

PROPOSAL TO ECB AND CHRISTINE LAGARDE FOR THYSELF INC. DEVELOPMENT

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

I am in the situation where I know that any good idea I have will be pilfered by Bill Gates and passed off as his own. He's been doing this for last five decades and the best protection from his thievery and robbery of ideas is to make them public proposals to ECB and seek legal aid later if Bill Gates is making money off these.

My idea for the stalled Thyself Inc. (which is incorporated for more than a year but is unfunded and without resources because of Bill Gates efforts to sabotage and destroy me and kill me instead of paying me for giving him a great Medium Frequency Alpha with D. E. Shaw) and since even UN Security Council and US Government including Janet Yellen at Treasury did not succeed I'll proceed in this way.

1. BUFFET STYLE OFFERINGS

Thyself Inc. was originally designed as a Psychology-Technology company. I expanded from Personality Psychology to Positive Psychology just conceptually. I don't have people besides myself working on anything yet. I had my Blood Meta and Deep Interior breached by horrible racial destructive power by Bill Gates for the last year and had not made as much progress as I would have liked.

Anyway, let's continue. My idea is to offer services by monthly fee for membership and then give a lot of related Positive Psychology and Personality Psychology applications all for one price. This model I think is the best because I don't want to worry about pricing for individual products at all. Perhaps one day in the distant future that would be appealing. I don't see value in it now.

The buffet style allows us to organise applications slowly without disrupting the experience of the service receiver. I really hate the word 'user' and think it was a bad choice of words in computer science.

I am interested in nontrivial scientific psychology based services that are mostly questionnaire based.

2. INDIVIDUAL PREDICTION OF LOVE STYLES

What is substantial from the point of view of people who might be interested is that the method I will outline can give someone nontrivial insights about their *future potential* romantic love relationships *without knowledge of their future partner*. This is extremely valuable for many reasons. First, people are interested in their future, and they are also pleased to be optimistic about their future.

I want to give them not certain knowledge but *expectations that are rational based on some knowledge*.

Let me show you the R code.

Date: November 5, 2021.

```
# love style prediction

Bm<-matrix(0, nrow=6, ncol=6)
Bm[1,]<-c( 8, -17, 5, -1, 3, 16)
Bm[2,]<-c( -1, -8, 2, 17, 2, 6)
Bm[3,]<-c( 29, -5, 4, 2, -4, -1)
Bm[4,]<-c( 1, -4, 1, -1, -5, -8)
Bm[5,]<-c( 17, 18, 4, -9, 30, -7)
Bm[6,]<-c( -1, -28, -14, -22, -23, 15)

parentalRel<-c('Trust F', 'Comm F', 'Close F',
               'Trust M', 'Comm M', 'Close M')
Bf<-matrix(0, nrow=6, ncol=6)

Bf[1,]<-c( 18, 10, -29, -30, 11, 11 )
Bf[2,]<-c( 13, 13, 15, 35, 13, 3 )
Bf[3,]<-c( -6, -7, -16, -23, -33, -10 )
Bf[4,]<-c( -16, 4, -34, -16, -11, -7 )
Bf[5,]<-c( 7, -6, -21, 9, -9, 7 )
Bf[6,]<-c( -8, -10, 6, 3, -8, 0 )

# input data trust/comm/close father/mother
parentalMenMean<-c( 4.5, 3.7, 4.6, 4.8, 4.3, 4.6)
parentalMenSigma<-c( 1.25, 1.41, 1.13, 1.17, 1.15, 1.7)
parentalWomenMean<-c( 4.9, 3.8, 4.9, 5.0, 4.9, 5.0)
parentalWomenSigma<-c( 1.16, 1.35, 1.19, 1.09, 1.09, 0.81)

menLoveStyleMean<-c( 3.8, 2.6, 2.8, 2.7, 2.8, 3.5)
menFactors<- menLoveStyleMean/Bm %%% parentalMenMean

womenLoveStyleMean<-c( 4.1, 2.2, 2.9, 2.6, 2.9, 3.4)
womenFactors<- womenLoveStyleMean/Bm %%% parentalWomenMean

men.lovestyle<-function(parentalRelation){
  X<-menFactors * Bm %%% parentalRelation
  X
}

women.lovestyle<-function(parentalRelation){
  X<-womenFactors * Bf %%% parentalRelation
  X
}

#Simulate from multivariate normal
library(MASS)

menParentalSim<-mvrnorm(n=500,
                        mu=parentalMenMean,
                        Sigma=diag(parentalMenSigma))
```

```
womenParentalSim<-mvrnorm(n=500,
                           mu=parentalWomenMean,
                           Sigma=diag(parentalWomenSigma))
k<-146

ym<-menParentalSim[k,]
yf<-womenParentalSim[k,]
Xm<-men.lovestyle( ym )
Xf<-women.lovestyle( yf )
```

The output looks reasonable compared to [1]. The important work to turn this into a product is the text translations for interpreting the numbers. For now we can just say Eros Level, Ludus Level, Storge Level, Pragma Level, Mania Level, Agape Level and so on. But I think we can be more useful with the output and give some sense of what people might expect in the horizon.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fermani et al., “What is more important than love?” Parental attachment and romantic relationship in Italian emerging adulthood, *Cogent Psychology*, 6, 2019