

**OPEN LETTER TO US ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK
GARLAND INTRODUCING MY RELIGIOUS INFLUENCES A
BIT BETTER**

Dear Mr. Garland,

I was strongly influenced by two strains of Christianity. First, Roman Catholicism and second, Anglican Christianity. I was already an atheist by six in 1979 in Bengal, and arrived in the United States in 1987. My strongest influence was my Irish Catholic high school teacher Lys K. Waltien. Simultaneously I was strongly drawn from before by English Romantics, which explains the Anglican influence. I have great veneration for Lys K. Waltien. Let me show you her photograph that I keep nearby since I consider her an extraordinary saintly and Angelic influence in my life.



Lys is long dead now. She died before I began Princeton University, and I lost one of the great mentors of my life. Anyway, she was strongly anti-Marxist and was

Date: December 10, 2021.

OPEN LETTER TO US ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK GARLAND INTRODUCING MY RELIGIOUS INFLUENCES A BIT BY

Ph.D. in Chemistry from Fordham and was remarkably intellectually accomplished. I am sure a great deal of my influences were just absorbed from her. Percy Bysshe Shelley was a kindred spirit to me and so although I was rather more pleased with heretics like Giordano Bruno, I was quite immersed in Christian mythology being a humanist atheist all the way to 2006. I am extremely well-read and examining M. H. Abrams' *Natural Supernatural* which gives some insights about the ways in which English Romantics transformed the Divine to individual light. Yes, I am extremely strongly aligned with Romantics and am not Christian or Muslim at all. Now don't get me wrong. I like Christians and Jews and Muslims, but unfortunately my religion is not one of these and I am quite resistant to well-meaning but completely wrong beliefs in these religions. Obviously I know the truth better than all of these people. However, I am kind, and also rational and do not *provoke* these mass of deluded people who have *overwhelming advantage over me* and I love my life and don't want to be trampled by the stampede. So I keep my peace.

1. ZULF STRONGLY ADVOCATES EXTREME SEXUAL LIBERALITY IN NATIONAL LAWS

This is a serious issue. I happen to have quite strongly restrictive ideas and values for my *private romantic life*. I have had great lovers in my 49 years from Denmark, from Argentina, Americans black and white. I have refined taste in Romantic relationships and never have sexual intercourse with women I do not love. But I strongly advocate extremely sexually liberal political views in order that people who are sexually conservative in the *wrong way* – which to my mind is everyone else's type of conservatism – does not allow the state to intervene in my love life. The other sorts of conservative views might just be such horrible taste that all my love interests would commit suicide from the restraints. That's a horrible way to be oppressed by the state. It's one thing to be dragged to the interrogator's office for having some sort of political dissident opinion. It's another thing when a great romance, where you are singing about vixen of my dreams and how we are eagles of one nest and the nest is in our souls and you are flying through the clouds and suddenly the some conservative religious faction says, "no no no you can't fly like that. you need to go through our checkpoints here and there and sign the documents following iron age ideas about who sleeps on what side of the bed and how long you have to wait before various families take all sorts of numbers of sheep and cow before you can sleep together". No thank you.

2. MY CONSERVATIVE VIEWS NOT FOR STATE LAWS

My own views are from life. You see, if you have sex with a woman you don't love, you denigrate your own sacred inner life. You suddenly did something that guarantees that you can't really go higher with the woman, and you're doing it for sordid reasons, maybe to satisfy your sexual appetite, maybe to gain a small amount of kudos and respect from your fraternity buddies, maybe just for some ego boost to satisfy some male ego. But you have desecrated your inner sacred, your holy within. The woman, she might have hopes for something more but you will only be a bitter taste in her mouth, a disappointment, and her whole world will shrink from what it could have been. You compromised and she compromised and you ruined each others' highest potential for divinity in love. I will recommend to men to be a friend and a brother to women you do not love truly, don't desecrate

OPEN LETTER TO US ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK GARLAND INTRODUCING MY RELIGIOUS INFLUENCES A BIT BY

their inheritance for higher loves. Let them be. If they chose to be sluts and skanks then just remove yourself from their circles because it's not really where the highest life is. Love is sacred, and do not ruin it with sexual intercourses with women just out of habit or some other lower reason. On the other hand, if you love a woman, then it's an opportunity to find sacred union of souls in sexual intercourse. That's what it's for, for lovers.

