

## ZULF'S GOOD PEOPLE HYPOTHESIS

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

My good people hypothesis is that people who want world peace and natural rights security for all human beings are *morally superior* than those, like Bill Gates who want wars in Asia and think 'natural rights are claptrap' who are *morally inferior people*.

### 1. SLIGHT MORAL ADVANTAGE OF THOSE DISSATISFIED WITH HUMAN RIGHTS RESPECT

Let  $V$  be 'violence against other people is unjustified'. Let  $S$  represent 'respect for human rights is high in the world today' and  $-S$  that 'respect for human rights is inadequate today'.

Our results:

$$P(V|S) = 89.44\%$$

and

$$P(V|-S) = 92.25\%$$

This is from World Values Survey and represents order 100,000 respondents. This provides some indication that moral values of those who are dissatisfied with human rights security are a bit better for justifiability for violence against others.