

## TWO VIEWS OF MARRIAGE: MINE AND MARTIN SELIGMAN'S

Why do explanations matter in science? Well in the end we have our concepts to explain natural phenomena, and we produce explanations as mapping of our ideas with actual nature. It is one of the most ambitious ideas in human activity, the idea that occurrences of nature actually have some sense that we human beings will discover, and then the consequence of our descriptions will be identical to the events of nature.

I am profoundly impressed with this ambition. I am of the view that Nature is actually completely inscrutable, and thus explanations are always interesting because when the theory has something to do with nature, i.e. is approximately correct description of nature, then we can make inferences from it that actually matter, i.e. in the future is more predictable.

That this has any validity at all is one of the strangest miracles of nature. Things as they are, in existence, and in nature, has no obligation to match any concepts of ours at all. It's an obnoxious view of ours that we have the truth at all. I overthrew the force of gravity from physics not long ago as well as big bang and expansion. They were not true about nature. They were just theories that happened to have deceived us.