## Stochastic Models: Exercise 3

1. (i) The setup can be modelled by disjoint Poisson process with s being the 24-hour time,

$$\lambda(s) := \begin{cases} 5t - 35, & 8 \le s < 11 \\ 20, & 11 \le s < 13 \\ -2t + 46, & 13 \le s < 17 \end{cases}$$

we see that the first and last are nonhomogeneous Poisson process and the middle one is a homogeneous. A Poisson process can be used to model the number of customers that arrive at different time intervals as it is a counting process.

(ii)

$$\mathbb{P}(N(9.5) - N(8.5) = 0) = e^{-(m(9.5) - m(8.5))} \frac{m(9.5) - m(8.5)^0}{0!}$$
$$= e^{-10}$$

(iii)

$$\mathbb{E}(\text{number of arrivals from 8:30AM-9:30AM}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \cdot e^{-(m(9.5) - m(8.5))} \frac{(m(9.5) - m(8.5))^k}{k!}$$

$$= 10 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-10} \frac{10^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$

$$= 10$$

2.

$$\mathbb{P}(N(I_1) = k_1, \dots, N(I_n) = k_n \mid N(U) = k) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(N(I_1) = k_1, \dots, N(I_n) = k_n, N(u) = k)}{\mathbb{P}(N(U) = k)}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda c_i} \frac{(\lambda c_i)^{k_i}}{k_i!}\right) / e^{-\lambda c} \frac{(\lambda c)^k}{k!}$$

$$= \frac{k!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_n!} \left(\frac{c_1}{c}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{c_2}{c}\right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\frac{c_n}{c}\right)^{k_n}$$

3. Let  $N_i$  denote the number of families with number of member of size i migrating to Batan Island over a t week period and let such an event be called a type-i event for i=1,2,3,4. Hence  $N_i(t)$  is a Poisson process and  $\mathbb{E}(N_i(t)) = \lambda t p_i = 10 p_i$ . Let  $M(t) = \sum_i i N_i(t)$  denote the number of individuals migrating during a t-week period.

$$\mathbb{E}(M(t)) = \sum_{i} i \mathbb{E}(N_{i}(t))$$
$$= (1+4)\frac{10}{6} + (2+3)\frac{10}{3}$$
$$= 25$$

To find variance, we first find  $\mathbb{E}(N_i(t)^2)$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(N_i(t)^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\lambda t p_i} \frac{(\lambda t p_i)^n}{n!}$$

$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t p_i} \frac{(\lambda t p_i)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t p_i} \frac{(\lambda t p_i)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$= \lambda t p_i + (\lambda t p_i)^2$$

and so  $Var(N_i(t)) = \lambda t p_i$ .

$$Var(M(t)) = \sum_{i} i^{2} Var(N_{i}(t))$$
$$= (1^{2} + 4^{2}) \frac{10}{6} + (2^{2} + 3^{2}) \frac{10}{3} = \frac{215}{3}$$

4. Suppose that the mini toys are collected at times chosen according to a homogeneous Poisson process with unit rate 1 per order of a meal. Thinning the Poisson process, for each toy i, we have  $\{N_i(t), t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda p_i = p_i$  and independent of each other. Let  $X_i$  denote the first interarrival time of the Poisson process  $\{N_i(t), t \geq 0\}$  and we known that  $\mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t) = e^{-tp_i}$ . The number of meals to be ordered such is all the mini toys are collected is  $X = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} X_i$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(X < t) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{P}(X_i \le t)$$

thus

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X > t) dt$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{P}(X_i > t) dt$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - e^{-tp_i}) dt$$

Since there is a one to one correspondence between the number of order of meals and the time,  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(N)$ .

