EXPLANATION OF HIGHER RAPE RATES IN HIGHER INCOME COUNTRIES

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

1. Linear Model for Rape Rates

The linear model for rape rates has $R^2 = 0.346$ when the independent variable is logarithm of per capita GDP of the country. Rape rates increase with increasing logGDP.

```
> smrob<-lm( robs$Rape ~ robs$LogGDP)
```

> summary(smrob)

Call:

lm(formula = robs\$Rape ~ robs\$LogGDP)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -22.596 -11.993 -3.314 5.953 51.424

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -75.386 23.432 -3.217 0.003259 **
robs\$LogGDP 22.971 5.683 4.042 0.000375 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1

Residual standard error: 17.85 on 28 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.3685, Adjusted R-squared: 0.346 F-statistic: 16.34 on 1 and 28 DF, p-value: 0.0003751

Date: April 2, 2021.

2. Explanation Working Mothers Reduce Child Attachment Security

Our explanation of this result is that working mothers reduce childhood attachment security of male infants. There is a prevalence of extremely low attachment security and social competence among rapists. These male infants grow to men with higher likelihood to commit rapes.

We have no particular recommendations about remedy here. Our interests are to get parsimonious clarity about scientific explanation.

3. Rapes are not Human Nature

Around the world today regardless of variety in cultures, wealth, religions, languages, rapes are below 0.06 percent. This low level of rape is the benchmark to conclude that non-rapes are natural human nature. There is no genetic predisposition for rapes in human race. This needs to be very clear. Human Race does not have any genetic predisposition for rapes. The failures, a maximum of 0.06 percent (highest achieved in Sweden among the nations), occur because of disruption to natural processes which include secure maternal attachments.

4. EVIDENCE OF ATTACHMENT SECURITY DISRUPTION OF CHILDREN OF WORKING MOTHERS

The clear results of [1] of correlation of attachment security as an effect of working mothers – I will be precise later – is that there are significant negative correlations between maternal attachment and return to work in the first three months after birth.

Table 1. Pearson correlation coefficients depicting the relationship between postpartum days to employment and maternal attachment.

	NCAF1	NCAF2	NCAF3	NCAF4
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PPE	4450*	.1735	0359	9668*

^{*} Indicates statistical significance; p <.05.

Let us assume known that all rapists have low childhood attachment security. Then we can see that if we increase low attachment security in population, then the likelihood of rapists will increase. We can then see that as wealth of the country increases, working mothers increase, the attachment security decreases, rapists increase.

5. Working Mothers Predict Rape Rates

```
> mod<-lm( log(Rape) ~ log(WorkMom), data=rp)
> summary(mod)
```

Call:

Residuals:

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-3.1456	3.2232	-0.976	0.3436
log(WorkMom)	1.5177	0.8376	1.812	0.0888

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.167 on 16 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.1703, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1184 F-statistic: 3.283 on 1 and 16 DF, p-value: 0.08879

REFERENCES

[1] Susan Thomas Kimes, Working Mothers and Maternal Attachment: An Exploratory Study, Ph.D. thesis U. Arizona 1989