

- local + global methods
- partial methods:
 - power iteration
 - inverse iteration
 - ~~Rayleigh quotient~~ iteration.

Recall: 2 stages of eigenvalue computation

- (i) Reduce A to upper-Hessenberg form: Householder reduction
- (ii) Apply an iterative method to converge to ~~eigenvalue~~ eigenvalues (eigenvectors).

Two main classes of iterative methods for eval problems.

partial methods: compute select eigenvalue/eigenvector pairs

- power methods
- inverse iteration
- Rayleigh quotient iteration

global methods: compute entire spectrum

- QR iteration to Schur form \Rightarrow eigenvalues, not eigenvectors
- variants of QR (for using shifts)

Global methods generally compute Schur factorizations revealing eigenvalues.

(approx)
The $\hat{\lambda}$ eigenvalues can then be used to start partial methods revealing eigenvectors.

Power methods

PM-1

$$A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

x_0 = initial choice ("guess") for eigenvector

$$\text{idea: } x_{k+1} = Ax_k$$

"Often" $x_k \rightarrow$ an eigenvector of A corr to an eigenvalue of A of largest magnitude.

Here's why: Suppose A is diagonalizable with $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ LI eigenvectors where $\|v_i\|=1$ and $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$, $i=1, \dots, n$; and $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$.

$$\text{Expand } x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i, \text{ supposing } c_1 \neq 0.$$

Obtain:

$$x_1 = Ax_0 = A \sum c_i v_i = \sum c_i \lambda_i v_i$$

$$x_2 = Ax_1 = A \sum c_i \lambda_i v_i = \sum c_i \lambda_i^2 v_i$$

\vdots

$$x_k = Ax_{k-1} = A \sum c_i \lambda_i^{k-1} v_i = \sum c_i \lambda_i^k v_i$$

$$= \lambda_1^k \left[c_1 v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k v_i \right]$$

\uparrow
 $\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$

$\Rightarrow x_k$ approaches a multiple of v_1 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Power method:Given x_0 with $\|x_0\| = 1$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$w = Ax_{k-1} \quad \& \text{ approx } A$$

$$x_k = w / \|w\|_2 \quad \& \text{ normalize}$$

$$\lambda_k = x_k^T A x_k \quad \& \text{ Rayleigh quotient}$$

end

Convergence propertiesBy induction, one can show $x_k = \frac{A^k x_0}{\|A^k x_0\|_2}$ (HWS).Assuming A is diagonalizable: $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i$

$$\text{Then } A^k x_0 = c_1 \lambda_1^k \left(v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{c_i}{c_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k v_i \right), \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

$$\text{Then and } x_k = \frac{c_1 \lambda_1^k (v_1 + e_k)}{\|c_1 \lambda_1^k (v_1 + e_k)\|}, \quad e_k = \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{c_i}{c_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k v_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \text{ incr.}$$

Thm: Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ diagonalizable with $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$.Then assuming $c_1 \neq 0 \exists$ a constant $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\|\tilde{x}_k - v_1\|_2 \leq C \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^k, \quad k \geq 1, \quad \tilde{x}_k = \frac{x_k \|A^k x_0\|}{\|c_1 \lambda_1^k\|} = \cancel{x_k} v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{c_i}{c_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k v_i$$

pf: Assuming $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are a basis of eigenvectors, $\|v_i\|_2 = 1$, $i=1, \dots, n$,

$$\|\tilde{x}_k - v_1\| = \left\| \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{c_i}{c_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k v_i \right\|$$

$$\stackrel{\text{HWS}}{\leq} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \left(\frac{c_i}{c_1} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^{2k} \right)^{1/2} \leq \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^k \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \left(\frac{c_i}{c_1} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}}_C = C \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^k$$

 $\Rightarrow C$ depends on the initial vector x_0 .

Inverse iteration

If the eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all nonzero,
the eigenvalues of A^{-1} are $1/\lambda_1, \dots, 1/\lambda_n$, ~~then~~ with the same corr. eigenvectors.

$$Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow \lambda^{-1}x = A^{-1}x.$$

~~then~~

If $\lambda_i - \mu_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$Ax = \lambda x \Leftrightarrow (A - \mu I)x = (\lambda - \mu)x \quad \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu}x = (A - \mu I)^{-1}x$$

If μ_i , a good approx to λ_i is known, the Power method
applied to $(A - \mu I)^{-1}$ can rapidly converge.

Algorithm: inverse iteration (with shift σ)

Choose σ , x_0 with $\|x_0\| = 1$

For $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{Solve } (A - \sigma I)w = x_{k-1} \quad (\text{C.S. by LU})$$

$$x_k = w / \|w\|$$

$$\lambda_k = x_k^T A x_k \quad (\text{Rayleigh quot.})$$

end.

Note: If $Ax = \lambda x$, x_k is an approx to x .

The least-squares sol'n $\hat{\lambda}$ to $Ax = \hat{\lambda}x$ is

$$x_k^T x_k \hat{\lambda} = x_k^T A x_k$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{x_k^T A x_k}{x_k^T x_k} \quad \left. \vphantom{\frac{x_k^T A x_k}{x_k^T x_k}} \right\} \text{Rayleigh quotient.}$$