

# Homework 1

Wangqian Miao, UC Davis

April 30, 2018

## Instruction

- My python version is 3.6. I run the code on my laptop. Its information is CPU: i5-6200U, RAM size: 8GB.
- I run the code on spyder. So, there are some “#%” in my code, which means a cell (like Jupyter). I use the cells to debug and test my code.

## 1 Problem 1: Linear Regression

### 1.1

The gradient is as following.

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{2}{N}(\mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \mathbf{y})) + \lambda\boldsymbol{\omega} \quad (1)$$

After doing the normalization of the data, we can get the weight vector under different learning rate when the stop condition is  $\epsilon = 0.001$ .

Learning Rate	Time(s)	Iter	Result
$10^{-7}$	too long	–	Not Suggested
$10^{-6}$	too long	–	Not Suggested
$10^{-5}$	298	690073	Good
$10^{-4}$	29	68996	Good
$10^{-3}$	3	6898	Not Bad
$10^{-2}$	$\approx 0$	687	Rough

Table 1: Learning result of different learning rate on cpusmall.txt

In conclusion, I will choose  $\eta = 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}$ . The weight vector is in the Appendix.

### 1.2

The matrix form of MSE is that  $\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\omega}^* - \mathbf{y}\|^2/N$ , in which  $\boldsymbol{\omega}^*$  is the weight vector we learn.

In this part, the step size and error control are “ $\eta = 0.001, \epsilon = 0.001$ ”. After doing the cross validation, the MSE is  $2.593 \times 10^{-4}$ .

1.3

In this part, the step size and error control are “ $\eta = 0.001, \epsilon = 0.001$ ”. On the test dataset the MSE is  $6.244 \times 10^{-3}$ .

## 2 Problem 2: Logistic Regression

2.1

The gradien is as following.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(\mathbf{w}) &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{y_i \mathbf{x}_i}{1 + \exp(y_i \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_i)} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= -\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{k} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

In this expression,  $\mathbf{k}$  is a column vector and  $k_i = y_i / (1 + \exp(y_i \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_i))$ .

2.2

In this part. the accuracy I get is 93.125% under the parameters as “ $\eta = 0.01, \epsilon = 0.001$ ”.

## Appendix

$\eta = 10^{-3}$ : [[ 0.00101373] [ 0.00088652] [ 0.00039534] [ 0.00095895] [ 0.00088306] [ 0.00046597] [ 0.00014014] [ 0.00083379] [ 0.00069961] [ 0.00017081] [ 0.00047319] [ 0.00054504]]

$\eta = 10^{-4}$ : [[ 0.00017468] [ 0.00082189] [ 0.00097859] [ 0.00109373] [ 0.00033627] [ 0.00058696] [ 0.00052377] [ 0.00086618] [ 0.00021178] [ 0.00029312] [ 0.00052432] [ 0.00063001]]

$\eta = 10^{-5}$ : [[ 0.00050466] [ 0.00102257] [ 0.00111366] [ 0.00082273] [ 0.00041286] [ 0.00094829] [ 0.00098085] [ 0.00076782] [ 0.0009305 ] [ 0.00050751] [ 0.00020548] [ 0.0009205 ]]