Mathematical Foundation of Finite Element Methods

Chapter 4: Finite Elements for 2D second order parabolic equation

Xiaoming He
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Missouri University of Science & Technology

Outline

- Weak formulation
- 2 Semi-discretization
- Full discretization
- 4 More Discussion

Outline

- Weak formulation
- 2 Semi-discretization
- Full discretization
- 4 More Discussion

Target problem

• Consider the 2D second order parabolic equation

$$u_t - \nabla \cdot (c \nabla u) = f$$
, in $\Omega \times [0, T]$, $u = g$, on $\partial \Omega \times [0, T]$, $u = u_0$, at $t = 0$.

where Ω is a 2D domain, [0, T] is the time interval, f(x, y, t) and c(x, y, t) are given functions on $\Omega \times [0, T]$, g(x, y, t) is a given function on $\partial \Omega \times [0, T]$, $u_0(x, y)$ is given function on Ω at t = 0, and u(x, y, t) is the unknown function.

• First, multiply a function v(x, y) on both sides of the original equation,

$$\begin{aligned} &u_t - \nabla \cdot (c \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \Rightarrow & u_t v - \nabla \cdot (c \nabla u) v = f v & \text{in } \Omega \\ \Rightarrow & \int_{\Omega} u_t v \, dx dy - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (c \nabla u) v \, dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

• u(x, y, t) is called a trail function and v(x, y) is called a test function.

• Second, using Green's formula (divergence theory, integration by parts in multi-dimension)

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (c \nabla u) v \, dxdy = \int_{\partial \Omega} (c \nabla u \cdot \vec{n}) v \, ds - \int_{\Omega} c \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dxdy,$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t v \, dx dy + \int_{\Omega} c \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx dy - \int_{\partial \Omega} (c \nabla u \cdot \vec{n}) v \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx dy.$$

- Since the solution on the domain boundary $\partial\Omega$ are given by u(x,y,t)=g(x,y,t), then we can choose the test function v(x,y) such that v=0 on $\partial\Omega$.
- Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t v \, dxdy + \int_{\Omega} c \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dxdy = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dxdy.$$

- What spaces should u and v belong to? Sobolev spaces! (See Chapter 3)
- Define

$$H^1(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) = \{v(t, \cdot), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, \cdot) \in H^1(\Omega), \forall t \in [0, T]\}.$$

• Weak formulation: find $u \in H^1(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t v \ dx dy + \int_{\Omega} c \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \ dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f v \ dx dy.$$

for any $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

- Let $a(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} c \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx dy$ and $(f,v) = \int_{\Omega} f v dx dy$.
- Weak formulation: find $u \in H^1(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ such that

$$(u_t, v) + a(u, v) = (f, v)$$

for any $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Outline

- Weak formulation
- 2 Semi-discretization
- Full discretization
- 4 More Discussion

Galerkin formulation

- Assume there is a finite dimensional subspace $U_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$.
- Then the Galerkin formulation is to find $u_h \in H^1(0, T; U_h)$ such that

$$(u_{h_t}, v_h) + a(u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\Omega} u_{h_t} v_h \, dx dy + \int_{\Omega} c \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla v_h \, dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f v_h \, dx dy$$

for any $v_h \in U_h$.

- Basic idea of Galerkin formulation: use finite dimensional space to approximate infinite dimensional space.
- Here $U_h = span\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_b}$ is chosen to be a finite element space where $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_b}$ are the global finite element basis functions.

Recall the following definitions from Chapter 2:

- N: number of mesh elements.
- N_m : number of mesh nodes.
- E_n ($n = 1, \dots, N$): mesh elements.
- Z_k ($k = 1, \dots, N_m$): mesh nodes.
- N_I : number of local mesh nodes in a mesh element.
- P:information matrix consisting of the coordinates of all mesh nodes.
- T: information matrix consisting of the global node indices of the mesh nodes of all the mesh elements.

