Real Analysis: Homework 4

Proof.

(a)

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_t - X_s| \ge \epsilon] = \mathbb{P}[|X_t - X_s|^{\alpha} \ge \epsilon^{\alpha}]$$

$$\le \epsilon^{-\alpha} \mathbb{E}[|X_t - X_s|^{\alpha}], \text{ by Markov Inequality}$$

$$\le \epsilon^{-\alpha} |t - s|^{1+\beta}$$

thus as $s \to t$, we have $\mathbb{P}[|X_t - X_s| \ge \epsilon] \to 0$ which shows that $X_s \to X_t$ in probability as $s \to t$.

(b) We need to show that for $n \geq N(\omega)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \le k \le 2^n} \left| X_{\frac{kT}{2^n}} - X_{\frac{(k-1)T}{2^n}} \right| < 2^{-\gamma n} \right] = 1 \tag{1}$$

so from (a), we get for all $1 \le k \le 2^n$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left[\left|X_{\frac{kT}{2^n}} - X_{\frac{(k-1)T}{2^n}}\right| < 2^{-\gamma n}\right] &= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left[\left|X_{\frac{kT}{2^n}} - X_{\frac{(k-1)T}{2^n}}\right| \ge 2^{-\gamma n}\right] \\ &\ge 1 - (2^{-\gamma n})^{-\alpha} \left|\frac{T}{2^n}\right|^{1+\beta} \\ &= 1 - |T|^{1+\beta} \cdot 2^{-n(1+\beta-\gamma\alpha)} \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty \end{split}$$

thus we have we result in (1).

(c) We first see that $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ where $D_n := \{(k/2^n) \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n\}$ is the partition of [0, 1] and show, for every $m > N(\omega)$,

$$|X_t - X_s| \le 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^m 2^{-\gamma j}$$
 for all $t, s \in D_m$, $0 < t - s < 2^{-N(\omega)}$ (2)

For m=n+1, we can only have $t=(k/2^m), s=((k-1)/2^m)$ and the result follows from (b). Suppose (2) is true for $m=n+1,\ldots,M-1$. We take s< t with $s,t\in D_M$ and consider the numbers $t^1=\max\{u\in D_{M-1}:u\leq t\}$ and $s^1=\min\{u\in D_{M-1}:u\geq s\}$ which gives the relationship $s\leq s^1\leq t^1\leq t$ and $s-s^1\leq 2^{-M}, t-t^1\leq 2^{-M}$ (need to explain this later). Hence from (1) we have

$$|X_{s^1} - X_s| \le 2^{-\gamma M}$$
$$|X_{t^1} - X_t| \le 2^{-\gamma M}$$

and from (2) with m = M - 1,

$$|X_{t^1} - X_{s^1}| \le 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{M-1} 2^{-\gamma j}$$

$$|X_t - X_s| \le |X_t - X_{t^1}| + |X_{t^1} - X_{s^1}| + |X_{s^1} - X_s|$$

$$\le 2^{-\gamma M} + 2\sum_{j=n+1}^{M-1} 2^{-\gamma j} + 2^{-\gamma M} = 2\sum_{j=n+1}^{M} 2^{-\gamma j}$$

which proves (2) for m = M. Thus by induction, we have shown

$$|X_t - X_s| \le 2 \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma i}, \quad 0 < t - s < 2^{-N(\omega)}$$
 (3)

To show that X is uniformly continuous with Hölder exponent γ on the dyadic rationals, for any $s, t \in D$, with $0 < t - s < h(\omega) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 2^{-N(\omega)}$, we choose the $n \ge N(\omega)$ such that $2^{-(n+1)} \le t - s < 2^{-n}$. Using the result from (3),

$$|X_t - X_s| \le 2\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma j} \le 2^{-\gamma(n+1)} \left(2\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma j} \right) \le \delta |t - s|^{\gamma}, \quad 0 < t - s < 2^{-N(\omega)}$$
 (4)

where $\delta = 2/(1-2^{-\gamma})$ and shows it is uniformly continuous.

(d) Set \widetilde{X} to be equal to X on the dyadic rationals. For $t \in [0,T] \backslash D$, we choose a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq D$ with $s_n \to t$, the uniform continuity and Cauchy criterion implies that $\{X_{s_n}(\omega)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a limit which depends on t but not on the particular sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq D$ chosen to converge to t and we set $\widetilde{X}_t(\omega) = \lim_{s_n \to t} X_{s_n}(\omega)$. Thus \widetilde{X} is continuous and satisfies (4), since for $0 < t - s < 2^{-N(\omega)}$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, where $t_n \to t, s_n \to s$, we can choose a sufficient large n such that

$$|\widetilde{X}_{t}(\omega) - X_{t_{n}}(\omega)| < \epsilon/2$$

$$|X_{s_{n}}(\omega) - \widetilde{X}_{s}(\omega)| < \epsilon/2$$

$$|X_{t_{n}}(\omega) - X_{s_{n}}(\omega)| \le \delta|t - s|^{\gamma}$$

then we have

$$|\widetilde{X}_{t}(\omega) - \widetilde{X}_{s}(\omega)| = |\widetilde{X}_{t}(\omega) - X_{t_{n}}(\omega) + X_{t_{n}}(\omega) - X_{s_{n}}(\omega) + X_{s_{n}}(\omega) - \widetilde{X}_{s}(\omega)|$$

$$= |\widetilde{X}_{t}(\omega) - X_{t_{n}}(\omega)| + |X_{t_{n}}(\omega) - X_{s_{n}}(\omega)| + |X_{s_{n}}(\omega) - \widetilde{X}_{s}(\omega)| \le \delta |t - s|^{\gamma} + \epsilon$$

and since ϵ is arbitrary, it shows

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\omega; \sup_{0 < t - s < h(\omega)} \left| \widetilde{X}_t(\omega) - \widetilde{X}_s(\omega) \right| \le \delta |t - s|^{\gamma} \right] = 1$$

To see that \widetilde{X} is a modification of X, we observe that $\widetilde{X}_t = X_t$ almost surely for $t \in D$ and for $t \in [0,T] \setminus D$ and $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq D$ with $s_n \to t$, we have $X_{s_n} \to X_t$ in probability as $X_{s_n} \to \widetilde{X}_t$ almost surely, so $\widetilde{X}_t = X_t$ almost surely.

(e)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[-x^{n-1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -(n-1) x^{n-2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

$$= (n-1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n-2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

$$= (n-1)(n-3) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n-4} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$
In general,
$$= (n-1)(n-3) \dots (n-(2k-1)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n-2k} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx := \begin{cases} 0, & n \text{ is odd} \\ (n-1)!!, & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

where n!! is the double factorial, the product of all numbers from 1 to n that have the same parity as n.