3. ZULF DOES A BILL GATES ANALYSIS

The puny mind of Bill Gates, who is white supremacist, is unable to process sensory input using anything other than the primitive concepts that he had absorbed such as "this man is white. this man is white. whites are superior" and "this man is not white. this man is not white. non-whites are inferior" and then using these concepts he is surprised that Merrick Garland, a superior white man, is acting in the strangest fashion. He is doing some consider based on the inferior Zulf's request. Now Zulf's view is a bit different. Zulf does not actually care about Merrick Garland's *ethnicity* all that much. Zulf does grant that Merrick Garland might be quite hurt in his feelings about this. But Zulf does not actually care about hurt feelings of white people or of any other ethnicity all that much. Instead Zulf sees *himself* when addressing Merrick Garland as an *American whose rights are not secured by the state*. Now Zulf is pleased that the *Attorney General of the Federal Government*, the Chief Prosecutor of the Executive Branch of our nation seems to be doing something. Zulf infers that there is *merit in Zulf's request* in terms of the Constitutional guarantees are being implemented by the state. Zulf does not presume to know what Merrick Garland's motivations are because Zulf is wise and let people who spent years of their lives studying all sorts of things that are relevant to enforcing laws of the nation do their magic without Zulf's interference. Zulf does not feel suddenly *demeaned* that people with long years of training and experience use their learning and their concepts in ways Zulf does not know. Otherwise Zulf would be the Attorney General of Justice Department and not Merrick Garland.

You see, Bill Gates, your puny mind is both unsophisticated in its concepts and outrageously presumptuous in attempting to decipher *why* people do the things they do. Even Zulf does not know what Mr. Garland will do. How will Bill Gates decipher it with high school education?

4. BILL GATES STUPID SCHEME OF UNDERSTANDING WHO KNOWS WHAT IS DISASTROUS FOR INDUSTRY TOO

I have dealt with this puny mind Bill Gates for a year. He believes that people's abilities are endowed by their ethnicity, and that white people have endowment by their ethnicity of various sorts of things that are not possible for non-white people. This sort of primitive scheme is so bad, I would not forgive any of my high school classmates in 1987-1991 who pronounced such a theory. I was valedictorian of John Adams High School in 1991, and in the debating team with lackluster performance. No one I had met then – in a multi-ethnic school – would even dream of believing this sort of rubbish while we were teenagers. I don't know what they taught Bill Gates in Seattle but it's rubbish.

You see, I am an expert at many aspects of Mathematics, such as geometry of four-manifolds. There are many people such as Sir Simon Donaldson who taught the experts with their genius in these topics, in books such as S. K. Donaldson

and P. B. Kronheimer, *Geometry of Four-Manifolds*. I do not expect someone like Mr. Garland to have mastery of subjects of this type because the man spent his entire life becoming a lawyer and prosecutor and read entirely different books than I would not even touch. I was not interested in American law until recently. Bill Gates believes that he is better at Mathematics than me because he is white. This is just so absurd that it boggles my mind. He has no training in Mathematics past secondary level at all. How will he even compete with a graduate of the best Undergraduate Program in the world?

Look, Bill Gates, you see here Mr. Garland? He is a magna from Harvard Law and summa from Harvard College and the Attorney General. Do you think he would do all of that if his whiteness gave him some magical leap to Attorney General? He would have done what you did, skip all education and sit as Attorney General. The world is fortunate that he did not actually do that.

5. SIMPLE STRONG SCIENTIFIC INFERENCES

I will show some simple strong scientific inferences that will eliminate Bill Gates' theories about race.