- We only consider the nodal basis functions (Lagrange type) in this course.
- N_{lb} : number of local finite element nodes (=number of local finite element basis functions) in a mesh element.
- N_b : number of the finite element nodes (= the number of unknowns = the total number of the finite element basis functions).
- X_j $(j = 1, \dots, N_b)$: finite element nodes.
- P_b: information matrix consisting of the coordinates of all finite element nodes.
- T_b: information matrix consisting of the global node indices of the finite element nodes of all the mesh elements.

• Since $u_h \in H^1(0, T; U_h)$ and $U_h = span\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_b}$, then

$$u_h(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} u_j(t)\phi_j(x, y)$$

for some coefficients $u_j(t)$ $(j = 1, \dots, N_b)$.

• If we can set up a linear algebraic system for

$$u_j(t) (j = 1, \cdots, N_b)$$

and solve it, then we can obtain the finite element solution u_h .

• Therefore, we choose $v_h = \phi_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, N_b)$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_j(t) \phi_j \right)_t \phi_i \, dx dy + \int_{\Omega} c \nabla \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_j(t) \phi_j \right) \cdot \nabla \phi_i \, dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} f \phi_i \, dx dy, \, i = 1, \cdots, N_b$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u'_j(t) \left[\int_{\Omega} \phi_j \phi_i \, dx dy \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_j(t) \left[\int_{\Omega} c \nabla \phi_j \cdot \nabla \phi_i \, dx dy \right]$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} f \phi_i \, dx dy, \, i = 1, \cdots, N_b.$$

• Here the basis functions ϕ_i $(i = 1, \dots, N_b)$ depend on (x, y) only. But the given functions c and f may depend on t and (x, y).

Matrix formulation

Define the stiffness matrix

$$A(t) = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N_b} = \left[\int_{\Omega} c \nabla \phi_j \cdot \nabla \phi_i \, dx dy \right]_{i,i=1}^{N_b}.$$

Define the mass matrix

$$M = [m_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N_b} = \left[\int_{\Omega} \phi_j \phi_i \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_b}.$$

Define the load vector

$$\vec{b}(t) = [b_i]_{i=1}^{N_b} = \left[\int_{\Omega} f \phi_i \ dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}.$$

Define the unknown vector

$$\vec{X}(t) = \left[u_j(t)\right]_{j=1}^{N_b}.$$

• Then we obtain the system

$$M\vec{X}'(t) + A(t)\vec{X}(t) = \vec{b}(t).$$

Matrix formulation

- At a given time t, the assembly of the stiffness matrix A(t) and the load vector $\vec{b}(t)$ is the same as that of the A and b in Chapter 3. But the given time t needs to be incorporated into the code.
- In some simulation, the functions c in the given parabolic equation may not depend on t. In this case, the stiffness matrix A(t) is actually independent of t, hence can be generated before the time marching in exactly the same way as the A in Chapter 3.
- Similarly, the functions f in the given parabolic equation may not depend on t in some simulation. In this case, the load vector $\vec{b}(t)$ is actually independent of t, hence can be generated before the time marching in exactly the same way as the \vec{b} in Chapter 3.

Assembly of the stiffness matrix

Recall Algorithm I-3 from Chapter 3:

- Initialize the matrix: $A = sparse(N_b, N_b)$;
- Compute the integrals and assemble them into A:

```
FOR n=1,\cdots,N:

FOR \alpha=1,\cdots,N_{lb}:

FOR \beta=1,\cdots,N_{lb}:

Compute r=\int_{E_n} c \frac{\partial^{r+s}\psi_{n\alpha}}{\partial x^r\partial y^s} \frac{\partial^{p+q}\psi_{n\beta}}{\partial x^p\partial y^q} \ dxdy;

Add r to A(T_b(\beta,n),T_b(\alpha,n)).

END

END

END
```

Assembly of the stiffness matrix

- First, we call Algorithm I-3 with r = p = 1, s = q = 0, and c(x, y, t) to obtain A1(t).
- Second, we call Algorithm I-3 with r = p = 0, s = q = 1, and c(x, y, t) to obtain A2(t).
- Then the stiffness matrix A(t) = A1(t) + A2(t).
- If c does not depend on t, then this part is exactly the same as the assembly of the stiffness matrix with Algorithm I-3 in Chapter 3.

