The Method of Lagrange Multipliers

Zachary Yedidia

Introduction

Suppose we would like to minimize (or maximize) a function of n variables $x = (x_1, \dots x_n)$ subject to p constraints of the form

minimize
$$f(x)$$

subject to $g_1(x) = c_1$
 $g_2(x) = c_2$
 \dots
 $g_p(x) = c_p$

where f, g_1, \ldots, g_p are continuous and have continuous second derivatives. When these functions are linear, the problem is called a *linear program* and is solvable using algorithms such as the simplex algorithm (most commonly used) or interior point methods (for worst-case polynomial time). We wish to examine the case where these functions are nonlinear.

For example, consider the following problem where p = 1 and f is quadratic

minimize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2$$
 subject to
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1.$$

A first approach might be to differentiate f with respect to x_i and set the derivative to 0:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = 0, \ 1 \le i \le n.$$

This gives the optimum of the function but ignores the constraint, and leads to $x_i = 0$ which is incorrect (the constraint is violated). Using Lagrange's method, we can solve the problem by introducing p new variables called *Lagrange multipliers* and solve a larger system of equations.

Lagrange's method

In order to solve the optimization problem, we define a Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i (g_i(x) - c_i)$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$ are new variables that we introduce into the system called Lagrange multipliers. If \mathcal{L} is concave/convex, we can then find the solution x by solving the system of equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i} = 0, \ 1 \le i \le n$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_i} = 0, \ 1 \le i \le p.$$

This system has n + p equations and n + p unknowns. Fortunately this system is often not too complicated to solve.

Single-constraint example

Let us apply this to our example from before. We define our Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + \lambda (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - 1)$$

and then calculate its derivatives and set them to 0

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i} = 2x_i + \lambda = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 1 = 0.$$

Thus from the first equation, we find $x_i = -\frac{\lambda}{2}$. If we plug this into the second equation we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - 1 = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{\lambda}{2} = 1$$

$$-\frac{n\lambda}{2} = 1$$

$$\lambda = -\frac{2}{n}.$$

Now we plug this value for λ back into our equation for x_i , and it follows that $x_i = 1/n$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

Multiple-constraint example

Solving optimization problems with multiple constraints is the same method as with single constraints except we get a larger system of equations to solve.

Suppose we wish to solve the following minimization problem:

minimize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} x_i^2$$
 subject to
$$x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

$$x_3 - 2x_4 + x_5 = 6.$$

As with before, we set up our Lagrangian and find the derivatives

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} x_i^2 + \lambda_1(x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 - 1) + \lambda_2(x_3 - 2x_4 + x_5 - 6)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i^2 + \lambda_1 (x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 - 1) + \lambda_2 (x_3 - 2x_4 + x_5 - 6) \right) = 0$$

This tells us that $2x_1 + \lambda_1 = 0$, $2x_2 + 2\lambda_1 = 0$, $2x_3 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$, $2x_4 - 2\lambda_2 = 0$, and $2x_5 + \lambda_2 = 0$. We also know

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_1} = x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 - 1 = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_2} = x_3 - 2x_4 + x_5 - 6 = 0.$$

If we take the first three equations and plug them into the first constraint we get $2 + 6\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$. Taking the last three equations with the second constraint gives $12 + \lambda_1 + 6\lambda_2 = 0$. If we solve these two equations for λ_1 and λ_2 we get $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = -2$. This implies $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = x_5 = 1$, and $x_4 = -2$.

Notice that $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_i}$ will always just give back the constraints, so instead we can just plug into the constraints directly.

General strategy

The general strategy for solving an optimization problem with Lagrange's method is outlined below:

- Write the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i (g_i(x) c_i)$.
- Find $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x}$
- Solve these equations for x_i in terms of $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$.
- Plug these values for x_i into the p constraints
- Solve the system of p variables and p unknowns for λ_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$.
- Plug values for λ_i back into the equations for x_i that were written in terms of λ_i .

Maximizing entropy

Discrete example

Consider a random variable X with k discrete possible values $x_i, 1 \le i \le k$ and where the probability that the event x_i occurs is $P(X = x_i) = p_i$. Recall that the entropy H of such a random variable is defined as

$$H(X) = \langle -\ln p_i \rangle = -\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \ln p_i$$

Using the method of Lagrange multipliers we can find the probability distribution p_i that maximizes the entropy given some constraints.

