THE CHARACTER OF A MAN IS ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO ESTABLISH BY SUPERIFICAL METRICS

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

One day I was in San Francisco and in a cafe was chattering with a white dude. He was pretty bright. This was maybe 2014, sometime. So I am chattering with him and I spoke about protein folding and biology for a while. At the time I was not sure that my S4 Electromagnetism would be able to solve it. So I am talking and talking and I have to go to the restroom and I have a silver Apple laptop. I am not too worried about it being stolen. He's Israeli I find out. I don't give a fuck really seems cool. He tells me you got to watch out for the black folks; they steal things. I heard things like that before. It happens; but in San Francisco you're an idiot if you think you have to watch out for black people stealing your things. It's quite race-independent over there. Once I stopped to do something for two seconds and put a cup of latte on the sidewalk and I turn around a well-dressed white son of a bitch had absconded by half-finished latte right away and was already too far gone.

It's considered "PC" not to judge people by their ethnicity. I never do. You have to have other senses. Ethnicity is not enough. Besides you will embarrass yourself. It makes you look pretty dumb when you're judging people by ethnicity and the Jamaican black man next to you in Muddy Waters is a Cambridge educated Ph.D. in Mathematics and the guy with the attitude who is white and is looking at the joint like he owns the place, blue eyed and blond is not even high school educated guy looking for restaurant jobs. That's America for you.

Yeah, in America, I've gone to high school in a highly multi-ethnic environment in New York, I can assure you that classification of Character by ethnicity is an idiotic thing to do. Maybe somewhere else it's different. In America you can't do that. You need to have finer nose for Character and not make glib judgments.

Now I have shown the world that Ethnicity explains 6.5-9.5% of the Human Moral Nature with data from World Values Survey. You know I had never done this exercise before. No one had. I am the first person in history of the world to actually show on empirical data that Human Moral Character is roughly independent of ethnicity. Now you ask, well why is Zulf so poor still if he has such hotshot results. Where is his Nobel Prize? Good question, let me ask the Nobel Prize Committee. Hey, Nobel Prize Committee chop chop send me some Nobel Prizes here. Who are you giving those Prizes to anyway? Look, Zulf just showed Ethnicity independence of Human Moral Nature, send some of them here. You better not have given Nobel Prizes to bullshit irrelevant issues again. Zulf will be furious!

In America the issue of whether White Morals are different from other ethnic morals is a cottage industry. I answered the question quite clearly. There is very little difference across the world of white ethnicity moral values and those of other ethnicities statistically. Now this is true, so it ought to be considered trivial fact.

Date: July 12, 2021.

But it is a huge issue because before I gave a correct answer, inevitably, people were confused. Now that is the story of my life you see. People of Earth are generally going to be pretty confused until I tell them the right answer.

Let's go over this again. Let's look at morals about justifiability of stealing and violence against others and prostitution. I will make things even simpler. I will say 'good' is when people think these are unjustified 1-5 and 'bad' when people think these are justified 6-10.

	stbad	stok
Arab	96.92	3.08
Black	92.41	7.59
East Asian	93.89	6.11
Indian	95.91	4.09
Other	90.26	9.74
White	93.22	6.78
East Asian Indian Other	93.89 95.91 90.26	6.11 4.09 9.74

0.1. Stealing.

	vlbad	vlok
Arab	97.69	2.31
Black	91.48	8.52
East Asian	94.29	5.71
Indian	95.17	4.83
Other	89.28	10.72
White	93.29	6.71

0.2. Violence Against Others.

	pvlbad	vlok
Arab	98.65	1.35
Black	90.33	9.67
East Asian	93.77	6.23
Indian	96.84	3.16
Other	88.65	11.35
White	91.66	8.34

0.3. Political Violence.

	svlbad	svlok
Arab	97.88	2.12
Black	86.69	13.31
East Asian	92.71	7.29
Indian	95.54	4.46
Other	86.44	13.56
White	89.66	10.34

0.4. **Suicide.** What emerges for Whites across the world is that on some of these they will be not as strong on their convictions as Arabs, Indians, Far East Asians. Now the absolute numbers are pretty close so there is not that much difference, a percent or two either way.

1. Major Explanation Of Differences

My theory is simple: 90% of the morals are due to co-evolution of human species in East Africa for millions of years. Then differentiation are due to influence of length of civilisation. Arabs are a bit higher than others on some of these because they inherited Mesopotamian and Egyptian civilisational history, then you have Chinese and Indian, and whites inherited later civilisational history from Mycenean Greeks. That is probably worth up to 4-5%. After this you have noise of individual personality and character.

2. Disclaimer

Look I am a human being and have my biases too. I had a Danish lover when I was younger, and she was a good person and Danes treated me well so I think of Danes as good people and so I think Germans are just fine. I never thought ill of Germans, or Italians. I am Zulf. If I choose a lover, she is obviously good. I don't choose lovers who are horrible people. If I choose a lover from Russia now she will be excellent ok? I have great choice in women. As a matter of fact, my choice in ladies is world class. Let me quickly say hi to my sweetheart now.