Let us assume that someone with IQ level of 80 is not going to do great advances in any field, law, medicine, finance, psychology. Let us put aside our political ideologies and accept this assumption since IQ has problems.

Now let us consider 200 million white Americans. Accept that the IQ distribution of this population is normal with mean $\mu = 100$ and dispersion (standard deviation) $\sigma = 15$. We pull up our trusty R stat program and type in

```
>pnorm(80,mean=100,sd=15)
[1] 0.09121122
```

So this says left tail of the normal cut at 80 is 9.12%. We do a simple calculation to get 9.12% of 200 million and get 18.24 million.

This means that 18.24 million white people are not going to be making advances in various fields. This will significantly refute Bill Gates' white supremacy theory. This shows that having white ethnicity does not confer *automatically* any special abilities. The racists keep talking about *mean differences* between IQ of blacks and whites in America. Then they make conclusions about *all whites* and *all blacks* which is a popular fallacy even on stomping grounds of racists.

These people just have no education in paying attention to scientific inference because dispersion is quite crucial for valid interpretation even for the *favourite* of racists, IQ. My IQ is 135-140 and that's not abnormal for many white people at Princeton.

6. SINCE MY LIFE IS RUINED ANYWAY I'LL TELL YOU MORE ABOUT MYSELF

At Princeton I did not do coding. I knew some of my classmates were more into technology and coding, but I was not myself. There I did learn Sun Sparc station UNIX operating system and TeX. I knew the graduate students in Mathematics, my habitat. I even spent an entire summer with all my books and things in Fine Hall.

Well so I didn't have a lot of money then, and so often when all the students were on vacation, I would stick around. I paid very little money as I was on Financial Aid, altogether \$6000 for four years. Anyway, so I'd spend time playing MUD sometimes at E-Quad and get Hoagie Haven subs. Not too often and I really did

OPEN LETTER TO US ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK GARLAND INTRODUCING MY RELIGIOUS INFLUENCES A BIT BY

that to bond with my friends more than really have an ambition to have level 120 fictional characters zapping at imaginary monsters. It was more really to be there with my friends. They had NeXT clusters and Sun clusters. I was much more serious about Literature then.

After university I looked for jobs. I had F-1 visa, and was not really all that concerned about future too much. I learned C++ by my own efforts, and enjoyed it enough to fly over to Palo Alto for an interview. I thought about it and took the Lehman finance job which was, looking back, a much better choice. Andy Morton's team was one of the best in the street. I spent a lot of time coding there, as Jawahar Chirimar was a Cornell Ph.D. in Computer Science. I was young and thought, "Aha! Serious people know how to use the Make environment and use C++ templates and iostream". I was quite high level in mathematics and literature and just got quite involved in coding, just because it was a quant role and I'm a good student. I got all these books on Iostreams, *Design and Evolution of C++*. I picked up template programming and STL. We had the Objectspace version. I literally pored over all the actual code. I was not a Computer Science student so all of this was a bit different. In my mind, when people get to real professional work, they do coding and serious work for money. I liked the Hermes ties some wore, the shiny leather shoes, "That's posh. I like this style." But we were quants, and not bond traders. We were treated well by the traders and others. It was a pleasant experience. I was in love then with my ex-wife, and she and I would have breakfast in 110th street Tom's Restaurant, yes the same as what they show in Seinfeld. That was our time together early in the morning and I live between Amsterdam and Broadway on 113th Street. The blue collar workers filled the restaurant in early morning.

I did some great C++ work there at Lehman. Essentially JSON was far in the future and the Fixed Income Derivative books needed to be tracked. I built the "Book Analysis System" using JSON. I followed the Composite pattern for generic reading and writing JSON files to give details. It was robust and it worked and they were using it ten years afterward. So I did pick up enough coding to produce something that people used, with some code to begin with from some others at Lehman. I started laughing years later when I saw JSON. I said to myself, "That's good. They did the right thing. It's my file structure from Lehman 1995."

