

Sep. 6

Lecture 4

(8) If $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k$ where J_k 's are almost disjoint intervals (at most sharing end points)

$$\text{then } m^*(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(J_k)$$

Proof: W.L.O.G. assume $l(J_k) < \infty \quad \forall k \geq 1$. Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

for each $k \geq 1$, choose open interval $I_k \subset J_k$ s.t. $l(J_k) \leq l(I_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}$

For each integer $N > 1$, I_1, \dots, I_N are disjoint with a positive distance from one another. By (7) (induction to N times),

$$m^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^N I_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^N l(I_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^N l(J_k) - \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^N l(J_k) - \varepsilon$$

$$\text{Since } \bigcup_{k=1}^N I_k \subseteq A, \quad m^*(A) \geq \sum_{k=1}^N l(J_k) - \varepsilon \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} m^*(A) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(J_k) - \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand. $m^*(A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} l(J_k)$ by (countable subadditivity) \square .

Despite of all the properties above, m^* doesn't support " $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*(A) + m^*(B)$ " for arbitrary disjoint sets A, B . Consider restricting m^* to the "good" sets.

Step 2: Define measurable sets

Definition A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is m^* -measurable if $\forall B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

$$m^*(B) = m^*(B \cap A) + m^*(B \cap A^c)$$

Otherwise, A is a non-measurable set.

Remark: By subadditivity, we know that $\forall A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

$$m^*(B) \leq m^*(B \cap A) + m^*(B \cap A^c)$$

So, m^* -measurability of A is about whether or not " $<$ " could occur.

Theorem (Carathéodory's Theorem) Let $\mathcal{M} := \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : A \text{ is } m^*\text{-measurable}\}$

Then, \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra (of subsets of \mathbb{R}). Define $m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$

by $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}$ $m(A) = m^*(A)$. Then, m is a measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M})$.

m is called the Lebesgue measure, and $A \in \mathcal{M}$ is a (Lebesgue) measurable set.

Prof: It follows immediately from the definition of m^* -measurability

that $\text{IR} \in M$, and if $A \in M$, then $A^c \in M$.

Next, we will show M is closed under finite union, i.e. if $A_1, \dots, A_N \in M$,

then $\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \in M$. It's sufficient to treat the case $N=2$.

Given $A_1, A_2 \in M$. $\forall B \subseteq \text{IR}$

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(B) &= m^*(B \cap A_1) + m^*(B \cap A_1^c) \\ &= m^*(B \cap A_1) + m^*(B \cap A_1^c \cap A_2) + m^*(B \cap A_1^c \cap A_2^c) \\ &\stackrel{\text{subadditivity}}{\geq} m^*(B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)) + m^*(B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)^c) \end{aligned}$$

$B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)$ $B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)^c$

Subadditivity implies the reverse ineq. So, we have proven that

$$\forall B \subseteq \text{IR}, m^*(B) = m^*(B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)) + m^*(B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)^c) \Rightarrow A_1 \cup A_2 \in M.$$

Now, consider a sequence $\{A_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq M$. We want to show that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in M$.

W.L.O.G., we may assume A_n 's are disjoint. (Otherwise, we replace $\{A_n : n \geq 1\}$ by $\{B_n : n \geq 1\}$ where $B_1 = A_1$, $B_n = A_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$ for $n \geq 2$. Then, $\{B_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq M$ (since

we have shown M is closed under finite union and complement). B_n 's are disjoint.

and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. So, it's equivalent to show $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{M}$.

For every $n \geq 1$, set $E_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$. We already know that $E_n \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall B \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad m^*(B) &= m^*(B \cap E_n) + m^*(B \cap E_n^c) \\
 &\geq m^*(B \cap E_n) + m^*(B \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)^c) \quad \downarrow \text{because } E_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \\
 &= m^*(B \cap E_n \cap A_n) + m^*(B \cap E_n \cap A_n^c) + m^*(B \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)^c) \\
 &= m^*(B \cap A_n) + m^*(B \cap E_m) + m^*(B \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)^c) \\
 &= m^*(B \cap A_n) + m^*(B \cap A_{n+1}) + m^*(B \cap A_{n+2}) + m^*(B \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)^c) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(B \cap A_i) + m^*(B \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)^c)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since n is arbitrary, $m^*(B) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(B \cap A_n) + m^*(B \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^c)$

$$(\text{Countable subadd.}) \geq m^*(B \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) + m^*(B \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^c)$$

Thus, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{M}$. We have proven that \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra.

Now, we move onto proving $m = m^* | \mathcal{M}$ is a measure. $m(\emptyset) = m^*(\emptyset) = 0$ obviously.

Assume $\{A_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a sequence of disjoint measurable sets. First, by countable subadd.
(of m^*)

$$m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = m^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(A_n)$$

Second, by monotonicity of m^* , $\forall n \geq 1$. $m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = m^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \geq m^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right)$

Since $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{M}$ are disjoint, we can follow a similar argument as above to prove

$$m^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m^*(A_i) \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i)$$

Therefore. $\forall n \geq 1$. $m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i)$. $\xrightarrow{\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(A_i)$

We have proven $m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(A_i)$ (countable add.) $\Rightarrow m$ is a measure.

Proposition \mathcal{M} and m are translation invariant, i.e., $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, A+x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $m(A) = m(A+x)$.

Proof: Given $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. $\forall B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. $m^*(B) = m^*(B-x) = m^*((B-x) \cap A) + m^*((B-x) \cap A^c)$
translation invariance of m^* $= m^*(B \cap (A+x)) + m^*(B \cap (A+x)^c)$

Therefore, $A+x \in \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, $m(A) = m^*(A) = m^*(A+x) + m(A+x)$.

Theorem. $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} \quad a < b. \quad (a, b) \in \mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad m((a, b)) = b - a.$

Important corollary: $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. i.e. all Borel sets are Lebesgue measurable