Fundamentals of Cryptography Homework 2

周书予
2000013060@stu.pku.edu.cn

September 27, 2022

Problem 1

We construct PRG G' like this: on input x of length n,

1. G' first finds the largest m such that $m \leqslant n$ and $m \in \mathcal{I}$. If no such m exists, G' just simply outputs 0^{n+1} (an arbitrary string of length $n+1$).
2. Then it truncates x to m bits and stretches the input seed into length $n+1$ by repetitively replacing the last m bits (say x') with $G(x')$.

According to the second property of polynomial-time-enumerable set, $n = \text{poly}(m)$, which means any negligible function of m is also negligible of n. Thus the stretching procedure preserves pseudorandomness, which can be formally described as, for all PPT distinguisher D, there is a negligible function $\varepsilon(m) = \text{negl}(m)$, such that

$$\left|\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^m}[D(\mathsf{STRETCH}(s)) = 1] - \Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n+1}}[D(r) = 1]\right| \leqslant \varepsilon(m)$$

Notice that

$$\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^m}[D(\mathsf{STRETCH}(s)) = 1] = \Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}[D(G'(s)) = 1]$$

thus,

$$\left|\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}[D(G'(s)) = 1] - \Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n+1}}[D(r) = 1]\right| \leqslant \varepsilon(m) = \varepsilon'(n)$$

which finish the prove that G' is a PRG of stretch $\ell(n) = n + 1$.

Problem 2

Assume $|G(s)| = |G_0(s)| = |G_1(s)| = \ell(|s|)$.

Part A

G' is a PRG of stretch $\ell(n/2)$.

For any fixed PPT distinguisher D, define $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varepsilon(n) = \left|\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}[D(G'(s)) = 1] - \Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}[D(r) = 1]\right|$$

By the definition of G', we know that

$$\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}[D(G'(s)) = 1] = \Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}[D(G(s)) = 1]$$

thus

$$\varepsilon(n) = \left|\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}[D(G(s)) = 1] - \Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}[D(r) = 1]\right| \leqslant \varepsilon'(n/2)$$

where ε' is a negligible function since G is assumed to be a secure PRG. $\varepsilon(n) \leqslant \varepsilon'(n/2)$ means ε is also negligible, thus G' is a PRG.

Part B

G' may not be a PRG.

Let H be a PRG of stretch $\ell(n)$, and let $G(s) = s_1 \| H(s_2, \cdots, s_n)$. Obviously G is a PRG whose first bit of input and output are always the same.

When G' is constructed based on G, G' always outputs 0 as its first bit, which can be apparently distinguished from the uniform distribution over $\{0,1\}^{\ell(n+1)}$.

Part C

G' may not be a PRG.

Let G be a PRG who ignores its last bit of input, and consider a PPT distinguisher D who examines whether the two halves of the output of G' are the same.

With probability $1/2$, the last bit of input s is 0, which indicates the output of $G(s)$ and $G(s+1)$ are totally the same. Thus,

$$\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}[D(G'(s)) = 1] \geqslant 1/2$$

meanwhile,

$$\Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{2\ell(n)}}[D(r) = 1] = 2^{-\ell(n)}$$

G' is not a PRG in this case.

Part D

G' is a PRG of stretch $\ell(n/2)$.

We will use a *hybird argument* to show this conclusion.

For any PPT distinguisher D of G', consider two distinguishers D_0 and D_1, corresponding to G_0 and G_1, respectively:

- D_0 takes input r_0 of length $\ell(n/2)$, randomly samples $r_1 \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}$, and then outputs $D(r_0 \oplus r_1)$.
- D_1 takes input r_1 of length $\ell(n/2)$, randomly samples $s_0 \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{n/2}$, and then outputs $D(G_0(s_0) \oplus r_1)$.

Since G_0 and G_1 are both PRGs, there is two negligible functions $\varepsilon_0(n), \varepsilon_1(n)$ such that

$$\left|\Pr_{s_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}[D_0(G_0(s_0)) = 1] - \Pr_{r_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}[D_0(r_0) = 1]\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_0(n)$$
$$\left|\Pr_{s_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}[D_1(G_1(s_1)) = 1] - \Pr_{r_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}[D_1(r_1) = 1]\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_1(n)$$

and notice that

$$\Pr_{s_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}[D_1(G_1(s_1))=1]=\Pr_{\substack{s_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}\\ s_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}}[D(G_0(s_0)\oplus G_1(s_1))=1]$$

$$\Pr_{s_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}[D_0(G_0(s_0))=1]=\Pr_{\substack{s_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}\\ r_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}}[D(G_0(s_0)\oplus r_1)=1]$$

$$\Pr_{r_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}[D_1(r_1)=1]=\Pr_{\substack{s_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}\\ r_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}}[D(G_0(s_0)\oplus r_1)=1]$$

$$\Pr_{r_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}[D_0(r_0)=1]=\Pr_{\substack{r_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}\\ r_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}}[D(r_0\oplus r_1)=1]$$

which indicates that

$$\left|\Pr_{\substack{s_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}\\ s_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}}[D(G_0(s_0)\oplus G_1(s_1))=1]-\Pr_{\substack{r_0\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}\\ r_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n/2)}}}[D(r_0\oplus r_1)=1]\right|\leqslant\varepsilon_0(n)+\varepsilon_1(n)$$

