

EINSTEIN'S SPECIAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY IS DEFINITELY WRONG AND S4 ELECTROMAGNETISM IS TRUE

Albert Einstein was a physics genius of extraordinary talents. I do not denigrate the great man. However, Special Relativity is false and I have given the Human Race the corrections. People keep asking about these so I try to explain in different ways. You see, a great physicist before Albert Einstein, whose laws were considered in final form was James Clerk Maxwell. His synthesis of electromagnetic laws were considered, during Einstein's time, as sacrosanct. They are beautiful, Maxwell's equations, simple and quantitatively precise and glorious. They were the obsession of physics in the entire period from 1850 to 1900. I won't be technical because that is not illuminating. The key issue about them is the assumption that empty space is perfectly modeled by \mathbf{R}^3 . I have challenged the conclusions of Michelson-Morley experiments of the 1880s, that there is no ether by introducing a fourth macroscopic spatial dimension and claiming a four-dimensional ether. I am 100% certain that I am right and this is the case. Now going back to Einstein's Special Relativity. You see if you believe that Maxwell's Electromagnetic laws are exact laws of Nature *and* that empty space is geometrically \mathbf{R}^3 , then Einstein's Special Relativity is a good theory, almost canonical. For with your beliefs about empty space, you can note that certain linear transformations of \mathbf{R}^3 will preserve the Maxwell's equations, or even simpler wave equations. Einstein's Special Relativity is just taking seriously this issue, that wave equations on \mathbf{R}^3 are invariant under Lorentz Transformations of 'spacetime' $\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}$. It's good *given that space is \mathbf{R}^3* . And that's what I had challenged and with it Special Relativity.

Given any evidence for *space is $S^4(R = 3075.69)$* Special Relativity is senseless. And so that is really the challenge and not Special Relativity per se. My S4 Electromagnetic Law is a wave equation on Spinor Fields of a scaled Four-Sphere of fixed radius $R = 3075.69$. The constancy of speed of light is in the wave equation itself. Physical space now is an evolving hypersurface $M(t) \subset S^4(R)$. Now $S^4(R)$ is absolute space. And now the only way in which \mathbf{R}^3 appears in the model of the universe is as *tangent space at a point* of $M(t)$ the physical universe at time t .

See when you see what my claims are about absolute space, you can see clearly that Lorentz transformations are meaningless now. The law is on Spinor Fields of $S^4(R)$ and what do we care what transformations occur in tangent spaces, and speed of light's constancy is not problematic. Now I don't need to bend time to make speed of light constant.

So Einstein's Special Relativity is not necessary to *explain constant speed of light* and so it's not true about Nature.

In fact precision tests of Special Relativity will not be able to reject null of Four-Sphere Theory at all even with 18 decimal figures, so Four-Sphere Theory is right and Special Relativity is wrong.