

Physics 539 - Problem Set 1 - Due September 21

(1) Recall that in class, in two-dimensional Minkowski space M with coordinates (t, x) and metric $ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2$, we considered the sequence of curves γ_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, defined by

$$x = \sin \pi n t$$

If we consider only the portion of these curves with $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we can regard them as non-causal curves from $q = (0, 0)$ to $p = (1, 0)$. As such, they have no limit.

On the other hand, let us consider the same curves over the larger range $0 \leq t < \infty$. As such, we can consider them as inextendible curves in M that all start at the point q . We pick a complete Euclidean metric on M (such as $ds_E^2 = dt^2 + dx^2$) and parametrize the γ_n by Euclidean arclength. In class we proved that a sequence of inextendible curves from a fixed initial point (whether causal or not), parametrized in this way by arclength in a complete Euclidean metric, always has a convergent subsequence.

In this exercise, you will resolve the tension between these different statements.

(a) Viewing the γ_n as inextendible curves with $0 \leq t < \infty$, find a convergent subsequence and describe what it converges to.

(b) Is your answer in (a) a curve from q to p (which might contradict the statement that the γ_n , viewed as curves from q to p , do not have a limit)?

(2) Recall that \mathcal{C}_q^p is the space of causal curves from a point q to a point p in its future. Suppose that M is globally hyperbolic with Cauchy hypersurface S . In class, we showed that \mathcal{C}_q^p is compact if q, p are both to the past or both to the future of S . Show that this is also true if q is to the past of S and p is to its future.

(3) Consider the metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^d g_{ij}(t, \vec{x}) dx^i dx^j.$$

(The significance of this metric will be discussed in class.) View g_{ij} as a $d \times d$ matrix ($d = D - 1$, where D is the spacetime dimension) and write g^{-1} for the inverse matrix, and \dot{g} for $\partial g / \partial t$. Verify that

$$R_{tt} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \text{Tr } g^{-1} \dot{g} - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr } (g^{-1} \dot{g})^2.$$

As we will discuss in class, this formula is the key step in deriving Raychaudhuri's equation.