That's so long ago, more than a quarter of a century now. Eventually I stopped coding everything in C++. It's too laborious when we are not looking for high performance. Now I prefer Python and Node.js (Javascript) and a lot of R. I am glad I really learned the fundamentals of structural coding. I was the lead single software architect in 1997 with Robert Reich's startup Ecosystems. Still C++ object oriented databases for documents.

You see, I am a very senior level coder. I can run groups of several thousand even if necessary. Bill Gates is not a coder at all. His suggestions for some of my ideas, for example Web Questionnaire with database storage of answers, sample here [1] are just dumber than any junior first year coder would do. You do not use C for these. You have to leverage MongoDB libraries in Node and Meteor and other tools that are far higher level. Bill Gates talks about how he wants these things in C. I took a three hour Codility exam and got A not A+ in plain coding ability in 2018 for an interview with Swirls. The job was too junior for me.

OPEN LETTER TO US ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK GARLAND INTRODUCING MY RELIGIOUS INFLUENCES A BIT B

Now I can tell your right now that this Bill Gates is no coder at all. He's not good. Using his power he botched my Technology Seniority in meta, but I am much more senior than him in coding. He's not good at all. Ken Thompson and Steve Wozniaks are great coders. Bill Gates is not and was always lying about what he had done in his documentaries. He's not good. He's a total charlatan.

7. BILL GATES IS A WONDER OF THE MODERN WORLD BUT NOT FOR WHAT PEOPLE THINK

Bill Gates, I claim is not just a pathological liar in the ordinary sense but someone who had from his childhood been a different sort of cat than everyone else. He fabricated and entire "Bill Gates fictional image" and sold *that image* where every anecdote about his life, every documentary about who he is, is choreographed fiction, and what is behind the mask is basically Gollum and someone whose evil and murder and robbery and criminality is so boundless that no Civilisation in history – Mesopotamia, Egypt, China, India, Greece, England, Germany, can even imagine it. He is a peculiar and interesting possibility of the worst nightmare of America which was realised.

You see, Mr. Garland, United States Civilisation has all manner of harsh moral judgments *only for poor people* and not for any rich people. This is totally fatal for United States Civilisation. This will lead to imminent collapse. It's ass-backwards. In every Civilisation in history except American, it was *expected* that the privileged are virtuous, just and good. In America, there is a reversal. So Bill Gates got to play Sesame Street innocent in documentaries while he is morally so decrepit compared to the average, that the depth of ravines in Grand Canyon compared to the top of the cliffs would not be able to provide adequate representation of how low he is in moral qualities. He is literally the morally *most vile and disgusting cat* that has ever been born to female human womb in 7-8 million years of human history. You will never find a *cannibal* from any exotic tribe of Africa from Pleistocene who will beat him in moral decrepitude. They are morally strictly superior to him.

8. BILL GATES IS OBVIOUSLY GOING TO BE DEEPLY SPIRITUAL CALLING FOR MR. GARLAND

Well, I am not Christian, and my religion is quite different from yours, Mr. Garland. I do not consider Jesus Christ my Lord and Saviour. But perhaps you do and you will have to carefully consider whether there is deep mystical meaning for you to find something peculiar and odd about one of the most Evil people who had deceived the Human Race for 66 years to be revealed for something very different than he appeared. Now don't get me wrong. Don't get me wrong at all. My personal faith is unfortunately not Christian. I believe that I will never actually manage to walk on water with firm conviction. In fact that is one of my earliest revelations in life, that if I fall into water, I will sink. No revelation of God was ever more clear to me. But you are not me, and please, please by all means, take a strongly religious zealous approach against Bill Gates. Zulf will not get in your way. You want a Holy Sword with that? I'll get you one. No problem at all, Mr. Garland.

Thank you,
Zulfikar Moinuddin Ahmed
USCIS# 046-077-179

OPEN LETTER TO US ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK GARLAND INTRODUCING MY RELIGIOUS INFLUENCES A BIT B

REFERENCES

- [1] <https://github.com/zulf73/test-optimism>