5. (i) (a) No. This can be shown as follows, where  $m(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(u) du$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(E_1 > t) &= \mathbb{P}(N(t) = 0) \\ &= e^{-m(t)} \\ &= \exp\left(-\int_0^t \alpha(u) \, du\right) \\ \mathbb{P}(E_2 > t \mid E_1 = s) &= \mathbb{P}(N(t+s) - N(s) = 0 \mid E_1 = s) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(N(t+s) - N(s) = 0), \quad \text{by independent increments} \\ &= e^{-(m(t+s) - m(s))} \\ &= \exp\left(-\int_t^{t+s} \alpha(u) \, du\right) \end{split}$$

- (b) From (a), we have  $\mathbb{P}(E_1 \leq t) = 1 exp\left(-\int_0^t \alpha(u) du\right)$
- (ii) (a) For each busstop i, let  $A_{ij}$  represent the number of passengers that board at stop i and alight at stop j. We claim that  $A_{ij} \sim Pois(\lambda_i p_{ij})$  for j = i+1, i+2..., n. Since  $D_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} A_{ij}$ , we have  $D_j \sim Pois(\tilde{\lambda}_j)$ , where  $\tilde{\lambda}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i p_{ij}$  as the sum of finitely many Poisson random variable is Poisson. To show the claim, let  $X \sim Pois(\lambda)$  and suppose the Poisson random variable can be classified into n distinct types with type i occurring with probability  $p_i$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ , also let  $N_i$  denote the number of occurrence of type i thus  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i$ . Now

$$\mathbb{P}(N_1 = k_1, \dots, N_n = k_n) = \mathbb{P}(N_1 = k_1, \dots, N_n = k_n \mid X = k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X = k)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(N_1 = k_1, \dots, N_n = k_n \mid X = k) \cdot e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$$

$$= \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \cdot e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda p_i} \frac{\lambda^{k_i}}{k_i!}$$

(b) It follows from (a) that  $\mathbb{E}(D_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i p_{ij}$ 

- 6. (a) Let  $N_1(t)$  and  $N_2(t)$  be the type-I and type-II events where Irma Pince finds a misplaced book and fails to find a misplaced book respectively. Hence, the  $N_i$ s are independent Poisson process with rate  $\lambda p_i$  where i=1,2. This the misplacements found by Irma Pince follows a homogeneous Poisson process. For t=100,  $\mathbb{E}(N_1(100))=90\lambda$ .
  - (b) For each shelf i, we can the classify the event of find a misplaced book in the shelf as a type-I event and not finding a misplaced book as a type-II event. Then the  $N_1(t)$  of shelf i is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda p_i$ . Define  $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t) + N_3(t)$  and we claim that it is a Poisson process with rate of process  $\lambda(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)$ . Hence the desired probability is,

$$\mathbb{P}(N(3) = 5) = e^{-\lambda(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)} \frac{(\lambda(p_1 + p_2 + p_3))^5}{5!}$$

Here, we shall proof the claim that the sum of two independent Poisson process is a Poisson process. Let  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{M(t), t \geq 0\}$  be two independent Poisson process with rate  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  respectively. We shall show that  $\{N(t) + M(t), t \geq 0\}$  is also a Poisson process by showing the four conditions.

- (i) N(0) + M(0) = 0
- (ii) The independent and stationary increments are inherited from N(t), M(t).
- (iii)

$$\mathbb{P}(N(h) + M(h) = 1) = \mathbb{P}(N(h) = 1, M(h) = 0) + \mathbb{P}(N(h) = 0, M(h) = 1)$$

$$= (\lambda_1 h + o(h))(1 - \lambda_2 h + o(h)) + (\lambda_2 h + o(h))(1 - \lambda_1 h + o(h)), \text{ since } N \perp M$$

$$= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)h + o(h)$$

(iv) Lastly,

$$\mathbb{P}(N(t) + M(t) \ge 2) = 1 - (\mathbb{P}(N(t) = 0, M(t) = 0) + \mathbb{P}(N(t) = 1, M(t) = 0) + \mathbb{P}(N(t) = 0, M(t) = 1))$$

$$= 1 - (1 - \lambda_1 h + o(h))(1 - \lambda_2 h + o(h))$$

$$- (\lambda_1 h + o(h))(1 - \lambda_2 h + o(h)) - (1 - \lambda_1 h + o(h))(\lambda_2 h + o(h))$$

$$= o(h)$$

which proves our earlier claim.