

1 Question 1

To be considered a norm, a norm has to satisfy the three conditions given on pg. 17. So, by proving - for example - the expression in question does not satisfy the triangle inequality we will have shown that it does not satisfy all 3 properties and therefore can not be considered a norm. Given the expression

$$f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (1)$$

for p on the interval $0 < p < 1$, we will show that the converse of the triangle inequality, namely $\|x + y\| > \|x\| + \|y\|$, is true, and therefore the expression does not constitute a norm.

We start by assuming there exists some $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and that $p = \frac{a}{b}$ such that the interval we are concerned with is still $0 < \frac{a}{b} < 1$. We further assume that $x = e_i$ and $y = e_j$ - a particular column of the identity matrix - such that $i \neq j$. So the left-hand side of the triangle inequality will yield

$$\|x + y\| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j + y_j|^{\frac{a}{b}} \right)^{\frac{b}{a}} \quad (2)$$

Because x and y are orthogonal based on the above assumption this can be simplified such that

$$\|x + y\| = \left(1^{\frac{a}{b}} + 1^{\frac{a}{b}} \right)^{\frac{b}{a}} = 2^{\frac{b}{a}} \quad (3)$$

where we note that the ratio $\frac{b}{a} > 1$, and consequently the lefthand side of the triangle inequality for this example is > 2 .

The right hand side reduces to the following expression

$$\|x\| + \|y\| = (1) + (1) = 2 \quad (4)$$

Therefore, we have proven that on the interval $0 < p < 1$ the triangle inequality does not hold because $\|x + y\| > \|x\| + \|y\|$ and the original expression does not satisfy all the necessary requirements to be considered a norm.

2 Question 2 - Book 3.1

We seek to prove that $\|x\|_W = \|Wx\|$ is a vector norm for any general $W \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$. To be considered a norm, all 3 conditions must be satisfied.

We will show that $\|Wx\| \geq 0$ and $\|Wx\| = 0$ only if $x = 0$ as the first condition. By assuming that W is nonsingular, we note that this means the columns of W are linearly independent. By definition, this means that no linear combination of the columns of W can equal 0 except $x = 0$. In other words, nullspace of W only has the zero vector in it. Furthermore, we know $\|Wx\| \geq 0$ must be true and is a trivial statement that arises from the absolute value in the definition of a norm.

We next show that $\|\alpha Wx\| = |\alpha| \|Wx\|$ as the second condition. We note

$$\|\alpha Wx\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha w_i x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(|\alpha|^p \sum_{i=1}^m |w_i x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |\alpha| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |w_i x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (5)$$

where we note the the final term $\left(\sum_{i=1}^m |w_i x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|Wx\|$. Therefore we have shown that $\|\alpha Wx\| = |\alpha| \|Wx\|$.

The final condition we will show is the triangle inequality is satisfied, namely $||W(x+y)|| \leq ||Wx|| + ||Wy||$. We let $x = e_i$ and $y = e_j$ where $i = j$ (ie the same column of the identity matrix). We note $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^m$. So, using the definition of a norm, the expression of the left hand side of the inequality looks like

$$||Wx + Wy|| = \left((w_i)^p + (w_i)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (2w_i^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = w_i 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (6)$$

where w_i, w_j are columns i, j of W , respectively. The right hand side of the expression looks like

$$||Wx|| + ||Wy|| = w_i + w_i = 2w_i \quad (7)$$

So putting this information together, we see that

$$2^{\frac{1}{p}} w_i \leq 2w_i \quad (8)$$

For the interval $1 \leq p < \infty$, we note that the equality holds for $p = 1$ but that $2^{\frac{1}{p}} < 2$ for $p > 1$. Thus the triangle identity holds, and the above expression represents a vector norm for a general W .