The choice of distinguisher D is arbitrary, which means $G'(s)=G_0(s_0)\|G_1(s_1)$ is a PRG.

Part E

G' may not be a PRG.

By using the similar argument in **Part B**, we let G_0 be a PRG who has its first bit of output always equal to its first bit of input, and let G_1 be just the opposite, i.e. has its first bit of output always differ from its first bit of input.

We can find that $G'(s)=G_{s_1}(s)$ always outputs 0 as its first bit, which can be easily distinguished.

Part F

G' is a PRG of stretch $\ell(n-1)+1$.

For any PPT distinguisher D of G', consider two distinguishers D_0 and D_1, corresponding to G_0 and G_1, respectively:

- D_0 takes input r of length $\ell(n-1)$ and outputs $D(0\|r)$.
- D_1 takes input r of length $\ell(n-1)$ and outputs $D(1\|r)$.

Since G_0 and G_1 are both PRGs, there is two negligible functions $\varepsilon_0(n),\varepsilon_1(n)$ such that

$$\left|\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n-1}}[D_0(G_0(s))=1]-\Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n-1)}}[D_0(r)=1]\right|\leqslant\varepsilon_0(n)$$

$$\left|\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n-1}}[D_1(G_1(s))=1]-\Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n-1)}}[D_1(r)=1]\right|\leqslant\varepsilon_1(n)$$

notice that

$$\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n}}[D(G'(s))=1]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n-1}}[D_0(G_0(s))=1]+\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n-1}}[D_1(G_1(s))=1]\right)$$

$$\Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n-1)+1}}[D(r)=1]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n-1)}}[D_0(r)=1]+\Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n-1)}}[D_1(r)=1]\right)$$

thus

$$\left|\Pr_{s\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}[D(G'(s))=1]-\Pr_{r\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell(n-1)+1}}[D(r)=1]\right|\leqslant\frac{\varepsilon_0(n)+\varepsilon_1(n)}{2}$$

which finish the proof that G' is a PRG.

Problem 5

Part A

f' is an OWF.

FSOC we assume PPT adversary $\mathcal{A}'$ breaks f' as OWF,which means that

$$\Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}\left[\mathcal{A}'(f(x)\|f(f(x)))\to x' : f(x)\|f(f(x))=f(x')\|f(f(x'))\right]\geqslant\frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$$

then we can construct adversary $\mathcal{A}$ which breaks f as OWF: on input y, call $\mathcal{A}'$ with input $y\|f(y)$, and output whatever $\mathcal{A}'$ outputs. It's clear that $\mathcal{A}$ runs in poly-time, and

$$\Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}\left[\mathcal{A}(f(x))\to x' : f(x)=f(x')\right]\geqslant\frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$$

which indicates that f is not an OWF. A contradiction.

Part B

f' may not be an OWF.

Assume that there is a length-perserving OWF h, we construct f as $f(x_1\|x_2)=0^{n/2}h(x_1)$ where $|x_1|=|x_2|=n/2$. First we prove briefly that f is also a length-perserving OWF.

FSOC PPT adversary $\mathcal{A}$ breaks f as OWF, which on input $0^{n/2}\|h(x_1)$ outputs $x_1'\|x_2'$ such that $0^{n/2}\|h(x_1')=0^{n/2}\|h(x_1)$, with probability at least $\frac{1}{\text{poly(n)}}$. Then an adversary for h can be built, which simply concatenate $0^{n/2}$ before its input and then call $\mathcal{A}$, and outputs the first half of $\mathcal{A}$'s output.

By the construction of f', $f'(x_1\|x_2)=x_1\|(x_2\oplus h(x_1))$. An adversary $\mathcal{A}$, with $\mathcal{A}(y_1\|y_2)=y_1\|(y_2\oplus h(y_1))$, runs in poly-time and breaks f as OWF.

Part C

f' may not be an OWF.

