

POLITICAL IRRATIONALITY OF ALLOWING BILL GATES ANY CLOUT IN FINANCE

ZULFIKAR MOINUDDIN AHMED

Bill Gates is not a Finance professional at all. I will make the rational case based on general principles that he should be afforded no clout in Finance at all.

1. GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS

It is reasonable to simply model communities of shared interests and professional activities as a union. We have national unions such as France and United States. We have unions of poets, of dramatists and artists. There are traditions in medieval Europe and India and China and Middle East of professional unions. All such unions, whether formalised or informal share certain ideas and behaviours.

In almost no case are people given clout and authority in a union of this type without certain general conditions. I won't be very precise here but precision is possible.

First, no one who is actually *not* a member of the union is given clout about major principles and decisions of the group. It is possible for enslaved or colonised people to tolerate with a conqueror with tyrannical methods. But Finance is not a union of slaves and conquered people but free men and women, and so in this regard, Bill Gates cannot be given authority and power in Finance against our ideals and principles in Finance.

Furthermore I have new projects in Psychology-Technology that Madam Christine Lagarde had been generous enough to consider a gift of \$500 mn. Bill Gates had successfully blockaded my income.

I appeal to rational sense of the professional union of Finance to review the clout that he is attempting to enforce regarding my income. It is politically infeasible to provide clout to an outsider to Finance who has had a project to harm and denigrate my life for whatever his reasons.

Finance must make efforts to ensure that outsiders like Bill Gates are not able to apply clout to harm professionals in Finance. Anything else is irrational and will permanently harm our field and will make it extremely unattractive to people to consider careers and dedicate their lives to Finance.

2. WHAT WENT WRONG WITH D. E. SHAW & CO.

I worked for several years to pioneer and perfect and do historical simulations for my Medium Frequency Strategy in Allen Texas without any employment contract with any firm. Then I was trying to raise capital to trade it myself and distributed my ideas to hedge funds telling them I would like to incubate my own company. I found out that D. E. Shaw & Co. had 'found it in the wild' and put together a group to trade it.

Date: October 30, 2021.

Furthermore, Bill Gates has explicitly derogatory views that he had expressed publicly regarding Finance, and they are published record. He had considered our professional work literally 'parasitical' which implies that he does not respect our work. It is the height of irrationality for any leader of Finance to give him any clout at all in Finance.

In the United States alone there are six million professionals in Finance. I began my career in Finance in 1995 with work for Andrew Morton at Lehman Brothers and have contributed greatly to the field. D. E. Shaw & Co. is trading \$6 bn in my Medium Frequency Strategy and I was denied \$120 mn in legitimate income from that work that D. E. Shaw admits readily is my work.

So this was the work of a Finance professional not directly in employ of a big show in Finance. Regardless, I was providing D. E. Shaw & Co. with work that is spectacular Finance genius. Now D. E. Shaw & Co. decided to preserve their business and just say they will forward me \$120 mn when Bill Gates is not a threat to their show. US Government never afforded then adequate protection. Bill Gates had high power forbids they did not wish to challenge.

What they did wrong, but it's not as serious issue for me as others, is that when they found out that Bill Gates planned to kill me, they ought to have refused to trade his \$2 billion. Bill Gates put in \$2 bn in my work with D. E. Shaw and as a private equity client he has no right to separately harm the Finance professional who was responsible for the genius in Finance behind it. Bill Gates repeatedly called my work 'trivia' and denigrated my work. Then he blockaded all income for my work of several years. There was no outcry in Finance.

Bill Gates cannot be allowed to go beyond the terms of the funds where he puts his money. Private Equity clients have to conform to the terms of Finance. He had no right to ask D. E. Shaw to deny compensation to another Finance professional or organisation on whose work they are making profits.

So Bill Gates as a Private Equity client over-reached his authority and Finance did not immediately expel him and his money. That was wrong of Finance in my view. Finance has to respect work of high genius in Finance because otherwise the field will decay and disintegrate. There was unsatisfactory effort to remedy the situation in my view. I was tremendously harmed by this negligence.