

THE RIGHT VARIABLES FOR THE PROPER MEASURE OF MAN ARE MYSTERIOUS IN 2021

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

I was quite heartened to see the change of heart in Charles Murray's new book on Human Genetic Diversity. Many decades ago, he and Richard Herrnstein, I think that's the name wrote *The Bell Curve*. I was not really interested in the controversy at that time. But I was familiar with the reaction by Stephen Jay Gould, *The Mismeasure of Man* and I learned a great deal from reading it. I was not educated in Social Science very much. My interests were Mathematics and Literature then. Only in the past decade did I become more interested in some of these issues, and I have been working on some of these topics independently. I am a long veteran of Socialist politics and a long time reader of the brilliant critiques of political problems by Noam Chomsky. But I am not a follower of anyone. I have my own views that I am developing.

I discovered some regularities in human moral value in the past year that are so potent that they will change the future of Human Civilisation. I bounded the variation of human moral values by ethnicity to 6.5-9.5% of the total variation. The major conclusion is that *not ethnicity but other factors* are responsible for variation of human moral values across the globe. It is a simple corollary that ethnic superiority, which necessarily assume superiority of moral values by ethnicity, are forever gone as a consequences of my work. Now my work relies on measured high quality data, from World Values Survey, and therefore are as solid science as one can ask for. There is here no appeal to all sorts of emotional guilt etc. My conclusions are clear and simple: ethnicity does not vary human moral nature very much. But there is variation of human moral values and it is quite remarkable that they are roughly the same for all ethnicities. The variables that determine these are *orthogonal* to ethnicity, and unknown to us still. We live in a very primitive moment in human history where false theories of ethnic superiority have been popularised by all manner of demagoguery and propaganda. But they are totally erroneous. We will want to understand the actual variables that determine moral value differences in people and they are likely to be *deeper* than ethnic or geographic differences because these seem to have uniformity as well. One possible path is to understand natural distribution of Human Moral Values that developed in East Africa 75,000 years ago to understand these variables, because after that, the geographical spread of our ancestors seem not to have introduced much more novelty in the human moral values distributions. These are very subtle issues, especially in this primitive moment when all manner of ethnic tribalism has inundated the planet.

Most likely deeper statistical tools will be required to understand these sorts of factors. We can rule out new evolutionary adaptations from the past 75,000 years altogether and with it theories that are racial or ethnic or national or others. We

need deeper theories that can look deeper in our evolutionary history to understand moral value distributions.