Assume h is a length-perserving OWF, we construct f as

$$f(x_1\|x_2)=\begin{cases}x_1\|h(x_2), & x_1\text{ starts with }0\\ h(x_1)\|x_2, & x_1\text{ starts with }1\end{cases}$$

First we prove that f is also an OWF: with adversary $\mathcal{A}'$ which breaks f, one can construct $\mathcal{A}$, on input y it calls $\mathcal{A}'$ with input $0^n\|y$ and outputs the second half of the output of $\mathcal{A}'$. Then A breaks h as OWF, an contradiction.

Depending on the first bit of input, the output of $f(x_1\|x_2)$ must be in the form of either $x_1\|h(x_2)\|h(\overline{x_1})\|\overline{x_2}$ or $h(x_1)\|x_2\|\overline{x_1}\|h(\overline{x_2})$, so an adversary can be constructed, which on input $y_1\|y_2\|y_3\|y_4$, simply tries $y_1\|\overline{y_4}$ and $\overline{y_3}\|y_2$ as answers and outputs the correct one (if there is). So f' here is not a OWF.

Part D

f' is an OWF.

If f' is not an OWF, a.k.a. there exists adversary $\mathcal{A}'$ such that

$$\Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}[\mathcal{A}'(f(G(x))) \to x' : f(G(x')) = f(G(x))] \geqslant \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$$

We construct a PPT distinguisher $\mathcal{D}$ that breaks G as PRG using $\mathcal{A}'$: on input r, it outputs $\mathbb{1}[f(r) = f(G(\mathcal{A}'(f(r))))]$. We use the notation U_n to indicate the uniform distribution over $\{0,1\}^n$ in the following statement.

- When r is sampled from $G(U_n)$, by the assumption above $\mathcal{D}$ outputs 1 with probability at least $\frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$.
- When r is sampled from U_{n+1}, recall that f is an OWF, so by definition, for the adversary $G\circ\mathcal{A}'$, we have

  $$\Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n+1}}[G\circ\mathcal{A}'(f(y)) \to y' : f(y') = f(y)] < \varepsilon(n)$$

  which means that $\mathcal{D}$ outputs 1 with probability less than $\varepsilon(n)$.

  Thus $\mathcal{D}$ breaks G as a PRG. An contradiction.

Part E

f' may not be an OWF.

Let f be the OWF mentioned in **Part B** ($f(x_1\|x_2) = 0^{n/2}\|h(x_2)$) and G be the PRG in **Problem 2 Part A** ($G(s) = G'(s_1,\cdots,s_{n/2})$).

Now $f\circ G \equiv f(G'(0^{n/2}))$ is constant, and is obviously not OWF.

Part F

f' is an OWF.

FSOC assume that adversary $\mathcal{A}$ breaks f' as OWF, which is, for some polynomial $p(n)$,

$$\Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^n}[\mathcal{A}(f(x\|0^{\log n})) \to x' : f(x'\|0^{\log n}) = f(x\|0^{\log n})] \geqslant \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

We can construct an adversary $\mathcal{A}'$ which, on input x of length $n + \log n$, outputs $A(x)$, with the last $\log n$ bits replaced with 0s. We assert that $\mathcal{A}'$ breaks f as OWF.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n+\log n}}[\mathcal{A}'(f(x)) \to x' : f(x') = f(x)] \\
\geqslant\ &\Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n+\log n}}[\mathcal{A}'(f(x)) \to x' : f(x') = f(x) \wedge \text{ last } \log n \text{ bits of } x \text{ are all 0s}] \\
=\ &\frac{1}{2^{\log n}} \cdot \Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n}}[\mathcal{A}'(f(x\|0^{\log n})) \to x' : f(x') = f(x\|0^{\log n})] \\
=\ &\frac{1}{2^{\log n}} \cdot \Pr_{x\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n}}[\mathcal{A}(f(x\|0^{\log n})) \to x' : f(x'\|0^{\log n}) = f(x\|0^{\log n})] \\
\geqslant\ &\frac{1}{np(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

$np(n)$ is also a polynomial, so $\mathcal{A}$ breaks f as OWF, which causes a contradiction.

Part G

f' may not be an OWF.

Suppose that we have a length-perserving OWF g, we can construct f as follows:

$$f(x_1\|x_2) = \begin{cases} x_1\|0^{n/2}, & x_2 = 0^{n/2} \\ g(x_1)\|0^{n/2-1}1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that f is an OWF and f', which removes the last $\log n$ bits of f's output, is not an OWF.

