cs224n 2019 Assignment 1

Zhou Xiaorui - jarvan000@gmail.com

March 20, 2019

Notice: The answer is done by myself, and I'm not a Stanford student.

(a) only the o'th position of \boldsymbol{y} is not zero, so the sum can be reduced to only one part:

$$-\sum_{w \in \text{Vocab}} y_w \log(\hat{y}_w) = -y_o \log(\hat{y}_o) = -\log(\hat{y}_o) \tag{1}$$

(b) since

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{J}_{\text{naive-softmax}}(\boldsymbol{v}_c, o, \, \boldsymbol{U}) &= -\log \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{w \in \text{Vocab}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_w^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \\ &= -\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c + \log \sum_{w \in \text{Vocab}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_w^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_c} &= -\boldsymbol{u}_o + \frac{\sum_{x \in \text{Vocab}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_x^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c) \boldsymbol{u}_x}{\sum_{w \in \text{Vocab}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_w^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \\ &= -\boldsymbol{u}_o + \sum_{x \in \text{Vocab}} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_x^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{w \in \text{Vocab}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_w^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \boldsymbol{u}_x \\ &= -\boldsymbol{u}_o + \sum_{x \in \text{Vocab}} \hat{y}_x \boldsymbol{u}_x \\ &= \boldsymbol{U}(\hat{y} - \boldsymbol{u}) \end{aligned}$$

According to the result, we need to compute the matrix multiplication over the whole vocabulary, which may contain millions of words, and that is timeconsuming and unnecessary.

(c) when $\boldsymbol{u}_w = \boldsymbol{u}_o$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_w} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_o} \\ &= -\boldsymbol{v}_c + \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{w \in \text{Vocab}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_w^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \boldsymbol{v}_c \\ &= -\boldsymbol{v}_c + \hat{y}_o \boldsymbol{v}_c \end{split}$$

when $\boldsymbol{u}_w \neq \boldsymbol{u}_o$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_w} &= \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_w^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{x \in \text{Vocab}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_x^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \boldsymbol{v}_c \\ &= \hat{y}_w \boldsymbol{v}_c \end{aligned}$$

so from above two equation, we can get

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{U}} = \boldsymbol{v}_c (\hat{y} - y)^{\top}$$

(d) very basic partial derivative computation.

$$\frac{d\sigma(\mathbf{x})}{dx} = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2}$$
$$= \sigma(\mathbf{x})(1-\sigma(\mathbf{x}))$$

(e) basic partial derivative computation, using chain rule.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_c} &= -\frac{\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)(1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c))\boldsymbol{u}_o}{\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)} - \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{(\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c))(1 - (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)))(-\boldsymbol{u}_k)}{\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \\ &= -(1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c))\boldsymbol{u}_o - \sum_{k=1}^K (1 - (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)))(-\boldsymbol{u}_k) \\ &= -(1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c))\boldsymbol{u}_o + \sum_{k=1}^K (1 - (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)))\boldsymbol{u}_k \end{split}$$

for u_o and u_w , we apply the same technique.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_o} &= -\frac{\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)(1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c))\boldsymbol{v}_c}{\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \\ &= -(1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c))\boldsymbol{v}_c \\ \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_k} &= -\frac{(\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c))(1 - (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)))(-\boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \\ &= (1 - (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)))(-\boldsymbol{v}_c) \end{split}$$

using Negative Sampling loss, we only need to compute K+1 parameter, which is much more efficient than if we need to compute over the whole vocabulary.

(f) just add the loss of every context word, we can get the answer.

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}_{\text{skip-gram}}(\boldsymbol{v}_c, w_{t-m}, ..., w_{t+m}, \boldsymbol{U})}{\partial \boldsymbol{U}} = \sum_{\substack{-m \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq 0}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{v}_c, w_{t+j}, \boldsymbol{U})}{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}_{\text{skip-gram}}(\boldsymbol{v}_c, w_{t-m}, ..., w_{t+m}, \boldsymbol{U})}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_c} &= \sum_{\substack{-m \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq 0}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{v}_c, w_{t+j}, \boldsymbol{U})}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_c} \\ \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{J}_{\text{skip-gram}}(\boldsymbol{v}_c, w_{t-m}, ..., w_{t+m}, \boldsymbol{U})}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_w} &= 0, \text{ for } w \neq c \end{split}$$

Useful reference: http://www.amendgit.com/post/cs224n/cs224n-assignment-1/