Assembly of the mass matrix

• Any observation for the mass matrix

$$M = [m_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N_b} = \left[\int_{\Omega} \phi_j \phi_i \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_b} ?$$

• Following the same procedure for A from

$$\int_{\Omega} c \nabla \phi_j \cdot \nabla \phi_i \, dx dy$$

to

$$\int_{F} c \nabla \psi_{n\alpha} \cdot \nabla \psi_{n\beta} \, dx dy$$

in Chapter 3, we can also get

$$\int_{E_{-}} \psi_{n\alpha} \psi_{n\beta} \ dxdy \ \left(\text{from } \int_{\Omega} \phi_{j} \phi_{i} \ dxdy \right).$$

• Just use Algorithm I-3 with r = s = p = q = 0 and c = 1!

Assembly of the load vector

Recall Algorithm II-3 from Chapter 3:

- Initialize the matrix: $b = sparse(N_b, 1)$;
- Compute the integrals and assemble them into b:

```
FOR n=1,\cdots,N:
FOR \ \beta=1,\cdots,N_{lb}:
Compute \ r=\int_{E_n}f\frac{\partial^{p+q}\psi_{n\beta}}{\partial x^p\partial y^q}\ dxdy;
b(T_b(\beta,n),1)=b(T_b(\beta,n),1)+r;
END
END
```

Assembly of the load vector

- We call Algorithm II-3 with p = q = 0 and f(x, y, t) to obtain b(t).
- If f does not depend on t, then this part is exactly the same as the assembly of the load vector with Algorithm II-3 in Chapter 3.

Outline

- Weak formulation
- 2 Semi-discretization
- Full discretization
- 4 More Discussion

Observation

Any observation for the system

$$M\vec{X}'(t) + A(t)\vec{X}(t) = \vec{b}(t)$$
?

- System of ordinary differential equations (ODEs)!
- How to solve it?
- Finite difference (FD) method!

Weak formulation Semi-discretization **Full discretization** More Discussion

Review of finite difference method for a first order ODE

Basic idea:

Consider the IVP

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) (a \le t \le b), y(a) = g_a$$

given the initial value g_a .

- Assume that we have a uniform partition of [a, b] into J
 elements with mesh size h.
- The mesh nodes are $t_j = a + jh$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$.
- Assume y_j is the numerical solution of $y(t_j)$.
- Then the initial condition implies: $y_0 = y(a) = g_a$.
- A straightforward discretization of f(t, y(t)) at t_j is $f(t_j, y_j)$.
- How about the discretization of y'(t) at t_i ?
- Taylor's expansion!



Full discretization More Discussion Weak formulation Semi-discretization

Review of finite difference method for a first order ODE

Theorem

Suppose that f(x) is a $(n+1)^{th}$ differentiable function on [a,b]and $x_0 \in [a, b]$. Then for any $x \in [a, b]$, we have the following Taylor's expansion of f(x) at x_0 :

$$f(x) = P_n(x) + R_n(x),$$

where

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x_0) (x - x_0)^k$$

$$= f(x_0) + f'(x_0) (x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2!} f''(x_0) (x - x_0)^2 + \cdots$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(x_0) (x - x_0)^n,$$

Theorem (Continued)

$$R_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(\xi) (x - x_0)^{n+1}$$
for some $\xi \in [x_0, x]$ (Lagrange form of the remainder),

or

$$R_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{x_0}^x f^{(n+1)}(s)(x-s)^n ds$$

for some $\xi \in [x_0, x]$ (Integral form of the remainder).