FSOC assume $\mathcal{A}$ breaks f as OWF, which means

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)} &\leqslant \Pr_{x_1,x_2\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}\left[\mathcal{A}(f(x_1\|x_2)) \to x_1'\|x_2' : f(x_1'\|x_2') = f(x_1\|x_2)\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \cdot \Pr_{x_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2}}\left[\mathcal{A}(x_1\|0^{n/2}) \to x_1'\|x_2' : f(x_1'\|x_2') = x_1\|0^{n/2}\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{2^{n/2}-1}{2^{n/2}} \cdot \Pr_{x_1\leftarrow\{0,1\}^{n/2},x_2\neq 0^{n/2}}\left[\mathcal{A}(g(x_1)\|0^{n/2-1}1) \to x_1'\|x_2' : f(x_1'\|x_2') = g(x_1)\|0^{n/2-1}1\right] \\
&\leqslant \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} + 1 \cdot \Pr_{x_1,x_2}\left[\mathcal{A}(g(x_1)\|0^{n/2-1}1) \to x_1'\|x_2' : g(x_1') = g(x_1)\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} + 1 \cdot \Pr_{x_1}\left[\mathcal{A}'(g(x_1)) \to x_1' : g(x_1') = g(x_1)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

then $\mathcal{A}'$ breaks g as OWF which shows an contradiction. So f here is an OWF.

As for f', consider adversary $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(x) = x\|0^{\log n}$ for any input x of length $n-\log n$. In this case, for all $x \in \{0,1\}^n$, $\mathcal{A}(f'(x))$ ends with at least $n/2$ 0s, and the only thing f' then does is truncation, remaining the first $n - \log n$ bits of $\mathcal{A}(f'(x))$ unchanged.

Thus, $f'(\mathcal{A}(f'(x))) = f'(x)$ holds for all $x \in \{0,1\}^n$, which easily breaks f' as OWF.

Problem 6

Part A

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Pr[f(\hat{x}_1) = f(x_1), \cdots, f(\hat{x}_m) = f(x_m)] \\
=\ &\Pr[f(\hat{x}_1) = f(x_1), \cdots, f(\hat{x}_m) = f(x_m) \wedge \text{ some } x_i \text{ is bad}] \\
&+ \Pr[f(\hat{x}_1) = f(x_1), \cdots, f(\hat{x}_m) = f(x_m) \wedge \text{ all } x_i \text{ are good}] \\
\leqslant\ &\sum_{j=1}^{m} \Pr[f(\hat{x}_1) = f(x_1), \cdots, f(\hat{x}_m) = f(x_m) \wedge x_j \text{ is bad}] + (\Pr[x \text{ is good}])^m \\
\leqslant\ &\sum_{j=1}^{m} \Pr[f(\hat{x}_1) = f(x_1), \cdots, f(\hat{x}_m) = f(x_m) | x_j \text{ is bad}] + (\Pr[x \text{ is good}])^m \\
\leqslant\ &\sum_{j=1}^{m} m \cdot \Pr[\mathcal{A}(f(x_j)) \to x' : f(x') = f(x_j) | x_j \text{ is bad}] + (\Pr[x \text{ is good}])^m \\
<\ &\frac{m^2}{r(n)} + (\Pr[x \text{ is good}])^m
\end{aligned}
$$

Part B

Let $m(n) = 2nq(n)$ and $r(n) = 2m(n)^2 p(n)$.

From **Part A** we know that

$$
\frac{1}{p(n)} < \frac{m(n)^2}{r(n)} + (\Pr[x \text{ is good}])^m \Rightarrow (\Pr[x \text{ is good}])^m > \frac{1}{2p(n)}
$$

which implies $\Pr[x \text{ is good}] \geqslant 1 - \frac{1}{2q(n)}$ since otherwise we have

$$
\frac{1}{2p(n)} < (\Pr[x \text{ is good}])^m < \left(1 - \frac{1}{2q(n)}\right)^{2nq(n)} \approx \exp(-n)
$$

RHS of this inequility is negligible while LHS is non-negligible, which shows a contradiction.

Thus, $\Pr[x \text{ is good}] \geqslant 1 - \frac{1}{2q(n)}$ and $\Pr[x \text{ is bad}] \leqslant \frac{1}{2q(n)}$.

Part C

We show that $\mathcal{A}_{\text{repeat}}$ breaks f as weak-OWF.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{repeat}}(f(x)) \to x' : f(x') \neq f(x)] \\
= \; & \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{repeat}}(f(x)) \to x' : f(x') \neq f(x) \wedge x \text{ is good}] \\
& + \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{repeat}}(f(x)) \to x' : f(x') \neq f(x) \wedge x \text{ is bad}] \\
\leqslant \; & \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{repeat}}(f(x)) \to x' : f(x') \neq f(x) | x \text{ is good}] + \Pr[x \text{ is bad}] \\
\leqslant \; & \left(1 - \frac{1}{r(n)}\right)^{n \cdot r(n)} + \frac{1}{2q(n)} \\
\approx \; & \exp(-n) + \frac{1}{2q(n)} \\
< \; & \frac{1}{q(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which violates the definition ($q(n)$-weakness) of f.

So we have already finished the proof that f' is an OWF.