

# BILL GATES IS THE WORST HORRIFIC SAVAGE ABOMINATION EVER TO HAVE SPAWNED FROM HUMAN WOMAN'S WOMB

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

I have absolute certainty that in the entire four million years of human evolution, no being has ever spawned from human woman's womb more horrific, more savage and barbaric, more destructive and malevolent, more evil, more of an Abomination than this Bill Gates. Satan is part of religious mythology, a matter of imagination and revelation, while Bill Gates is an actually embodied Demon.

These sorts of characterisations are often misunderstood to be perhaps Christian or Islamic terminology. I do not allow religions to abscond with important English words and monopolise them. I use these words in their English historical meaning. They are not *religious* and *Christian* words; it is true that Christian writing has used them. My writing is not Christian writing; my writing is Zulf writing. It so happens that Pope Francis has been kind to consider me an *Honorary Christian* but alas I am not at all Christian in my faith.

Now let us examine the word *Abomination* because I say unto you that this Bill Gates is an Abomination. Well I will just use the etymology that is reasonable [?]. The root is Latin, from *ab homine*, "away from man". The word gains entry into the English usage in thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. To consider Bill Gates a pure Abomination is quite reasonable for his moral qualities are extraordinary in their evil.

Why don't I go through the issues that decided without any doubts that Bill Gates is an Abomination. In every possible way, I see him as an Abomination. He believes that he has the arbitrary right to murder people. This is quite rare, quite *ab homine*, for the human race distribution is known for justifiability of violence towards others. Almost without exception, the percentage of people of any ethnicity of the world who believe violence towards others is always justified is roughly less than 2%. So his *ab homine* is at 98th percentile of good morals immediately. But even among this 2% of human race, I can produce a filtration easily of population by moral qualities alone:

$$F_1 \subset F_2 \subset F_3 \subset \dots$$

such that Bill Gates will fall into  $F_k$  as  $k$  tends to infinity, where each step adds more horrible extremely vicious moral qualities. So there is a straightforward way without subjective confusions that will lead to the conclusion that he is an abomination. Ask yourself how many human beings on Earth would carry around a jar of feces in public, seriously and I think you will find that already  $F_2$  will be smaller than 0.00001% of the human population. He is uniquely the most horrible being on Earth.

### 1. PURE ABOMINATION BILL GATES

No human being has ever invaded the deep interior of any other human being in the recorded or unrecorded human history in the past five million years. Of this, the US Executive Branch or anyone else can consider and they will be certain of this.

Thus we have Bill Gates rarity in horribleness and evil ugly destructive savagery, a conclusion in three steps.

$$F_{violence} \subset F_{feces} \subset F_{invasionofdeepinterior}$$

Already  $F_{invasionofdeepinterior}$  puts him in 1 in 10 billion, and therefore *pure Abomination*.

### 2. OLDER NUANCES OF ABOMINATION

In older meanings of *Abomination* the emphasis was connotation was that the subject was not human but beastlike in various ways. Christian mythology and moral views had in additions various monsters from Hell that were imagined as well. In my use, there is a different emphasis on Character rather than visual representations, and I think this is a much more valid approach towards the word that has still value for communication. Now there are many religious Christians, and their use of *Abomination* has value of tradition. I would like to consider my use of the same word in a purer context of propensity to act in certain ways, and deviate from the Christian nuances by a more measurement oriented manner.