

THE 100,000 BOHEMIAN ARTISTIC WRITERS AND OBSERVERS WOULD TRANSFORM ALL OF GLOBAL POLITICS

The Cold War was a conflict of Dominance between Democratic Capitalism and Communism. Neither of these ideological systems are optimal. Let me explain more clearly. There is Human Nature. One could ask, theoretically, *assuming we knew Human Nature perfectly* then can we produce the perfect Politico-Economic system that ensures well-being and positive evolution of the Human Race? Let's call this *Zulf's Perfect Politico-Economic System Problem*.

So the purpose of this note is to bring out the issue of whether this very broad problem can have any solution.

Now the problem is Human Nature. Human Nature is not actually known to us. Both Communism and Democratic Capitalism is based on shoddy models of Human Nature. They make glib assumptions about Human Nature and have some sort of inferences by which they organise the system. You might defend these by saying "We believe the assumptions are right" but that is a total joke to Zulf. I personally have discovered elementary things about human nature that are surprising with a single man's effort. Human Nature is far from known, and the glib assumptions of these systems, Democratic Capitalism and Communism are obviously ludicrously inadequate. So they are imperfect systems.

So what I propose is that if you take 100,000 Bohemian artistic types with a free spirit, a nice pad, and a literary magazine that always respects their work and publishes them and circulates these in the capitals of the world visible to the cultivated classes, like The New Yorker or other literary publications of wide circulations, we can consider their honest observations of life as it exists in the world and not in ideological fantasies of the government officials of the world, to the extent their conscience is good and their hearts react to the human tragedies and heroism of actual living people, they can be considered an observation device for the world, and even if their individual views are considered subjective, in 100,000 observations they would be remarkably accurate about human nature far beyond the capability of ideologists who do not actually know if their assumptions are correct about human nature.

So then vast observations produce better assumptions about human nature, and this leads to formulation and implementations of refined accurate systems that will make both Communism and Democratic Capitalism so primitive that they could be considered like cave paintings of Pleistocene Man. I don't know what such systems would be, but they would be obviously better than Democratic Capitalism and Communism or Religious Totalitarianism and all others that make glib hypotheses by conviction and then just impose on the world something that is claimed to be great without even having sufficient accurate observations of human nature.

1. SIMPLE PROBLEMS WITH DEMOCRACY AS EXAMPLE

Democracy was born against the strong forces of Despotism, and for this reason is held always in that context. We are taught in school that Democracy is good and Autocracy and Despotism is bad. However, this dichotomy is no longer so important because over time Despotism has been weakened and Democracy has grown.

But we can begin to see the flaw of Democracy moment we take Despotism out of the picture altogether. You see a substantial portion of human beings, some 30 percent, just do not give a flying fuck about politics at all. The issue here is not that 'therefore we take away their right to vote on issues or political leadership'. That's trivial; you never take away that right. However, you cannot have any success with a system where by Nature 30 percent will not exercise that right at all and never worry about a single political issue in their lives because that is their *nature*. You cannot force human nature to change to conform to your system; your system has to conform to human nature. So Democracy as it exists has no chance whatsoever to ever produce a good world order. The alternative is not Despotism. That is idiotic thinking. The alternative is a system that takes what it can, i.e. at least 40-50 percent do care about political issues, and institute a system that still works for the benefit of people who will not have any interest in politics.