Copyright Notice

These notes are copyright **@Liqun Diao** at the University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

Under no circumstances shall these notes be published, distributed, or made accessible on the Internet or any public platform without the prior explicit written consent of Professor Diao. Professor Diao retains the sole discretion to define the form, scope, and manner of consent required for such publication or distribution.

STAT 231 - Mar. 21, 2025

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}(x) = \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{X}} + \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}_{x} & \text{(The invanional property of MLE)} \\
&= \stackrel{\sim}{V} - \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}_{x} + \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}_{x} \\
&= \stackrel{\sim}{U}_{xz} \stackrel{\sim}{V}_{z} + \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}_{x} (x - x) \\
&= \stackrel{\sim}{U}_{xz} \stackrel{\sim}{V}_{z} + \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}_{x} (x - x) \\
&= \stackrel{\sim}{U}_{xz} \stackrel{\sim}{V}_{z} + \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}_{x} (x - x) \\
&= \stackrel{\sim}{U}_{xz} \stackrel{\sim}{V}_{z} + \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}_{x} (x - x) \\
&= \stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}_{xz} \left\{ \frac{1}{1} + \frac{(x_{z} - x_{z})(x - x)}{5xx} \right\} \stackrel{\sim}{V}_{z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} b_{1} Y_{2}$$

Maxsian vandan vaniables. So, M(x) is also Craussian distributed.

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathring{N}(\infty)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} b_i Y_i\right)$$

Recall 1/2 = at Bxi + Rz, Rz ~ (200)

Exercise: Show that

(2)
$$\frac{y}{2}b_{2}x_{2}=x$$

$$Van(N(x)) = Van\left(\frac{x}{2}b_{1}V_{1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{x}{2}b_{1}^{2}Van(V_{2}) + \frac{z}{2}b_{3}b_{4}Cov$$

$$= \frac{x}{2}b_{2}^{2} + 2$$

$$= \frac{x}{2}b_{2}^{2} + 2$$

$$= -2 \frac{x}{2}b_{3}^{2}$$

$$= -2 \frac{x}{2}b_{3}^{2}$$

$$= -2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{(x-x)^{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$= -2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{(x-x)^{2}}{2}\right)$$

Exercise:

Show that

$$\frac{x}{2}b_{x}^{2} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{(x-x)^{2}}{5xx}$$

izi

In Summay:

$$N(x) \sim G(\lambda + \beta x)$$
, $\sqrt{1 + (x - \overline{x})^2}$
(Exace Distribution)
Pivotal Garantity:
 $N(x) - N(x)$
Se $\sqrt{1 + (x - \overline{x})^2}$ $\sim t(n-2)$.

$$\frac{N(x) - N(x)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{(x - x)^{2}}{5xx}}} \sim G(0, 1)$$

$$\frac{S^{2} = \frac{1}{N - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_{i} - N_{i})^{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \sim \chi^{2} (N - 2)$$

Then,

Using theorem from Cu.4

$$T = \frac{N(x_7 - N(x))}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{(x - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}}} / \frac{1}{Se^2} \sim \pm (n-2)$$

A loop % CI for
$$\mathcal{V}(x)$$
 is
$$\mathcal{N}(x) \pm \alpha \cdot \text{Se} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{1+1} \frac{(x-x)^2}{5xx}$$

where
$$P(T \leq \alpha) = \frac{1+\beta}{2}$$
, $T \sim t(u-2)$.

To predict a future response Y that is independent at our sample (Xz, Yz), z=1,..., N. Madel: Y=X+BX+R, RingGO, J). Y 1 /2, =1,..., N.

We prodict Y using M(X).

$$\mathbb{H}(Y-N(x))=\mathbb{E}(Y)-\mathbb{H}(N(x))$$

$$Var(Y-\tilde{N}(x)) = Var(Y) + Var(\tilde{N}(x)) - 265r(Y, \tilde{N}(x))$$

$$= J^2 + J^2 \left[\frac{1}{N} + \frac{(x-x)^2}{5xx} \right]$$

Note Y-M(X) is Granssian distributed.

$$\frac{Y - \mu(x)}{\sqrt{1 + 1 + \frac{(x - x)^{2}}{5xx}}} \sim G(0,1)$$

$$\frac{Y - \mu(x)}{\sqrt{1 + 1 + \frac{(x - x)^{2}}{5xx}}} \sim t(n-2).$$

$$\frac{5e}{1 + 1 + \frac{(x - x)^{2}}{5xx}} \sim t(n-2).$$

A cosp % Prodiction Internal for
$$Y$$

$$N(X) \pm \alpha \cdot Se / 1 + \frac{(x - \overline{x})^2}{S_{XX}},$$
where $P(T_{\leq a}) = \frac{1+P}{2}$, $T_{\sim} \pm (u-2)$.

6.3 Model Checking - Assumptions to be Checked

Homosedasticity

There are two main assumptions for Gaussian linear response models:

- (1) Y_i (given covariates x_i) is Gaussian with standard deviation σ which does not depend on the covariates. $Y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + R_i$, R_i (10, σ).
- (2) $E(Y_i) = \mu(x_i)$ is a linear combination of observed covariates with unknown coefficients.

MODEL ASSUMPTIONS SHOULD ALWAYS BE CHECKED!!!

We will examine three graphical methods to check these assumptions.

METHOD I - SCATTERPLOT OF DATA AND FITTED REGRESSION LINE

In simple linear regression, a scatterplot of the data with the fitted line $y = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x$ superimposed shows how well the model fits. If there are any obvious departures from the fitted line then these departures might suggest a model which would fit the data better.