• Pick n = 3 in the Taylor's expansion:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)(x - x_0)^2 + \frac{1}{6}f'''(x_0)(x - x_0)^3 + \frac{1}{24}f^{(4)}(\xi)(x - x_0)^4.$$

• Replace x by x + h and x_0 by x:

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + f'(x)h + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)h^2 + \frac{1}{6}f'''(x)h^3 + O(h^4).$$

• We first consider the discretization of the first derivative f'(x). Then

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - \frac{1}{2}f''(x)h - \frac{1}{6}f'''(x)h^2 - O(h^3)$$
$$= \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} + O(h).$$

- Assume that we have a uniform partition of [a, b] into J
 elements with mesh size h.
- The mesh nodes are $t_j=a+jh,\ j=0,1,\cdots,J.$
- Then

$$f'(t_{j}) = \frac{f(t_{j} + h) - f(t_{j})}{h} + O(h)$$

$$= \frac{f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_{j})}{h} + O(h)$$

$$\approx \frac{f_{j+1} - f_{j}}{h}, j = 0, 1, \dots, J - 1.$$

Here f_j is the approximation of $f(t_j)$. This is called forward difference.

• Recall the Taylor's expansion with n = 3:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)(x - x_0)^2 + \frac{1}{6}f'''(x_0)(x - x_0)^3 + \frac{1}{24}f^{(4)}(\xi)(x - x_0)^4.$$

• Replace x by x - h and x_0 by x:

$$f(x-h) = f(x) - f'(x)h + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)h^2 - \frac{1}{6}f'''(x)h^3 + O(h^4).$$

Then

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(x - h)}{h} + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)h - \frac{1}{6}f'''(x)h^2 + O(h^3)$$
$$= \frac{f(x) - f(x - h)}{h} + O(h).$$

- Consider the same partition as above.
- Then

$$f'(t_j) = \frac{f(t_j) - f(t_j - h)}{h} + O(h)$$

= $\frac{f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})}{h} + O(h)$
 $\approx \frac{f_j - f_{j-1}}{h}, j = 1, \dots, J.$

This is called backward difference.

- Observation: Both of the forward and backward difference schemes are of first order.
- Is it possible to construct a higher order difference scheme for $f'(x_j)$? Yes!
- Recall

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + f'(x)h + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)h^2 + \frac{1}{6}f'''(x)h^3 + O(h^4),$$

$$f(x-h) = f(x) - f'(x)h + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)h^2 - \frac{1}{6}f'''(x)h^3 + O(h^4).$$

• Subtract the second equation from the first one:

$$f(x+h)-f(x-h)=2f'(x)h+\frac{1}{3}f'''(x)h^3+O(h^4).$$

Then

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} - \frac{1}{12}f'''(x)h^2 + O(h^3)$$
$$= \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} + O(h^2).$$

This is second order!

Hence

$$f'(t_{j}) = \frac{f(t_{j} + h) - f(t_{j} - h)}{2h} + O(h^{2})$$

$$= \frac{f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_{j-1})}{2h} + O(h^{2})$$

$$\approx \frac{f_{j+1} - f_{j-1}}{2h}, j = 1, \dots, J - 1.$$

This is called centered difference.



Hence we obtain the following difference schemes:

- Forward difference for $y'(t_j) \approx \frac{y_{j+1} y_j}{h}$.
- Backward difference for $y'(t_j) \approx \frac{y_j y_{j-1}}{h}$.
- Centered difference for $y'(t_j) \approx \frac{y_{j+1} y_{j-1}}{2h}$.

Forward Euler scheme:

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t))$$

$$\Rightarrow y'(t_j) = f(t_j, y(t_j)), \ j = 0, \dots, J - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{y(t_{j+1}) - y(t_j)}{h} + O(h) = f(t_j, y(t_j)), \ j = 0, \dots, J - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{y_{j+1} - y_j}{h} = f(t_j, y_j), \ j = 0, \dots, J - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow y_{j+1} = y_j + h \cdot f(t_j, y_j), \ j = 0, \dots, J - 1,$$

$$y_0 = y(a) = g_a.$$

Backward Euler scheme:

