

ZULF MAKES THE ARGUMENT WHY JAPAN IS BETTER OFF IN A GREAT REPUBLIC OF HUMANITY

Japan, if you look at the world map, Japan is a small island east of Asian Continent. Now when the whole world is poor and underdeveloped, the long civilisation of Japan, her strengths in economy, learning, technology, sophisticated local culture, etc. all give some advantages to nationalist isolation.

But in the long run as the whole world is developing economically and in other metrics, the events of the world will be determined by larger economies and isolation will lead to weakness in Japan's influence on the events of the world more and more.

In that situation, Japan could use its own resources to ensure her influence on the events of the world. The cost is borne by Japan alone in that case.

In a Great Republic of Humanity, Japan can have influence on the events of the world based on cost borne by all nations in exchange for minor concessions to others. Since United Nations has been reasonably acceptable to Japanese people, the risks are fairly low that the concessions would be too unpalatable.

Therefore Japan ought to support a Great Republic of Humanity. In reality Nations would be relatively independent in a Great Republic anyway, so the dangers of dissolution of local civilisation is relatively minor.

The same argument applies to all nations actually to differing degree. It would not apply say, to Africa, if Africa were single state. See, if Africa were a single state it would be better off with nation states because it is a grand continent with vast resources that the people have not been able to leverage because they have been colonised and disrupted more than any other in the world.