

# THE ZULF-CENTRIC HYPOTHESIS OF BILL GATES VIRULENT MALEVOLENT IRRATIONAL RACIAL SUPREMACY IDEOLOGY

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

The null hypothesis is of course that Bill Gates was born in Seattle in 1955, a provincial American City with Racism from the various ignoramuses devoid of proper cosmopolitan influences extended and he just picked up plebian racial prejudices from his lack of education in things other than coding and technology, missing the boat during Civil Rights Movement, and being a narcissistic psychopath without much intelligence he did not actually understand the basis of US Declaration and the laws of the Nation. Then he became arrogant with wealth.

This null theory has merit. I will present an alternative theory that is much more Zulf-centric. In this new theory, Bill Gates was always a dipshit who could never control his envy at anything and had uncontrollable barbaric criminal elements within. When he realised that Zulf was an immortal genius with his great achievements in Four-Sphere Theory he just snapped and needed to destroy Zulf not for racial but for personal envy. And then he quickly put together a cover story of his lifelong concern for the advancement of White Race etc. which are standard drivel in the far right in America.

This theory has several salient points. First, Bill Gates never actually refers to the standard far right drivel in public, which suggests that maybe his convictions about superiority of the White Race is a recent thing that was convenient as a cover to destroy Zulf and the real source is personal envy that he is not that gifted but Zulf is.

## 1. WHY ZULF WAS NOT AS HARSH AS I COULD HAVE BEEN

Well a couple of reasons. I have deep convictions about my absolute mastery of Republic politics. I have had many past lives in Republics in other planets and worlds, and I consider myself an extraordinarily gifted man in Republic politics. If I lose against a scrub like Bill Gates in Republic politics, I would injure my pride, and I am against that. So I am not as harsh against Bill Gates as I could be a priori. For if I am as harsh as could be, I could *justify it on various grounds easily* but there is a fundamental loss here. Bill Gates could point to my harshness and convince White Americans that look at these horribly bad non-whites and then he'd get majority support and I would lose. So here the factor is White Sensitivity. White people are generally same as all others in moral convictions, so they are generally fine with going against Bill Gates so long as he is a baddie who did horrible bad things to me, but they will listen to his poisonous seduction if I am too harsh. Now for me this is *intuitive* because I've had senior roles in many planetary and larger

Republics in past lives so I have fine sense for where to stop with aggression. I hope that explains it.

## 2. ABSOLUTELY NO INTENTION FOR GLOBAL OR NATIONAL LEADERSHIP

Look I have been a leader of much much larger Republics than Earth will be in the next thousand years. I have no interest in National or Global Republic Leadership. I don't want to say it too much but it is a thankless job and I am on vacation. My ambition is raising Life Satisfaction of Human Race, not provide political leadership. You have to make your own arrangements I am afraid. Zulf is tired of doing those jobs.