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t))$$

$$\Rightarrow y'(t_j) = f(t_j, y(t_j)), \ j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{y(t_j) - y(t_{j-1})}{h} + O(h) = f(t_j, y(t_j)), \ j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{y_j - y_{j-1}}{h} = f(t_j, y_j), \ j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{y_{j+1} - y_j}{h} = f(t_{j+1}, y_{j+1}), \ j = 0, \dots, J - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow y_{j+1} = y_j + h \cdot f(t_{j+1}, y_{j+1}), \ j = 0, \dots, J - 1,$$

$$y_0 = y(a).$$

 Trapezoidal scheme(Crank-Nicolson scheme if it's applied to PDE):

$$\frac{y_{j+1}-y_j}{h}=\frac{f(t_{j+1},y_{j+1})+f(t_j,y_j)}{2};$$

Two-step backward differentiation:

$$\frac{3y_{j+1}-4y_j+y_{j-1}}{2h}=f(t_{j+1},y_{j+1});$$

• Three-step backward differentiation:

$$\frac{11y_{j+1}-18y_j+9y_{j-1}-2y_{j-2}}{6h}=f(t_{j+1},y_{j+1}).$$

Review of finite difference method for a first order ODE

• Actually, the forward Euler scheme, backward Euler scheme, and Crank-Nicolson scheme can be rewritten into a more general θ —scheme:

$$\frac{y_{j+1}-y_j}{h}=\theta f(t_{j+1},y_{j+1})+(1-\theta)f(t_j,y_j);$$

- $\theta = 0$: forward Euler scheme;
- $\theta = 1$: backward Euler scheme;
- $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$: Crank-Nicolson scheme.

Now let's consider the system of ODEs:

$$M\vec{X}'(t) + A(t)\vec{X}(t) = \vec{b}(t).$$

- Assume that we have a uniform partition of [0, T] into M elements with mesh size $\triangle t$.
- The mesh nodes are $t_m = m \triangle t, \ m = 0, 1, \cdots, M$.
- Assume \vec{X}^m is the numerical solution of $\vec{X}(t_m)$.
- Then the corresponding θ -scheme is

$$M\frac{\ddot{X}^{m+1} - \ddot{X}^{m}}{\triangle t} + \theta A(t_{m+1})\ddot{X}^{m+1} + (1-\theta)A(t_{m})\ddot{X}^{m}$$

$$= \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1-\theta)\vec{b}(t_{m}), \ m = 0, \dots, M-1.$$

Then

$$M \frac{\vec{X}^{m+1} - \vec{X}^m}{\Delta t} + \theta A(t_{m+1}) \vec{X}^{m+1} + (1 - \theta) A(t_m) \vec{X}^m$$

$$= \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1 - \theta) \vec{b}(t_m)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\frac{M}{\Delta t} + \theta A(t_{m+1}) \right] \vec{X}^{m+1}$$

$$= \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1 - \theta) \vec{b}(t_m) + \frac{M}{\Delta t} \vec{X}^m - (1 - \theta) A(t_m) \vec{X}^m.$$

Iteration scheme 1:

$$\tilde{A}^{m+1}\vec{X}^{m+1} = \tilde{\vec{b}}^{m+1}, \ m = 0, \cdots, M-1,$$

where

$$\tilde{A}^{m+1} = \frac{M}{\Delta t} + \theta A(t_{m+1}),
\tilde{\vec{b}}^{m+1} = \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1 - \theta) \vec{b}(t_m) + \frac{M}{\Delta t} \vec{X}^m - (1 - \theta) A(t_m) \vec{X}^m.$$

Temporal discretization for the ODE system

Algorithm A:

- Generate the mesh information matrices P and T.
- Assemble the mass matrix M by using Algorithm I-3.
- Generate the initial vector \vec{X}^0 .
- Iterate in time:

```
FOR m=0,\cdots,M-1: t_{m+1}=(m+1)\triangle t; t_m=m\triangle t; Assemble the stiffness matrices A(t_{m+1}) and A(t_m) by using Algorithm I-3 at t_{m+1} and t_m; Assemble the load vectors \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) and \vec{b}(t_m) by using Algorithm II-3 at t_{m+1} and t_m; Deal with boundary conditions Solve iteration scheme 1 for \vec{X}^{m+1}.
```

Temporal discretization for the ODE system

Remark

The matrix A, vector \vec{b} and boundary conditions could be independent of the time. In this case, they can be handled before the loop for the time iteration starts, which can dramatically save the computational cost.