Consider the following problem: given a half-bounded discrete random variable whose state space consists of the non-negative integers and with mean $\langle X \rangle = \mu$, find the probability distribution that maximizes the entropy of X.

We first formulate the problem as an optimization problem where we maximize the entropy while demanding that the probabilities sum to 1 and the mean is μ :

maximize
$$H(X)$$
 subject to
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i = 1$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i p_i = \mu.$$

Now we can write our Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i \ln p_i + \lambda_1 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i - 1 \right) + \lambda_2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i p_i - \mu \right)$$

We differentiate with respect to p_i and set the expression to 0, which gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p_i} = -\ln p_i - 1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 i = 0$$

$$\ln p_i = -1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 i$$

$$p_i = e^{-1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 i}.$$

Let us now plug this value back into the first constraint

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2 i} &= 1 \\ -\frac{e^{\lambda_1-1}}{e^{\lambda_2}-1} &= 1 \\ e^{\lambda_2} &= -e^{\lambda_1-1}+1. \end{split}$$

Now plug our value for p_i into the second constraint

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i e^{-1+\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 i} = \mu$$

$$\frac{e^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - 1}}{\left(e^{\lambda_2} - 1\right)^2} = \mu$$

$$e^{\lambda_1} = \mu e^{1-\lambda_2} \left(e^{\lambda_2} - 1\right)^2.$$

Plug in our value for e^{λ_2} and solve and we get

$$e^{\lambda_1} = \frac{e}{\mu + 1}.$$

We can now also solve for λ_2 by plugging this in

$$e^{\lambda_2} = -\frac{e}{\mu+1}e^{-1} + 1 = -\frac{1}{\mu+1} + 1.$$

We now take these values for λ_1 and λ_2 and plug into our expression for p_i

$$p_{i} = e^{-1+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}i}$$

$$p_{i} = e^{-1}e^{\lambda_{1}}(e^{\lambda_{2}})^{i}$$

$$p_{i} = e^{-1}\left(\frac{e}{\mu+1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mu+1}+1\right)^{i}$$

$$p_{i} = \left(\frac{1}{\mu+1}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{\mu+1}+1\right)^{i}$$

$$p_{i} = \left(\frac{1}{\mu+1}\right)\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1}\right)^{i}$$

$$p_{i} = \mu^{i}(\mu+1)^{-1-i}.$$

This is the final expression for the probability distribution with maximized entropy.

Continuous example

Recall that the differential entropy H of a continuous random variable X whose support is \mathcal{X} with probability density function p(x) is defined as

$$H(X) = -\int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \ln p(x) dx.$$

Using the method of Lagrange multipliers we can find the probability distribution that maximizes entropy given certain constraints.

Consider the following problem: given a half-bounded continuous random variable X defined for $x \in [0, \infty)$ with mean μ , find the probability distribution that maximizes the entropy X.

We first formulate the problem as an optimization problem where we maximize the entropy while demanding that the density function sums to 1 and the mean is μ :

maximize
$$H(X)$$
 subject to
$$\int_0^\infty p(x) = 1$$

$$\int_0^\infty x p(x) = \mu.$$

Now we can write our Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}[p(x)] = -\int_0^\infty p(x) \ln p(x) dx + \lambda_1 \left(\int_0^\infty p(x) dx - 1 \right) + \lambda_2 \left(\int_0^\infty x p(x) dx - \mu \right).$$

Variational calculus tells us that when we differentiate with respect to a function, we can remove the integrals. This makes sense intuitively because the integral is a sum and all the terms different from p(x) vanish (see the discrete example above). Differentiating gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = -\ln p(x) - 1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 x = 0.$$

This gives

$$p(x) = e^{-1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 x}.$$

When we apply the two constraints, we get an exponential function

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} e^{x/\mu}.$$

Bibliographic notes

These notes are from MCB131 taught by Professor Haim Sompolinsky. The examples are from section, as well as the supplementary material on Lagrange multipliers by S. Sawyer.