

<u>Entities</u>	<u>Values</u>	
students (records)	grades	equality, comparison important
courses	student ID	computation operators
	course ID	final class, methods
		immutable
		lots of copying (deep)
↓		
type hierarchy		
no copies		
no assignment		
no default constructor		
no type conversions		
no equality / address equality or ID equality		

CS 247: Software Engineering Principles

Value vs. Entity Objects, Information Hiding

Readings: Eckel, Vol. 1
Ch. 5 Hiding the Implementation

```
class student {
public:
    student (const student&)=
        delete; //C++ 11
    student& operator=(const student&)=
        delete;
private:
    student (const student &); //C++ 98
```

U Waterloo CS247 (Spring 2015) — p.1/12

Entity vs. Value Objects

Entity Object

- computer embodiment of real-world entity
- each object has a distinct identity
- object with the same attribute values are NOT equal

Entity vs. Value Objects

Entity Object

- computer embodiment of real-world entity
- each object has a distinct identity
- object with the same attribute values are NOT equal

Entity ADT Examples

- **physical objects:** airplane, runway, taxiway, ...
- **people:** passenger, booking agent, ...
- **records:** customer information, boarding pass, flight schedule, ...
- **transactions:** reservations, cancellations, receipts, ...

Entity vs. Value Objects

Entity Object

- computer embodiment of real-world entity
- each object has a distinct identity
- object with the same attribute values are NOT equal

Value Object

- simply represents a value of an ADT
- objects with the same attribute values are considered to be identical

Entity ADT Examples

- **physical objects:** airplane, runway, taxiway, ...
- **people:** passenger, booking agent, ...
- **records:** customer information, boarding pass, flight schedule, ...
- **transactions:** reservations, cancellations, receipts, ...

Entity vs. Value Objects

Entity Object

- computer embodiment of real-world entity
- each object has a distinct identity
- object with the same attribute values are NOT equal

Entity ADT Examples

- **physical objects:** airplane, runway, taxiway, ...
- **people:** passenger, booking agent, ...
- **records:** customer information, boarding pass, flight schedule, ...
- **transactions:** reservations, cancellations, receipts, ...

Value Object

- simply represents a value of an ADT
- objects with the same attribute values are considered to be identical

Value ADT Examples

- **mathematical types:** rational numbers, polynomials, matrices, ...
- **measurements:** size, distance, weight, mass, energy, duration, ...
- **other quantities:** money
- **other properties:** colour, location, date, time, ...
- **restricted value sets:** names, addresses, postal codes, number ranges, ...

Design of Entity ADTs

An operation on an entity object should reflect a real-world event:

- copying an entity does is not meaningful; program no longer reflects reality; operations on copies are uncoordinated and can be lost (when copies disappear)
 - prohibit copy constructor
 - prohibit assignment
 - prohibit type conversions
 - avoid equality
 - clone operation may be useful
- computations on entities are not meaningful
 - think twice before overloading operators except `new` and `delete`
 - `operator<` is useful if overloaded to apply to entity's name or unique id
- entities referred by pointers (a consequence of the no-copy rule)

Design of Value-based ADTs

Equality is important in value types

- equality and other comparison operators
- copy constructor
- assignment operator

Computations involving values may make sense

- consider overloading arithmetic operators

Virtual functions and inheritance are uncommon

Mutable Objects

Mutable Value-based ADTs (e.g., Date) are problematic when they can be referenced from two variables

```
Person myPerson ( "David O'Leary", new Date(1, "May", 1990) );
// name, DOB
cout << myPerson.DOB() << endl;

Date myDate = myPerson.DOB();
myDate.monthIs( myDate.month() + 1 );
cout << myPerson.DOB() << endl;
```

Mutable vs. Immutable Objects

Entity Objects are ***mutable***

- their objects can change value via mutators, other functions

Value-based Objects are usually ***immutable***

- their objects cannot change value
 - instead, variables of the ADT are assigned a different object
-
- no mutators
 - member functions cannot be overridden (non virtual)
 - copy/assignment operations are deep copies
 - all data members are private
 - all data members are of primitive or immutable types, else
 - make a copy of any (mutable) attribute parameter value
 - make a copy of any output (mutable) attribute return value

Singleton Design Pattern

Singleton design pattern - ensures that exactly one object of our ADT exists

```
class Egg {  
    static Egg e;           // singleton instance  
    int i;                 // data member  
    Egg(int ii) : i(ii) {} // private constructor  
public:  
    static Egg* instance() { return &e; }  
    int val() const { return i; }  
    Egg(const Egg&) = delete;          // prevent copy  
    Egg& operator= (const Egg&) = delete; // prevent assign  
};  
  
Egg Egg::e(42);           // initialization of singleton
```

Exposed Implementation

```
class Rational {  
public:  
    Rational (int numer = 0, int denom = 1);  
    int numerator() const;  
    int denominator() const;  
private:  
    int numerator_;  
    int denominator_;  
};
```

PImpl Idiom

Encapsulate the data representation in a nested private structure (which can be in a separate file).

```
class Rational {
public:
    Rational (int numer = 0, int denom = 1);
    int numerator() const;
    int denominator() const;
private:
    struct Impl;
    Impl* rat_;
public:
    ~Rational();
    Rational ( const Rational& );
    Rational& operator= ( const Rational& );
};
```