If the function c is independent of the time t, then the stiffness matrix A
is independent of time t. Then

$$M\frac{\vec{X}^{m+1} - \vec{X}^m}{\Delta t} + \theta A \vec{X}^{m+1} + (1-\theta)A \vec{X}^m = \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1-\theta)\vec{b}(t_m)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{M}{\Delta t} + \theta A\right) \vec{X}^{m+1} = \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1-\theta)\vec{b}(t_m) + \frac{M}{\Delta t} \vec{X}^m - (1-\theta)A \vec{X}^m.$$

Iteration scheme 2:

$$\tilde{A}\vec{X}^{m+1} = \tilde{\vec{b}}^{m+1}, \ m = 0, \cdots, M-1,$$

where

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{M}{\Delta t} + \theta A,$$

$$\tilde{\vec{b}}^{m+1} = \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1-\theta)\vec{b}(t_m) + \left[\frac{M}{\wedge t} - (1-\theta)A\right] \vec{X}^m.$$

Temporal discretization for the ODE system

Algorithm *B*:

- Generate the mesh information matrices P and T.
- Assemble the mass matrix M by using Algorithm I-3.
- Assemble the stiffness matrix A by using Algorithm I-3.
- Generate the initial vector \vec{X}^0 .
- Iterate in time:

```
FOR m=0,\cdots,M-1: t_{m+1}=(m+1)\triangle t; t_m=m\triangle t; Assemble the load vectors \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) and \vec{b}(t_m) by using Algorithm II-3 at t_{m+1} and t_m; Deal with boundary conditions Solve iteration scheme 2 for \vec{X}^{m+1}.
```

- Define $\vec{X}^{m+\theta} = \theta \vec{X}^{m+1} + (1-\theta) \vec{X}^m$.
- Then $\vec{X}^{m+1} \vec{X}^m = \frac{\vec{X}^{m+\theta} \vec{X}^m}{\theta}$ if $\theta \neq 0$.
- Hence

$$M\frac{\ddot{X}^{m+1} - \dot{X}^{m}}{\Delta t} + \theta A \vec{X}^{m+1} + (1-\theta)A \vec{X}^{m} = \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1-\theta)\vec{b}(t_{m})$$

$$\Rightarrow M\frac{\ddot{X}^{m+1} - \ddot{X}^{m}}{\Delta t} + A\left[\theta \vec{X}^{m+1} + (1-\theta)\vec{X}^{m}\right] = \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1-\theta)\vec{b}(t_{m})$$

$$\Rightarrow M\frac{\ddot{X}^{m+\theta} - \ddot{X}^{m}}{\theta \Delta t} + A \vec{X}^{m+\theta} = \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1-\theta)\vec{b}(t_{m})$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{M}{\theta \Delta t} + A\right) \vec{X}^{m+\theta} = \theta \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1-\theta)\vec{b}(t_{m}) + \frac{M \vec{X}^{m}}{\theta \Delta t}.$$

• Iteration scheme 3:

$$ilde{m{\mathcal{A}}}^{ heta}ec{m{\mathcal{X}}}^{m{m}+ heta}= ilde{m{ar{b}}}^{m{m}+ heta}, \ \ m{m}=0,\cdots,M-1,$$

where

$$egin{aligned} ilde{A}^{ heta} &= rac{M}{ heta \triangle t} + A, \ ilde{ar{b}}^{m+ heta} &= heta ar{b}(t_{m+1}) + (1- heta) ar{b}(t_m) + rac{M}{ heta \triangle t} ec{X}^m. \end{aligned}$$

• Since $\vec{X}^{m+\theta} = \theta \vec{X}^{m+1} + (1-\theta) \vec{X}^m$, then

$$\vec{X}^{m+1} = \frac{\vec{X}^{m+\theta} - \vec{X}^m}{\theta} + \vec{X}^m.$$

Temporal discretization for the ODE system

Algorithm C:

