I am then a common ordinary non-entity, and it is the special breed of James Bonds, the ones born with a special set of markers over 2000-3000 snip locations who are special, who are the truly genetically blessed people.

Now we can estimate the murder rate of Earth. Uniformly it is less than 0.8% of the population across the globe. It's not very high. That's already a problem for this theory, because if this James Bond 007 theory were to be substantially important for our evolutionary history, then we would want a bit more robust statistics for how this trait of James Bond-ness evolves in the population. And this is a matter of measurements; I can go through the murder rates across the globe and get you a good estimate and show that it's lower than 1% of the global population that are murderers. Second of all the moral opinion of vast masses of people regardless of ethnicity such as 95% have strong convictions that violence against other people is never justifiable. Now this is a much more serious objection to the theory of James Bond-ness. This suggests that there can never be overwhelming agreement by 95% of human race that these people are a breed apart and their license to kill is acceptable to the rest. Instead, it is almost certain that 95% of the global human population will consider them criminals who need to be killed and punished. I won't give you the actual statistics but they are easily recovered from World Values Survey.

In fact the parsimonious Evolutionary theory would say that it is rather the judgments *against* murderers that is the evolutionary adaptation that is dominant and the James Bond genetic pattern that is the exception.

Now Charles Darwin's theory has many variations, but he was interested in a Scientific Theory. In other words, his efforts were all to produce a scientific account of natural phenomena. Scientific theories are not dogmatic ideologies of zealous faith; they are only valid when the empirical measurements support them. Moreover, Charles Darwin was an English Victorian Era man and was quite interested in evolution of moral conscience which he had speculated evolved from social emotions. Darwin himself would not consider the James Bond 007 type of evolutionary feature to be particularly Darwinian, and it does look like vast measurements will go against this sort of 'special feature' being an evolutionary adaptation. For example, very few women on Earth have a strong desire to marry and bear children of murderers. I remember watching *Natural Born Killers* which explored the attractiveness of murderousness; or *Profundo Carmesi*. These are risqué movies whose aesthetics are appealing in part because they have a cathartic effect like Greek Tragedies. In every society that I know, murderers are not considered a special breed of James Bonds but as menace to society who need to be put away or killed.

These sorts of theories are not actually compatible with Darwinian evolution by Natural Selection.

Harming large numbers of people or killing them is not compatible with Darwinian theory of evolution by Natural Selection at all. Bill Gates' glib assessments about how he can Genocide a large number of people with some strange idea of strengthening human race is *not compatible* with Charles Darwin's ideas. And they are not compatible with history and current convictions of Human Race, where 78% of the people believe Natural Rights ought to be protected for everyone.

Bill Gates' theory is the dream of a mass murderer who is evil, and he is only appealing to Charles Darwin as a convenience, hoping that he can bamboozle a lot of intellectually damaged people to join his evil genocidal projects.