

## Algebraic Geometry: Homework 1

1.  $R$  be a ring and  $S$  a multiplicative subset of  $R$  with  $1 \in S$  and  $0 \notin S$

- (i) It is reflexive, since for any  $t \in S$ ,  $t(rs - rs) = 0$ , thus  $(r, s) \sim (r, s)$ . Suppose  $(r, s) \sim (r', s')$ , so there exist  $t \in S$  such that  $t(rs' - r's) = 0$  which also means  $t(r's - rs') = 0$  and we have symmetry. Lastly, let  $(r, s) \sim (t, u)$  and  $(t, u) \sim (v, w)$ , then there exist  $a, b \in S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(ru - ts) &= 0 \\ b(tw - vu) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $abwru - abwts = 0$ ,  $bastw - basvu = 0$  and summing them gives  $abu(rw - vs) = 0$  with  $abu \in S$  which shows transitivity.

(ii) Same as part (i).

- (iii) Define the action of  $R_s$  on  $M_s$ ,  $\phi : R_s \times M_s \rightarrow M_s$ ,  $((r, s), (m, s')) \mapsto (rm, ss')$ . We first show that the action is well-defined. Let  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2) \in R_s$  and  $(m_1, t_1) \sim (m_2, t_2) \in M_s$ . Then  $\phi((r_i, s_i), (m_i, t_i)) = (r_i m_i, s_i t_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $a(r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1) = 0$  and  $b(m_1 t_2 - m_2 t_1) = 0$  with some  $a, b \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ab(r_1 s_2 m_1 t_2 - r_2 s_1 m_1 t_2) &= 0 \\ ab(r_2 s_1 m_1 t_2 - r_2 s_1 m_2 t_1) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

thus we have  $ab(r_1 m_1 s_2 t_2 - r_2 m_2 s_1 t_1)$ , so  $(r_1 m_1, s_1 t_1) \sim (r_2 m_2, s_2 t_2)$ .  $M_s$  is a  $R_s$ -module, since for  $m_i \in M$ ,  $r_i \in R$  and  $s_i, t_i \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet (r, s)((m_1, t_1) + (m_2, t_2)) &= (r, s)((m_1 t_2 + m_2 t_1, t_1 t_2)) = (rm_1 t_2 + rm_2 t_1, st_1 t_2) = (rm_1, st_1) + (rm_2, st_2) \\ \bullet ((r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2))(m, t) &= (mr_1 s_2 + mr_2 s_1, s_1 s_2 t) = (r_1 m, s_1 t) + (r_2 m, s_2 t) \\ \bullet ((r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2))(m, t) &= (r_1 r_2 m, s_1 s_2 t) = (r_1, s_1)(r_2 m, s_2 t) = ((r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2))(m, t) \\ \bullet (1_R, 1_S)(m, t) &= (m, t) \end{aligned}$$

2. Given morphisms of  $R$ -modules,  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  and  $\psi : N \rightarrow P$ , it is an *exact sequence* if the image of  $\phi$  is equal to the kernel of  $\psi$  in  $N$ .

(i) If  $M = 0_M$ , then  $\phi(0_M) = \{0_N\} = \ker(\psi)$ , thus  $\psi$  is injective.

(ii) If  $P = 0_P$ , then  $\phi(M) = \ker(\psi) = N$ , thus  $\phi$  is surjective.

- (iii) For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $R_S = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $M_S = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $p(r, s) \in \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with  $p \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $(r, s) \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It is an ideal since,  $p(r, s)(r', s') = p(rr', ss') \in \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

$\mathfrak{p}$  does not contain any unit of  $R$ , else  $\mathfrak{p} = R$  and  $S = \emptyset$ . Thus for  $(p, s) \in \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , it is not a unit in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and thus it is a proper ideal. It is maximal since for any  $(r, s) \in R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , it is a unit of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $r \in S$  and its inverse is  $(s, r)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} + ((r, s)) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $(r, s) \in R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

- (iv) Given the natural maps  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}} : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}} : N_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{p}}$  given by  $(m, s) \mapsto (\phi(m), s)$  and  $(n, s) \mapsto (\psi(n), s)$ ,

(v)

3. (i)

4. (i)