- Generate the mesh information matrices P and T.
- Assemble the mass matrix M by using Algorithm I-3.
- Assemble the stiffness matrix A by using Algorithm I-3.
- Generate the initial vector \vec{X}^0 .
- Iterate in time:

```
FOR m=0,\cdots,M-1: t_{m+1}=(m+1)\triangle t; t_m=m\triangle t; Assemble the load vectors \vec{b}(t_{m+1}) and \vec{b}(t_m) by using Algorithm II-3 at t_{m+1} and t_m; Deal with boundary conditions Solve iteration scheme 3 for \vec{X}^{m+1}.
```

• Example 1: Use the finite element method to solve the following equation for u(x, y, t) on the domain $\Omega = [0, 2] \times [0, 1]$:

$$u_t - \nabla \cdot (2\nabla u) = -3e^{x+y+t}, \text{ on } \Omega \times [0,1],$$

$$u(x,y,0) = e^{x+y}, \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$$

$$u = e^{y+t} \text{ on } x = 0,$$

$$u = e^{2+y+t} \text{ on } x = 2,$$

$$u = e^{x+t} \text{ on } y = 0,$$

$$u = e^{x+1+t} \text{ on } y = 1.$$

• The analytic solution of this problem is $u = e^{x+y+t}$, which can be used to compute the error of the numerical solution.

- Let's code for the linear and quadratic finite element method of the 2D second order parabolic equation together!
- We will use Algorithm B.
- Open your Matlab!

h	$ u-u_h _{\infty}$	$ u - u_h _0$	$ u-u_h _1$
1/4	3.7039×10^{-1}	1.4423×10^{-1}	2.5748×10^{0}
1/8	9.8704×10^{-2}	3.5921×10^{-2}	1.2845×10^{0}
1/16	2.5483×10^{-2}	8.9715×10^{-3}	6.4187×10^{-1}
1/32	6.4745×10^{-3}	2.2423×10^{-3}	3.2089×10^{-1}
1/64	1.6318×10^{-3}	5.6055×10^{-4}	1.6044×10^{-1}

Table : Case 1: The numerical errors at t=1 for linear finite element and Crank-Nicolson scheme $\left(\theta=\frac{1}{2}\right)$ with $\Delta t=h$.

Any Observation?

- Second order convergence $O(h^2)$ in L^2/L^{∞} norm and first order convergence O(h) in H^1 semi-norm.
- The Crank-Nicolson scheme has second order accuracy for temporal discretization.
- The linear finite element has second order accuracy in L^2/L^{∞} norm and first order accuracy in H^1 semi-norm for spatial discretization.
- Hence the accuracy order is expected to be $O(\triangle t^2 + h^2)$ in L^2/L^{∞} norm and $O(\triangle t^2 + h)$ in H^1 norm, which match the above observation since $\triangle t = h$ in case 1.

h	$ u-u_h _{\infty}$	$ u - u_h _0$	$ u-u_h _1$
1/4	3.7039×10^{-1}	1.9449×10^{-1}	2.5875×10^{0}
1/8	9.8704×10^{-2}	5.0853×10^{-2}	1.2865×10^{0}
1/16	2.5483×10^{-2}	1.2871×10^{-2}	6.4214×10^{-1}
1/32	6.4745×10^{-3}	3.2279×10^{-3}	3.2092×10^{-1}
1/64	1.6318×10^{-3}	8.0763×10^{-4}	1.6044×10^{-1}

Table : Case 2: The numerical errors at t=1 for linear finite element and backward Euler scheme $(\theta=1)$ with $\Delta t=4h^2$.

Any Observation?