3 Question 3 - Book 3.2

We wish to show that the maximum eigenvalue of matrix A is less than or equal to the norm of A , ie $\max(\lambda) \leq ||A||$. We know the right hand side of this expression can be defined as

$$||A|| = \max_{y \neq 0} \frac{||Ay||}{||y||} = \max_{||y||=1} ||Ay|| \geq ||Ax|| \quad (9)$$

We know the eigenvalue equation $Ax = \lambda x$. Thus, plugging this into the expression on right hand side of the above expression describing a vector norm on $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ yields

$$||Ax|| = ||\lambda x|| = |\lambda| ||x|| \rightarrow |\lambda| = \frac{||Ax||}{||x||} \quad (10)$$

Supposing $||x|| = 1$, taking the \max on both sides, and inserting this back into our starting expression yields

$$|\lambda_{\max}| \leq ||A|| \quad (11)$$

where λ_{\max} is the largest eigenvalue of A .

To see why the strict inequality is true, we can use a simple matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

which has a maximal eigenvalue of 0, but the operator norm is 1.

4 Question 4 - Book 3.3

4.1 part a

We note that from the definitions

$$||x||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i| = x_{\max} \quad (13)$$

where x_{max} is the maximum element of the vector x and

$$\|x\|_2 = \left(\sum_i^m |x_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \cdots + |x_{max}|^2 + \cdots + |x_m|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (14)$$

so clearly we can rearrange the inequality such that

$$x_{max}^2 \leq (|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \cdots + |x_{max}|^2 + \cdots + |x_m|^2) \rightarrow 0 \leq (|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \cdots + |x_m|^2) \quad (15)$$

This expression is always - and by extension $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2$ - true as a result of the absolute value and subsequent squaring. An example of a vector where a strict equality is held would be if $x = \alpha e_i$ where e_i is a column of the identity matrix and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

4.2 part b

We know the following expression must be true

$$\left(\sum_i^m |x_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_i^m |x_{max}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(m |x_{max}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{m} |x_{max}| \quad (16)$$

Ergo from the definitions of the respective vector norms in the part a, we see that $\|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|x\|_\infty$. An example of a vector that achieves strict equality is

$$x = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

where every entry of the vector is a 1 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

4.3 part c

We know that the general form of the matrix p norm is

$$\|A\|_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} \quad (18)$$

From parts a and b, we have shown that $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|x\|_\infty$. So starting with the definition of the matrix infinity norm we see

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} \leq \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|x\|_2} \quad (19)$$

Taking the scalar out, and inserting the definition for the matrix 2 norm yields $\|A\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_2$

4.4 part d

We know that the general form of the matrix p norm is

$$\|A\|_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} \quad (20)$$

From parts a and b, we have shown that $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m}\|x\|_\infty$. So starting with the definition of the matrix 2 norm we see

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \leq \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{m}\|Ax\|_\infty}{\|x\|_2} \leq \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{m}\|Ax\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} \quad (21)$$

We can pull the scalar \sqrt{m} out of the expression and insert the definition for the infinity norm on the right hand side, showing that

$$\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m}\|A\|_\infty \quad (22)$$

5 Question 5

From the expansion of $Ax = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_j$ (for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$) and the induced matrix norm, $\|A\|_1 = \|Ax\|_1$, we define the expression

$$\|Ax\|_1 = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_j \right\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \|a_j\|_1 \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|a_j\|_1 \rightarrow \|A\|_1 \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|a_j\|_1 \quad (23)$$

where we have brought $\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| = \|x\|_1$ to the left handside. Therefore, we have shown in the above that $\|A\|_1 \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|a_j\|_1$

The next step is to define any vector $u \neq 0$ such that $\|A\|_1 \geq \frac{\|Au\|_1}{\|u\|_1}$. So we wish to construct u such that $\|Au\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|a_j\|_1$. The u that does this is the particular column of the identity matrix e_j where the index j corresponds to the maximum column of A that has a maximal sum: $\|a_j\|_1$. This shows a tight bound and proves that

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_i^m |a_{ij}| \quad (24)$$

aka that the 1-norm of a matrix is equivalent to the maximal column sum.