

Thm $x \neq y \Rightarrow y \leq x$

(Proof): $x \neq y \Leftrightarrow \left(\underset{(*1)}{\exists x_L \in X_L: y \leq x_L} \right) \vee \left(\underset{(*2)}{\exists y_R \in Y_R: y_R \leq x} \right)$

If $(*1)$ holds, $y \leq x_L \leq x$

If $(*2)$ holds, $y \leq y_R \leq x$

Cor $x < y \Leftrightarrow y \neq x$

(Proof): $x < y \Leftrightarrow x \leq y \wedge y \neq x$, by def. of "<"

Since " $y \neq x \Rightarrow x \leq y$ ", then $x < y \Leftrightarrow y \neq x$

Thm $x < y \wedge y < z \Rightarrow x < z$

(Proof): Suppose not, i.e.: $x < y \wedge y < z \wedge \cancel{z < x} \quad (+)$

$\Leftrightarrow y \neq x \wedge \underset{(*1)}{z \neq y} \wedge \underset{(*2)}{z \leq x}$

By the transitive law, $y \neq x \Rightarrow y \neq s \vee s \neq x$
~~for~~ for all $s \in S$

$\Rightarrow y \neq z \vee z \neq x$
 \downarrow Contradict $(*1)$
 \searrow Contradict $(*2)$

Therefore, $(+)$ is wrong. \Rightarrow the thm holds \square

Thm For any $x = \{ \underline{X}_L \mid \bar{X}_R \} \in \mathcal{S}$, we can remove any member of \bar{X}_L except the largest number without changing the value of x . Similarly, we can remove any member of \underline{X}_R except the smallest without changing the value of x .

(Proof): Assume that $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\}$ and that $x_1 < x_2$.

W.T. S.: $\{x_1, x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} = \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\}$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} \leq \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} \quad \wedge \quad \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} \leq \{x_1, x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \neg \exists a \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} : \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} \leq a & \wedge \\ \text{(ii)} & \neg \exists b \in \bar{X}_R : b \leq \{x_1, x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} & \wedge \\ \text{(iii)} & \neg \exists a' \in \{x_2, \dots\} : \{x_1, x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} \leq a' & \wedge \\ \text{(iv)} & \neg \exists b' \in \bar{X}_R : b' \leq \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} \end{cases}$$

For (i), $\forall a \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, $a \neq x_1 \quad \forall a \in \{x_2, \dots\}$

$$\Rightarrow a < x_2 \in \{x_2, \dots\} = \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\}_L \quad \forall a \in \{x_2, \dots\} = \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\}_L$$

$$\Rightarrow a < x_2 < \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} \quad \forall a < \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\}$$

$$\Rightarrow a < \{x_2, \dots \mid \bar{X}_R\} \Rightarrow \text{(i) holds}$$

For (ii) (iii) (iv), they follow immediately from the

previous thm: $A < \{A \mid B\} < B$

□

Cor If A has a largest member a , then $\{A|B\} = \{a|B\}$

Similarly, if B has a smallest member b , then $\{A|B\} = \{A|b\}$

Thm If $x = \{\bar{X}_L | \bar{X}_R\} \in S$ is greater than all members of A & less than all members of B , then $x = \{\bar{X}_L, A | \bar{X}_R, B\}$

In other words, $A < x < B \Rightarrow x = \{\bar{X}_L, A | \bar{X}_R, B\}$

(Proof): W.T.S: $x \leq \{\bar{X}_L, A | \bar{X}_R, B\} \wedge \{\bar{X}_L, A | \bar{X}_R, B\} \leq x$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \neg \exists x_L \in \bar{X}_L : \{\bar{X}_L, A | \bar{X}_R, B\} \leq x_L & \wedge \\ \text{(ii)} & \neg \exists \beta \in \bar{X}_R \cup B : \beta \leq x & \wedge \\ \text{(iii)} & \neg \exists \alpha \in \bar{X}_L \cup A : x \leq \alpha & \wedge \\ \text{(iv)} & \neg \exists x_R \in \bar{X}_R : x_R \leq \{\bar{X}_L, A | \bar{X}_R, B\}. \end{cases}$$

(i) & (iv) holds following from the previous thm: $A < \{A|B\} < B$

(ii) & (iii) holds following from the previous thm: $A < \{A|B\} < B$

and the def. of A, B in the statement (hypothesis) of

the thm □

Thm If, after day n , the following different surreal numbers exist: $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$,

then all new numbers born on day $n+1$ can be represented

by: $\{ | x_1 \}, \{ x_1 | x_2 \}, \{ x_2 | x_3 \}, \dots, \{ x_{n-1} | x_n \}, \{ x_n | \}$

(Proof): W.T.S.:

- ① $\{ |x_1 \}$ and $\{x_n | \}$ give values not known on day m .
- ② $\{x_i | x_j \}$ gives a value not known on day m if $i+1=j$.
- ③ $\{x_i | x_j \}$ gives a value already known on day m if $i+1 \neq j$.

①:

$\{ |x_1 \} < x_1$, where x_1 was the smallest surreal number born on day m , so $\{ |x_1 \}$ must represent a new value. Similarly, for $\{x_n | \}$.

②:

$x_i < \{x_i | x_{i+1} \} < x_{i+1}$. Since at day m , we knew no ~~surreal~~ number ~~is~~ between x_i and x_{i+1} , then we see $\{x_i | x_{i+1} \}$ gives a new value.

③: Suppose that $i+1 < j$

Claim: $\{x_i | x_j \} = x_k$ where $\begin{matrix} x_k \text{ satisfies:} \\ x_k \text{ birthday} = \min \{x_{i+1} \text{ birthday}, \dots, x_{j-1} \text{ birthday}\} \end{matrix}$

Proof of claim:

The parents of x_k must either be less than x_{i+1} or greater

than x_{j-1} . Hence, $(\bar{x}_k)_L \leq x_i \wedge x_j \leq (\bar{x}_k)_R$

$$\Rightarrow (\bar{x}_k)_L \leq x_i < \{x_i | x_j\} < x_j \leq (\bar{x}_k)_R$$

$$\Rightarrow \{x_i | x_j\} = \{x_i, (\bar{x}_k)_L \mid x_j, (\bar{x}_k)_R\} \quad (*)$$

$$x_i < \{(\bar{X}_k)_L \mid (\bar{X}_k)_R\} < x_j \Rightarrow x_k = \{(\bar{X}_k)_L \mid (\bar{X}_k)_R\} = \{x_i, (\bar{X}_k)_L \mid x_j, (\bar{X}_k)_R\}$$

$$\xRightarrow{(*1)} x_k = \{x_i \mid x_j\}$$

Therefore, the claim holds. \square

Coro If x is the oldest surreal number between a and b ,
then $\{a \mid b\} = x$

(Proof): Directly follow from the ~~the~~ claim above \square