- Second order convergence $O(h^2)$ in L^2/L^{∞} norm and first order convergence O(h) in H^1 semi-norm.
- The backward Euler scheme has first order accuracy for temporal discretization.
- The linear finite element has second order accuracy in L^2/L^{∞} norm and first order accuracy in H^1 semi-norm for spatial discretization.
- Hence the accuracy order is expected to be $O(\triangle t + h^2)$ in L^2/L^∞ norm and $O(\triangle t + h)$ in H^1 norm, which match the above observation since $\triangle t = 4h^2$ in case 2.

h	$\triangle t$	$ u-u_h _{\infty}$	$\ u-u_h\ _0$	$ u-u_h _1$
1/4	1/8	6.1549×10^{-3}	2.2830×10^{-3}	8.3065×10^{-2}
1/8	1/23	8.1024×10^{-4}	2.8702×10^{-4}	2.0725×10^{-2}
1/16	1/64	1.0403×10^{-4}	3.6236×10^{-5}	5.1789×10^{-3}
1/32	1/181	1.3179×10^{-5}	4.5451×10^{-6}	1.2946×10^{-3}
1/64	1/512	1.6587×10^{-6}	5.6913×10^{-7}	3.2363×10^{-4}

Table : Case 3: The numerical errors at t=1 for quadratic finite element and Crank-Nicolson scheme $(\theta=\frac{1}{2})$ with $\Delta t^2\approx h^3$.

• Any Observation?

- Third order convergence $O(h^3)$ in L^2/L^∞ norm and second order convergence $O(h^2)$ in H^1 semi-norm.
- The Crank-Nicolson scheme has second order accuracy for temporal discretization.
- The quadratic finite element has third order accuracy in L^2/L^∞ norm and second order accuracy in H^1 semi-norm for spatial discretization.
- Hence the accuracy order is expected to be $O(\triangle t^2 + h^3)$ in L^2/L^{∞} norm and $O(\triangle t^2 + h^2)$ in H^1 norm, which match the above observation since $\triangle t^2 \approx h^3$ in case 3.

- Case 4: The numerical errors at t=1 for quadratic finite element and backward Euler scheme $(\theta=1)$ with $\triangle t=8h^3$.
- You will observe third order convergence $O(h^3)$ in L^2/L^∞ norm and second order convergence $O(h^2)$ in H^1 semi-norm.
- The backward Euler scheme has second order accuracy for temporal discretization.
- The quadratic finite element has third order accuracy in L^2/L^∞ norm and second order accuracy in H^1 semi-norm for spatial discretization.
- Hence the accuracy order is expected to be $O(\triangle t + h^3)$ in L^2/L^∞ norm and $O(\triangle t + h^2)$ in H^1 norm, which match the above observation since $\triangle t = 8h^3$ in case 4.

- However, you will also observe much more cost in time for this case too since $\triangle t = 8h^3$ is much smaller than that of the previous cases.
- When the mesh becomes finer and finer or the problem becomes 3D, the situation is even worse.
- This is why we need temporal discretization with higher order accuracy and efficient methods to solve linear systems.

Outline

- Weak formulation
- 2 Semi-discretization
- Full discretization
- 4 More Discussion

Efficient methods

- Forward Euler: cheap at each time iteration step, but conditionally stable, which means that $\triangle t$ must be smaller enough.
- Multi-step methods for temporal discretization: two-step backward differentiation, three-step backward differentiation, Runge-Kutta method......
- Efficient solvers for linear systems: multi-grid, PCG, GMRES.....

Boundary conditions

- The treatment of the Neumann/Robin boundary conditions is similar to that of Chapter 3.
- If the functions in the Neumann/Robin boundary conditions are independent of time, then the same subroutines from Chapter 3 can be used before the time iteration starts.
- If the functions in the Neumann/Robin boundary conditions depend on time, then the same algorithms as those in Chapter 3 can be used at each time iteration step. But the time needs to be specified in these algorithms.

Non-isotropic equation

Consider

$$u_t - \nabla \cdot (c \nabla u) = f \text{ in } \Omega,$$

 $\nabla u \cdot \vec{n} = p \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega,$
 $\nabla u \cdot \vec{n} + ru = q \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \subseteq \partial \Omega,$
 $u = g \text{ on } \partial \Omega / (\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2),$

where

$$c = \left(\begin{array}{cc} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{array}\right).$$

• The treatment of the non-isotropic equation is similar to that of Chapter 3.